# 2022 Special Commission of Inquiry into LGBTIQ hate crimes 

Before: The Commissioner, The Honourable Justice John Sackar

At Leve1 2, 121 Macquarie Street, Sydney, New South Wales

On Friday, 2 June 2023 at 10.07am (Day 59)

Ms Christine Melis (Counsel Assisting)<br>Ms Aleksandra Jez

A1so Present:
Mr Mathew Short with Mr Aurhett Barrie for NSW Police

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
MS MELIS: Commissioner, I appear to assist you.
MR SHORT: Commissioner, Short, initial M, for the Commissioner of Police.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, Mr Short.
Yes?
MS MELIS: Commissioner, this is public hearing 8 into the death of Samantha Rose. Commissioner, I'll now hand up two volumes of material, together with Counsel Assisting's written submissions dated 2 June 2023 and some proposed orders.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MS MELIS: For the record, Commissioner, the material is three volumes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you.
MS MELIS: Commissioner, there is just one small typographical error I need to address in the submissions.

THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
MS MELIS: At paragraph 143 in the first sentence, there is a reference to "Ms Rose" there, when, in fact, it should read "Ms Durward".

THE COMMISSIONER: Is it the first line?
MS MELIS: The first line, correct.
THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Just tell me again who it should be.

MS MELIS: Ms Durward. So it should read:
The evidence also suggests that Ms Durward arrived at work...

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Just let me have a look at the redactions and the orders.

They are agreed, Mr Short, I presume?
MR SHORT: They are, Commissioner, yes.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much.
Yes, I have made those orders. Thank you very much.
MS MELIS: May it please the Inquiry.
Commissioner, Samantha Rose was a transgender woman. She was given the name David at birth. So far as the Inquiry has been able to ascertain, she identified as female. She often wore female clothing to work and was open with others regarding her gender identity. She sometimes used the name Samantha including in correspondence with friends and when she volunteered at radio stations.

At the time of her death, she was undergoing hormone replacement therapy as part of her affirmation of her gender. Accordingly, the Inquiry will refer to Samantha Rose consistently with her own preference.

Ms Rose had one younger brother, Ian Rose, and was in regular contact with her parents, Bertha and Max Rose. She worked at Westpac Bank in Sydney as a systems programmer and volunteered at radio stations 2 RPH and 2 SER as a presenter, reading newspaper articles.

On 7 October 1997, Ms Rose commenced hormonal treatment prescribed by Dr Alfred Steinbeck, a medical practitioner and endocrinologist. Ms Rose told Dr Steinbeck that she had never had a sexual relationship with a male or female, and, further, that she was not attracted to men and was only attracted to women as friends.

Ms Rose's mother, Bertha, said in her police statement that, at 21 years old, Ms Rose approached her and said that she should have been a girl and that she would feel more comfortable as a girl.

Ms Rose was found deceased just before Christmas on 22 December 1997 at her home in the suburb of Kensington in Sydney. She died some time after 10am on 20 December 1997.

She was 41 years old.
I will now describe the circumstances of Ms Rose's death. In doing so, Commissioner, it is convenient to show a sketch plan of the crime scene that was produced by crime scene officers who found Ms Rose. I now show that crime scene sketch [SCOI.00041.0026_0001].

As can be observed, Ms Rose was found lying on her back in the kitchen area of her apartment. Her arms were outstretched from her body as were her legs. An unopened can of plums, one side of which was dented, was located between her legs. She had sustained severe head injuries, consistent with heavy blows to the head with a blunt object. Maggots were observed around her nose and mouth.

As can be seen from the sketch plan, Ms Rose's apartment was in a state of disarray. Her furniture and personal items, including bangles, glasses and earrings, were scattered throughout the unit. Two plastic breast inserts were located, one in the hallway and the other in the lounge room. The television was lying face down in the lounge room. Ms Rose's telephone was on the bench with the handpiece hanging to the floor. The answering machine was upside-down on the floor in the hallway. A set of keys were located in the bedroom.

Officers of the NSW Police gained entry to the unit through the kitchen window using a ladder. The front door was locked from the inside by way of two security locks and could not be opened without keys. There was no evidence of forced entry.

The findings of the post-mortem recorded a pattern of injuries that was strongly suggestive of an assault. The direct cause of death was recorded as: head injury. No-one has ever been charged with Ms Rose's murder. Her death has not been reinvestigated by police since the coronial inquest into her death concluded in 1999.

One key person of interest emerged from the original police investigation. Her name is Sandra Durward. Ms Durward died on 12 March 2006. She and Ms Rose met at some stage between 1986 and 1987, whilst volunteering at radio station 2 RPH. They subsequently became friends and Ms Rose allowed Ms Durward to stay with her in her unit in Kensington from time to time.

Ms Durward was 42 years old at the time of Ms Rose's death and worked as a sex worker at brothels Final Touch Relaxation Centre and Bare Bunnies. Ms Durward had substance abuse issues and no stable accommodation. There is evidence of her drinking almost every day and some documented instances of aggression and violent outbursts in the lead-up to Ms Rose's death.

Her sister, Fiona Feary, said that Ms Durward had an alcohol and heroin addiction.

Ms Rose's death went to inquest in 1999 with Ms Durward as the primary person of interest. The Coroner heard extensive evidence from Ms Durward as well as other witnesses.

Coroner Abernethy all but excluded Ms Durward as the person responsible for Ms Rose's death stating:

There is thus some suspicion about
Ms Durward, largely because of some of the
peculiar things she said to those around
her after the death, but there is
absolutely nothing to link her to the
homicide of David Rose, other than a vague suspicion.

In his findings on 18 November 1999, the Coroner found Ms Rose died on 20 December 1997 at Kensington due to head injuries inflicted by a person or persons unknown.

The Inquiry has reviewed all the evidence and, despite the Coroner's findings in respect of her, it is submitted that Sandra Durward remains the primary person of interest in Ms Rose's death.

I will return to Ms Durward and the evidence against her - in particular, the peculiar things she said after the death - in a moment.

It is necessary to first say something more about the crime scene investigation. Crime scene officers attended Ms Rose's unit and examined the scene. A number of observations were made. There were no signs of forced entry to the balcony doors. The front door could only be closed and locked using a key. The assault appeared to
have commenced in the hallway, near the bathroom door, and continued into the lounge room where an ironing board was knocked over and shorted out the electricity to the property. The assault continued into the kitchen where Ms Rose was found.

The offender left the premises via the front door, closing and locking the door with the key upon leaving. The offender did not lock the security door, as a post-it note had been placed on the front door by Ms Rose's mother earlier on.

To the right of Ms Rose's foot, small pieces of broken plate were observed on the floor. The pieces were mustard in colour. A search of Ms Rose's fridge revealed two further pieces of a broken plate, which were also mustard in colour.

In explaining some further conclusions drawn by crime scene officers, it is necessary to now show a photo of the actual crime scene, if that photo could please be put up.

Commissioner, in this photo, the upturned television can be observed, with the upturned ironing board, the blue-coloured iron on the floor behind the ironing board, some crates and a breast insert.

Officers concluded that the ironing board had fallen and dislodged the television that had been sitting on the crates. The ironing board had a shirt hanging from the end, which can also be observed in the photo. The iron was turned on and was face down on top of the extension lead. The iron had melted the insulation on the power cord and short-circuited the power supply to the unit. This might suggest Ms Rose was ironing just prior to the assault and may not have been expecting a visit from her assailant.

Police collected a number of exhibits from the crime scene. Those comprised the can of plums found between Ms Rose's legs, the artificial breast inserts, the pieces of broken plate, clothing worn by Ms Rose consisting of a white bra, orange mini skirt, white T-shirt and white underpants, and fingernail clippings.

The police investigation was headed by Detective Senior Constable Paul Thornton. His statement can be found at tab 7 of the bundle. It outlines in detail the steps
taken by police to explore potential persons of interest. A number of people were canvassed and interviewed, including Ms Rose's co-workers at Radio 2RPH and Westpac. They generally describe Ms Rose as a kind and well-liked person. None of Ms Rose's co-workers could identify anyone who would wish her harm.

Inquiries were also made with various LGBTIQ establishments to ascertain whether Ms Rose attended any of them. These inquiries did not suggest Ms Rose had a connection with any of them.

Information was obtained from the Camera Detection Unit that a relevant CCTV camera was not operating on the weekend of Ms Rose's death.

Ms Rose's death was raised in the media early on, including during the inquest in 1999. This article, dated 20 August 1999, appeared in the Daily Telegraph, if it could now please be shown [SC01.10122.00002].

The photo in this article shows Ms Rose wearing items consistent with those found scattered throughout her apartment when she was found, including glasses, bangles and earrings.

It is submitted that whilst the original police investigation was thorough in the ways I have just mentioned, there were omissions that have become stark in light of the Inquiry's investigation, particularly after the Inquiry obtained the opinion of forensic pathologist Dr Linda Iles as to the manner and cause of Ms Rose's death. The following matters of concern are noted:

There is no evidence to suggest the iron that was found at the crime scene was ever fingerprinted, swabbed or seized.

The iron may have been used as a weapon in the course of the assault on Ms Rose.

The failure to at least seize it from the crime scene means it cannot now be subjected to forensic testing.

There is no evidence that the television that had been sitting on crates in the lounge room and that had fallen off those crates was ever fingerprinted, swabbed or seized.

The failure to at least seize the television from the scene means it cannot now be subjected to forensic testing.

This assumes greater significance, Commissioner, given the report of Dr Iles, that some of the head injuries appear consistent with Ms Rose's head being crushed by the television. I will come to the detail of this shortly.

The omissions I have outlined suggest that there was limited attention given by police to the area of the lounge room where the ironing board had fallen and dislodged the television. Indeed, the television, ironing board, iron and all the furniture within the lounge room were omitted from the sketch plan prepared by the crime scene officers that I have shown.

Finally, other than testing for the presence of blood in respect of the can of plums and the broken plate found near Ms Rose's body, there was no DNA examination of any of the exhibits during the original police investigation.

In order to try and piece together what may have happened to Ms Rose and who may have perpetrated this heinous crime, it is necessary to look at the events leading up to her demise.

On 18 and 19 December 1997, Ms Rose was prescribed anti-nausea medication to treat a side effect of her hormonal treatment. Ms Rose usually went to the chemist on Saturdays to receive her hormone treatment. Records indicate, however, that she did not attend on Saturday, 20 December.

On 20 December at 10am, Ms Rose spoke to her mother, Bertha. She indicated that she was feeling better but still tired. At around 11.15 , Ms Rose spoke to a friend and colleague from the radio station, Stephen Becker. She told him she was unwell and too tired to talk. He told her that he would call her the following day and asked her to "please leave your answering machine on".

Ms Rose was last seen alive between 1 and 2 pm on 20 December by Ai Lun Gu, who lived in the same unit block. Ms Rose last spoke to a friend, Louise Sadek on the telephone at 2.48 pm on the same day for over eight minutes. Ms Rose said she was unwell and was watching TV.

Ms Rose's mother attempted to call her multiple times, including at 3 pm on this day, 20 December. The phone was engaged and remained that way until her last attempt at 9 pm and the following morning. This is significant, because, as will be shown, a strong inference can be drawn that Ms Rose met her fate around 3 pm when the phone first became engaged on 20 December 1997.

At about 8.45pm, Mr Becker attended Ms Rose's unit to deliver a Christmas gift. He knocked on the door and rang the doorbell for about five or 10 minutes but could not raise her. He also tried calling her. The lights were off and Mr Becker could not hear anything in the unit. He placed a Christmas greeting card and gift between the screen door and the front door, which was locked.

On the morning of 21 December, between 7.15 and 8am, Mr Becker made multiple attempts to contact Ms Rose by telephone. Her phone was still off the hook. He made contact with the Prince of Wales Hospital to inquire as to whether Ms Rose had been admitted as a patient. He had fears that Ms Rose may possibly have taken drastic action.

Some time between 11 am and 1 pm on 21 December, a neighbour in the unit block, Mr Takao Asano, heard a plate breaking and assumed it came from Ms Rose's unit. He gave a statement to police on 22 December. He had previously worked in a restaurant and was familiar with the sound of breaking plates.

On the totality of the evidence, it is unlikely that anyone attended Ms Rose's unit on 21 December, and that by this point in time Ms Rose was already deceased.

A possibility remains that Mr Asano could have, in fact, heard the plate break on 20 December. The officer in charge noted that the other possibility is that part of the plate had been placed in the refrigerator on 20 December and the other part placed on the kitchen bench. This may have been blown from the bench by the breeze coming through the windows of the kitchen on the Sunday.

Somewhere between 2 and 2.30 on Sunday, 21 December, Ms Rose's mother and father went to Ms Rose's home. Bertha noticed the Christmas parcel left between the door and the security door. She rang the doorbell until it stopped
working. She and her husband banged the door loudly but could not raise Ms Rose. Bertha left a post-it note on the door asking Ms Rose to call her.

