

From: Pamela_S_Young/[REDACTED]/Staff/NSWPolice
To: [Michael Willing/\[REDACTED\]/Staff/NSWPolice%nswpolice](#); [Michael Willing/\[REDACTED\]/Staff/NSWPolice%nswpolice](#)
Cc: [John Kerlatec/\[REDACTED\]/Staff/NSWPolice%nswpolice](#); [John Kerlatec/\[REDACTED\]/Staff/NSWPolice%nswpolice](#)
Subject: Re: SMH Article [DLM=Sensitive:Legal]
Date: Thursday, 14 April 2016 13:50:16

Mick,

Noted & that is the legal advice I have for a dozen or so statements directed at me by their camp since 2013. I am glad this one has been formally noticed, although it is one of their more lame efforts.

Poor Mr Noone & how useless our efforts have been on his behalf, each & every occasion. Of course their hope is to neutralise or align him with their camp before the inquest. All praise to Penny for her victim care success thus far. I expect the coroner will find a reason not to act effectively again.

[REDACTED]

No need for niceties between you & I Michael. I will never forget how hard I worked for you and the choices you made after Lateline.

Regards,
Pam.

Sent from my iPhone

Sent from my iPhone

On 13 Apr 2016, at 11:53 am, Michael Willing <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi Pam,

I hope things are going okay with you.

[REDACTED] upon reflection I felt that I should do it and apologise for any distress it might cause.

I have been told that you are aware of the article that was written by Rick Feneley appearing in Saturday's SMH concerning the Scott Johnson matter. As you might be aware, the on-line version contained a photograph of Michael Noone and he is very very distraught. I spoke to him on Tuesday about it and he is okay but understandably worried about things.

When I read the article on Saturday I contacted the lawyers representing the NSWPF for the inquest and requested they review the article for possible breaches and contempt of court issues. That has occurred with the assistance of Penny and the advice that we are receiving is that the article does in fact contain breaches. The lawyers are preparing to raise it formally with the State Coroner.

However as part of the draft advice I received the lawyers said, *"We note that the Article is also potentially defamatory of DCI Young and Detective Sergeant Cruickshank and accordingly they may wish to seek separate advice on the issue."*

As a result it would be remiss of me not to let you know. I have informed Doreen of the same and she is considering her options.

Take care and please let me know if you need anything from me or need to discuss things.

Regards

Mick

<M2.gif>