

NSW POLICE FORCE

P190B

STATEMENT OF POLICE

In the matter of:

Special Commission of Inquiry into LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

Place:

Homicide Squad - State Crime Command

Date:

9 June 2023

Name:

Nigel Warren

Tel. No:

Rank:

Detective Inspector

Station/Unit:

Homicide Squad

Witness:

Richard Howe Detective Inspector Homicide Squad 9 June 2023 Signature:

Detective Inspector Homicide Squad 9 June 2023

Statement of Detective Inspector Nigel

In the matter of Special Commission of Inquiry

LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

STATES:

1. This statement made by me accurately sets out the evidence that I would be prepared, if necessary, to give in court as a witness. The statement is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I will be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything that I know to be false, or do not believe to be true. In this statement, if there is any information I have obtained from a particular source, I set out the source of that information.

- 2. I am 50 years of age.
- 3. I make this statement in respect of the Request for Statement issued by the Special Commission of Inquiry into LGBTIQ Hate Crimes (Inquiry) on 12 May 2023 and updated on 26 May 2023, requesting information about:
 - a. the exhibit management practices at NSWPF over time and specifically in respect of 21 cases (Investigations) set out in an Annexure to the letter; and
 - b. investigative practices at NSWPF over time and specifically in respect of 16 cases (also Investigations), set out in another Annexure to the letter,
 - together, the Request for Statement. A copy of the Request for Statement is attached as 'Annexure 1'.
- 4. I have made a number of statements in response to requests from the Inquiry. In this statement, I address the Request for Statement:
 - a. Exhibit management annexure: in respect of the Investigations of Sheil, Williams-Lloyd and Raye. I understand another NSWPF member is addressing the balance of the request as it concerns exhibits;
 - b. Investigative steps annexure: in respect of all Investigations listed.

Witness:

Richard Howe Detective Inspector Homicide Squad 9 June 2023

Signature:

Nigel Warren Detective Inspector Homicide Squad 9 June 2023

of

In the Special Commission of Inquiry into matter of LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

- 5. The Request for Statement asks for a response to the following five questions, in respect of each Investigation:
 - a. Which NSWPF exhibit management policies and procedures were in operation at the time of the investigation when the act or omission occurred (for exhibits), or, when the matter of concern occurred (for investigative steps);
 - b. Whether the act or omission (for exhibits), or, whether the matter of concern (for investigative steps) was consistent or inconsistent with the applicable policies and procedures identified at (1) at the time of the investigation. If inconsistent, please identify which policies or procedures the act or omission (for exhibits), or, the matter of concern (for investigative steps) was inconsistent with;
 - c. Whether the NSWPF is of the view that the act or omission (for exhibits), or, the matter of concern (for investigative steps) was consistent or inconsistent with proper police practice as it was understood at the time of the investigation. If inconsistent, please explain how it was inconsistent;
 - d. Whether the NSWPF is of the view that the act or omission (for exhibits), or, the matter of concern (for investigative steps) was consistent or inconsistent with proper police practice as it is understood today. If inconsistent, please explain how the act or omission (for exhibits), or, the matter of concern (for investigative steps) is inconsistent. If your answer differs to (3), please explain how proper police practice has changed since the time of the act or omission (for exhibits), or, the matter of concern (for investigative steps);
 - e. Any NSWPF policies, procedures or practices that have changed since the time of the investigation to avoid or reduce the risk of a similar act or omission (for exhibits), or, the matter of concern (for investigative steps) occurring in a current investigation, together, the **Questions**, individually, **question 1**, **question 2**, **etc.**

6.	I observe at the outset that the Investig	gations concern deaths occurring between 1976 and
	1997, that is, approximately 20 years.	Further, the issue <u>s the Inquiry ha</u> s asked to be

Witness:		Signature:	
vviilless.		Signature.	
	Richard Howe	Detective Inspector Nigel Warren	
	Detective Inspector	Homicide Squad	
	Homicide Squad	9 June 2023	
	9 June 2023		

of

In the Special Commission of Inquiry into

matter of LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

addressed – whether 'acts or omissions' or 'matters of concern' – cover a range of topics, from the location of files or documents, to choices made by individual officers at the time of the original investigation including the seizure of materials as exhibits / evidence, which individuals to interview (whether as a suspect or something else), whether and to what extent crime scenes were secured and the timing of particular enquiries.

