### REVIEW OF AN UNSOLVED HOMICIDE CASE SCREENING FORM

You have been selected to undertake a review of an unsolved homicide. The review should be conducted in accordance with this 'Case Screening Form'. Once completed, the Case Screening Form will be forwarded to the Coordinator, Unsolved Homicide Review Team, Homicide Squad, State Crime Command. Your assessment of the available evidence and recommendation is necessary to assist in determining opportunities for re-investigation

## 1. Location of Brief of Evidence.

The original investigation documents must be located. The current location of these documents may depend upon the date of the murder you are reviewing and who conducted the inquiry. If the investigation is relatively recent, it may still be stored at the Local Area Command, which had carriage of the matter. Inquiries which were conducted by the former Major Crime Squads and investigations that are considerably more historical, will most likely be located at the Corporate Archives Records Repository, Bridge St, Stanmore, Ph: 95198189.

A manual inspection of the investigation records must be conducted as experience has shown that in older cases, exhibits are sometimes stored in the boxes along with the documents.

Many investigations will be voluminous and the transport of the entire investigation to an LAC office may not be viable. Facilities exist at the Archives for the documents to be inspected and an inventory conducted. Depending on the type of investigation management used during the inquiry, the investigation may be reviewed electronically. All former TIMS inquiries located by the Investigative Systems Support Unit have been converted into E@gle.i investigations. Secondary investigations into the same murder may also be recorded on E@gle.i. To obtain 'read only' access to the investigation contact should be made with the SSU on E/N 53909.

Name:William DUTFIELDGenderMale.D.OB $21^{st}$  of May 1950Relative contact (if known)Robert DUTFIELD.

Victim:

(

Homicide Details:

Time & Date	Approx. 10:0pm on 19 November 1991
Location:	Spit Road, Mosman

2

2

COPS Event/P40 No.	E 904029103865	Attached	Y/N	
Previous Investigation Name: (Strike Force/Task Force/Operation)		SF LINCOLN reinvestigated the matter in 1998.		
Command in charge:				

### 2. <u>Cause of death</u>

If the Post Mortem results are not contained within the investigation documents, contact may be made with the relevant Coroner's Court. A request in writing with NSW Police letterhead must be forwarded to the Registrar of the court, outlining the information required.

Y	Location:	Some retained at DAL
Y/N		1

## 3. Investigation Records

(

Investigation Records relate not only to the statements taken from witnesses etc; but to all documents generated during the investigation. For investigations conducted prior to 1990 the investigation will be recorded by way of running sheets typed on occurrence pad forms. From about 1990 onwards, the TIMS system of investigation management was used. In 1999 the conversion to <u>E@gle.i</u> began.

nvestigation Records Located:	Y	

During the 1960's, 1970's and early 1980's, 'Investigation Resumes' were formerly completed by the Officer in charge of a homicide investigation that was not solved. It was a summary of the investigation, highlighting suspects, significant inquiries and evidence and was forwarded to the Superintendent of the Criminal Investigation Branch.

Investigation Resume:	Y	
-----------------------	---	--

3

Comment: With archive files- REPO/B/2004/3810 Limited detail after initial one.

1 8

(

۰,

Progress Reports have been used by the former Crime Agencies since 1997 and the State Crime Command since 2002. They are a monthly report submitted by the officer in charge of an investigation, detailing the progress of the inquiry and significant events. Copies are maintained by Operations Co-ordination, State Crime Command.

Progress Reports:	Y/N:
Comment:	

Post Operational Assessments are the current replacement for an Investigation Resume. They are submitted by the Officer in Charge of the investigation to Operations Co-ordination, State Crime Command, at the completion of an investigation.

Post Operational Assessment:	Y/N:	
Comment:		

### 4. <u>Coroner's Inquest</u>

Details of Coroner's Inquests, including Coroner's Findings, may be obtained from the Registrar of the relevant Coroner's Court by submitting a request in writing with NSW Police letterhead.

Coroner's Inquest Held:		Y	Date: 12/12/94
Court: Glebe		Coroner: J. ABERNETHY	
Inquest Brief Located	Y		
			it Road Mosman in the state of New South person or persons unknown."
File available through GI	ebe Cor	oners Court- RE	F: 2426/91

## 5. Original Case Officer

٠.

C

(

т. "**т** 

Attempts should be made to locate and interview the original Case Officer even if they are no longer employed by the NSW Police Department. In the event that they are not located, another senior investigator from the inquiry should be approached. A list of investigators significantly involved in the investigation should be compiled.