On the morning of 22 December, Mr Becker made contact with Bertha and expressed his concerns for Ms Rose's welfare. This heightened Bertha's concerns for Samantha. Shortly after 9.20am, Ms Rose's mother and brother attended Randwick Police Station. From there, they went to the unit to meet police and gain access.

In light of this timeline of events, who could have fatally assaulted Ms Rose? I now turn to an exploration of the person of interest, Sandra Durward.

At some stage before Ms Rose's death, Ms Durward stayed with her at the Kensington unit. At that time, Ms Durward had a set of keys to Ms Rose's unit.

Between around October and December 1997, Ms Feary, Ms Durward's sister, spoke with Ms Rose regularly in relation to Ms Durward's problems. Ms Feary's understanding was that Ms Durward had left Ms Rose's unit on her own accord.

However, Ms Rose provided another colleague from Radio 2SER, a Ms Marcia Vagg, with a differing account. Ms Vagg says that Ms Rose called her and told her that a friend of hers was having very serious personal problems, was addicted to heroin and was an alcoholic. She also told Ms Vagg that she had a conversation with the friend's sister who told her she had thrown out the friend when she caught her stealing to buy heroin and alcohol. The friend's sister did not want Ms Rose to take her in because she feared what she might do. Ms Rose said she had let the friend stay with her before but had thrown her out after catching her drinking turps or methylated spirits which had been mixed in with cordial.

Ms Vagg advised Ms Rose to not let the friend back in. Ms Rose was conflicted, saying she was her friend and it was a very hard thing to do. According to Ms Vagg, Ms Rose said that Ms Durward's sister described Ms Durward as an opportunist who was capable of anything.

After Ms Durward left the unit, Ms Rose contacted Ms Feary regarding Ms Durward returning the unit keys.

Ms Feary spoke with Ms Durward, who told her she would organise to return them. She was of the belief they had been returned but could not be 100 per cent sure.

During the course of the police investigation, several people provided information about Ms Durward. Megan Brownlow, a mutual friend of Ms Rose and Ms Durward, told police that Ms Rose had contacted her and warned her about Ms Durward's problems with alcohol and theft. Ms Brownlow was under the impression that Ms Rose was afraid of Ms Durward and noted that Ms Rose was much smaller than Ms Durward.

Ms Durward's capacity for aggression was noted by various witnesses, including one instance where she admitted to kicking a friend's child. She was also described as being someone who could change their personality very quickly.

At the time of Ms Rose's death, there is evidence in the materials of Ms Durward drinking almost every day. She drank whatever was around. A flatmate said she had seen her get up and have a drink to start off the day. There is also evidence of her having what she herself termed "bad depression".

Following Ms Rose's death, Ms Durward made a number of inculpatory statements to various persons. It is necessary to go into some detail about these statements.

On 24 December, two days after Ms Rose was found, Ms Durward told her colleague, Irene Burrows, that she thought she knew who killed Ms Rose and, relevantly, that the door was locked and there was no sign of forced entry. According to Ms Burrows, Ms Durward said her stepson had keys to the unit.

On 26 December, Ms Durward participated in a police interview. She told police that when she had been staying in Ms Rose's apartment, while Ms Rose was travelling, an ex-partner of hers, Paul Hilton, stayed with her. Ms Durward said Mr Hilton's son Joel visited and stole CDs, some tools and electrical appliances. She said that Joel might have had a set of keys to the apartment made. When asked about her own movements on 20 December, Ms Durward stated that she could not remember but would have been at work.

That same day, a search of Ms Durward's room at a house she was staying in at St Clair was conducted with her permission. During the course of that search an incomplete letter authored by Ms Durward was located. The letter is noteworthy and I now show it. It can be found at tab 60A [SCOI.83402_0001] of the tender bundle. It is dated 25 December 1997.

I won't read this in full, Commissioner, just some aspects of it. Ms Durward wrote:

It is with indescribable sadness that I write to you \& Hope tonight.

She goes on to say:
... I assume that by now you have received the terrible news that Dave Rose was murdered last weekend. The funeral was yesterday.

She notes that she is on the train whilst writing this letter and says:

My life has not been tidy or well organised for a year or so (ever the mistress of understatement) \& I am carrying a lot of emotional baggage, so please forgive me if I ramble \& carry on.

Towards the bottom of the page in the last paragraph she says:

Geoff, I may as well come clean with you, I'm sick of --
it seems the word is "dissimulating":
You may prefer not to know any of this, but I'm way out there at the moment, unhinged by horror not only at the fact that Dave has gone forever, but by the distinct possibility that $I$ was responsible, however indirectly.

At the bottom of that first page and over the page, she
goes on to say:
As you are aware, I've had what is euphemistically termed a drinking problem
for some years.

She says:
Drying out has never been my problem, staying dry has.

When asked about the letter at the inquest, Ms Durward said the term "indirectly" involved relates to the possibility that her former partner had once stayed at Ms Rose's unit and may have been involved in her death.

On 27 December, Ms Durward contacted police and provided further information as to her movements on 20 December. In particular, she this time stated that she had attended an Alcoholics meeting at Neutral Bay and that a Mr David Thwaites, Peter Thornton and Clive Starling were also in attendance and could provide an alibi for her. This evidence as to Ms Durward's alibi prompted further police inquiries and a second police interview of Ms Durward on 6 February 1998, which I will come to.

That same day, on 27 December, Ms Durward told Louise Clifford, a colleague from the radio station, words to the effect, "there was no sign of forced entry", "her fingerprints would be all over the place", and that she had "keys" to Ms Rose's unit.

On 31 December, whilst working at Bare Bunnies, Ms Durward spoke to a colleague, a Ms Nazmeen Nisha Hudson. She said:

I must have done it in my sleep.
When asked what she had done, Ms Durward told Ms Hudson:
I must have killed this guy, didn't you see
it on telly, the cross dresser. I couldn't have done it. He was my best friend.

Ms Hudson told Ms Durward not to say these things if she did not kill Ms Rose, and she could be locked up.
Ms Durward repeated the phrases, "I might have killed him
in my sleep", and "No, it couldn't have been me, I must have been drinking."

Ms Hudson recalls Ms Durward attempting to make a phone call a couple of times stating that it was her alibi. She also recalls Ms Durward speaking on the phone at a later stage and asking that person, "Have any detectives come to check up?" Ms Hudson observed that Ms Durward had a black eye.

On one occasion between 31 December and 1 January 1998, whilst working at Bare Bunnies, Ms Durward stated to another colleague, a Marisa Craker, "My life has been a real nightmare for the last few weeks", before falling on a lounge located in the reception area.

When she awoke, she stood up, placed both hands on the sides of her head and said:

I don't know what's wrong with me. Maybe
it's not a nightmare. Maybe I did kill
him.
Ms Craker replied:
You're fucking kidding. You better get
your shit together, because if you did kill
someone, this' put you away for a long
time.
Ms Feary states that Ms Durward told her that Ms Rose may have died as a result of a television falling from its stand. She noted that the stand was unstable. It is submitted that this is damning evidence. No-one other than investigating police and members of Ms Rose's family were aware that signs of a struggle were evident in the unit and that the television was lying screen down in the lounge room.

At the inquest, Ms Durward attributed her comment to Ms Feary regarding the television to one of the police or someone saying something to her about the television falling on Ms Rose's head and causing her death. She also said the television stand was unstable and that she would not have said anything about the television falling, out of the blue.

Significantly, his Honour referred to Ms Durward's comments as to the state of Ms Rose's television as the most damaging inference connecting her to Ms Rose's death. He found as follows, and I will now put on the screen his Honour's words from his transcript of findings. These can be found at tab 99E [SCOI.83316_0001] of the bundle, and I refer particularly to page 24.

Just halfway through that first block of text, starts the sentence "Perhaps":

Perhaps the most damaging inference can be drawn from her remarks to her sister, that perhaps the television was knocked over and hit him on the head. She has little recognition of this or any of these conversations but suggests it was just an explanation which came into her head. On one hand the television had been knocked over when police found the deceased but as Durward says it may have been on a rickety stand, that is not really clear. On the other hand even if Durward is blaming it on the television because she in fact did kill the deceased, there is no forensic evidence that he fell or was thrown against it, perhaps hitting his head and knocking it over.

In making his findings about Ms Durward, his Honour noted that it was difficult to determine whether Ms Durward is a witness of truth or a very clever liar.

On 28 January 1998, Ms Durward was living with Irene Burrows, whom I've previously mentioned. Ms Burrows said that on that day, Ms Durward was in a very angry state, had urinated on the carpet, packed her bags and was leaving their home. She refused to return her set of keys to Ms Burrows, stating that she paid for them to be cut. Ms Burrows was shocked by Ms Durward's behaviour and her refusal to give back the keys. Ms Burrows observed that Ms Durward's eyes were black and glazed and that, in that mood, she thought Ms Durward was capable of murder.

At the inquest, Ms Durward said she had no recollection of this incident but did recall disposing of the keys. She said she was not a violent person.

In her second police interview on 6 February 1998, Ms Durward offered the following explanations for the number of inculpatory statements she made to friends and colleagues that I have outlined. Her answers can be found at tab 56 [SCO1.00041.00102], in particular her answer to question 248. She said:

Well this would have been about the time when I was feeling under so much pressure that I didn't know what $I$ was saying, what I was doing. I certainly wouldn't have meant it.

As to whether she returned Ms Rose's house keys, Ms Durward said:

Look, as far as I am aware, yes, but you know I, I was drunk through that time.

She described Ms Rose's spare set of keys which she used while living with her as having gremlins and at the bottom there was a dolphin.

Ms Durward also stated that she experienced mood swings and suffered from acute depression. She said she bruised easily and her black eye was occasioned in the course of her work by a client's clumsiness.

During the course of her oral evidence at the inquest, Ms Durward attributed the inculpatory statements to her macabre sense of humour. Mr Thwaites, her alibi, also gave evidence of her inappropriate sense of humour. He noted that she was quite stressed by Ms Rose's death and the police investigation.

So what, then, of Ms Durward's movements on 20 December and her alibi, Mr David Thwaites? You will recall that the sum of the evidence was that Ms Durward told police that on 20 December she went to an Alcoholics Anonymous meeting at Neutral Bay, after which she had coffee with three other people at Maisys Cafe. She then walked around with Mr Thwaites for an hour before getting in a taxi at around 4 pm to go to work at St Marys. Mr Thwaites was the only person who could say that Ms Durward was still in the northern suburbs of Sydney at 4pm on 20 December 1997.

Ms Durward told police that she and Mr Thwaites were friends, having met in a detox unit at North Sydney Hospital. At first, she denied that they had a sexual relationship, but when pressed, she noted that it was a desire on her part to have a sexual relationship with David, but David was not interested.

She then admitted that there had been a couple of occasions where there had been oral sex, but did not consider this to mean they had a sexual relationship.

On 3 January 1998, Mr Thwaites participated in an interview with police and said that he had met Ms Durward six months prior at the Phoenix rehab centre at Royal North Shore Hospital. They kept in regular contact after that.

He said that on the morning of 20 December, Ms Durward contacted him, and he told her about an AA meeting that he was attending in Neutral Bay. Ms Durward said she would meet him there.

Mr Thwaites did not see Ms Durward arrive at the meeting but thought she had arrived about 1.15 pm . The meeting concluded at 1.30. After the meeting he, Ms Durward and others, went to Maisys Cafe.

At the inquest, Mr Thwaites was called to give evidence. During the course of his evidence, he said he left Neutral Bay and arrived at Narcotics Anonymous meeting slightly after 4.30 pm . He said he kept a daily journal as part of his recovery and made an entry in respect of 20 December.

Although that entry made no specific mention of the Alcoholics Anonymous meeting, he could positively say the meeting occurred on that day. He referred to a great talk with older members at a coffee shop, which he says occurred after the Narcotics Anonymous meeting. He had absolutely no doubt that coffee happened on the same day as the AA meeting at Neutral Bay.

It must be stressed, Commissioner, that this diary entry makes no mention at all of Ms Durward and no mention of the two of them talking for about an hour after the AA meeting. The diary note can be found at tab 99G \{SC01. 83315 0001] of the bundle.

Mr Clive Starling stated that he also attended the AA meeting. He arrived at 1.15 pm and observed Ms Durward in an agitated state, walking in and out of the meeting. He also went to Maisys Cafe.

Mr Peter Thornton also attended and recalled the meeting concluded at about 1.30. He also went to Maisys Cafe.

Whilst at the cafe, Ms Durward said she contacted Final Touch to inquire as to whether she was rostered on the next day. At that time, the receptionist advised that a person had called her in hysterics because her daughter was missing. This person was Deidre Miles, who Ms Durward had been staying with in St Clair. Ms Durward then got in touch with Ms Miles, who indicated that her child had been found. Evidence of call logs confirm that Ms Miles called Final Touch four times between 1.05 and 2.03 pm and that a call was, in fact, made from Maisys Cafe to Final Touch at 2.35 pm .