- 7. In the schedule regarding exhibits, the Inquiry has cross referred to its summonses, some correspondence between NSWPF and the Inquiry, and statements or submissions by NSWPF. In the schedule regarding the investigative steps, the only source documents that the Inquiry has referred to are either its submissions, or NSWPF submissions. Particularly in respect of the investigative steps, the Inquiry has not identified the documents which have led it to the conclusions expressed about 'matters of concern' throughout the schedule. This has made it difficult for me to comment on the 'matters of concern', because I am not sure what documents the Inquiry has reviewed that has led it to those conclusions.
- 8. Further, every investigation conducted by police is unique and responds to the circumstances of the investigation. I therefore consider that to be able to properly comment on the 'matters of concern', I would need to have access to detailed information in relation to the views and thought-processes of the investigator at the relevant time.
- 9. For completeness, I confirm that even though I have been at NSWPF since 1988 and have held the designation of Detective since 1995, I was not involved in any of the Investigations described in the Letter of Request.
- 10. My statement takes the following structure:
 - a. Part A: deals with the specific Investigations in the 'exhibits' annexure where the Inquiry has not actually asked about an exhibit;
 - b. Part B: deals with the 'investigative steps' annexure.
- 11. For both Parts A and B, it is relevant to note that many practices at NSWPF have changed dramatically since the Investigations. In particular, investigations are not managed through

Witness:	•	Signature:	
	Richard Howe		Detective Inspector Nigel Warren
	Detective Inspector		Homicide Squad
	Homicide Squad		9 June 2023
	9 June 2023		

of

In the Special Commission of Inquiry into

matter of LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

paper record keeping. I am aware that other statements have been prepared which identify some of the key case management developments and homicide developments and do not propose to duplicate that information in this statement. I set out below some of the key parts of the statements of DS Doherty and DI Sim:

- a. DS Doherty's 18 April 2023 statement answers a number of questions about the Homicide Squad. Relevantly:
 - i. At paragraph 17, DS Doherty provides examples of changes and improvements in how investigations into suspicious deaths are conducted. This includes references to developments and improvement of analogue devices, equipment, forensic technology, policing practices, the formation of a dedicated Unsolved Homicide Team, computer systems, and greater understanding of the diverse lines of inquiry available to NSWPF.
 - ii. From paragraph 20, DS Doherty sets out key developments between 1972 and 1987, from paragraph 25, developments between 1987 and 1997, from paragraph 32, developments between 1997 and 2002, and from paragraph 33, developments between 2002 and today.
- b. DI Sim's 19 May 2023 statement describes <u>E@gle.i</u> functionality, among other things. DI Sim describes <u>E@gle.i</u> as a 'robust information gathering system', suitable for managing the investigation of major crime (at paragraph 35). It was introduced to NSWPF around 2005 (paragraph 17). It creates permanent records of investigative steps, as well as housing operational assessments and status reports (at paragraphs 34.3 and 46).
- 12. At the time of signing this statement, I have been shown a zip file of electronic documents marked with electronic document IDs in the format 'NPL.XXXX.XXXX.XXXX'. Where I refer to a document in this statement, I refer to it by its document ID.

A. Exhibits

Witness:

Richard Howe
Detective Inspector Nigel Warren
Homicide Squad
9 June 2023

of

In the Special Commission of Inquiry into

matter of LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

13. In this section of my statement, I respond to the Questions in respect of the 'act or omissions' in respect of Mr Sheil, Mr Williams-Lloyd and Ms Raye, set out in the 'exhibits' schedule to the Letter of Request. In particular, I address the investigation files (respectively), and missing persons report the Inquiry has referred to as an 'exhibit' which are not exhibits.