OIC Name:	Det. S/Se O'TOOL	gt. Dennis E	Interviewed:	Ν
Serving Member:	N	Location:		
Comment: R	letired	1		

Other Investigators:

Name:	Current Location:
Det. Sgt. I. NICHOLSON	
Det. S/Cst K. DOWDING	
Det. S/Cst J. KING	
Det. S/Cst M. SLOT	
Det. S/Cst M. FABRIS	
Det. S/Cst G. VICARY	
Det. S/Cst H. GUMLEY	DECEASED
Det. S/Cst D. MINEHAN	
Det. Sgt. R. GOYMOUR	
P.C. Cst D. WAKELING	
Det. Sgt. Mark ROBINSON	Re-investigated the murder as part of SF LINCOLN.
	Presently at Byron Bay Detectives office. Believes that NP63 and/or associate involved in DUTFIELD murder.

## 6. Weapons

The Ballistics Unit, Sydney Police Centre has a large store of firearms, ballistic material and exhibits with ballistic damage held in their office from historical murders. For other weapons see point 7.

Туре:	Sellotape dispenser				
Recovered:	Y:	Location: With brief items			
Further Examination	Y- possit	Y- possible with new tests. To be considered.			

Action:	Nil result with fingerprints at the time. Offender had wiped it down	
Comment: V	/ith archive files- REPO/B/2004/3810	

۰,

' <sub>1</sub>1

C

(

## 7. <u>Exhibits</u>

• •

5

The original Exhibit Book is obviously the best place to begin to track the movement and location of exhibits. Many homicide exhibits however were never entered into Station exhibit books as they were recovered from crime scenes by Crime Scene Officers and entered into Registers at the particular office at which the Officer was attached. From there, many were forwarded to DAL and other experts. Inquiries have revealed that there is still a large store of exhibits held at the CSU Satellite Offices. DAL are in possession of thousands of samples taken from exhibits for the period 1986–1997. These samples were not analysed and a DNA sample placed on the data-base. From 1997, all DNA profiles obtained from samples were placed on the database.

Attempts should be made to locate all exhibits and determine what examinations have already taken place. The table below should be completed.

Exhibits located			
Exhibit	Location (exhibit No.)	Previous Examinations	Status
Tissue Box	A297175		
Cask Wine	A297175	Nil result. Victim print only	
2 x beer cans	A297175		
Handset of telephone earpiece in lounge room		Fingerprint case 166651	
100 pipers whiskey bottle	A297158	Case no- 167016	F'prints of victim only
Grey wallet	As above	AA	
Metal tape dispenser	AA	AA	Examined in 2005 ref: 05/2452 at DA with only victim DN, id'd.
Wheel of dispenser	AA	AA	Examined in 2005 ref: 05/2452 at DA with only victim DN, id'd.
Side of ornamental phone		Fingerprint case 166513	
Cellophane from Cigarette packet		AA	F'print id'd in 1998: NP63 CNI:
1- Blood swab from possible murder weapon, sticky tape dispenser located in kitchen sink	D008015- Item 1: Box 1 (original ref: 91/1636)	Blood grouping	
2- Sample of head hair	As above (AA)		
3- Sample of pubic hair	AA		
4- Fingernails r. hand	AA		
5- Fingernails I. hand	AA		
6- blood for grouping	@ DAL		

C

· . . .

7- smears from deceased	Poss. @ DAL	
8- swab from deceased	Poss, @ DAL	
9- blood swab from side table b/n hall & lounge room	D008015- Item 1: Box 1 (original ref: 91/1636)	
10- blood swab from southern wall of lounge room	AA	
11- blood swab from louvre door into kitchen	AA	
12- blood swab from kitchen floor	AA	
13- blood swab from coffee table in lounge room	AA	
14- light blue shirt worn by the deceased	AA	
15- blue shorts worn by the deceased	AA	
16- pair of light brown socks worn by the deceased	AA	
17- pair of light brown shoes worn by the deceased	AA	
18- pair of underpants , white with black lines & colours, worn by the deceased	AA	
19- Citizen brand Wristwatch with black band worn by The deceased	AA	
20- light brown cloth Cover from head of Lounge chair in the Lounge room where the deceased Was found	AA	
21- light brown cloth Cover from head of Lounge chair in the Lounge room where the deceased Was found	AA	
22- light brown cloth handle cover from lounge chair in Lounge room where deceased was found	AA	

• •

C

(

۰.