Mr Thornton states that Ms Durward appeared to be looking for accommodation and made a number of telephone calls.

There is some confusing and conflicting evidence thereafter as to how long everyone stayed at Maisys and what happened after the 2.35 pm call to Final Touch.

When interviewed by police, Mr Thwaites said that he and other meeting attendees stayed until 4 pm . This position later changed in his signed statement dated 29 January 1998, where he said that they left Maisys Cafe around 10 minutes after Mr Starling left, which he estimated as being between 2.30 and 3 pm . Mr Thwaites said he and Ms Durward walked a short distance before talking for about another hour.

After being at Maisys Cafe, Ms Durward said that she and Mr Thwaites had some time to kill and they walked around. She estimated that she left Maisys at about 2.15 or 2.30 , suggesting they walked for over an hour and a half. She then caught a taxi and train to work at St Marys. She said she would have arrived at Final Touch at about 6 .20pm.

Mr Thwaites confirmed that shortly after the AA meeting, Ms Durward contacted him and said that he could be an alibi for her in respect of Ms Rose's death as they were at the same meeting on the weekend of her death.

In his findings, the Coroner found Mr Thwaites to be a witness of truth and accepted his evidence.

With all this evidence in hand, the Inquiry went about investigating Ms Rose's death by, firstly, reviewing all the records held by NSW Police and the Coroners Court. A number of lines of inquiry were identified and pursued by the Inquiry. I will now outline these.

At the request of the Inquiry, a comparative examination of unidentified fingerprints found in Ms Rose's apartment was made, both manually and against the National Automated Fingerprint Identification System, known as NAFIS.

This examination was conducted by the NSW Police. The full results are outlined in paragraphs 61 to 63 of the written submissions. The balance of the findings are not of any significant forensic utility to the investigation of Ms Rose's death. Ms Rose's fingerprints were found in a number of locations within her own unit, including the telephone handset and archway of the unit. This is unsurprising.

The fingerprints on the breast insert could not be identified. However, Ms Durward's fingerprints were excluded. This does not, however, render futile the possibility that the insert was displaced during the course of the assault on Ms Rose.

The Inquiry sought DNA analysis over the exhibits collected from the crime scene as well as exhibits that were stored by the Forensic and Analytical Science Service. Unfortunately, testing was either unsuccessful or DNA matched Ms Rose's profile, or at least she could not be excluded.

Several hair samples were retrieved from the white T-shirt. Testing of two hair samples returned a DNA profile for Ms Rose. Three of the hair samples were identified as originating from an animal. Mitochondrial DNA testing may be able to determine the type of animal.

The Inquiry has requested that this testing be performed on the animal hairs.

As to the likely manner and cause of Ms Rose's death, the Inquiry sought the expert opinion of Dr Linda Iles. Dr Iles's report is at tab 105 [SCOI. 83339] of the bundle. Dr Iles says that Ms Rose's cause of death is best described as "blunt head injuries". She cannot exclude that Ms Rose's head injuries are due to blows to the left and right side of the head with an object or weapon. However, it is possible that the spectrum of injuries may represent a significant crush-type injury from a heavy object.

The only object that $\operatorname{Dr}$ Iles can see in the crime scene photos that may cause such an injury is the toppled-over television, the very item that Ms Durward said may have killed Ms Rose.

Dr Iles observes from the crime scene photos that the vents of the upturned television have a linearity similar to an extent to the linear patterning of intradermal bruising to the left side of Ms Rose's face. However, Dr Iles cannot determine from the photographs the profile of this part of the television, nor can she accurately compare this to the injury on the left side of her face.

The Inquiry has reviewed all the material available to it and has been unable to find information relating to the make and model of the television. As mentioned, the television was never treated as an exhibit and was never subjected to any forensic examination.

Dr Iles considers that Ms Rose's traumatic injury is highly suggestive of rapid unconsciousness following injury.

She considers that the scattered objects and disturbed furniture raise the possibility of an altercation. The television may have fallen on Ms Rose's head during that altercation. Whilst speculative, the scenario can account for the spectrum of pathological findings in the case. Significantly, Dr Iles cannot readily construct a scenario that does not involve another individual in Ms Rose's death.

As to the possible motive of Ms Rose's killer, the

Inquiry sought the expert opinion of forensic psychiatrist Dr Danny Sullivan. His report is at tab 103 [SCOI.83317] of the bundle. Dr Sullivan considers that there were no aspects of the offence that suggest that the death was associated with Ms Rose's transgender identity and that no elements of the crime scene appear clearly associated with a hate crime.

As to possible motivations of the perpetrator, Dr Sullivan notes that the can of plums between Ms Rose's legs may have had a symbolic sexual meaning to the killer, but this cannot be confirmed and there was no indication of sexual interference.

Dr Sullivan's opinion highlights, it is submitted, the inherent limitations of attempting to ascertain motive in circumstances where the offender is unknown.

Commissioner, on the totality of the evidence, the following submissions are put to you: there is cogent circumstantial evidence that Ms Durward may have been involved in the death of Ms Rose. The evidence against her can be mounted in this way:

First, the lack of evidence of any forced entry suggests that Ms Rose knew her assailant.

Second, at the time of the murder, Ms Durward was living an itinerant lifestyle and was seeking accommodation. At the inquest, she said she was not looking for accommodation on 20 December, but was looking for it a few days later. This is open to doubt. It is possible that she went to Ms Rose's unit with the intention of seeking accommodation. Once there, Ms Rose may have told her she could not stay, or told her that she had warned others not to take her in. At hearing this, Ms Durward may have flown into a rage, violently assaulting Ms Rose. This might explain the upheaval of the unit. Such behaviour is not inconsistent with the descriptions of her volatile personality given by various witnesses. It is possible, although speculative, that in the course of this assault, Ms Rose fell to the ground and the television was pushed or knocked on to her head, leading to the injuries observed by Dr Iles.

Ms Durward's initial approach to the police saying she thought her stepson Joel may have something to do with

Ms Rose's death might be regarded as somewhat suspicious. The police investigation did not identify anything to connect Joel with the crime scene and nor has this Inquiry. This raises the possibility that Ms Durward may have thought she had to explain how someone might have keys to Ms Rose's apartment.

Fourthly, it is possible that Ms Rose's assailant may not have appreciated that they had killed her. If the assailant was Ms Durward, this could be an explanation for her later comments that maybe she "had killed him". These comments are equally consistent with Ms Durward having blacked out following alcohol consumption so that she came to think she may have been the assailant, conscious that she did not recall what she did that afternoon after coffee at Maisys. In other words, Ms Durward's inculpatory statements and her considerable efforts to ensure she had alibi evidence might indicate a consciousness of guilt, but might equally indicate someone who was afraid they might be guilty but did not remember either way.

It was never established whether the second set of apartment keys belongs to Ms Rose had been returned by Ms Durward.

The combined evidence of the telephone call logs and the recollections of Mr Starling and Mr Thornton confirm that Ms Durward was at the AA meeting and then Maisys Cafe until at least 2.35 pm . However, the weight of the evidence, it is submitted, suggests that she left Maisys Cafe at or shortly after this time. It is submitted that Mr Thwaites may not be a reliable alibi witness for the following reasons.

First, he had a close and at least occasionally sexual relationship with Ms Durward and may have been protecting her.

Secondly, Mr Thwaites appears to first have told police that they were at Maisys until around 4 and then later made a statement that they left the cafe between 2.30 and 3 , the latter calling for an explanation of what they did during the hour between 3 and 4 .

Thirdly, Mr Thwaites made no reference in his diary entry to having spent any time with Ms Durward. While that might not be surprising if he simply had a casual coffee
with her and two other people at Maisys, it might be regarded as somewhat more surprising if he then spent over an hour having a one-on-one conversation with her.

Assuming that the assault on Ms Rose occurred when her telephone became engaged at about 3 pm on 20 December, Ms Durward had opportunity to attend Ms Rose's unit from the time she left Maisys, likely by taxi, to the time she attended work at St Marys at around 6.30.

The evidence also suggests that there were several train services running to St Marys, which offers further support for the theory that Ms Durward went to Kensington and from there to Final Touch using public transport.

Critically, Commissioner, Ms Durward appeared to have special knowledge of the crime scene. She volunteered information suggesting she knew of Ms Rose's television having fallen over. This assumes even greater significance as I have said, given the findings of Dr Iles.

The friendship between Ms Rose and Ms Durward had been known at times to involve conduct which led to Ms Rose holding concerns or fears regarding Ms Durward. These concerns were often associated with Ms Durward's heavy use of alcohol.

Indeed, Ms Durward's heavy use of alcohol may have been a factor in her not remembering whether she had something to do with Ms Rose's death, yet simultaneously thinking she may have. As Mr Starling told police, it is not uncommon for alcoholics to have blackouts and not remember certain things.

Further, the evidence as to Ms Durward's dark or macabre sense of humour sits at odds with the way Ms Burrows, Ms Hudson and Ms Craker describe the apparent inculpatory comments made by Ms Durward.

There are some features of the scenario that I have described which are, nevertheless, surprising. In particular, the absence of forensic evidence connecting Ms Durward to the crime scene is significant. Even taking into account the gaps in fingerprinting and DNA tests at the time, there is no reason to expect Ms Durward to have been a particularly sophisticated criminal. If Ms Durward was involved in Ms Rose's death, it could not have been
premeditated and Ms Durward would presumably have been heavily under the influence of alcohol.

Without more to link Ms Durward to Ms Rose's death, the scenario involves an unavoidable element of speculation.

While her involvement might be regarded as more likely than any other particular hypothesis, the Inquiry should be conscious of the strictness of proof called for, including in civil proceedings, when considering a finding as to the Commission of a serious crime. The Inquiry must feel an actual persuasion of the occurrence or existence of fact proposed. The various threads of evidence point indirectly towards Ms Durward's guilt but are insufficient to conclude on the balance of probabilities that Ms Durward was involved in Ms Rose's death.

I now turn to my submissions as to bias. There are some factors which indicate the possibility that Ms Rose was killed in the context of LGBTIQ bias, including the location of the plastic breast inserts, raising the possibility that they were forcibly removed from Ms Rose's body, the potential significance of an unopened can of plums located between Ms Rose's legs, and possible interference with her body, and the scant evidence suggesting another motive for the crime.

The original police investigation could not discount that this may have been a hate crime. However, Ms Rose was open with her friends and colleagues regarding her transition. She openly wore women's clothing. She had no enemies. There was no evidence that she frequented gay or transgender establishments. There was no evidence that she had been sexually active and it is unlikely, it is submitted, that she would invite a sexual partner to her unit.

Strike Force Parrabell concluded there was insufficient evidence to establish a bias crime. Given the lack of forensic evidence linking any person to the crime, coupled with the opinion of Dr Sullivan, there is not sufficient evidence to ground a finding that Ms Rose's death was one in which LGBTIQ bias was a factor.

Moreover, if Ms Durward was involved, it is highly unlikely that the death was motivated by LGBTIQ bias. It
appears much more probable that if Ms Durward was involved, then Ms Rose's death occurred in the context of a friendship with Ms Durward and a possible dispute regarding accommodation.

Before submitting on manner and cause of death, Commissioner, and concluding my oral submissions, I would like to take a moment to read out some words given by Ms Rose's father, Max, at the conclusion of the inquest in 1999. Attempts to contact family members have been unsuccessful. Nevertheless, the sentiments the family expressed for Samantha at the inquest remain and it is appropriate that they be shared.

If the photo of Samantha could please be now put on screen.

I won't read everything that Max Rose had to say, Commissioner, only some parts of it. He said this:

I find it difficult still to realise that
David is dead. I go to the city quite
often and every now and again I think that
I see him sort of coming round a corner.
He had an unusual straw hat that he used to
wear and he always had a radio on his belt,
but when I turn round it's always someone
else who is walking about, and I think that's possibly because I just find difficulty in accepting the fact that he won't ever be there again. He had an unconventional lifestyle but really he was a very sincere and caring person.

He went on to say:
And his employees and associates have shown their appreciation and respect for him in some very appropriate ways. The bank employees put together and donated a seat in the Botanical Gardens with a plaque just to show that, you know, they knew him and they liked him very much. The radio stations $2 S R$ and 2RPH have established an annual award in his name for people learning to use radio technology. To me he was a friend and someone to whom I could

> turn and get an honest and frank opinion. ... He was very independent and he accepted no charity from anyone, although he did in fact give charity to lots of organisations.

Mrs Rose also said a few words. In particular, she said this to the person responsible for Ms Rose's death:

David was the most decent, honest and loving human being you could ever hope to meet and you not only abused his trust but took away his 7 ife. You will rot in hell.

