

From: Jacqueline_Braw/[REDACTED]@Staff/NSWPolice
To: Anthony_Crandell/[REDACTED]@Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice; Anthony_Crandell/[REDACTED]@Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice
Cc: Shobha_Sharma/[REDACTED]@Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice; Craig_N_Middleton/[REDACTED]@Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice; Shobha_Sharma/[REDACTED]@Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice; Craig_N_Middleton/[REDACTED]@Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice
Subject: Re: Status update SF Parrabell [DLM=Sensitive:Law Enforcement (SLE)]
Date: Friday, 10 June 2016 12:40:14



Thanks Tony. They are giving me a better insight into the criminal mind as all I really have to go on are TV and film depictions so it is an education.

I will finish the few I have here and hand them over next week. The language is getting better and maybe when the Bias Crime team look at them they can also check for language.

I spoke with Professor Dixon today - the potential third quote - and he is considering a proposal and will let me know if he decides to put one in. I have stressed timing concerns.

Jackie

Jackie Braw

Senior Programs Officer, Sexuality & Gender Diversity – Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, Transgender & Intersex
 Mon-Wed
 Surry Hills LAC, Lvl 3 Sydney Police Centre
 151-241 Goulburn St, Surry Hills NSW 2010

Thur & Fri
 Operational Programs
 Level 2, 20 Charles St, Parramatta

[REDACTED]

▼ Anthony Crandell---10/06/2016 11:54:58---Thanks Shobha, I appreciate the funding commitment, that is one less argument I need to have, albeit

From: Anthony Crandell/[REDACTED]@Staff/NSWPolice
To: Shobha Sharma/[REDACTED]@Staff/NSWPolice@NSWPolice
Cc: Jacqueline Braw/[REDACTED]@Staff/NSWPolice@NSWPolice, Craig N Middleton/[REDACTED]@Staff/NSWPolice@NSWPolice
Date: 10/06/2016 11:54
Subject: Re: Status update SF Parrabell [DLM=Sensitive:Law Enforcement (SLE)]

Thanks Shobha,

I appreciate the funding commitment, that is one less argument I need to have, albeit if any problems arise I am happy to take it up.

I am happy for Cameron to share the Parrabell reports with Bias Crimes, the only thing I have done is told Cameron to not take all reports to Jackie. I have said that because they should have an idea based on past comments as to what is and is not acceptable language and the reading, for an unsworn nice person, is hard going - fairly graphic.

I have copied in Craig Middleton regarding sharing of Parrabell documents so we are all on the same page.

Regards

Superintendent Tony Crandell




Local Area Commander
 Surry Hills LAC, Lvl 3 Sydney Police Centre
 151-241 Goulburn St, Surry Hills NSW 2010

Corporate Sponsor, Sexuality & Gender Diversity –
 Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, Transgender & Intersex

[REDACTED]

▼ Shobha Sharma---09/06/2016 18:28:16---

From: Shobha Sharma/[REDACTED]@Staff/NSWPolice
To: Anthony Crandell/[REDACTED]@Staff/NSWPolice@nswpolice
Cc: Jacqueline Braw/[REDACTED]@Staff/NSWPolice@nswpolice
Date: 09/06/2016 18:28
Subject: Re: Status update SF Parrabell [DLM=Sensitive:Law Enforcement (SLE)]

Hi Tony

Great stuff, the team has been extraordinarily productive.

To ensure that the thinking and reasoning used to classify cases as yes, no, likely, unlikely are robust, they should be

discussed with the Bias Crimes Unit.

I suggest Cameron sends us the case summary sheets for reviews done thus far., and we can talk through on 16th, at the session between Cameron and the team and BCU. This would also give Jackie a chance to check on terminology and language used.

This is an essential step in line with our agency SOPS for Bias Crimes.

I am also close to completing an RFQ process for a piece of work, hence feel more confident to support that same process for the independent review of Parrabell. I have discussed with Chris Devery and as long as we have atleast 3 people invited to quote, we are fine. Chris is not offering any funding, though.

Tony, this project is of immense significance to us and in terms of community cred, and to this end I am happy to support it through funding. I imagine we may also need OGC advice re privacy issues as the successful academic would be viewing sensitive and personal information.