- 14. In the course of preparing this statement I have reviewed NSWPF holdings to identify the relevant policies and procedures. In the 1970s up to the 1990s, NSWPF was governed by Police Rules and Instructions. These were later replaced by Commissioner's Instructions. I have identified the following policy and procedure documents, based on their dates:
 - a. Police Rules and Instructions: revisions dated 1962 (NPL.9000.0018.0001), 1977 (NPL.0100.0003.0683), 1988 / 1989 (NPL.9000.0018.0061).
 - Commissioner's Instructions 1990 (NPL.9000.0018.0251).
- 15. As I was not a member of NSWPF in the 1960s and 1970s, I am unable to say with certainty that I have identified the correct versions.

Question 1

- 16. My understanding is that investigation files were maintained by the individual Officer in Charge (**OIC**). Once completed, the investigation file should have been filed at the police station where the OIC was carrying out the investigation.
- 17. I am not aware based on my review of the records of a specific policy dealing with investigation files or missing persons reports from the relevant time, however, I note that the NSWPF Rules and Instructions for 1977 are the prevailing policy documents.

Questions 2 - 5

18. I am unable in the absence of further information about the investigation files and missing persons reports to comment on whether or not their treatment was in keeping with NSWPF policies and procedures or standard practice. I observe that there has been a number of advancements in the investigation of missing persons and the preparation and handling of

Witness:		Signature:	
	Richard Howe	Detective Inspector Nigel W	arren
	Detective Inspector	Homigide Squad	
	Homicide Squad	9 June 2023	
	9 June 2023		

of

In the Special Commission of Inquiry into

matter of LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

missing persons reports which I believe has been detailed in the Statement of Glen Browne dated 22 May 2023.

19. I observe that today, the retention of NSWPF records is dealt with by DA0221, a copy of which is available at NPL.9000.0018.0469.

B. Investigative steps

20. As identified above, the 'matters of concern' cover a variety of issues over a 20 year period, where that period commenced almost 50 years ago. I was not involved in the Investigations and it is often not clear to me (for the reasons expressed above) how the Inquiry has come to the view that the 'matter of concern' occurred or what the circumstances surrounding it were. With those matters in mind, I respond to the Questions to the best of my ability below.

Question 1

- 21. How an investigator approaches a homicide investigation will vary greatly from case to case and depends on the circumstances of the individual crime.
- 22. I have endeavoured to identify the relevant NSWPF policy or procedure in place at the time of the relevant investigation. However, for the reasons identified above, it is difficult to say with certainty whether these are the correct versions of the policies or procedures or whether there might have been other documents in existence at the time that I have not been able to locate.
- 23. I have identified the policy and procedure documents applicable in the 1970s 1990s above in paragraph 14.
- 24. I have set out in the table annexed to this statement which of the above policy or procedure documents I expect would have applied at the time of the investigation.

Questions 2 - 5

25.	. I have identified above the	difficulty I have	experienced in	n identifying t	the basis fo	or the
	Inquiry's stated 'matter of co	oncern' through	nout the Sched	lule		

	quiii y sociale a matter e comes.	The amount of the control of the con	
Witness:		Signature:	
	Richard Howe		Detective Inspector Nigel Warren
	Detective Inspector		Homicide Squad
	Homicide Squad		9 June 2023
	9 June 2023		

of

In the Special Commission of Inquiry into

matter of LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

- 26. Generally, it is difficult to respond to questions 2 5 in the absence of that specific information, and without any information as to the state of knowledge of the investigating officer at the time. There are also individual reasons that the questions are difficult to respond to:
 - a. Question 2 calls for an analysis of the stated 'matter of concern' and for a view to be expressed about whether it was consistent or inconsistent with NSWPF policies or procedures at the time of the investigation. The policy and procedure documents that I have identified are not prescriptive about how an investigation into a suspicious death should be carried out. See, for example, the 1962 Instruction at instruction 18, paragraph 18. The instruction provides in part that 'It is impossible beyond general principles to define detective duty, which must necessarily be left in very great measure to individual experience and discretion....
 - b. It is logical to me that the policy and procedure documents would not be prescriptive about the investigation of a suspicious death. The circumstances of criminal conduct are extremely varied, and the investigative steps that may or may not be taken are also varied, for good reason. That said, in my experience as an investigator, having an open mind when approaching the scene where a death of a person has occurred is an important step in determining whether or not a death is suspicious (together with other decision-making steps including the collection and interpretation of information). In my experience, investigators are provided with the best opportunity to establish the circumstances of death accurately where they carefully consider the investigative response, forensic considerations, record keeping, information management, staffing, resourcing and communication. These steps are not so much taken in accordance with particular polices or procedures but in accordance with on-the-job training and experience.
 - c. Question 3 calls for an analysis of the stated 'matter of concern' as against police practice at the time of the investigation. The concept of "police practice" seems to me to be broader than simply a reflection of the policy in place. In order to answer that question, I would need to have a comprehensive understanding (and