Commissioner, it is submitted that an appropriate finding in this case as to manner and cause of death would be that Ms Rose died on 20 December 1997 at some time after 2.48pm at Kensington as a result of head injuries inflicted by a person or persons unknown.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

MR SHORT: The Commissioner seeks to reserve her position.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Al1 right, thank you, Ms Melis. I will now adjourn the proceedings. Thank you
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4234:14
assault [8] - 4216:35, 4217:47, 4218:4, 4218:35, 4219:40, 4231:34, 4233:42, 4235:5
assaulted [1] -
4222:13
assaulting [1] 4233:37
assist ${ }^{[1]}$ - 4214:3
Assisting [1] 4213:30
Assisting's [1] 4214:14
associated [3] -
4233:5, 4233:6, 4235:25
associates [1] 4237:37
assume [1] - 4224:18
assumed [1] - 4221:27
assumes [2] - 4220:5,
4235:19
assuming ${ }_{[1]}-4235: 5$
AT [1] - 4238:28
attempt [1] - 4221:4
attempted [1] - 4221:2
attempting [2] -
4226:4, 4233:16
attempts [2] -
4221:19, 4237:10
attend [2] - 4220:32, 4235:7
attendance [1] -
4225:22
attended [9] -
4217:43, 4219:9,
4221:10, 4221:33,
4222:8, 4225:20,
4230:2, 4230:7,
4235:9
attendees [1] -
4230:32
attending [1] 4229:20
attention [1] - 4220:11
attracted [2] - 4215:37
attributed [2] -
4226:40, 4228:31
August [1] - 4219:19
Aurhett [1] - $4213: 36$
authored [1] - 4224:5
Automated [1] -
4231:18
available [1] - 4232:28
award [1] - 4237:45
aware [3] - 4225:3,
4226:36, 4228:18
awoke [1] - 4226:17

B
bad [1] - 4223:24
baggage [1] - 4224:29
bags [1] - 4227:37
balance [2] - 4231:23, 4236:16
balcony [1] - 4217:46
banged [1] - 4222:1
bangles [2] - 4216:19, 4219:24
Bank [1] - 4215:28
bank [1] - 4237:39
Bare [3] - 4217:4,
4225:33, 4226:12
Barrie [1] - 4213:36
bathroom [1] - 4218:1
Bay [5] - 4225:20, 4228:41, 4229:20, 4229:30, 4229:41
became [3] - 4216:45, 4221:7, 4235:6
Becker [5] - 4220:38, 4221:10, 4221:14, 4221:19, 4222:5
become [1] - 4219:29
bedroom [1] - 4216:26
behaviour [2]-
4227:40, 4233:39
behind [1] - 4218:25
belief [1] - 4223:2
belongs [1] - 4234:23
belt [1] - 4237:26
bench [3]-4216:23, 4221:40, 4221:41
Bertha [6] - 4215:27, 4215:40, 4220:36, 4221:45, 4222:2, 4222:6
Bertha's [1] - 4222:7
best [2] - 4225:43, 4232:7
better [2] - 4220:36, 4226:26
between [18] -
4216:13, 4216:44, 4218:39, 4220:43, 4221:15, 4221:18, 4221:25, 4221:44, 4221:46, 4222:20, 4226:11, 4230:19, 4230:36, 4233:10, 4234:41, 4234:43, 4235:22, 4236:25
bias [5] - 4236:19, 4236:21, 4236:40, 4236:44, 4236:47
birth [1] - 4215:13
black [3] - 4226:9,
4227:42, 4228:27
blacked [1] - 4234:13
blackouts [1] -
4235:32
blaming [1] - 4227:23
block [3] - 4220:44, 4221:26, 4227:9
blood [1] - 4220:18
blown [1] - 4221:41
blows [2] - 4216:14, 4232:9
blue [2]-4218:25, 4226:46
blue-coloured [1] 4218:25
blunt [2] - 4216:14, 4232:8
board [7]-4218:2, 4218:24, 4218:25, 4218:28, 4218:30, 4220:12, 4220:13
body [4] - 4216:11, 4220:20, 4236:24, 4236:26
Botanical [1] 4237:41
bottom [3]-4224:32,
4224:47, 4228:22
bra [1] - 4218:42
break [1] - 4221:37
breaking [2] -
4221:27, 4221:30
breast [5] - 4216:20, 4218:26, 4218:40,
4231:30, 4236:22
breeze [1] - 4221:41
broken [4] - 4218:13, 4218:16, 4218:41, 4220:19
brothels [1] - 4217:3
brother [2] - 4215:26, 4222:8
Brownlow [2] 4223:7, 4223:9
bruised [1] - 4228:27
bruising [1] - 4232:23
bundle [6] - 4218:47,
4224:7, 4227:6, 4229:47, 4232:6, 4233:3
Bunnies [3] - 4217:4, 4225:33, 4226:12
Burrows [3] 4223:32, 4227:35, 4227:40
burrows [5] - 4223:35, 4227:35, 4227:39, 4227:41, 4235:37
buy [1] - 4222:33

| C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { city [1] - 4237:22 } \\ & \text { civil }[1]-4236: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Cafe }[9]-4228: 42, \\ 4229: 26,4230: 5, \\ 4230: 9,4230: 20, \\ 4230: 34,4230: 40, \\ 4234: 28,4234: 31 \\ \text { cafe }[2]-4230: 11, \\ 4234: 41 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Clair }[2]-4224: 3, \\ & 4230: 16 \\ & \text { clean }[1]-4224: 35 \\ & \text { clear }[1]-4227: 22 \\ & \text { clearly }[1]-4233: 6 \\ & \text { clever }{ }_{[1]}-4227: 32 \\ & \text { client's }[1]-4228: 28 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Camera }{ }_{[1]}-4219: 13 \\ & \text { camera }[1]-4219: 14 \\ & \text { cannot }[6]-4219: 43, \end{aligned}$ | ```Clifford [1] - 4225:28 clippings [1] - 4218:43``` |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4220:3, 4232:8, } \\ & \text { 4232:24, 4232:43, } \\ & \text { 4233:12 } \\ & \text { canvassed }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Clive [2] }-4225: 21, \\ & 4230: 2 \\ & \text { close }[1]-4234: 35 \\ & \text { closed }[1]-4217: 47 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4219:2 | closing [1] - 4218:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { capable [2]-4222:44, } \\ & 4227: 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { clothing [3] - 4215:15, } \\ 4218: 41,4236: 32 \end{gathered}$ |
| capacity [1] - 4223:14 | clumsiness [1] - |
| card [1] - 4221:15 | 4228:28 |
| caring [1] - 4237:33 | CO [2] - 4219:3, 4219:5 |
| carpet [1] - 4227:37 | co-workers [2] - |
| carry [1] - 4224:30 | 4219:3, 4219:5 |
| carrying [1] - 4224:28 | coffee [5] - 4228:42, |
| case [2]-4232:42, | 4229:38, 4229:40, |
| 4238:16 | 4234:15, 4234:47 |
| casual [1] - 4234:47 | cogent [1] - 4233:20 |
| catching [1] - 4222:37 | colleague [6] - |
| caught [2] - 4222:33, | 4220:38, 4222:26, |
| 4230:44 | 4223:32, 4225:28, |
| causing [1] - 4226:43 | 4225:34, 4226:13 |
| CCTV [1] - 4219:14 | colleagues [2] - |
| CDs [1] - 4223:42 | 4228:5, 4236:31 |
| cent [1] - 4223:3 | collected [2] - |
| centre [1]-4229:15 | 4218:38, 4231:37 |
| Centre [1] - 4217:4 <br> certain [1] - 4235:33 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { colour [2] - 4218:15, } \\ & 4218: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| certainly [1] - 4228:12 | coloured [1] - 4218:25 |
| change [1] - 4223:17 | combined [1] - |
| changed [1] - 4230:33 | 4234:26 |
| charge [1] - 4221:38 | comfortable [1] - |
| charged [1] - 4216:37 | 4215:43 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { charity [2] - 4238:3, } \\ & 4238: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { coming [2] - 4221:41, } \\ & \text { 4237:24 } \end{aligned}$ |
| check [1] - 4226:8 | commenced [2] - |
| chemist [1] - 4220:30 | 4215:32, 4218:1 |
| child [2]-4223:16, | comment [1] - |
| 4230:17 | 4226:40 |
| Christine [1] - | comments [4] - |
| 4213:30 | 4227:2, 4234:11, |
| Christmas [4] - | 4234:12, 4235:38 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4215: 45,4221: 11 \\ & 4221: 15,4221: 46 \end{aligned}$ | COMMISSION [1] - 4238:28 |
| circuited [1] - 4218:34 | Commission [2] - |
| circumstances [2] - | 4213:9, 4236:12 |
| 4216:3, 4233:17 | Commissioner [21] - |
| circumstantial [1] - | 4213:15, 4214:3, |
| 4233:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4214:5, 4214:6, } \\ & \text { 4214:12, 4214:13, } \end{aligned}$ |

4214:20, 4214:25,
4215:4, 4215:12,
4216:4, 4218:23,
4220:5, 4224:10, 4229:43, 4233:19, 4235:16, 4237:7, 4237:19, 4238:15, 4238:23
COMMISSIONER ${ }_{[11]}$

- 4214:1, 4214:8, 4214:18, 4214:23, 4214:28, 4214:34, 4214:38, 4214:46, 4215:6, 4238:21, 4238:25 comparative [1] 4231:15
compare [1] - 4232:26
comprised [1] -
4218:39
concern [1] - 4219:33
concerns [4]-4222:6,
4222:7, 4235:24,
4235:25
conclude [1] -
4236:15
concluded [5] -
4216:39, 4218:28, 4229:25, 4230:8, 4236:39
concluding [1] -
4237:7
conclusion [1] 4237:9
conclusions [1] 4218:19
conduct [1] - 4235:23
conducted [2] -
4224:3, 4231:21
confirm [2] - 4230:18, 4234:27
confirmed [2] 4231:1, 4233:12
conflicted [1] -
4222:41
conflicting [1] 4230:27
confusing [1] 4230:27
connect [1] - 4234:3
connecting [2] -
4227:3, 4235:42
connection [1] -
4219:11
conscious [2] 4234:14, 4236:10
consciousness [1] 4234:18 consider [1] - 4229:11 considerable [1] -

4234:17
considering [1] 4236:11
considers [3] 4232:34, 4232:38, 4233:3
consistent [4] 4216:14, 4219:23, 4220:7, 4234:12
consistently [1] -
4215:24
consisting [1] 4218:41
Constable [1] -
4218:46
construct [1] 4232:43
consumption [1] 4234:13
contact [6] - 4215:27,
4221:19, 4221:21, 4222:5, 4229:16, 4237:10
contacted [6] 4222:46, 4223:8, 4225:17, 4229:19, 4230:11, 4231:2
context [2] - 4236:21, 4237:2
continued [2] -
4218:2, 4218:4
convenient [1] -
4216:4
conversation [2] 4222:31, 4235:3
conversations [1] 4227:17
cord [1] - 4218:33
cordial [1] - 4222:38
corner [1] - 4237:24
Coroner [4]-4217:14,
4217:18, 4217:29,
4231:6
Coroner's [1] 4217:34
Coroners [1] -
4231:11
coronial [1] - 4216:39
correct [1] - 4214:36
correspondence [1] 4215:18
Counsel [2] - 4213:30, 4214:14
couple [2] - 4226:5, 4229:9
coupled [1] - 4236:42
course [8] - 4219:39, 4223:5, 4224:4, 4228:28, 4228:30, 4229:29, 4231:33,

4233:41
Court [1] - 4231:11
Craker [1] - 4226:13
craker [2] - 4226:24, 4235:37
crates [4]-4218:26,
4218:30, 4219:46, 4219:47
crime [25] - 4216:5, 4216:6, 4217:43, 4218:19, 4218:21, 4218:38, 4219:36, 4219:42, 4220:15, 4220:25, 4231:37, 4232:15, 4232:20, 4233:6, 4233:7, 4234:3, 4235:17, 4235:43, 4236:12, 4236:27, 4236:30, 4236:40, 4236:41
crimes [1] - 4213:11 criminal [1] - 4235:46 critically [1] - 4235:16 cross [1] - 4225:42
crush [1] - 4232:12 crush-type [1] 4232:12
crushed [1] - 4220:7
cut [1] - 4227:39

| D |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| daily [1] - 4229:31 <br> Daily [1] - 4219:19 <br> damaging [2] - <br> 4227:3, 4227:12 <br> damning [1] - 4226:3 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Danny [1] - 4233:2 } \\ & \text { dark [1] - 4235:35 } \\ & \text { dated [4] - 4214:15, } \\ & \text { 4219:18, 4224:8, } \\ & \text { 4230:33 } \\ & \text { daughter [1] - 4230: } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dave }[2]-4224: 19, \\ & 4224: 42 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { David }[8]-4215: 13 \\ 4217: 26,4225: 21 \\ 4228: 38,4229: 7 \\ 4237: 22,4238: 10 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { days [2] - 4223:31, } \\ & 4233: 32 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| dead [1] - 4237:22 <br> death [43] - 4214:13, |  |
|  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4215: 21,4216: 4, \\ & 4216: 36,4216: 38 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |
| 4216:39, 4217:3, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4217:8, 4217:13, } \\ & \text { 4217:19, 4217:24, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |
| 4217:36, 4217:40, |  |
| 过 |  |