23- light brown arm rest cover from	AA		
lounge chair 24- tea towel from kitchen floor nearby	AA		
deceased 25- light blue underpants from lounge room floor	AA		
26- cigarette butts from ashtray on table in lounge room	Samples are at DAL		At DAL as of 24/3/05 conveyed by HENDERSON Ref: 05/2452
27-?		Possible typo	
28- sample of liquid from drain trap of kitchen sink in unit 11/102 Spit Road, Mosman	Box 1 (original ref:		
29- ?		Possible typo	
30- tissue with blood stains from kitchen waste bin	D008015 item 2	Blood grouping. Blood NOT vic.	At DAL as of 24/3/05 conveyed by HENDERSON Ref: 05/2452
31- cigarette butt from waste bin in kitchen	Sample at DAL		At DAL as of 24/3/05 conveyed by HENDERSON Ref: 05/2452
32- ? possible a typo			
33- blood swab from kitchen floor area under tea towel nearby to where deceased was located	D008015- Item 1: Box 2 (original ref: 91/1636)		
34- portion of cloth rag collected from kitchen sink next to tape dispenser	AA		
35- dark coloured mens wig, located on the lounge room floor nearby the deceased	AA		
36- black cardigan located on the arm of the lounge suite against the eastern wall of the unit	At DAL- portion only		
1- red comb from shorts pocket	D008015- Item 1: Box 2 Original ref: A16705		
2- two jars with contents from glasses on coffee table	AA		

۰.

• •

C

(

3- ash tray & cigarette butts	AA	
4- wig from bedroom cupboard	AA	
5- note pad from side table in hallway		
Oropharyngeal swab	Item 3 D008015	
Superficial swab	Item 4 D008015	
Unknown swab	Item 5 D008015	

### 8. Fingerprints

(

There was no electronic fingerprint database in existence prior to 1986 when N.A.F.I.S. (National Automated Fingerprint Identification System) was established. All fingerprints were categorised and searched manually. When N.A.F.I.S. was established this enabled the capability of searching fingerprints electronically rather than by manual means. Palm prints for serious crime were back-captured on N.A.F.I.S. Fingerprints retrieved from homicide crime scenes were collected and filed in unsolved homicide files at the Fingerprint Latent Unit – Major Crime. All historical Fingerprint Major Crime Files are held with the Records Unit on Level 1, Tower B of Police Headquarters. (A list of these case files are in possession of the Commander, Latent Print Section, Fingerprint Operations, Criminal Identification Specialist Branch (C.I.S.B.)). Contact can be made with staff at the Major Crime Response Section on 27388 For cases occurring after 1986 results can be viewed through FCSR. In May 2001 N.A.F.I.S. was upgraded (N.A.F.I.S. 2) which allowed the capability of searching palm print impressions. It would appear that there are no archived records dating back to prior to 1971.

Fingerprint Case Number:	N166513/ N167206/ N166651		
Major Crime Reference Number:	M107		
Person Identified:	F'print id'd in 1998 NP63 CNI:		

## 9. Witnesses

. . .

(

# UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES ARE WITNESSES TO BE CONTACTED AS PART OF THE REVIEW PROCESS

Witnesses are not to be contacted during this process, as the relationship between witnesses and suspects is not always known. The relationship between them may have changed over time and there is the possibility that the suspect may be alerted to a renewed investigation.

Also, it will not be desirable to raise the hopes of relatives, secondary victim's etc; that their matter will be re-investigated when it may be the case that nothing further can be done.

Witness lists must be extensive however inquiries will only need to relate to witnesses who provide significant evidence. Inquiries regarding witnesses should be confined to COPS, Intelligence Reports, RTA, Electoral Roll, Registry of Births Deaths & Marriages etc.

Witness:	Location:	How Located:	Relevance
Arthur William ASHWORTH. 76 old.	The Garrison Retirement Village, Spit Road, Mosman		Last to see DUTFIELD alive.
159		COPS- E 13704088 And RTA.	Lived in <b>Constant</b> Spit Rd, Mosman, at time of incident. Heard argument then something falling in unit above her (victims unit). Seemed to be in kitchen. Around 10:30pm. (LA Law was on at the time)
158			Lived in Mosman, at Rd, Mosman, at time of incident Heard thud after 9:30pm, before 10pm from above.
I60 CNI: Dob:		RTA	Served ASHWORTH & DUTFIELD on Night of 19/11/91 Dec. left b/r 8:25pm and 8:45pm
I61		COPS & RTA	Worked at Mosmar cellars and served DUTFIELD jus before 7pm or 19/11/92
<u>163</u>		RTA	Co-borates I61 Male likely to be ASHWORTH wearing more clothes than usual
157	Mosman Spit Rd,	Last known add. on COPS	Provides info. abou 'Paul' (NFD) visiting DUTFIELD