Witness:		Signature:	
	Richard Howe	Detective Inspector Nigel Warren	-
	Detective Inspector	Homicide Squad	
	Homicide Squad	9 June 2023	
	9 June 2023		

of

In the Special Commission of Inquiry into

matter of LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

recollection) of what "police practice" was understood to be at the relevant time. In view of the above difficulties in relation to the individual nature of the investigations, as well as the dates of the relevant investigation (being in most cases before I joined the NSWPF, and in every case before I developed experience working as a detective), I am not able to answer that question. Given the length of time between the deaths in question and today and the range of matters identified by the Inquiry, I do not consider anybody at NSWPF today is able to comprehensively respond to this question.

- d. Questions 4 and 5 call for an analysis of the stated 'matter of concern' as against police practice today, and, identification of policies, procedures and practices that have changed since the time of the investigation. As I have identified above, there have been a number of advancements in police investigative practices and the tools available to assist police to carry out their work. It is extremely difficult to assess how the OIC of an investigation 50 years ago might have approached matters differently if they had access to the same resources that exist today. I observe that the advent of electronic information management and record keeping provides greater oversight when reviewing investigative steps taken in an investigation and clear records of what has and has not been considered.
- e. Due to the absence of specific information and to enable me to respond to the Letter of Request to the best of my ability, I have reviewed the documents included in the Inquiry's tender bundle for matters where those tender bundles have been published on the Inquiry's website.

Questions 2 - 3

- 27. As noted above, I have endeavoured to respond to questions 2 and 3 by reference to the Inquiry's Schedule, and, tender bundles (where available online).
- 28. Unfortunately, having undertaken this detailed consideration to the best of my ability in the time available, I remain unable to comment on whether or not the stated 'matter of concern' was consistent or inconsistent with policies or procedures, or, police practice at

Witness:

Richard Howe
Detective Inspector Nigel Warren
Homicide Squad
9 June 2023

of

In the Special Commission of Inquiry into

matter of LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

the time. This is in part because the policy and procedure documents do not detail in a prescriptive way the specific steps that an investigator should take when considering a death. I consider it sensible that the policy documents do not do that, given the variability involved in investigating a death. However, this does make it difficult to respond to question 2.

29. Regarding question 3, I am unable to answer this for the reasons set out in paragraph 26.c above. Further, I am unable to say to what extent police practice at the time of the Investigation was or was not consistent with the stated 'matters of concern' in the Inquiry's Schedule, because I do not have experience across the entire timeframe noted by the Inquiry. However, even where my experience at NSWPF does overlap with the time of the Investigations, I remain unable to comment on whether or not the 'matter of concern' is consistent or otherwise with police practice at the time, because it is not clear to me (assuming that the 'matters of concern' are correct) why particular steps were or were not taken. I consider that if it can be established that a 'matter of concern' occurred as stated by the Inquiry, the *reason* that matter of concern occurred is still an essential piece of information when assessing the matter.

Questions 4 and 5

- 30. Many changes have occurred in the investigation of a suspicious death. However, for completeness, I confirm that in my experience (in particular from my designation as a detective in 1995 onwards) the general *structure* that is used to approach investigation of a suspicious death has not changed dramatically. This includes the matters I have identified at paragraph 26.b above. It also includes the following general practice has occurred throughout my time at NSWPF, from the perspective of the OIC of the investigation:
 - a. take command and control of the scene;
 - b. briefing of the OIC to the extent they were not the first at the scene, to understand the nature of the incident and to coordinate:

i. crime scene response

Witness:

Richard Howe
Detective Inspector Nigel Warren
Homicide Squad
9 June 2023

of

In the Special Commission of Inquiry into matter of LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