4219:33, 4222:16, $\quad$ Detective [1] -
4223:20, 4223:27, 4218:45
4225:15, 4226:43, $\quad$ detectives [1] - 4226:8
4227:3, 4228:34, determine [3] -
4231:3, 4231:4,
4231:10, 4231:25,
4232:4, 4232:7,
4232:45, 4233:4,
4233:22, 4234:1,
4235:30, 4235:47,
4236:4, 4236:17,
4236:44, 4236:47,
4237:2, 4237:6,
4238:8, 4238:16 deceased [4] -
4215:45, 4221:34, 4227:20, 4227:25
December [35] -
4215:46, 4215:47,
4217:30, 4220:28,
4220:33, 4220:35,
4220:44, 4221:3, 4221:8, 4221:18,
4221:25, 4221:28,
4221:33, 4221:37,
4221:39, 4221:44, 4222:5, 4222:20, 4223:31, 4223:38, 4223:45, 4224:8, 4225:17, 4225:19, 4225:27, 4225:33, 4226:11, 4228:38, 4228:40, 4228:47, 4229:18, 4229:33, 4233:31, 4235:6, 4238:17
decent [1] - 4238:10
Deidre [1] - 4230:15
deliver [1] - 4221:11
demise [1] - 4220:26
denied [1] - 4229:4
dented [1]-4216:12
depression [1] -
4228:26
depression" [1] -
4223:25
describe [3]-4216:3,
4219:4, 4235:37
described [5] -
4222:43, 4223:17, 4228:21, 4232:8, 4235:41
descriptions [1] -
4233:39
desire [1] - 4229:6
despite [1] - 4217:33
detail [3] - 4218:47,
4220:8, 4223:29
Detection [1] -
4219:13

4227:31, 4231:47, 4232:24
detox [1] - 4229:3
diary [3] - 4229:43,
4229:46, 4234:45
died [5] - 4215:47, 4216:43, 4217:30, 4226:32, 4238:17
differing [1] - 4222:27
difficult [2] - 4227:31, 4237:21
difficulty [1] - 4237:30
direct [1] - 4216:36
disarray [1] - 4216:18
discount [1] - 4236:29
dislodged [2] 4218:29, 4220:12
displaced [1] -
4231:33
disposing [1] -
4227:46
dispute [1] - 4237:3
dissimulating [1] 4224:38
distance [1] - 4230:37
distinct [1] - 4224:43
disturbed [1] 4232:38
DNA [6] - 4220:20, 4231:36, 4231:39, 4231:44, 4231:47,
4235:44
documented [1] 4217:7
dolphin [1] - 4228:23
donated [1] - 4237:40
done [3] - 4225:37, 4225:39, 4225:43
door [15] - 4216:29, 4217:46, 4218:1, 4218:7, 4218:8,
4218:9, 4218:10,
4221:11, 4221:16, 4221:46, 4221:47, 4222:1, 4222:3, 4223:34
doorbell [2] - 4221:12, 4221:47
doors [1] - 4217:46
doubt [2] - 4229:40, 4233:32
down [4] - 4216:22, 4216:25, 4218:32, 4226:37
$\operatorname{Dr}$ [19]-4215:33, 4215:35, 4219:32,

4220:6, 4232:5, 4232:6, 4232:7, 4232:15, 4232:20, 4232:24, 4232:34, 4232:43, 4233:2, 4233:3, 4233:10, 4233:15, 4233:44, 4235:20, 4236:42
drank [1] - 4223:22 drastic [1] - 4221:23 drawn [3]-4218:19, 4221:6, 4227:13 dresser [1] - 4225:42 drink [1] - 4223:23 drinking [5] - 4217:6, 4222:37, 4223:21, 4225:4, 4226:2
drunk [1] - 4228:19 dry [1] - 4225:10 drying [1] - 4225:9 due [2] - 4217:30, 4232:9
during [9]-4219:18, 4220:21, 4223:5, 4224:4, 4228:30, 4229:29, 4231:33, 4232:40, 4234:43
Durward [104] -
4214:41, 4214:43,
4216:42, 4216:43,
4216:46, 4217:2,
4217:4, 4217:10, 4217:14, 4217:15, 4217:18, 4217:22, 4217:35, 4217:38, 4222:14, 4222:16, 4222:18, 4222:23, 4222:43, 4222:46, 4222:47, 4223:1, 4223:6, 4223:7, 4223:11, 4223:12, 4223:21, 4223:27, 4223:32, 4223:35, 4223:38, 4223:42, 4223:45, 4224:5, 4224:11, 4225:12, 4225:17, 4225:25, 4225:27, 4225:34, 4225:39, 4225:45, 4225:47, 4226:4, 4226:6, 4226:9, 4226:12, 4226:31, 4226:40, 4227:21, 4227:23, 4227:30, 4227:31, 4227:34, 4227:36, 4227:43, 4227:45, 4228:3, 4228:15, 4228:25, 4228:31, 4228:39, 4228:46, 4229:2,

4229:14, 4229:18, 4229:20, 4229:23, 4229:26, 4229:44, 4230:3, 4230:11, 4230:15, 4230:16, 4230:23, 4230:37, 4230:40, 4231:2, 4232:17, 4233:21, 4233:28, 4233:37, 4234:4, 4234:10, 4234:12, 4234:24, 4234:28, 4234:36, 4234:46, 4235:7, 4235:13, 4235:16, 4235:22, 4235:24, 4235:38, 4235:43, 4235:45, 4235:46, 4236:1, 4236:4, 4236:16, 4236:46, 4237:1, 4237:3
Durward" [1] -
4214:32
Durward's [18] 4222:21, 4222:22, 4222:43, 4223:9, 4223:14, 4224:2, 4225:23, 4227:1, 4227:40, 4227:42, 4228:37, 4231:31, 4233:46, 4234:16, 4235:25, 4235:28, 4235:35, 4236:15

## E

early [1] - 4219:17 earrings [2] - 4216:19, 4219:25
easily [1] - 4228:27
effect [2] - 4220:29, 4225:29
efforts [1] - 4234:17
eight $[1]$ - 4220:46
either [2] - 4231:39, 4234:20
electrical [1] - 4223:43
electricity $[1]-4218: 3$
element [1] - 4236:5
elements [1] - 4233:6
emerged [1] - 4216:41
emotional [1] -
4224:29
employees [2] -
4237:37, 4237:40
end [1] - 4218:31
endocrinologist [1] 4215:34
enemies [1] - 4236:33
engaged [3] - 4221:4,
4221:8, 4235:6
ensure [1] - 4234:17 entry [10] - 4216:28, 4216:32, 4217:46, 4223:34, 4225:29, 4229:32, 4229:35, 4229:44, 4233:25, 4234:46
equally [2] - 4234:12, 4234:19
error [1] - 4214:26
establish [1] 4236:40 established [2] 4234:22, 4237:44 establishments [2] 4219:9, 4236:34 estimated [2] 4230:36, 4230:42
euphemistically [1] 4225:4
events [2]-4220:25, 4222:12
evidence [40] -
4214:43, 4216:31, 4217:6, 4217:15, 4217:33, 4217:38, 4219:35, 4219:45, 4221:32, 4223:20, 4223:24, 4225:23, 4226:34, 4227:25, 4228:30, 4228:33, 4228:39, 4229:29, 4230:18, 4230:27, 4231:7, 4231:9, 4233:19, 4233:21, 4233:22, 4233:25, 4234:18, 4234:26, 4234:30, 4235:11, 4235:35, 4235:42, 4236:14, 4236:26, 4236:33, 4236:34, 4236:40, 4236:41, 4236:43
evident [1] - 4226:36
ex [1] - 4223:41
ex-partner [1] 4223:41
examination [4] 4220:20, 4231:16, 4231:21, 4232:32 examined [1] 4217:44
exclude [1] - 4232:8
excluded [3] 4217:18, 4231:32, 4231:41
exhibit [1] - 4232:31
exhibits [4] - 4218:38, 4220:21, 4231:36, 4231:37

| existence [1] - <br> 4236.13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { father [2] - 4221:45, } \\ & 4237: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| expect [1] - 4235:45 | feared [1] - 4222:35 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { expecting }[1] \text { - } \\ & 4218: 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fears [2] - 4221:23, } \\ & 4235: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| experienced [1] - | Feary [1] - 4217:10 |
| 4228:25 | feary [5] - 4222:20, |
| expert [2] - 4232:5, | 4222:47, 4223:1, |
| 4233:1 | 4226:31, 4226:41 |
| explain [2]-4233:38, | feary's [1] - 4222:22 |
| 4234:5 | features [1] - 4235:40 |
| explaining [1] - | February [2] - |
| 4218:19 | 4225:25, 4228:2 |
| explanation [3] - | fell [2]-4227:26, |
| 4227:18, 4234:10, | 4233:42 |
| 4234:42 | female [3]-4215:15, |
| explanations [1] - | 4215:36 |
| 4228:3 | few [3]-4226:14, |
| exploration [1] - | 4233:32, 4238:7 |
| 4222:13 | Final [7] - 4217:3, |
| explore [1] - 4219:1 | 4230:12, 4230:19, |
| expressed [2] - | 4230:20, 4230:29, |
| 4222:6, 4237:12 | 4230:45, 4235:14 |
| extension [1] - | finally [1] - 4220:18 |
| 4218:32 | findings [9]-4216:34, |
| extensive [1] - | 4217:29, 4217:34, |
| 4217:15 | 4227:5, 4227:30, |
| extent [1] - 4232:22 | 4231:6, 4231:23, |
| eye [2] - 4226:9, | 4232:42, 4235:20 |
| 4228:27 | fingernail [1] - |
| eyes [1] - 4227:42 | 4218:43 |
|  | Fingerprint [1] - |
| F | 4231:18 |
|  | fingerprinted [2] - |
| face [4]-4216:22, | 4219:36, 4219:47 |
| 4218:32, 4232:23, | fingerprinting [1] - |
| 4232:26 | 4235:44 |
| fact [8] - 4214:31, | fingerprints [5] - |
| 4221:37, 4224:42, | 4225:30, 4231:16, |
| 4227:24, 4230:20, | 4231:25, 4231:30, |
| 4236:13, 4237:30, | 4231:31 |
| 4238:4 | Fiona [1] - 4217:10 |
| factor [2] - 4235:29, | first [11] - 4214:30, |
| 4236:44 | 4214:34, 4214:36, |
| factors [1] - 4236:20 | 4217:42, 4221:7, |
| failure [2] - 4219:42, | 4224:47, 4227:9, |
| 4220:2 | 4229:4, 4233:25, |
| fallen [5] - 4218:28, | 4234:35, 4234:39 |
| 4219:46, 4220:12, | firstly [1] - 4231:10 |
| 4232:40, 4235:19 | five [1] - 4221:12 |
| falling [4]-4226:14, | flatmate [1] - 4223:22 |
| 4226:32, 4226:43, | floor [4]-4216:24, |
| 4226:45 | 4216:25, 4218:14, |
| familiar [1] - 4221:29 | 4218:25 |
| family [3] - 4226:35, | flown [1] - 4233:37 |
| 4237:10, 4237:11 | following [9]- |
| far [2]-4215:13, | 4219:33, 4220:40, |
| 4228:18 | 4221:5, 4223:27, |
| fatally [1] - 4222:13 | 4228:3, 4232:35, |
| fate [1] - 4221:7 | 4233:20, 4234:13, |

4234:33
follows [1] - 4227:4
foot [1] - 4218:13
Force [1] - 4236:39
forced [5] - 4216:32, 4217:45, 4223:34, 4225:29, 4233:25
forcibly [1] - 4236:23
Forensic [1] - 4231:38
forensic [9]-4219:31, 4219:43, 4220:3, 4227:25, 4231:24, 4232:32, 4233:1, 4235:42, 4236:41
forever [1] - 4224:43
forgive [1] - 4224:29
former [1] - 4225:14 four [1] - 4230:19 fourthly [1] - 4234:8 frank [1] - 4238:1 frequented [1] 4236:33
Friday [1] - 4213:24
fridge [1] - 4218:15
friend [10] - 4220:37,
4220:45, 4222:28,
4222:32, 4222:36,
4222:40, 4222:41, 4223:7, 4225:43,
4237:47
friend's [3] - 4222:31,
4222:34, 4223:16
friends [6] - 4215:18, 4215:38, 4216:45, 4228:4, 4229:3, 4236:31
friendship [2] 4235:22, 4237:3
front [5] - 4216:29, 4217:46, 4218:7, 4218:10, 4221:16
fucking [1] - 4226:26
full [2] - 4224:10, 4231:22
funeral [1] - 4224:20
furniture [3] -
4216:18, 4220:14, 4232:39
futile [1] - 4231:32

| $\mathbf{G}$ |
| :--- |
| gain $[1]-4222: 10$ |
| gained $[1]-4216: 28$ |
| gaps $[1]-4235: 44$ |
| Gardens $[1]-4237: 41$ |
| gay $[1]-4236: 33$ |
| gender $[2]-4215: 16$, |
| $4215: 23$ |
| generally $[1]-4219: 4$ |