### 10. Suspect/s

## UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES ARE SUSPECTS TO BE CONTACTED AS PART OF THE REVIEW PROCESS

Inquiries regarding the location and status of suspects should be confined to those listed above. Of particular importance to the Review is the fact whether suspects have been charged with other offences since the original investigation (particularly sexually related offences). If suspects are identified, a current profile is to be completed listing address, phone number, intelligence reports, marital status, associates, employment and any other relevant information. Checks should be confined to COPS, Intelligence Reports, RTA, Electoral Roll, Registry of Births Deaths & Marriages etc.

Suspect Identified:	N	Profile attached:	Y/N:
Name:	DOB:	CNI:	Status:

Comment: During the initial investigation and subsequent re-investigations (SF LINCOLN) at least twenty persons were looked at as persons of interest. In his statement made in 1994 Det. Sgt. O'TOOLE indicated that all persons nominated as suspects were eliminated at the time.

### 11. Synopsis:

A synopsis of your review should be completed detailing the circumstances of the murder, lines of inquiry and any known suspects.

Synopsis:

(

#### Profile:

William James DUTFIELD was born on the 21<sup>st</sup> of May 1950 at Crows Nest Hospital. He had five brothers. He grew up in Dee Why and went to school at Dee Why Primary then Manly Boys High. At 15 He left school to become an apprentice jockey for trainer Jack GREEN. He continued in this field until about 27 old.

His personality apparently began to change and he had limited contact with family. They knew of only one friend although he certainly had more. He was depressed and resorted to drinking alcohol. He stayed with a couple of different people over the years. On moving to Spit Rd, Mosman, he commented he wanted to meet other people and improve his

communication skills.

Overall he was a very private person. He kept his homosexuality to himself and did not disclose his 'after dark' activities even to those considered friends.

In October 1991 DUTFIELD was assaulted and robber in his home address. The matter was investigated at the time with no person of interest identified. Items were seized as exhibits however no fingerprints were developed at the time.

Details surrounding finding of the body:

11:03am on Wednesday 20 November 1991 the body of William James DUTFIELD was found lying face down on a lounge chair of his home unit at **Spit** Road, Mosman.

DUTFIELD was apparently dead suffering from massive wounds to the back of his head. At the post-mortem it appeared as though the victim received twelve blows to the head. A defensive wound appeared on his left palm along with bruising to the left elbow and upper arm.

His head was face down on a lounge chair with legs dangling on the floor. He was dressed in a blue short sleeved shirt, blue shorts, white short socks and shoes.

Body discovered by a long time friend and the unit owner Arthur William ASHWORTH, 76 old, of The Garrison Retirement Village, Spit Road, Mosman. He immediately contacted Police, who arrived shortly after.

### Examination of the scene by police, points of note:

- no foreign fingerprints identified within the unit
- heavy metal sticky-tape dispenser located in kitchen sink, bloodstained and apparently wiped down
- two glasses with liquid (thought to be scotch or similar) on table in lounge along with ashtray containing cigarette butts
- bottle of Scotch almost empty
- room virtually undisturbed with exception of slight rucking up of rugs on ground
- blood stained tissue in waste bin in kitchen (tested and found to be foreign blood)
- It was surmised that the deceased was seated facing his television and the offender has hit him from behind with the metal sticky tape dispenser. DUTFIELD was able to turn in an effort to defend himself before being killed. Defensive wounds are on his arms and hands.

#### Last movements:

It appears that the deceased was last seen alive between 8pm and 9pm on Tuesday 19<sup>th</sup> November 1991 after going out to dinner at the Mosquito Bar Restaurant, Mosman with ASHWORTH. No person was found who could identify DUTFIELD as being alive and anywhere other than at his unit after 9pm on 19th November 1991. ASHWORTH told Police prior to leaving the unit he cleaned up the glasses he and DUTFIELD had used and noticed the bottle of scotch to be about 1/3 full.

It would appear that DUTFIELD and the offender had a drink together prior the murder occurring as when located the bottle of scotch was close to empty and two glasses with liquid were on the table in the lounge where DUTFIELD was found deceased.