- ii. canvassing of the area for witnesses or information
- iii. any searching in the area for items or secondary crime scenes;
- c. retrieval of information from witnesses;
- d. coordinate a liaison with next of kin;
- e. coordinate and manage suspects; and
- f. establish information management processes and systems.
- 31. The tools available to NSWPF, however, when undertaking the above steps, have changed in very significant ways. Noting that DS Doherty's statement identifies changes in homicide investigation over a large period of time, I set out below a high level set of changes over the last 20 years which I am aware of and which may mean (assuming for the purposes of this statement that the 'matters of concern' identified by the Inquiry did, in fact, occur) that the same 'matter of concern' would not be likely occur in the context of a similar investigation undertaken today:
 - a. Crime scene: there have been vast improvements in technology available to use at crime scenes. This includes digital cameras and videos that provide very detailed records of the crime scene. The technology is now readily and widely available. Other technological advances include the use of drone, mapping and other software to provide interactive imagery and visual walkthrough of the crime scene. Chemical and lighting enhancements which can help to identify latent evidence. Further, there is the ability to obtain DNA from even small samples located at crime scenes. DNA advances include both mitochondrial and familial DNA identification.
 - b. Canvassing: the wide use of CCTV and other video devices around crime scenes (tolls, traffic cameras and other publicly available video networks) all improve the ability of NSWPF to canvass areas in ways that were not previously available. This capability assists not only in assessing the circumstances of the relevant crime but also in identifying potential eye-witnesses or offenders.

Witness:

Richard Howe
Detective Inspector
Homicide Squad
9 June 2023

Signature:
Detective Inspector Nigel Warren
Homicide Squad
9 June 2023

of

In the Special Commission of Inquiry into matter of LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

- c. Searching: improved training and practices used to search large areas, including support from specialist police, including ground penetrating radar, line searching and technology to plot areas very accurately with GPS for record keeping.
- d. Technology: both the ability to retrieve information from electronic devices and open source information including social media and the internet are notable developments. Body worn video is another piece of technology providing contemporaneous video recordings of interactions between police officers and other persons, whether at crime scenes or other situations. This provides records of accurate conversation and assists in obtaining a more accurate insight into decision making by police officers.
- e. Access to information: access to mobile devices including tablets while on location for recording information as well as accessing legislation, operational procedures and intelligence information has vastly improved. This ensures accuracy of information being relied on by NSWPF members and assists with ensuring they can be confident in decision making (as they are able to easily check the resources). They also have access to online databases and information management systems including E@gle.i, NSW Police Handbook, access to law sites and educational material regarding policing practices and procedures.
- f. Improved training: this is in a variety of forms, including face to face learning via courses (e.g. leadership, detective training), and training focussing on new and updated legislation and changes to police powers.
- g. Victimology: continues to be a consideration, which has evolved over time, with investigators placing more importance on why an individual has been a victim of crime, and determining any relationship between the victim and the offender.
- 32. As has been noted in respect of DS Doherty's statement at paragraph 11.a.i and 11.a.ii above, the way in which the above steps are approached by police today is very different to how they have been approached in the past.

Witness:

Richard Howe
Detective Inspector
Homicide Squad
9 June 2023

Signature:

Detective Inspector Nigel Warren
Homicide Squad
9 June 2023

of

In the Special Commission of Inquiry into matter of LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

- 33. If I was to assume that the 'matters of concern' did occur as stated by the Inquiry, I would still need to have access to further details from the investigating officer as to why particular steps were or were not taken in order to properly assess the adequacy or otherwise of the particular investigative step. In the absence of that information, it is very difficult to comment on whether or not the 'matter of concern' would be in accordance with policy, procedure or practice today; and, what advancements in policing make the 'matter of concern' less likely to occur today, even if I assume that the 'matter of concern' occurred. With that in mind, I consider I am able to comment on the following 'matters of concern' on the assumption that the Inquiry has correctly identified those matters:
 - a. William Allen: I would expect that today, given the likely greater focus on victimology that is present at NSWPF today as compared to 1988, that the tapes described are likely to have been considered by police, however, whether or not they would have been collected as exhibits, I am unable to say.
 - b. Andrew Currie: I would expect that today, given the advances in information management, record keeping and supervision, via the COPS System, EFIMS and <u>E@gle.i</u>, would provide and record the actions taken or not taken, and be easily accessed and monitored. I am unable to comment on the absence of statements or considerations as to whether or not the area was a beat in the absence of further information of the type considered above.
 - c. William Dutfield: I would expect that today, obtaining DNA would be carefully considered by investigating officers. I am unable to comment on whether or not obtaining DNA was considered, though I observe that Mr Dutfield died in 1988, prior to DNA testing being available to NSW Police. I observe that familial DNA was eventually obtained, when UHT was formed, which identified the DNA profile. I am unable to comment on the stated 'early dismissal' without further information than is currently available to me.