Geoff [1] - 4224:35
gift [2] - 4221:11, 4221:15
girl [2] - 4215:42, 4215:43
given [7] - 4215:13,
4220:5, 4220:11, 4233:40, 4235:20, 4236:40, 4237:8
glasses [2] - 4216:19, 4219:24
glazed [1] - 4227:42
great [1] - 4229:37
greater [2] - 4220:5, 4235:19
greeting [1] - 4221:15
gremlins [1] - 4228:22
ground [2] - 4233:42, 4236:43
Gu [1] - 4220:44
guilt [2]-4234:18,
4236:15
guilty [1] - 4234:20
guy [1] - 4225:41

| $\mathbf{H}$ |
| :--- |
| hair $[3]-4231: 43$, |
| $4231: 44,4231: 45$ |
| hairs $[1]-4232: 2$ |
| half $[1]-4230: 44$ |
| halfway $[1]-4227: 9$ |
| hallway $[3]-4216: 21$, |

4216:25, 4218:1
hand [4] - 4214:13, 4227:19, 4227:23, 4231:9
handpiece [1] 4216:24
hands [1] - 4226:17
handset [1] - 4231:27
hanging [2] - 4216:24, 4218:30
hard [1] - 4222:42
harm [1] - 4219:6
hat ${ }_{[1]}$ - 4237:25
hate [3] - 4213:11,
4233:7, 4236:30
head [17] - 4216:13, 4216:14, 4216:36, 4217:30, 4220:6, 4220:7, 4226:18, 4226:43, 4227:15, 4227:18, 4227:27, 4232:8, 4232:9, 4232:10, 4232:40, 4233:43, 4238:18
headed [1] - 4218:45
hear [1] - 4221:14
heard [3] - 4217:15,

4221:26, 4221:37
hearing [2] - 4214:12, 4233:36
heavily [1] - 4236:2
heavy [4] - 4216:14, 4232:12, 4235:25, 4235:28
heightened [1] 4222:7
heinous [1] - 4220:25
held [1] - 4231:11
hell [1] - 4238:13
heroin [3]-4217:11, 4222:30, 4222:33
herself [1] - 4223:24
highlights [1] 4233:15
highly [2] - 4232:35, 4236:46
Hilton [1] - 4223:41
Hilton's [1] - 4223:42
him" [1] - 4234:11
hit [1] - 4227:15
hitting [1] - 4227:27
holding [1] - 4235:24
home [3]-4215:46, 4221:45, 4227:38
homicide [1] 4217:26
honest [2] - 4238:1, 4238:10
Honour [2] - 4227:1, 4227:30
Honour's [1] - 4227:5
Honourable [1] 4213:16
hook [1] - 4221:20
Hope [1] - 4224:14
hope [1] - 4238:11
hormonal [2] 4215:32, 4220:30
hormone [2] 4215:21, 4220:31
horror [1] - 4224:42
Hospital [3]-4221:21, 4229:4, 4229:16
hour [6] - 4228:43, 4229:45, 4230:38, 4230:43, 4234:43, 4235:3
house [2] - 4224:3, 4228:15
Hudson [6] - 4225:34, 4225:39, 4225:45, 4226:4, 4226:8, 4235:37
human [1] - 4238:11
humour [3] - 4228:32,
4228:33, 4235:36
husband [1] - 4222:1
hypothesis [1] -
4236:9
hysterics [1] -
4230:14
I
lan [1] - 4215:26
Identification [1] -
4231:18
identified [4] -
4215:14, 4231:12,
4231:31, 4231:46
identify [2] - 4219:5,
4234:2
identity [2]-4215:16,
4233:5
lles [11] - 4219:32,
4220:6, 4232:5,
4232:7, 4232:15,
4232:20, 4232:24,
4232:34, 4232:43,
4233:44, 4235:20
lles's [1] - 4232:6
impression [1] -
4223:10
inappropriate [1] 4228:33
incident [1] - 4227:46
including [10] -
4215:17, 4216:19,
4219:3, 4219:18,
4219:24, 4221:3,
4223:15, 4231:26,
4236:10, 4236:21
incomplete [1] -
4224:5
inconsistent [1] -
4233:39
inculpatory [5] -
4223:28, 4228:4, 4228:31, 4234:16,
4235:38
indeed [2]-4220:13,
4235:28
independent [1] 4238:2
indescribable [1] -
4224:13
indicate [4] - 4220:32,
4234:18, 4234:19,
4236:20
indicated [2] -
4220:36, 4230:17
indication [1] -
4233:12
indirectly [3] -
4224:45, 4225:13,
4236:14
individual [1] -

4232:44
inference [3]-4221:6, 4227:3, 4227:12
inflicted [2]-4217:31, 4238:18
influence [1] - 4236:2
information [5] 4219:13, 4223:6, 4225:18, 4232:29, 4235:18
inherent [1] - 4233:16 initial [2] - 4214:5, 4233:46
injuries [8] - 4216:13, 4216:35, 4217:31, 4220:6, 4232:9, 4232:11, 4233:43, 4238:18
injuries" [1] - 4232:8
injury [6] - 4216:36,
4232:12, 4232:16,
4232:26, 4232:34,
4232:36
inquest [11] - 4216:39, 4217:13, 4219:18, 4225:12, 4226:40, 4227:45, 4228:30, 4229:28, 4233:30 4237:9, 4237:12
inquire [2]-4221:21, 4230:12
inquiries [3] - 4219:8, 4219:10, 4225:24
INQUIRY [1] - 4238:28
inquiry [1] - 4231:12
Inquiry [17] - 4213:9, 4215:10, 4215:14, 4215:23, 4217:33, 4219:31, 4231:9, 4231:13, 4231:15, 4231:36, 4232:1, 4232:5, 4232:28, 4233:1, 4234:3, 4236:9, 4236:12
Inquiry's [1] - 4219:30
insert [3]-4218:26, 4231:30, 4231:33
inserts [3]-4216:21, 4218:40, 4236:22
inside [1] - 4216:30
instance [1] - 4223:15
instances [1] - 4217:7
insufficient [2] 4236:15, 4236:40
insulation [1] -
4218:33
intention [1] - 4233:33
interest [5] - 4216:41, 4217:14, 4217:35, 4219:1, 4222:14
interested [1] - 4229:7 interference [2] 4233:13, 4236:26 interview [4] 4223:39, 4225:24, 4228:2, 4229:14 interviewed [2] 4219:2, 4230:31
intradermal [1] 4232:22
investigating [2] 4226:35, 4231:10
investigation [11] 4216:42, 4217:43, 4218:45, 4219:28, 4219:30, 4220:21, 4223:5, 4228:35, 4231:24, 4234:2, 4236:29
invite [1] - 4236:36 involve [2]-4232:44, 4235:23
involved [7]-4225:13,
4225:15, 4233:22, 4235:47, 4236:17, 4236:46, 4237:1
involvement [1] 4236:8
involves [1] - 4236:5
Irene [2] - 4223:32, 4227:35
iron [6] - 4218:25, 4218:31, 4218:33, 4219:35, 4219:39, 4220:13
ironing [8] - 4218:2, 4218:24, 4218:25, 4218:28, 4218:30, 4218:35, 4220:12, 4220:13
issues [1] - 4217:5
item [1] - 4232:17
items [2]-4216:19, 4219:22
itinerant [1] - 4233:29
工 J

January [4] - 4226:11,
4227:34, 4229:13,
4230:34
Jez [1] - 4213:31
Joel [4] - 4223:42,
4223:43, 4233:47,
4234:3
John [1] - 4213:16
journal [1] - 4229:31
June [2] - 4213:24, 4214:15
Justice [1] - 4213:16

| K | $\begin{aligned} & \text { least [5] - 4219:42, } \\ & 4220: 2,4231: 40, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Kensington [6] - | 4234:29, 4234:35 |
| 4215:46, 4216:47, | leave [1] - 4220:41 |
| 4217:30, 4222:17, | leaving [2] - 4218:8, |
| 4235:13, 4238:18 | 4227:38 |
| kept [2] - 4229:16, | led [1] - 4235:23 |
| 4229:31 | left [15] - 4218:7, |
| key [3] - 4216:41, | 4221:46, 4222:2, |
| 4217:47, 4218:8 | 4222:23, 4222:46, |
| keys [14]-4216:25, | 4229:30, 4230:34, |
| 4216:31, 4222:18, | 4230:35, 4230:42, |
| 4222:47, 4223:36, | 4232:9, 4232:23, |
| 4223:44, 4225:31, | 4232:26, 4234:30, |
| 4227:38, 4227:41, | 4234:41, 4235:8 |
| 4227:47, 4228:15, | legs [5] - 4216:11, |
| 4228:21, 4234:5, | 4216:13, 4218:40, |
| 4234:23 | 4233:11, 4236:25 |
| kicking [1] - 4223:16 | letter [4] - 4224:5, |
| kidding [1] - 4226:26 | 4224:6, 4224:24, |
| kill [5] - 4225:46, | 4225:12 |
| 4226:21, 4226:27, | Level [1] - 4213:20 |
| 4227:24, 4230:41 | LGBTIQ [5] - 4213:11, |
| killed [7] - 4223:33, | 4219:8, 4236:21, |
| 4225:41, 4225:47, | 4236:44, 4236:47 |
| 4232:18, 4234:9, | liar [1] - 4227:32 |
| 4234:11, 4236:21 | life [3]-4224:26, |
| killer [2]-4232:47, | 4226:13, 4238:13 |
| 4233:11 | lifestyle [2] - 4233:29, |
| kind [1] - 4219:4 | 4237:32 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { kitchen [5] - 4216:10, } \\ \text { 4216:29, 4218:4, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { light }[2]-4219: 30, \\ & 4222: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4221:40, 4221:42 | lights [1] - 4221:13 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { knocked [5] - 4218:3, } \\ 4221: 11,4227: 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { likely [3] - 4232:4, } \\ 4235: 8,4236: 8 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4227:19, 4233:43 | limitations [1] - |
| knocking [1] - | 4233:16 |
| 4227:27 | limited [1] - 4220:11 |
| knowledge [1] - 4235:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Linda [2] - 4219:32, } \\ & 4232: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { known }[2]-4231: 18 \text {, } \\ & 4235: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { line }[2]-4214: 34, \\ & 4214: 36 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | linear [1] - 4232:22 |
| L | linearity [1] - 4232:21 |
|  | lines [1] - 4231:12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { lack [2] - 4233:25, } \\ & 4236: 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { link [2] - 4217:25, } \\ & \text { 4236:4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| ladder [1]-4216:29 | linking [1] - 4236:41 |
| largely [1] - 4217:22 | lived [1] - 4220:44 |
| last [6] - 4220:43, | living [3] - 4227:34, |
| 4220:45, 4221:4, | 4228:22, 4233:29 |
| 4224:20, 4224:32, | located [6] - 4216:12, |
| 4226:14 | 4216:21, 4216:26, |
| latter [1] - 4234:42 | 4224:5, 4226:15, |
| lead [2] - 4217:8, | 4236:25 |
| 4218:32 | location [1] - 4236:22 |
| lead-up [1] - 4217:8 | locations [1] - |
| leading [2]-4220:26, | 4231:26 |
| 4233:43 | lock [1] - 4218:9 |
| learning [1] - 4237:46 | locked [5] - 4216:30, |

