



NSW POLICE FORCE

P190A

Version 4.5 (05/2015)

STATEMENT OF A WITNESS

In the matter of:	Murder of Anthony CAWSEY
Place:	[REDACTED]
Date:	30 November 2015

Name:	Mathew PRICE
-------	--------------

STATES:

1. This statement made by me accurately sets out the evidence that I would be prepared, if necessary, to give in court as a witness. The statement is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I will be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything that I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.
2. I am 47 years old.
3. I have previously provided two statements for this investigation, dated 13th May 2010 and 14th May 2010 in relation to the business Mediatel Services Pty Ltd. I note in my statement dated 14th May 2010, that my name is incorrectly recorded as Mathew Prince, instead of Mathew Price. This statement is made in addition to my previous statements.
4. Mediatel take our communication services from a number of providers. Below is a list of the access numbers listed in paragraph 4 of my affidavit 13th May 2010 and the provider they are associated with :-

Telstra

1902221168

1902221170

1902221171

1902221172

1902221204

1902221206

1902221235

Witness:

Signature:

17/12/2015

Page 1 of 3

Statement of Mathew PRICE
In the matter of Murder of Anthony CAWSEY

1902222497
1902223201
1902223202
1902225133
1902225134
1902268170
1902229726
1902229727
1902229728
1902222331
1902261108
1902251901
1902251902
1902255796
1902255797
0282197750
0282197751

Verizon

0290049004
0290068400
0290066660 to 0290066666
0730161401 to 0730161498

Vocus Communications

0730161490

Pivotal

0407429429
0408429429
0447429429

Witness:  Signature: 
17/12/2015

Statement of Mathew PRICE
In the matter of Murder of Anthony CAWSEY

5. In my affidavit I have described the main options of the "virtual chat" service but there are some other features of the system. One option in the system is called "one to one". This works as follows :-
6. Rather than passing messages between each other, users in the system can request a "live" chat with other users. If the other party accepts the request the two users are connected together and can speak to each other directly. From their perspective it will be as if they have called each other and are having a normal phone conversation - but this is not the case. They are essentially conferenced or bridged together within the system. During the time that they are having a direct conversation they are still dialled into the virtual chat system and this will be reflected in their telephony records.
7. In other words during the time that a user is connected to the virtual chat system you will see only one call record irrespective of whether they are sending a message or live connected to other users. Direct conversations held within the virtual chat system will not be and cannot be reflected in a caller's telephone call records.
8. It is my opinion that if you are seeing other call records on a users telephone account suggesting that they have called another user directly after terminating a call to the virtual chat system then that is what has occurred. If I was asked to speculate but I would suggest that the users have exchanged phone numbers while communicating on the virtual chat system, have hung up and disconnected from the system and then one party has simply called the other party directly.

Witness: _____

Signature: _____

17/12/2015

Page 3 of 3