

From: [Derek Dalton](#)
To: [Willem de Lint](#)
Subject: RE: They are a bit sloppy with their language! - 88 murder victims
Date: Monday, 19 November 2018 3:21:05 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Yeah. Have read it an hour ago.

ALSO READ Tomo's short submission. ACON submission was a pile of rubbish (how is graffiti from 2018 relevant?)

Re-investigation and questioning officers [if they could find them] would e a monumental task that is probably just not feasible (with a Royal Commission and a few million \$)

I don't think we get much right to ASK questions back ,but we perhaps can if they try and steam roll us.

I like your question. It's good.

I think Crandell did well. Looks cool, calm and collected in the transcript

DD

From: Willem de Lint
Sent: Monday, 19 November 2018 3:04 PM
To: Derek Dalton [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: They are a bit sloppy with their language! - 88 murder victims

Hi Derek

Here is attached the transcript from the first day.

There is mention of criticism and disappointing result of Parrabell, but when you dig behind that editorialisation, it is that the review did not re-investigate the cases or question the officers involved regarding how they handled the investigations. The second point is that there was insufficient respect or apology and this refers to the police part of the report, which I wish they had not written.

If the Chair makes a blanket summary statement about the report I am going to press him on what specific criticism he is referring to and how the review could have addressed this without being a re-investigation.

It will be important not to say too much, as it will be impossible to take back.

W

Willem de Lint
Professor in Criminal Justice
College of Business, Government and Law
Flinders University
GPO Box 2100

Adelaide 5001
[REDACTED]

From: Derek Dalton

Sent: Monday, 19 November 2018 2:37:22 PM

To: Willem de Lint

Subject: They are a bit sloppy with their language! - 88 murder victims

It is important to remember that parliamentary privilege does not apply to what witnesses may say outside of their evidence at this hearing. I urge witnesses to be careful about any comments they may make to the media or to others after they complete their evidence, as such comments would not be protected by parliamentary privilege if another person decided to take an action for defamation. The guidelines for the broadcast of proceedings are available from the secretariat. There may be some questions that witnesses could only answer if they had more time or with certain documents at hand. In these circumstances witnesses are advised that they can take a question on notice and provide an answer within 21 days.

I remind everyone here today that Committee hearings are not intended to provide a forum for people to make adverse reflections about others under the protection of parliamentary privilege. I therefore request that witnesses avoid naming individuals unnecessarily. Witnesses are advised that any messages should be delivered to Committee members through the Committee staff. To aid audibility of this hearing I remind both Committee members and witnesses to speak into the microphones. In addition, several seats have been reserved near the loudspeakers for persons in the public gallery who have hearing difficulties. Could everyone please turn their mobile phones to silent for the duration of this hearing. Before I welcome the witnesses I ask everyone to stand and observe a moment's silence in respect of the 88 murder victims that this inquiry is considering, and other victims of hate violence in our community.

Members and officers stood in their places as a mark of respect.

Dr Derek Dalton

Associate Professor

College of Business, Government & Law

Flinders University

Sturt Road, Bedford Park South Australia 5042

GPO Box 2100 Adelaide SA 5001

E [REDACTED]