4217:47, 4221:16, 4223:34, 4225:46 locking [1] - 4218:8 locks [1] - 4216:30 logs [2] - 4230:18, 4234:26 look [3] - 4214:46, 4220:25, 4228:18
looking [3] - 4230:24, 4233:31
loudly [1] - 4222:1
Louise [2] - 4220:45, 4225:27
lounge [8]-4216:22,
4216:23, 4218:2,
4219:46, 4220:11, 4220:14, 4226:15, 4226:37
loving [1] - 4238:11
Lun [1] - 4220:44
lying [3] - 4216:9,
4216:22, 4226:37

| $\mathbf{M}$ |
| :---: |

macabre [2] -
4228:32, 4235:36
machine [2] -
4216:24, 4220:41
Macquarie [1] 4213:20
maggots [1] - 4216:15
Maisys [15] - 4228:42,
4229:26, 4230:5,
4230:9, 4230:20,
4230:28, 4230:34,
4230:40, 4230:42,
4234:16, 4234:28,
4234:31, 4234:40,
4235:1, 4235:8
male [1] - 4215:36
manner [4] - 4219:32,
4232:4, 4237:6,
4238:16
manually [1] - 4231:17
March [1] - 4216:43
Marcia [1] - 4222:27
Marisa [1] - 4226:13
Marys [4] - 4228:44,
4230:45, 4235:9,
4235:12
matched [1] - 4231:40
material [3] - 4214:14,
4214:20, 4232:28
materials [1] -
4223:21
Mathew [1] - 4213:36
matters [1] - 4219:33
Max [3] - 4215:27,
4237:9, 4237:18
mean [1] - 4229:11
meaning [1] - 4233:11
means [2] - 4219:43, 4220:3
meant [1] - 4228:13
media [1] - 4219:17
medical [1] - 4215:33
medication [1] -
4220:29
meet [3] - 4222:10,
4229:21, 4238:12
meeting [19] -
4225:20, 4228:41
4229:19, 4229:24,
4229:25, 4229:30,
4229:36, 4229:37, 4229:39, 4229:41,
4229:46, 4230:3,
4230:4, 4230:8,
4230:32, 4231:2, 4231:4, 4234:28
Megan [1] - 4223:6
Melis [2] - 4213:30, 4238:26
MELIS [8] - 4214:3, 4214:12, 4214:20, 4214:25, 4214:30, 4214:36, 4214:41, 4215:10
melted [1] - 4218:33
members [3] -
4226:35, 4229:38, 4237:10
men [1] - 4215:37
mention [3] - 4229:35, 4229:44
mentioned [3] 4219:29, 4227:35, 4232:30
met [4]-4216:43, 4221:7, 4229:3, 4229:14
methylated [1] 4222:37 might [13] - 4218:34, 4222:35, 4223:44, 4225:47, 4233:38, 4234:1, 4234:5, 4234:18, 4234:19, 4234:47, 4235:1, 4236:8
Miles [2] - 4230:15, 4230:17 miles [1] - 4230:18 mini [1] - 4218:42 minutes [3] - 4220:46, 4221:12, 4230:35 missing [1] - 4230:15
mistress [1] - 4224:27
mitochondrial [1] -

4231:46
mixed [1] - 4222:38
model [1] - 4232:30
moment [3] - 4217:40,
4224:41, 4237:8
months [1] - 4229:15
mood [2] - 4227:43,
4228:25
moreover [1] -
4236:46
morning [4] - 4221:5,
4221:18, 4222:5,
4229:18
mortem [1] - 4216:34
most [3] - 4227:3,
4227:12, 4238:10
mother [6] - 4215:40,
4218:10, 4220:35,
4221:2, 4221:45,
4222:8
motivated [1] 4236:47
motivations [1] 4233:9
motive [3]-4232:47, 4233:16, 4236:27
mounted [1] - 4233:23
mouth [1] - 4216:15
movements [3] -
4223:45, 4225:18, 4228:37
multiple [2] - 4221:2, 4221:19
murder [3] - 4216:37, 4227:43, 4233:28
murdered [1] 4224:20
must [5] - 4225:37, 4225:41, 4226:1, 4229:43, 4236:12
mustard [2] - 4218:14, 4218:16 mutual [1] - 4223:7
$\overline{\mathrm{N}}$

NAFIS ${ }_{[1]}$ - 4231:19 name [4]-4215:13, 4215:17, 4216:42, 4237:45
Narcotics [2] -
4229:30, 4229:39
National [1] - 4231:17
nausea [1] - 4220:29
Nazmeen [1] 4225:34
near [2]-4218:1, 4220:20 necessary [4] 4217:42, 4218:20,

4220:25, 4223:28
need [1] - 4214:26
neighbour [1] 4221:26
Neutral [5] - 4225:20,
4228:41, 4229:20,
4229:30, 4229:41
never [5] - 4215:35,
4225:9, 4232:31,
4234:22
nevertheless [2]-
4235:41, 4237:11
New [1] - 4213:21
news [1] - 4224:19
newspaper [1] -
4215:30
next [1] - 4230:13
nightmare [2]4226:14, 4226:21
Nisha [1] - 4225:34
no-one [2] - 4216:37, 4226:34
none [1] - 4219:5
North [2] - 4229:3, 4229:15
northern [1] - 4228:46
nose [1] - 4216:15
note [3]-4218:10,
4222:2, 4229:46
noted [8] - 4219:33, 4221:38, 4223:11, 4223:14, 4226:33, 4227:31, 4228:33, 4229:5
notes [2]-4224:23, 4233:10 noteworthy [1] 4224:6
nothing [1] - 4217:25
noticed [1] - 4221:46
November [1] -
4217:29
NSW [4] - 4213:36, 4216:28, 4231:11, 4231:21
number [8] - 4217:44, 4218:38, 4219:2, 4223:27, 4228:4, 4230:24, 4231:12, 4231:26
O
object [4] - 4216:15, 4232:10, 4232:13, 4232:15
objects [1] - 4232:38 observations [1] 4217:45
observed [9] - 4216:9,

4216:15, 4218:14, 4218:24, 4218:31,
4226:8, 4227:41,
4230:3, 4233:44
observes [1] 4232:20
obtained [2] 4219:13, 4219:31
occasion [1] 4226:11
occasionally [1] 4234:35
occasioned [1] 4228:27
occasions [1] 4229:10
occurred [4] 4229:37, 4229:38, 4235:5, 4237:2
occurrence [1] 4236:13
October [2] - 4215:32, 4222:20
odds [1] - 4235:36
OF [1] - 4238:28
offence [1] - 4233:4
offender [3] - 4218:7, 4218:9, 4233:17
offered [1] - 4228:3
offers [1] - 4235:12
officer [1] - 4221:37
officers [6] - 4216:6, 4216:28, 4217:43, 4218:20, 4218:28, 4220:15
often [3] - 4215:15, 4235:25, 4237:23
old [3]-4215:41, 4216:1, 4217:2
older [1] - 4229:38
omissions [2] -
4219:29, 4220:10
omitted [1] - 4220:14
on" [1] - 4220:41
once [2] - 4225:14, 4233:34
one [14]-4214:25, 4215:26, 4216:12, 4216:21, 4216:37, 4216:41, 4223:15, 4226:11, 4226:34, 4226:41, 4227:19, 4235:3, 4236:44
one-on-one [1] 4235:3
open [3] - 4215:16, 4233:32, 4236:31
opened [1] - 4216:31
openly [1] - 4236:32
operating [1] -

4219:14
opinion [6] - 4219:31,
4232:5, 4233:1,
4233:15, 4236:42,
4238:2
opportunist [1] -
4222:44
opportunity ${ }^{[1]}$ 4235:7
oral [3] - 4228:30,
4229:10, 4237:7
orange [1] - 4218:42
order [1] - 4220:23
orders [3]-4214:16,
4214:47, 4215:8
organisations [1] -
4238:5
organise [1] - 4223:2
organised [1] -
4224:26
original [4] - 4216:41,
4219:27, 4220:21,
4236:29
originating [1] -
4231:46
outbursts [1] - 4217:7
outline [1] - 4231:13
outlined [3] - 4220:10,
4228:5, 4231:22
outlines [1] - 4218:47
outstretched [1] -
4216:11
own [4]-4215:24,
4222:24, 4223:45,
4231:26

| $\mathbf{P}$ | 4226:7, 4227:47, |
| :---: | :---: |
| packed ${ }_{[1]}$ - 4227:37 <br> page [4]-4224:32, <br> 4224:47, 4227:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4230:15, 4236:41, } \\ & 4237: 33,4238: 8, \\ & 4238: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| paid [1] - 4227:39 | personal [2] - |
| paragraph [2] - | 4216:19, 4222:29 |
| 4214:30, 4224:32 | personality [2] - |
| paragraphs [1] - | 4223:18, 4233:40 |
| 4231:22 | persons [4]-4217:31, |
| parcel [1] - 4221:46 | 4219:1, 4223:28, |
| parents [1] - 4215:27 | 4238:19 |
| Parrabell [1] - 4236:39 | persuasion [1] - |
| part [6] - 4215:22, | 4236:13 |
| 4221:38, 4221:40, | Peter [2] - 4225:21, |
| 4229:6, 4229:32, | 4230:7 |
| 4232:25 | Phoenix [1] - 4229:15 |
| participated [2] - | phone [5] - 4221:3, |
| 4223:38, 4229:13 | 4221:7, 4221:20, |
| particular [6] - | 4226:5, 4226:6 |
| 4217:39, 4225:19, | photo [6] - 4218:20, |
| 4228:6, 4235:42, | 4218:21, 4218:23, |
| 4236:9, 4238:7 | 4218:31, 4219:22, |
| particularly [3] - | 4237:15 |

4219:30, 4227:7, 4235:46
partner [3] - 4223:41,
4225:14, 4236:36
parts [1] - 4237:19
pathological [1] -
4232:42
pathologist [1] 4219:31
patient [1] - 4221:22
pattern [1] - 4216:34
patterning [1] -
4232:22
Paul [2] - 4218:46, 4223:41
peculiar [2] - 4217:23, 4217:39
people [5] - 4219:2,
4223:6, 4228:42,
4235:1, 4237:45
per [1] - 4223:3
performed [1] -
4232:1
perhaps [4]-4227:10, 4227:12, 4227:14, 4227:27
permission [1] -
4224:4
perpetrated ${ }^{[1]}$ -
4220:24
perpetrator [1] 4233:9
person [16] - 4216:41, 4217:14, 4217:19, 4217:31, 4217:35, 4219:5, 4222:14, 4226:7, 4227:47, 4228:45, 4230:14 4237:33, 4238.8

4238:19
personal [2] -
personality [2] 4223:18, 4233:40 persons [4] - 4217:31, 4219:1, 4223:28,
4238:19

Peter [2]-4225:21,
Phoenix [1] - 4229:15
phone [5] - 4221:3, 4221.7, 4221.20
photo [6] - 4218:20
4218:21, 4218:23,
4237:15
photographs [1] 4232:24
photos [2]-4232:16, 4232:20
phrases [1] - 4225:47
piece ${ }_{[1]}$ - 4220:23
pieces [4] - 4218:13,
4218:14, 4218:16, 4218:40
place [1] - 4225:30 placed [5]-4218:10,
4221:15, 4221:39,
4221:40, 4226:17
plan [3] - 4216:5, 4216:17, 4220:15
plaque ${ }_{[1]}$ - 4237:41
plastic [2]-4216:20, 4236:22
plate $[7]-4218: 14$,
4218:16, 4218:41,
4220:19, 4221:27,
4221:37, 4221:39
plates $[1]$ - 4221:30
plums [5] - 4216:12, 4218:39, 4220:19,
4233:10, 4236:25
point [2]-4221:34, 4236:14 police [32]-4215:40, 4216:38, 4216:42, 4218:38, 4218:45, 4219:1, 4219:27, 4220:11, 4220:21, 4221:28, 4222:10, 4223:5, 4223:8, 4223:38, 4223:39, 4225:17, 4225:24, 4226:35, 4226:41, 4227:20, 4228:2, 4228:35, 4228:40, 4229:2, 4229:14, 4230:31, 4233:46, 4234:2, 4234:40, 4235:31, 4236:29
Police [6] - 4213:36, 4214:6, 4216:28, 4222:9, 4231:11, 4231:21
position [2]-4230:33, 4238:23
positively $[1]$ 4229:36
possibility [9] -
4221:36, 4221:38, 4224:44, 4225:14, 4231:33, 4232:39, 4234:4, 4236:20, 4236:23
possible [8] 4232:11, 4232:47,

4233:9, 4233:33,
4233:41, 4234:8,
4236:25, 4237:3
possibly [2] - 4221:23, 4237:29
post [3]-4216:34,
4218:9, 4222:2
post-it [2] - 4218:9, 4222:2
post-mortem [1] 4216:34
potential [2] - 4219:1, 4236:24
power [2]-4218:33, 4218:34
practitioner [1] -
4215:34
prefer [1] - 4224:40
preference [1] 4215:24
premeditated [1] 4236:1
premises [1] - 4218:7
prepared [1] - 4220:15
prescribed [2] 4215:33, 4220:28
presence [1] -
4220:18
Present [1] - 4213:34
presenter [1] -
4215:30
pressed [1] - 4229:5
pressure [1] - 4228:10
presumably [1] 4236:1
presume [1] - 4215:2
previously [2] 4221:29, 4227:35
primary [2] - 4217:14,
4217:35
Prince [1]-4221:21
probabilities [1] 4236:16
probable [1] - 4237:1
problem [2]-4225:4, 4225:9
problems [3] -
4222:22, 4222:29, 4223:9
proceedings [2] 4236:11, 4238:26
produced [1] - 4216:5
profile [3] - 4231:40,
4231:45, 4232:24
programmer [1] -
4215:28
prompted [1] -
4225:23
proof [1] - 4236:10
property [1] - 4218:4
proposed [2] -
4214:15, 4236:14
protecting [1] 4234:36
provide [1] - 4225:22
provided [3] -
4222:26, 4223:6, 4225:18
psychiatrist [1] -
4233:1
public [2] - 4214:12, 4235:14
pursued [1] - 4231:12
pushed [1] - 4233:43
put [6]-4218:21,
4226:28, 4227:4,
4233:20, 4237:15,
4237:40

| $\mathbf{Q}$ |
| :---: |
| quickly $[1]-4223: 18$ <br> quite $[2]-4228: 34$, <br> $4237: 22$ |
| $\mathbf{R}$ |

