

**From:** Jacqueline\_Braw [redacted] /Staff/NSWPolice  
**To:** Anthony\_Crandell [redacted] /Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice; Anthony\_Crandell [redacted] /Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice  
**Subject:** Re: Parrabell Case Summaries [DLM=Sensitive]  
**Date:** Monday, 19 February 2018 16:38:26

Hi Tony,

I have had a quick read of all the cases and this is what I think may be of particular concern to the community and/or attracted (from memory) more media interest:

- Cases linked to Scott Johnson and the Fairy Bower area (1, 2 and 3). Also, in 3 (Williams) that records cannot be located may attract concern/questions and a suggestion of police disinterest
- In cases like 5 and 6 where the academic team determines gay bias (anti-paedophile) but SP determines no evidence of bias, the concern may be that disentangling anti-gay and anti-paedophile in the offender's mind is very tricky so how can SP say 'no evidence' at all - where SP says Suspected or Insufficient Information, it is perhaps less concerning
- A couple of cases eg 10, 17, 43, 73, the concern may be why SP rests with suspected and the academic team went for gay bias, especially if it was not linked to anti-paedophile bias such as 10, 43 and 73
- No 11 - Sheil - that holdings cannot be located will be of concern to the community; like 19 (Mattaini)
- 16, 19, 29 of course (S Johnson), 34, 36, 40, 41, 61, 67 (Dempsey) 82, 83, 84 from my memory attracted a lot of media attention
- Cases where victims were found naked or half naked (pants pulled down etc) but where SP has said no evidence or insufficient info eg 54 and 52, may attract concern about the level of evidence needed for SP to suspect bias
- Conflation of Justice system failing with police inaction or failing eg 20 Rooney
- No 26 - Crombie - with evidence of comments made, the concern may be why SP determined no evidence, especially because the academics said insufficient information. No 43, why SP determined suspected where the academics have said gay bias
- No 71, that SP maintains no evidence whilst the academics have said insufficient information
- No 81 - with the level of violence the question may be why SP ruled only suspected bias

Differences between SP and Flinders that may be concerning:

- 
- No 2 (Rath) because of the link to S Johnson/Fairy Bower
- Cases where SP determine no evidence of bias and Flinders determine anti-paedophile bias - eg 5, 6, 8, 12, 23, 47, 84 or 67 (Dempsey) where Flinders determined anti-gay bias
- Cases where SP determine no evidence of bias and Flinders determine insufficient information eg 26
- 

Hope this helps. Will see you on Wednesday.

Jackie

**Jackie Braw**




Senior Programs Officer, Sexuality, Gender Diversity & Intersex - Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender & Intersex Operational Programs  
 Level 2, 20 Charles Street, Parramatta NSW 2150





NSW Police Force

▼ Anthony\_Crandell--18/02/2018 18:40:56---From: Anthony\_Crandell [redacted] /Staff/NSWPolice To: Jacqueline\_Braw [redacted] /Staff/NSWPolice@NSWPolice

From: Anthony\_Crandell [redacted] /Staff/NSWPolice  
 To: Jacqueline\_Braw [redacted] /Staff/NSWPolice@NSWPolice  
 Date: 18/02/2018 18:40  
 Subject: Parrabell Case Summaries [DLM=For-Official-Use-Only]

Hi Jackie,

Please find attached the case summaries for Parrabell. I am after your recommendations on cases that I need to be familiar with that are important to the community. I know Dempsey. Additionally, perhaps if you could indicate the ones that show significant differences between the Parrabell findings and that of the academics. I have concerns over a couple that I will ask Craig Middleton to review.

Any problems please let me know.

TC[attachment "PARRABELL CASE SUMMARIES CRANDELL v4.docx" deleted by Jacqueline\_Braw [redacted] /Staff/NSWPolice]