

Murray et al				
CRITERIA	WEIGHTING	RAW SCORE	WEIGHTED SCORE	COMMENTS
Proposed solution meets requirement of RFQ	10%	2.5	5	Application was threadbare and contained too much information we already provided. The detail of the proposal was unclear.
Demonstrated capability to provide services, including support, of comparable complexity and size	20%	5	20	Lead researcher is a criminologist and there are legal qualifications in the team. Tomsen is most well known researcher in this area.
Demonstrated experience in supply of similar services within Australia	30%	5	30	Yes.
Demonstrated objectivity to ensure an independent evaluation is conducted	20%	2	8	There is an association with Sydney University and NSWPF. One of the team – Tomsen – has an undisclosed association. There is no evidence in the RFQ declaring and dealing with this association.
Value for money	10%	4	8	Whilst this RFQ seems to represent good value for money there is very little detail describing what tasks will take place making it very difficult to assess value for money.
Capacity to obtain and maintain a security clearance as determined by NSWPF at the appropriate level	10%	5	10	Yes.
TOTALS	100%	23.5	81	

Asquith et al				
CRITERIA	WEIGHTING	RAW SCORE	WEIGHTED SCORE	COMMENTS
Proposed solution meets requirement of RFQ	10%	5	10	Application is detailed and substantial.
Demonstrated capability to provide services, including support, of comparable complexity and size	20%	5	20	The team detailed is capable of providing required services.
Demonstrated experience in supply of similar services within Australia	30%	5	30	Yes.
Demonstrated objectivity to ensure an independent evaluation is conducted	20%	4	16	One University – Western Sydney – does work for NSWPF. This association and a potential association/conflict dating some years back were declared and dealt with in the application.
Value for money	10%	2	4	This RFQ was significantly more costly than the other two RFQs received. Comparing this RFQ with at least one other, there does not seem to be a justification for the additional cost. Therefore, this RFQ is less value for money.
Capacity to obtain and maintain a security clearance as determined by NSWPF at the appropriate level	10%	5	10	Yes.
TOTALS	100%	26	90	

Dalton et al				
CRITERIA	WEIGHTING	RAW SCORE	WEIGHTED SCORE	COMMENTS
Proposed solution meets requirement of RFQ	10%	5	10	Application is detailed and substantial.
Demonstrated capability to provide services, including support, of comparable complexity and size	20%	5	20	The team detailed is highly credible and capable of providing required services, includes a legally trained member, enhancing the capability to deal with all legal aspects.
Demonstrated experience in supply of similar services within Australia	30%	5	30	Yes.
Demonstrated objectivity to ensure an independent evaluation is conducted	20%	5	20	This team is from outside NSW and demonstrates the most objectivity of all RFQs.
Value for money	10%	5	10	Very good value for money given the span of research (which is longer) and the stated generous discount.
Capacity to obtain and maintain a security clearance as determined by NSWPF at the appropriate level	10%	5	10	Yes.
TOTALS	100%	30	100	