

From: Zdenka_Vaughan/2004882/Staff/NSWPolice
To: [Michael_Willing/ /Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice](#); [Michael_Willing/ /Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice](#)
Cc: [Georgina_Wells/ /Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice](#); [Strath_Gordon/ /Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice](#); [Blake_Clifton; Georgina_Wells/ /Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice](#); [Strath_Gordon/ /Staff/NSWPolice%NSWPolice](#); [Blake_Clifton](#)
Subject: Fw: inquiry re Pam Young
Date: Tuesday, 14 April 2015 12:05:55

Mick: Calling you now.

Z



Zdenka Vaughan

Public Affairs Branch | Executive Media Advisor

Police Executive Office | Level 14 | 201 Elizabeth Street, Sydney, NSW, 2000

Phone: [REDACTED] | E/N: [REDACTED] | Fax: [REDACTED] | Mobile: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED] | www.police.nsw.gov.au

----- Forwarded by Zdenka Vaughan [REDACTED]/Staff/NSWPolice on 14/04/2015 12:05 -----

From: Rick Feneley [REDACTED]
 To: Zdenka Vaughan [REDACTED]
 Date: 14/04/2015 12:03
 Subject: inquiry re Pam Young

Hi Zdenka,

I understand the Commissioner does not wish to comment on the extraordinary Pam Young interview on Latelin.

I was hoping to press this matter. I cannot remember a single case of a serving officer making such an attack on a minister. It raises some serious questions about church and state.

Was the Commissioner aware that a DCI was going on air to accuse the now former police minister, Mike Gallacher, of improper conduct?

Surely the Commissioner has to own or disown that statement.

Otherwise we must assume one of two things; he is fine with the remarks or he is okay with officers launching their own tirades against the executive.

The interview was planned and researched. Pam Young clearly knew what she wanted to say and Lateline clearly had a drop on her report well before it was made public by the coroner.

The fact Pam won't give me an interview today - and has refused to do so in the past - suggests this was a very media-managed event with sanction from above.

On the strength of the Lateline interview, Steve Johnson is demanding she be removed from the case, saying it clearly betrays her impartiality.

"Does her view represent the whole of NSWPF, or has she gone rogue?" Mr Johnson asks.

Mr Gallacher has told the Herald that neither Pam Young, Mick Willing, Nick Kaldas or Mr Scipione had ever raised with him the concerns she aired last night.

I want to give the Commissioner an opportunity to endorse or disown the comments against Mr Gallacher, and to respond to Mr Johnson.

Rick

[REDACTED]

"Does her view represent the whole of NSWPF, or has she gone rogue?"

The information contained in this e-mail message and any accompanying files is or may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, dissemination, reliance, forwarding, printing or copying of this e-mail or any attached files is unauthorised. This e-mail is subject to copyright. No part of it should be reproduced, adapted or communicated without the written consent of the copyright owner. If you have received this e-mail in error please advise the sender immediately by return e-mail or telephone and delete all copies. Fairfax Media does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of any information contained in this e-mail or attached files. Internet communications are not secure, therefore Fairfax Media does not accept legal responsibility for the contents of this message or attached files.

-
All mail is subject to content scanning for possible violation of NSW Police Force policy, including the Email and Internet Policy and Guidelines. All NSW Police Force employees are required to familiarise themselves with these policies, available on the NSW Police Force Intranet.