d.	Crispin Dye: I would expect that today, given the advances in information
	management, record keeping and supervision via COPS System, E@gle.i and

	management, recent toop.	.g and capernoism	<u> </u>
Witness:		Signature:	
	Richard Howe		Detective Inspector Nigel Warren
	Detective Inspector		Homicide Squad
	Homicide Squad		9 June 2023
	9 June 2023		

In the Special Commission of Inquiry into matter of LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

EFIMS, that records of exhibits would be recorded and easily accessed. I am unable to comment on the other matters noted by the Inquiry in the absence of additional information.

- e. Barry Jones: in the absence of information about the interview of the lead suspect (for example, if they were a minor), including the circumstances surrounding that interview, and information as to whether he would have been prepared to consent to an interview in the absence of his parents, I am unable to comment on this matter.
- f. Robert Malcolm: I would expect that today, given the advances in information management, record keeping via E@gle.i, COPS System and supervision that records of police attempts to confirm or disprove alibis would be recorded and easily accessible.
- g. James Meek: I would expect that today, given the advances in information management system, record keeping and supervision via E@gle.i, COPS System and EFIMS that information about the extent of the crime scene would be recorded and easily accessible. Without information from the investigating officer as to the nature and extent of the area considered to be the crime scene, I am unable to comment on the decisions made about the collection of particular exhibits.
- h. Scott Miller: I would expect that today, given the advances in information management, record keeping and supervision via E@gle.i, COPS System that information about which individuals to interview would be recorded and easily accessible. Without information from the investigating officer (or someone else with appropriate knowledge), I am unable to comment on whether decisions were taken to interview particular individuals and the appropriateness of any such decisions.
- i. Russell Payne: I would expect that today, given the advances in information management, record keeping and supervision via <u>E@gle.i</u>, COPS System, EFIMS and supervision that information about the extent of the crime scene and collection of exhibits would be recorded and easily accessible. I would also expect that today,

	given the likely greater foo	tus on victimology that is present at NSWPF today as	
Witness:		Signature:	
	Richard Howe Detective Inspector Homicide Squad 9 June 2023	Detective Inspector Nigel Warren Homicide Squad 9 June 2023	

of

In the Special Commission of Inquiry into matter of LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

compared to 1989, that the photographs may have been taken into account by investigating officers, though whether or not they would have been retained as exhibits, I am unable to say in the absence of further information that would enable me to properly assess the potential relevance of the photographs. Without information from the investigating officer, I am unable to comment on whether or not photographs were or should have been collected as evidence.

- j. Paul Rath: I would expect that today, noting the substantial difference in the approach to DNA analysis between 1977 and today, that if police considered a death to be suspicious that the clothing of the deceased would have been retained by police, recorded on EFIMS, COPS System and E@gle.i, provided to FASS for scientific examination. I observe that documents in the tender bundle identify that investigating police (and the Coroner) considered the death to be not suspicious. I am unable to comment on the information available to me, the extent to which searches or canvassing was undertaken or statements collected. I would expect that today, given the advances in information management and record keeping and supervision via E@gle.i and COPS System, that further information would be able to be recorded and easily accessible. I am unable to comment on the balance of the matters in the absence of further information.
- k. Samantha Raye: I would expect that today, given the advances in information management, record keeping and supervision via E@gle.i, and the COPS System, that further information about witness statements and the conduct of investigations would be able to be recorded and easily accessible. I observe that documents in the tender bundle identify that investigating police (and later the Coroner) considered the death to be not suspicious. I am unable to comment on the balance of the matters
- I. William Rooney: I would expect that today, given the advances in information management, record keeping and supervision via E@gle.i, COPS System and EFIMS that information about the initial views of investigating officers would be able to-be-recorded and easily accessible. I expect that detail of the crime scene