I am also committed to the time line you have set Tony, so there will be no delay from our end in either the discussion re determination of cases as yes, no, likely, unlikely or the RFQ, which I am happy to organise.

Hope all this sounds ok to you and happy to chat further.

Shobha

Sent from my iPhone

On 9 Jun 2016, at 5:40 pm, Anthony Crandell <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi Jackie,

Please keep this email confidential. I wanted you to see it because I would like to get the procurement process underway regarding the third academic review quotation. Please let me know if you need my assistance. I know I had contact with Chris Devery however I am not sure that I need to chase him further. I am thinking of not releasing any findings of Parrabell until the academic reviews are completed. On that basis I would want work started in the next few months.

Happy to discuss.

Thanks

<0.30C.jpeg>

----- Forwarded by Anthony Crandell, [REDACTED] Staff/NSWPolice on 09/06/2016 17:37 -----

From: Craig N Middleton, [REDACTED] Staff/NSWPolice
 To: Anthony Crandell, [REDACTED] Staff/NSWPolice@NSWPolice
 Cc: Daniel Doherty, [REDACTED] Staff/NSWPolice@NSWPolice, Michael Willing, [REDACTED] Staff/NSWPolice@NSWPolice, Andrew Grace, [REDACTED] Staff/NSWPolice@NSWPolice, Cameron Bignell, [REDACTED] Staff/NSWPolice@NSWPolice
 Date: 09/06/2016 12:47
 Subject: Status update SF Parrabell [DLM=Sensitive:Law Enforcement (SLE)]

Sirs

Just an update on the progress of SF Parrabell

On Tuesday 7 June the SF Parrabell Review Committee met for the 2nd time. There has been a significant increase in the completion of reviews since the first review meeting due to the influx of additional staff in April.

As of this date I can provide the following status of the Strike Force

* Total matters to be reviewed: **83** (88 originally but 5 have been re-opened by UHT post the commencement of SF Parrabell and have been removed from our list as per our investigation agreement with UHT)

* Total matters finalised (includes review by the Committee): **28** cases. **(34%)**

Of those 28 the following has been determined:

THERE IS EVIDENCE THAT SEXUALITY OR OTHER BIAS WAS INVOLVED IN THE DEATH: 3 (10.7% of completed matters)

IT APPEARS LIKELY THAT SEXUALITY OR OTHER BIAS WAS INVOLVED IN THE DEATH: 12 (42.9% of completed matters)

IT APPEARS UNLIKELY THAT SEXUALITY OR OTHER BIAS WAS INVOLVED IN THE DEATH: 7 (25% of completed matters)

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE THAT SEXUALITY OR OTHER BIAS WAS INVOLVED IN THE DEATH: 6 (21.4% of completed matters)

Additionally:

* there is a further 9 matters awaiting sign off by OIC DSC Bignell before presentation to the Review Committee.

* 22 matters were identified as *insufficient records or no records being held by NSWPF*. Of those, 15 files located at NSW Government Repository. Arrangements are being made to access, copy and review files. (approx 1500 documents). 7 matters on list cannot be located in ANY records so far. Contact to be made with original authors of list (Thompson/Thomsen) to ascertain any information pertaining to those matters.

STAFF:

8 Officers are currently seconded to SF Parrabell.

PCst Sarah Fleming was returned to Rosebay (commencement of maternity leave). Replacement not required.

DSC Bignell reports that all staff are actively engaged and are working efficiently with no issues arising.

RECOMMENDATION:

Given the acceleration in reviews since the influx of staff. (34%) of all matters now completed. Of the 15 matters at the Government repository, initial analysis indicates that there is minimal documentation and these matters will be reviewed expediently. I anticipate that the documentary review process will be completed by mid August or earlier upon which staff levels could possibly be reduced. Final drafting of results can then be completed internally by the OIC and the Review Committee in consultation with Mr Crandell.

Regards

<1.2A78.jpeg>

Craig Middleton | Detective Chief Inspector
Crime Manager | Surry Hills Local Area Command

<1.415A.jpeg>