

From: Anthony_Crandell/[REDACTED]/Staff/NSWPolice
To: [Jacqueline Braw](#)/[REDACTED]/Staff/NSWPolice%–nswpolice; [Jacqueline Braw](#)/[REDACTED]/Staff/NSWPolice%–nswpolice
Cc: [Shobha Sharma](#)/[REDACTED]/Staff/NSWPolice%–nswpolice; [Shobha Sharma](#)/[REDACTED]/Staff/NSWPolice%–nswpolice
Subject: Re: Anti-gay homicides in NSW [DLM=Sensitive:Law Enforcement (SLE)]
Date: Thursday, 28 April 2016 17:09:22

Thanks Jackie

The other parties have quoted without further material. To provide him additional data would be to the detriment of others. We would be grateful for his submission as soon as possible rather than waiting for further information.

Regards

Superintendent Tony Crandell
 Local Area Commander, Surry Hills
 NSW Police Force Corporate Sponsor, Sexuality and Gender Diversity

On 28 Apr 2016, at 1:45 PM, Jacqueline Braw <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi Tony,
 Murray Lee - the other potential candidate interested in providing a quote to review Strikeforce Parabell - asked for a summary of Parabell to inform his proposal.

He indicates below he can submit a proposal without a summary - shall I suggest that?

We would still be looking for one more according to our 'policy' on research quotes.

Jackie

<0.21C.jpeg>

----- Forwarded by Jacqueline Braw/[REDACTED]/Staff/NSWPolice on 28/04/2016 13:41 -----

From: Murray Lee <murray.lee@sydney.edu.au>
To: Jacqueline Braw <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Anthony Crandell <[REDACTED]>, Stephen Tomsen <S.Tomsen@uws.edu.au>, Thomas Crofts <thomas.crofts@sydney.edu.au>
Date: 28/04/2016 13:36
Subject: RE: Anti-gay homicides in NSW [DLM=Sensitive:Law Enforcement (SLE)]

Hi Jackie,

Any luck with the summary? I can submit a proposal in any case if you like?

Kind regards

Murray

From: Jacqueline Braw [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 14 April 2016 2:24 PM
To: Murray Lee <murray.lee@sydney.edu.au>
Cc: Anthony Crandell <[REDACTED]>; Stephen Tomsen <S.Tomsen@uws.edu.au>; Thomas Crofts <thomas.crofts@sydney.edu.au>
Subject: Re: Anti-gay homicides in NSW [DLM=Sensitive:Law Enforcement (SLE)]

Hi Murray,

No I don't think there is a form as such.

I'll try to chase up that Parabell summary asap.

Regards

Jackie

<1.3CA8.jpeg>

From: Murray Lee <murray.lee@sydney.edu.au>
To: Jacqueline Braw <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Stephen Tomsen <S.Tomsen@uws.edu.au>, Thomas Crofts <thomas.crofts@sydney.edu.au>, Anthony Crandell <[REDACTED]>
Date: 14/04/2016 12:22
Subject: Re: Anti-gay homicides in NSW [DLM=Sensitive:Law Enforcement (SLE)]

Dear Jackie

Is there a particular pro forma our quotation should be submitted on?

We are keen to undertake the project. It would be a joint project between U-Syd and UWS. We think we can undertake this work at around \$39,000 + GST if

the task is much as articulated in the points below (and subject to seeing the follow up info from Strikeforce Parabell).

We can move forward and provide an itemised formal quotation if this is deemed competitive once we receive this further information.

Kind Regards
Murray

From: Jacqueline Braw <[REDACTED]>
Date: Thursday, 14 April 2016 at 10:02 AM
To: Murray Lee <murray.lee@sydney.edu.au>
Cc: Stephen Tomsen <S.Tomsen@uws.edu.au>, Thomas Crofts <thomas.crofts@sydney.edu.au>, Anthony Crandell <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Anti-gay homicides in NSW [DLM=Sensitive:Law Enforcement (SLE)]

Hi Murray,

I've asked the Strikeforce Parabell team leader for a brief summary of what they are doing which will complement the points below. I will send that through as soon as I get it.

We don't have formal specs but following a meeting of a number of key stakeholders at which the Strikeforce presented their findings so far, and listening to some of the points raised by both Stephen and Nicole, amongst others, Supt Crandell felt it would be valuable to seek an independent, qualified 'assessment' or evaluation if you like, of the Strikeforce's approach and results.

Exactly how this evaluation is completed is up to you, but we expect the following to be included:

- An independent evaluation of Strikeforce Parabell's (SP) review of the identified 88 deaths during the late 70s, 80s and 90s
- An examination of the process and method used to conduct SP including the application of NSWPF Bias Crime indicators
- Access and review original source materials as needed
- Research and provide an introductory section detailing the historical context of policing during this period and a commentary on investigating deaths of men identified as gay or transgender during this period
- Provide a conclusion including comment on the efficacy and quality of SP's review, the outcomes of the review, does the researcher agree with SP outcomes/determinations?
- Provide recommendations for future policing, community engagement, training, development of bias crime indicators/processes
- Provide relevant recommendations for future directions of the GLL0 program
- Produce and publish a research article
- Throughout this entire process maintain close contact with the Corporate Sponsor Sexuality & Gender Diversity and the Senior Programs Officer (S&GD)

Please feel free to contact me if you would like to talk through any of the above.

Regards, and looking forward to your response.

Jackie

<6.49A0.jpeg>

From: Murray Lee <murray.lee@sydney.edu.au>
To: Jacqueline Braw <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Stephen Tomsen <S.Tomsen@uws.edu.au>, Thomas Crofts <thomas.crofts@sydney.edu.au>
Date: 13/04/2016 11:19
Subject: Anti-gay homicides in NSW

Dear Jackie

Hope you are well.

I am just contacting you in regards to the review their findings about anti-gay homicides in NSW. A team of myself, Professor Thomas Crofts (Law Professor U-Syd and Homicide Law Expert), and Professor Stephen Tomsen (UWS expert on anti-gay homicide) may be interested in tendering for this project. Are you able to forward more detail? We know basically what is required but would be able to finalise a tender quickly if we can have as much information as possible.

Kind Regards

Murray Lee
 Professor of Criminology
 University of Sydney
 [REDACTED]

This email and any attachments may be confidential and contain privileged information. It is intended for the addressee only. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this communication. Confidentiality or privilege are not waived or lost by reason of the mistaken delivery to you. If you have received this message in error, please delete and notify the sender.