Time of death was estimated by the Govt. Medical Officer Dr Joseph DE FLOU as around 10pm to 11pm. This is supported by witnesses 158 and 159 They resided in units within the block and both heard a thud from the unit of DUTFIELD around 10pm. 159 unit was under DUTFIELD and she heard an argument preceding the thud.

Three lines of inquiry were looked at by the original investigating team:

- a) that the deceased contacted by telephone a male person to attend the unit (possibly a male prostitute)
- b) that a male person, known to the deceased, attended the unit after ASHWORTH had left
- c) that the deceased left the unit after ASHWORTH had gone home and shortly after returned home with a male person. This theory was considered unlikely due to the time limit involved between ASHWORTH leaving and the noises being heard by neighbours and the approximate time of death ventured by Dr DE FLOU.

ASHWORTH was excluded as a suspect early in the investigation due to age and physical condition.

A canvass was conducted with information forthcoming from persons recorded and

statements taken. As noted above witnesses recall hearing a thud from the deceaseds unit between 9:30pm and 10:30pm on 19/11/91. This time corresponds with the estimated time of death given by Dr DEFLOU.

Despite a number of canvasses and appeals for information very little interest was shown by the media and very little information came from the public.

All the phone numbers from the deceased's phone book followed up and persons excluded.

Places frequented by DUTFIELD attended by Police and canvassed.

A number of people were spoken to as persons of interest including:

**NP65** (CNI: CNI: A male prostitute. He was provided an alibi by his girlfriend (Linda LAWLESS, dob: A little vague as to activities on the night of the murder. Came to attention of investigators as he was spoken to by Police after a dispute with a taxi driver in Avenue Rd, Mosman, on 10/11/91. **NP65** was on way, allegedly, to a client but could not pay the fare. Due to his occupation and places frequented he was considered at the time. However he was not considered a suspect by the officer in charge Det. Sgt. O'TOOLE in his statement made in 1994.

**NP62** (CNI: )- a witness, 157 from unit , recalls DUTFIELD receiving visits from a male known only as 'Paul'. Inquiries through the "Bottoms Up' bar led to <u>NP62</u> being identified. In his statement, made in 1994, the officer in charge Det. Sgt. O'TOOLE indicates that through the investigation the 'Paul' mentioned by the witness was not identified so it would appear **NP62** was eliminated as the person who was visiting DUTFIELD.

POI nominated but not spoken to:

NP64 (CNI: CONE was nominated by Sue THOMPSON (now ex-member) as a person responsible for a number of assaults on members on the gay community. In 1985 he went before the Glebe Coroners Court in relation to a murder but it would appear this did not result in charges being laid and was an incident involving a group of people assaulting two others on the street. It would appear the <u>NP64</u> was one of many persons linked to assaults on homosexuals in the mid-1980's to early 1990's. On talking to THOMPSON I established <u>NP64</u> M.O. was to go home with the victim, drug them then rob them. He was never reported to have assaulted them nor committed offences on the street.

In his statement, made in 1994, the officer in charge Det. Sgt. O'TOOLE indicates that through the investigation a number of inquiries were made with local residents who were nominated as potential suspects but all these persons were eliminated at the time. A list is included amongst the case file items. The list contains details of twelve people but NO reason as to why they were considered suspects. This was also noticed by SF LINCOLN investigators in 1998 and they were unable to determine why the persons on the list were considered as suspects. I think from reviewing the material the persons were persons of interest as they were considered to have means, motive and opportunity. All were in some way linked to the gay community, whether by prostituting themselves (to support drug habits eg. **NP65**) or known to deliberately target homosexuals and assault them (eg. **NP64**). A list of all the persons of similar nature would be lengthy as evidence given at the inquest was that there were numerous persons committing offences against members of the homosexual community in the period DUTFIELD was murdered.

SF LINCOLN (1998) re-opened the investigation as it was believed this offence may have been linked to the ARKELL murder. The thought within the SF was that the murder of DUTFIELD was the early 'work' of a predatory killer who then progressed onto killing and dismembering victims. A review of the original fingerprint case was conducted in 1998 as part of SF LINCOLN and yielded a result. The fingerprints of <u>NP63</u> (CNI: were found on cellophane from a cigarette packet left at DUTFIELD's unit by the offender who assaulted and robbed him in October 1991. during the time SF LINCOLN was run. ARKELL was found to be an unrelated offence.

