

From: Christopher_Devery/[REDACTED]/Staff/NSWPolice
To: [Anthony_Crandell/\[REDACTED\]/Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice;](#)
[Anthony_Crandell/\[REDACTED\]/Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice](#)
Subject: Re: SF PARRABELL [DLM=Sensitive]
Date: Tuesday, 10 May 2016 16:07:46

Hi Tony

Sorry for the delay in responding, I was on the road all day yesterday.

I have asked some contacts in UNSW whether they are interested in supplying a quote, but have not heard back from them at this stage.

As you know, I raised the issue re the requirement for three quotes for amounts over \$30,000, but was not aware of the requirement that we use a formal request for quote process. This process is not clear in the procurement policy documents that I looked at.

Shobha Sharma called me about that on Monday, and said that she had consulted with Procurement in that regard.

It would be advisable to discuss the process with procurement to make sure they are happy with the process, and to get their advice as to the requirement for a formal RFQ. It is my understanding that a formal RFQ is for expenditure of more than \$30,000 where a panel exists, which is not the case here.

The policy suggests to me that the three quotes should be sufficient.

In regards to securing funding, that is a matter for your chain of command, although if funding is maintained for the research fund for the Tertiary Scholarships Scheme in the next FY, it is possible that we could assist, as per the arrest study.

I agree that this is a very important study, but also advise that it will be necessary to cover off on the privacy aspects of providing the material to researchers. This can certainly be done, but we need to make sure the ethics clearances (to be obtained by the University via their ethics committee) are properly done.

Regards

Chris

1.1 ***Where No Contract exists***

The following thresholds apply when procuring goods and services outside State Contracts and NSWPF specific Contracts (NSWPF Contracts):

- For guidance purposes, any expenditure under \$30,000 (GST inclusive) NSWPF are required to obtain at least one written quote.
- For expenditure from \$30,000 to \$150,000 (GST inclusive), NSWPF are required to obtain at least three written quotes.
- For expenditure over \$150,000 (GST inclusive), advice should be sought from SP&FS and a formal tender should be undertaken.

Dr Chris Devery | Manager
[Research Co-ordination Unit](#) | [Command College - Yarramundi](#) | [New South Wales Police Force](#)

Location: Building C130, NSW Police Academy, McDermott Drive, Goulburn NSW 2580

 **Please reply with History** - Consider the environment before printing your emails and attachments 

▼ Anthony Crandell---07/05/2016 10:47:40---Hi Chris, Apologies if I have missed any further advice on this matter. We have received 2 quotation

From: Anthony Crandell/[REDACTED]Staff/NSWPolice
To: Christopher Devery/[REDACTED]Staff/NSWPolice@NSWPolice
Date: 07/05/2016 10:47
Subject: Re: SF PARRABELL [DLM=Sensitive]

Hi Chris,

Apologies if I have missed any further advice on this matter. We have received 2 quotations for academic review, however I am concerned because it may be the case that I need to arrange a Request for Quote - of those received one is for \$50k and the other \$40k.

I don't know whether you can assist me in this regard or whether I need to go through other processes in order to ensure this review takes place. It is quite an important piece of work for the NSWPF because very soon there will be media coverage and renewed community interest in light of the pending Coronial inquest (3rd) into the death of Scott Johnson which is alleged to have been a gay hate crime. The final report into our review of 88 deaths indicated publicly as 'gay hate crimes' is due to conclude in a matter of months and may form part of material placed before the Coroner.

I am happy to jump through the hurdles, however I am not certain of what they are or what is required of me to secure funding. Any advice/guidance you can provide is appreciated.

Regards

Anthony Crandell
Superintendent

-----Christopher Devery/[REDACTED]Staff/NSWPolice wrote: -----

To: Anthony Crandell/[REDACTED]Staff/NSWPolice@nswpolice
From: Christopher Devery/[REDACTED]Staff/NSWPolice
Date: 12/02/2016 09:46PM
Subject: Re: SF PARRABELL [DLM=Sensitive]

Hello Tony

You are correct this is a sensitive matter. For the amount specified we will need a number of quotes, and selection of the right researchers is of paramount importance. I'll check the procurement guidelines and send some more advice on Monday.

Regards
Chris

Sent from my iPhone

On 12 Feb 2016, at 18:40, Anthony Crandell <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi Chris,

I hope you are well.

I forward you this information in my capacity as corporate sponsor for sexuality and gender diversity.

Below is some information regarding an investigative review by detectives from the Central Metropolitan Region into 88 alleged gay-hate homicides between the years 2000 and 1979. Publicity surrounding these cases was quite intense on the back of a now pending Coronial hearing into the death of Scott Johnson, who was a gay man that died as a result of falling from a cliff top at a known gay beat. Scott's family alleged police corruption by not investigating the matter competently and assert that Scott did not take his life as recommended by police to the Coroner.

The publicity impacts negatively upon the NSWPF within the gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender and intersex (LGBTI) community.

To counter these allegations and with the support of AC Fuller as Commander, Central Metropolitan Region, I activated Strike Force Parrabell to investigate claims that 87 other deaths between 2000 and 1979 were gay-hate crimes, and that police did not investigate these matters competently. I have included information below regarding the progress of Strike Force Parrabell since 1 September 2015.

My intention is to ultimately publish our findings with appropriate redactions after inviting academic review of the material collected and the findings made to introduce a degree of independence to the position of the NSWPF. In essence, although there is some way to go investigatively, there is clear contrary evidence to earlier published research by Sue Thompson and Stephen Thompsen (AIC) that assert prevalence of gay-hate crimes and inactivity of the NSWPF. I have also attached a copy of the AIC research article for your information.

Essentially we have approached academics known to us because of their presentations on LGBTI issues at our recent GLLO Conference about our investigations guided by Task Force Parrabell. Doctors Nicole Asquith (University of Western Sydney) and Angela Dwyer (University of Tasmania) have expressed professional interest in reviewing our findings and researching both our claims and that of prior academics into gay-hate crimes. Attached is a quotation regarding costs (approximately \$50,000) for work proposed. Obviously I am eager to engage these people because it will add immeasurable legitimacy to the significant body of work performed by Strike Force Parrabell police officers.

Can you please provide me advice on the best way to progress an application for funding to engage these researchers. I anticipate a yellow, however I wanted to let you know in advance of my intentions and obtain your views on the likelihood of success and/or other content/details required before proceeding further.

Thank you

SF PARRABELL

Strike Force Parrabell was established in order to conduct a review of current NSWPF holdings of previously reported deaths of persons between 1976 to 2000, as identified by Ms Sue Thompson and the Bias Crime Unit, to determine if there is any evidence of sexuality or other bias involvement which may have contributed to the death. This review related to police investigations conducted between 1976 and 2000. The purpose of the review is to determine if any sexuality or other bias was involved in any of the deaths. In all approximately 88 cases

previously identified by Ms Thompson have been identified for review by SF Parrabell.

Surry Hills LAC Commander Superintendent Crandell is the Corporate Spokesperson for GLBTIQ issues and initiated this Strike Force out of growing media and political issues being raised concerning these matters. The cases to be examined are not limited by LAC boundaries and originate from all over NSW.

Strike Force Parrabell was initiated on 1 September 2015 and at the time was estimated to continue for 6 months (1 March 2016). A review period was set for 3 monthly intervals.

1st Review: 1 December 2015

The first review was successful and culminated in a presentation being offered to a wide group of community and political stakeholders.

2nd Review: 2 February 2016

The SF reported that it had completed 15 reviews of cases as of this date with results being as follows:

- 1 x case identified as involving a Gender or other Bias in the Crime.
- 9 x cases identified as Likely to involve a Gender or other Bias in the Crime.
- 3 x cases identified as Unlikely to involve a Gender or other Bias in the Crime.
- 2 x cases identified as Not involving a Gender or other Bias in the Crime.

At the commencement of this Strike Force it was anticipated that investigators would commence their review of the most recent cases identified (2000) and work backwards in time (1976). This decision was made as it was expected that the NSWPF Holdings on each case would be more extensive with the recent cases and dwindle as the Strike Force progressed back in time given the age of the matter, record keeping techniques of the time, and archiving of the older matters. It was therefore expected that as the Strike Force progressed the timeline to conduct a review of each case would hasten as records on each matter became less extensive or unable to be located.

As at the 2nd Review it has been identified that the review of each case so far (to 1996) that holdings of the NSWPF are extensive. DSC Bignell reports that on average they are required to review upwards of 15 standard archive boxes full of documents on each matter. Some more recent case have required up to 90 boxes of material to be reviewed on a single matter. This is proving more time consuming than first anticipated. These timelines do not include the efforts that investigators have to go through to find the location of the material, retrieve it from the locations where it is stored, review the material and compile a list of documents that support their conclusions. DSC Bignell is then required to oversee these reviews and finalise the conclusions. A process which he states is taking him 4 days alone to complete.

Presently the Strike Force is behind originally intended timelines. It was originally anticipated that the Strike Force may have concluded all reviews by March 2016. Clearly this is not the case. At present rates it is predicted that at current resourcing levels the Strike Force would need a further 3 to 6 months to complete its reviews. I still anticipate that as the Strike Force moves back further in time the holdings on each matter may reduce. However I am unable to predict where this point may be reached.

With discussion with D/Sgt Grace (Surry Hills) the Surry Hills Detectives Office has assisted when possible by supplementing and assisting SF Parrabell staff with additional staffing for short 2 week periods. This has benefitted the Strike Force to some degree.

At present inquiries continue with an expected yet tentative completion timeframe of June 2016.

Regards

<0.1EC2.jpeg> (See attached file: *Parabell Project Brief (DRAFT for J Braw).pdf*) (See attached file: *Gay hate homicides a/c research.pdf*)

<Parabell Project Brief (DRAFT for J Braw).pdf>
<Gay hate homicides aic research.pdf>