Witness:		Signature:	
	Richard Howe		Detegrive inspector Nigel Warren
	Detective Inspector		Homicide Squad
	Homicide Squad		9 June 2023
	9 June 2023		

of

In the Special Commission of Inquiry into

matter of LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

(including when / how it was secured) would also be recorded and accessible. I would also expect that consideration as to whether or not a sexual assault examination would be required would be recorded and accessible, as would the results of any examination.

- m. Peter Sheil: I would expect that today, given the advances in information management, record keeping and supervision via E@gle.i, and COPS System that records of investigation lines of enquiry, records and statements would be able to be recorded and easily accessible. I am unable to comment on the remaining matters in the absence of information, though note that the Coroner dispensed with an Inquest in the case, which suggests that the death was not regarded by the Coroner as suspicious.
- n. Michael [Mark] Stewart: I would expect that today, given the advances in information management, record keeping and supervision via <u>E@gle.i</u>, COPS System, and EFIMS that records of photographs and other documents would be recorded and easily accessible. I note the comments I have made above about the advances in canvassing approaches.
- o. [Simon] Blair Wark: I would expect that today, given the advances in information management, record keeping and supervision via <u>E@gle.i</u>, COPS System, Intelligence officers and reports, that records of police attendance at particular locations would be recorded and easily accessible. I am unable to comment on the remaining matters in the absence of further information.
- p. Ross Warren: I would expect that today, given the advances of information management, record keeping and supervision via <u>E@gle.i</u>, COPS System that records of police steps would be recorded and easily accessible.

Witness:

Richard Howe Detective Inspector Homicide Squad 9 June 2023 Signature:

Detective Inspector Nigel Warren Homicide Squad 9 June 2023

Statement of Detective Inspector Nigel Warren

In the matter of

Special Commission of Inquiry

into

LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

Schedule

No.	Name and date of death	Applicable policy / procedure
1.	William Allen	1989 Instruction
	(28 – 29 December 1988)	
2.	Andrew Currie	1989 Instruction
	(12 or 13 December 1988)	
3.	William Dutfield	1989 Instruction
	(19 November 1991)	
4.	Crispin Dye	1990 Instruction
	(26 December 1993)	
5.	Barry Jones	1962 Instruction
	(26 September 1976)	
6.	Robert Malcolm	1990 Instruction
	(29 January 1992)	
7.	James Meek	1990 Instruction
	(7 March 1995)	
8.	Scott Miller	1990 Instruction
	(2 March 1997)	
9.	Russell Payne	1989 Instruction
	(on or around 31 January 1989)	
10.	Paul Rath	1962 Instruction OR 1977 Instruction
	(15 or 16 June 1977)	
11.	Samantha Raye	1989 Instruction
	(12 March 1989 – 20 March 1989)	
12.	William Rooney	1977 Instruction
	(20 February 1986)	
13.	Peter Sheil	1977 Instruction
	(between 8:00pm on 27 April 1983 and 10:00am on	
	29 April 1983)	
14.	[Mark] Stewart	1962 Instruction
	(10 – 11 May 1976)	
	[I note the Inquiry has referred to "Michael Stewart"	
	rather than Mark Stewart]	
15.	[Simon] Blair Wark	1989 Instruction OR 1990 Instruction

Witness:

Richard Howe Detective Inspector Homicide Squad 9 June 2023

Signature:

Nigel Warren
Detective Inspector
Hømicide Squad
9 June 2023

of

In the Special Commission of Inquiry into

matter of LGBTIQ Hate Crimes

No.	Name and date of death	Applicable policy / procedure
	(9 – 10 January 1990)	
	(I note the Inquiry has referred to "Blair Wark" rather	
	than Simon Blair Wark)	
16.	Ross Warren	1989 Instruction
	(last seen 22 July 1989)	

Witness:

Richard Howe Detective Inspector Homicide Squad 9 June 2023 Signature:

Detective Inspector Nigel Warren Homicide Squad 9 June 2023