## Possibility offender same as from similar offence in Five Dock:

Informant willing to assist but remain anonymous: This informant was a victim in a violent robbery and most certainly would have been killed had Police not been notified and in attendance. Like DUTFIELD he had liaisons with males often bringing them home from pubs. The offender and victim had drinks and used a weapon of opportunity, a lamp stand, to assault the victim. The offender broke out of the house while Police tried to gain entry at the front. Forensic material and fingerprints were obtained from the crime scene and may be worthy of review and comparison to the DUTFIELD offence. No person identified to date for this offence. E 907729100062 relates. This incident was followed up without success as it was considered very similar to DUTFIELD but for Police intervention.

### Exhibits:

No foreign prints were found during the initial tests. Partials were located on the metal sticky tape dispenser and are being subjected to further tests in 2005.

Foreign blood was located on a tissue within the kitchen waste paper bin.

Evidence given at the inquest was that the entire unit had been wiped down. This was assumed as no prints were found, not even prints of the deceased, within the unit on known regular contact points. The unit was extensively examined for fingerprints. Prints located on the whiskey bottle were the victims.

Advice from DAL (2005) was the foreign blood from the tissue in the waste paper bucket was not from NP63 nor does it match any persons on the database as it currently stands. The cigarette butts yielded a profile matching the deceased's. Complicating the sampling of the cigarette butts is the low amount of material in them. This is likely to have occurred due the use of a plastic cigarette filter which hinders the transfer of saliva from the donor to the cigarette butt. DAL also indicated that NO foreign DNA was located on the metal sticky tape dispenser. A report for this is yet to come. DAL ref. FS05/2452.

### 12. <u>Recommendation</u>:

You must submit a recommendation on the potential for any further investigation, including the scope of any subsequent investigation eg; opportunity for DNA link, potential for Undercover Operative to be employed, witnesses to be reinterviewed due to change of circumstances. If you recommend that further investigation should take place, list the most appropriate contact person should be listed.

## **Recommendation:**

A re-investigation is warranted and the likelihood of a result exists. A reinvestigation would look at the following lines of inquiry:

Exhibits:

- 1- Further examinations on the cigarette butts and tissue paper sample utilizing present day techniques with view to narrowing the number of persons of interest. These are at DAL and Virginia FREEDMAN is in the process of examining them.
- Re-examination of the sticky tape dispenser. Presently at Westmead Laboratories subjected to re-examination by Michael BELL.
- 3- Review of fingerprints and other forensic material from the violent assault on Neville CLIFFORD. This attack very similar in nature to that on DUTFIELD however neighbours contacted Police who attended and no doubt prevented the murder of CLIFFORD. E 907729100062 relates. Det. O'TOOLE indicated in the inquest that no persons had been identified from this assault and fingerprint reviews were regularly conducted.
- 4- Submission of the cloth used to wipe down the sticky tape dispenser for DNA examination. On hand at Mosman long term exhibit storage within box 2 entered as item 1 on D008015.
- 5- Obtain elimination DNA sample from ASHWORTH. He appears to have been most regular visitor to DUTFIELD and may have used the tissue in days prior. He provided elimination prints but not DNA at the time of the initial investigation. The origin of the tissue has yet to be determined.

Offender profiling:

6 - profile of the offender by ILLINGSWORTH

Offender from the October assault:

- 7- SF LINCOLN, as mentioned, believed that NP63 and/or associate/s likely to be the offender in the murder. This theory was raised following the identification of NP63 fingerprint from the cellophane wrapping from the cigarette packet left at the scene of the October robbery. A strategy put forward by Det. Sgt. ROBINSON is the charging of NP63 for the robbery.
- 8- Review of <u>NP63</u> and his associates. Comparison with profile done by ILLINGSWORTH. <u>NP63</u> and/or associate of <u>NP63</u> are best place to start given the link to the earlier robbery on DUTFIELD.

Media:

9- Media appeal and offer of reward. This relies on possibility offender has divulged involvement in the offence to another person willing to come forward when prompted.

## **REVIEWER'S CERTIFICATION**

I certify that the review has been conducted thoroughly and I have accessed all available documents and exhibits.

Signature: Name: Desel HENDERSON Rank: DSC Date: 1-05-05-05-05

## **CO-ORDINATOR'S CERTIFICATION**

I certify that sufficient time and opportunity has been provided to the case reviewer to conduct the attached review. I am satisfied that the reviewer has accessed available documentation and agree with their recommendations.

AR SARREY. Signature: Name: Rank: Position; Date: