

| Murray et al | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---|
| CRITERIA | WEIGHTING | RAW SCORE | WEIGHTED SCORE | COMMENTS |
| Proposed solution meets requirement of RFQ | 10% | 2.5 | 4 | Application was threadbare and contained too much information we already provided. The detail of the proposal was unclear. |
| Demonstrated capability to provide services, including support, of comparable complexity and size | 20% | 5 | 17 | Lead researcher is a criminologist and there are legal qualifications in the team. Tomsen is most well known researcher in this area. |
| Demonstrated experience in supply of similar services within Australia | 30% | 5 | 25 | Yes. |
| Demonstrated objectivity to ensure an independent evaluation is conducted | 20% | 2 | 7 | There is an association with Sydney University and NSWPF. One of the team – Tomsen – has an undisclosed association. There is no evidence in the RFQ declaring and dealing with this association. |
| Value for money | 10% | 4 | 7 | Whilst this RFQ seems to represent good value for money there is very little detail describing what tasks will take place making it very difficult to assess value for money. |
| Capacity to obtain and maintain a security clearance as determined by NSWPF at the appropriate level | 10% | 5 | 8 | Yes. |
| TOTALS | 100% | 23.5 | 68 | |

| Asquith et al | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|
| CRITERIA | WEIGHTING | RAW SCORE | WEIGHTED SCORE | COMMENTS |
| Proposed solution meets requirement of RFQ | 10% | 5 | 8 | Application is detailed and substantial. |
| Demonstrated capability to provide services, including support, of comparable complexity and size | 20% | 5 | 17 | The team detailed is capable of providing required services. |
| Demonstrated experience in supply of similar services within Australia | 30% | 5 | 25 | Yes. |
| Demonstrated objectivity to ensure an independent evaluation is conducted | 20% | 4 | 13 | One University – Western Sydney – does work for NSWPF. This association and a potential association/conflict dating some years back were declared and dealt with in the application. |
| Value for money | 10% | 2 | 7 | This RFQ was significantly more costly than the other two RFQs received. Comparing this RFQ with at least one other, there does not seem to be a justification for the additional cost. Therefore, this RFQ is less value for money. |
| Capacity to obtain and maintain a security clearance as determined by NSWPF at the appropriate level | 10% | 5 | 8 | Yes. |
| TOTALS | 100% | 26 | 78 | |

| Dalton et al | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|
| CRITERIA | WEIGHTING | RAW SCORE | WEIGHTED SCORE | COMMENTS |
| Proposed solution meets requirement of RFQ | 10% | 5 | 8 | Application is detailed and substantial. |
| Demonstrated capability to provide services, including support, of comparable complexity and size | 20% | 5 | 17 | The team detailed is highly credible and capable of providing required services, includes a legally trained member, enhancing the capability to deal with all legal aspects. |
| Demonstrated experience in supply of similar services within Australia | 30% | 5 | 25 | Yes. |
| Demonstrated objectivity to ensure an independent evaluation is conducted | 20% | 5 | 17 | This team is from outside NSW and demonstrates the most objectivity of all RFQs. |
| Value for money | 10% | 5 | 8 | Very good value for money given the span of research (which is longer) and the stated generous discount. |
| Capacity to obtain and maintain a security clearance as determined by NSWPF at the appropriate level | 10% | 5 | 8 | Yes. |
| TOTALS | 100% | 30 | 83 | |