Radio [2] - 4219:3, 4222:26
radio [8] - 4215:19, 4215:29, 4216:45, 4220:38, 4225:28, 4237:26, 4237:43, 4237:46
rage [1] - 4233:37
raise [3] - 4221:13,
4222:2, 4232:39
raised [1] - 4219:17
raises [1] - 4234:4
raising [1] - 4236:22
ramble [1] - 4224:30
Randwick [1] - 4222:9
rang [2] - 4221:11,
4221:47
rapid [1] - 4232:35
read [5] - 4214:32, 4214:41, 4224:10, 4237:8, 4237:18
readily [1] - 4232:43
reading [1] - 4215:30
real [1]-4226:14
realise [1] - 4237:21
really [2] - 4227:22, 4237:32
reason [1] - 4235:45
reasons [1] - 4234:33
recalled [1] - 4230:7
receive [1] - 4220:31
received [1] - 4224:18
reception [1] -

4226:15
receptionist ${ }_{[1]}$ 4230:13
recognition [1] -
4227:16
recollection [1] -
4227:46
recollections [1] 4234:27
record [1] - 4214:20
recorded [2] -
4216:34, 4216:36
records [2] - 4220:31,
4231:11
recovery [1] - 4229:32
redactions [1] -
4214:47
refer [2]-4215:23,
4227:7
reference [2] -
4214:31, 4234:45
referred [2] - 4227:1,
4229:37
refrigerator [1] -
4221:39
refusal [1] - 4227:41
refused [1] - 4227:38
regarded [3] - 4234:1,
4235:2, 4236:8
regarding [6] -
4215:16, 4222:47,
4226:41, 4235:24,
4236:31, 4237:4
regular [2]-4215:27,
4229:16
regularly [1] - 4222:21
rehab [1] - 4229:15
reinvestigated [1] 4216:38
relates [1] - 4225:13
relating [1] - 4232:29
relation [1] - 4222:22
relationship [5] -
4215:35, 4229:5,
4229:6, 4229:11,
4234:36
Relaxation [1] -
4217:4
relevant [1] - 4219:14
relevantly [1] -
4223:33
reliable [1] - 4234:32
remain [1] - 4237:12
remained [1] - 4221:4
remains [2] - 4217:35, 4221:36
remarks [1] - 4227:13
remember [3] -
4223:46, 4234:20, 4235:33
remembering [1] 4235:29
removed [1] - 4236:23
render [1] - 4231:32
repeated [1] - 4225:47
replacement ${ }_{[1]}$ -
4215:22
replied [1] - 4226:24
report [3] - 4220:6,
4232:6, 4233:2
represent [1] -
4232:12
request [1] - 4231:15
requested [1] - 4232:1
reserve [1] - 4238:23
respect [5] - 4217:34,
4220:19, 4229:32,
4231:3, 4237:38
responsible [3] -
4217:19, 4224:44,
4238:8
restaurant [1] -
4221:29
result [2] - 4226:32, 4238:18
results [1] - 4231:22
retrieved [1] - 4231:43
return [3] - 4217:38,
4223:2, 4227:38
returned [4]-4223:3,
4228:15, 4231:44,
4234:23
returning [1] -
4222:47
revealed [1] - 4218:15
reviewed [2] -
4217:33, 4232:28
reviewing [1] -
4231:10
rickety ${ }_{[1]}$ - 4227:21
room [8] - 4216:22,
4216:23, 4218:2,
4219:46, 4220:12,
4220:14, 4224:2,
4226:38
Rose [74]-4214:13,
4214:31, 4215:12,
4215:24, 4215:26,
4215:27, 4215:32, 4215:34, 4215:41, 4215:45, 4216:6, 4216:9, 4216:46, 4217:26, 4217:30, 4218:5, 4218:41,
4219:4, 4219:9,
4219:10, 4219:22, 4219:40, 4220:24, 4220:28, 4220:30, 4220:35, 4220:37,
4220:43, 4220:45,

4220:47, 4221:7, 4221:19, 4221:22, 4221:23, 4221:34, 4222:2, 4222:3, 4222:13, 4222:26, 4222:28, 4222:34, 4222:35, 4222:40, 4222:41, 4222:42, 4222:46, 4223:7, 4223:8, 4223:10, 4223:11, 4223:31, 4223:33, 4223:40, 4224:19, 4225:46, 4226:31, 4231:34, 4231:45, 4232:18, 4233:22, 4233:26, 4233:34, 4233:38, 4233:42, 4234:23, 4235:5, 4235:22, 4235:23, 4236:20, 4236:30, 4237:18, 4238:7, 4238:17 rose [3]-4216:43, 4218:35, 4222:21
Rose's [76] - 4215:40, 4216:3, 4216:17, 4216:23, 4216:37, 4217:2, 4217:8, 4217:19, 4217:36, 4217:44, 4218:10, 4218:13, 4218:15, 4218:40, 4219:3, 4219:5, 4219:15, 4219:17, 4219:32, 4220:7, 4220:20, 4221:2, 4221:10, 4221:27, 4221:33, 4221:45, 4222:6, 4222:8, 4222:16, 4222:18, 4222:23, 4223:20, 4223:27, 4223:40, 4225:15, 4225:31, 4226:35, 4226:43, 4227:2, 4227:3, 4228:15, 4228:21, 4228:34, 4231:3, 4231:10, 4231:16, 4231:25, 4231:40, 4232:4, 4232:7, 4232:9, 4232:23, 4232:34, 4232:40, 4232:44, 4232:47, 4233:5, 4233:10, 4233:33, 4234:1, 4234:6, 4234:8, 4235:7, 4235:18, 4235:30, 4235:47, 4236:4, 4236:17, 4236:23, 4236:25, 4236:43, 4237:2, 4237:9,

4238:8
rose's [1] - 4217:13
rostered [1] - 4230:12
rot [1] - 4238:13
round [2] - 4237:24, 4237:27
Royal [1] - 4229:15
running [1] - 4235:12
S

Sackar [1] - 4213:16
Sadek [1] - 4220:45
sadness [1] - 4224:13
Samantha [7] -
4214:13, 4215:12, 4215:17, 4215:23, 4222:7, 4237:12, 4237:15
samples [3] - 4231:43, 4231:44, 4231:45
Sandra [3] - 4216:42, 4217:35, 4222:14
Saturday [1] - 4220:32
Saturdays [1] 4220:31
SC01.00041.00102 ${ }^{[1]}$ - 4228:6

SC01.10122.00002]
[1] - 4219:20
SC01.83315 [1] 4229:47
scant [1] - 4236:26 scattered [3] 4216:20, 4219:23, 4232:38
scenario [4] 4232:41, 4232:43, 4235:40, 4236:5
scene [20] - 4216:5,
4216:6, 4216:7,
4217:43, 4217:44,
4218:20, 4218:21,
4218:39, 4219:36,
4219:42, 4220:3,
4220:15, 4231:37,
4232:16, 4232:20,
4233:6, 4234:3,
4235:17, 4235:43
Science [1] - 4231:38
SCOI.00041.0026_
0001] [1] - 4216:7
SCOI.83316_0001 [1]

- 4227:6

SCOI. $83317{ }^{[1]}$ -
4233:2
SCOI. $83339_{[1] ~-~}^{\text {- }}$ 4232:6
SCOI.83402_0001 [1]

- 4224:7
screen [4] - 4221:16, 4226:37, 4227:4, 4237:16
search [3]-4218:15, 4224:2, 4224:4 seat [1] - 4237:40 second [4] - 4225:24, 4228:2, 4233:28, 4234:22
secondly [1] - 4234:39
security [3] - 4216:30, 4218:9, 4221:47 see [4]-4225:41, 4229:23, 4232:15, 4237:24
seeking [2] - 4233:29, 4233:34
seeks [1] - 4238:23
seize [2] - 4219:42, 4220:2
seized [2] - 4219:37, 4219:47
Senior [1] - 4218:46
sense [3]-4228:32, 4228:33, 4235:36 sentence [2] 4214:30, 4227:10 sentiments [1] 4237:11
serious [2] - 4222:29, 4236:12
Service [1] - 4231:38
services [1] - 4235:12
set [6] - 4216:25, 4222:18, 4223:44, 4227:38, 4228:21, 4234:22
several [3] - 4223:5, 4231:43, 4235:11
severe [1]-4216:13
sex [2]-4217:3, 4229:10
sexual [8] - 4215:35, 4229:4, 4229:6, 4229:11, 4233:11, 4233:13, 4234:35, 4236:36
sexually [1] - 4236:35
shared [1] - 4237:13
shirt [3]-4218:30, 4218:42, 4231:44
shit [1] - 4226:27
shocked [1] - 4227:40
shop [1] - 4229:38
Shore [1] - 4229:16
Short [3] - 4213:36, 4214:5, 4215:2
short [3]-4214:8,
4218:34, 4230:37
short-circuited [1] -

4218:34
shorted [1] - 4218:3
shortly [4] - 4220:8,
4222:8, 4231:1, 4234:31
show [5] - 4216:4,
4216:6, 4218:20,
4224:6, 4237:42
shown [4]-4219:20,
4220:16, 4221:6,
4237:37
shows [1] - 4219:22
sick [1] - 4224:36
side [5] - 4216:12,
4220:29, 4232:10,
4232:23, 4232:26
sides [1] - 4226:18
sign [2] - 4223:34, 4225:29
signed [1] - 4230:33
significance [3] -
4220:5, 4235:19,
4236:24
significant [4] 4221:5, 4231:24, 4232:12, 4235:43 significantly [2] -
4227:1, 4232:43
signs [2] - 4217:45, 4226:36
similar [1] - 4232:21
simply [1] - 4234:47
simultaneously [1] 4235:30
sincere [1] - 4237:33
sister [6] - 4217:10,
4222:21, 4222:32,
4222:34, 4222:43,
4227:13
sits [1] - 4235:36
sitting [2] - 4218:29, 4219:46
six [1] - 4229:15
sketch [4]-4216:5, 4216:7, 4216:17, 4220:15
skirt [1] - 4218:42
sleep [2] - 4225:37, 4226:1
slightly [1] - 4229:31 small [2] - 4214:25, 4218:13
smaller [1] - 4223:11
Solicitor [1] - 4213:31
someone [7] -
4223:17, 4226:28,
4226:42, 4234:5,
4234:19, 4237:27,
4237:47
sometimes [1] -

4215:17
somewhat [2] -
4234:1, 4235:2
somewhere [1] 4221:44
son [1] - 4223:42 sophisticated [1] 4235:46
sort [1] - 4237:24
sought [3] - 4231:36, 4232:5, 4233:1
sound [1] - 4221:30
South [1] - 4213:21
spare [1] - 4228:21
speaking [1] - 4226:6
special [1] - 4235:17
Special [1] - 4213:9
SPECIAL [1] - 4238:28
specific [1]-4229:35
spectrum [2]-
4232:11, 4232:42
speculation [1] 4236:6
speculative [2] -
4232:41, 4233:41
spent [2] - 4234:46, 4235:2
spirits [1] - 4222:37
St [6] - 4224:3, 4228:44, 4230:16, 4230:45, 4235:9, 4235:12
stable [1] - 4217:5
stage [3] - 4216:44, 4222:16, 4226:7
stand [4] - 4226:33, 4226:44, 4227:22
stark [1] - 4219:29
Starling [5] - 4225:21, 4230:2, 4230:35, 4234:27, 4235:31
start [1] - 4223:23
starts [1] - 4227:9
state [4] - 4216:18, 4227:2, 4227:37, 4230:4
statement [5] 4215:40, 4218:46, 4221:28, 4230:33, 4234:41
statements [5] 4223:28, 4223:29, 4228:4, 4228:31, 4234:17
states [2] - 4226:31, 4230:23
stating [3] - 4217:19, 4226:5, 4227:39
station [3] - 4216:45, 4220:38, 4225:28

Station [1] - 4222:9 stations [3] - 4215:19, 4215:29, 4237:44
stay [3]-4216:46, 4222:36, 4233:35
stayed [5] - 4222:17, 4223:41, 4225:14, 4230:28, 4230:32
staying [4] - 4223:39, 4224:3, 4225:10, 4230:16 stealing [1] - 4222:33
Steinbeck [2] 4215:33, 4215:35
Stephen [1] - 4220:38
steps [1] - 4218:47
stepson [2] - 4223:35, 4233:47 still [4] - 4220:37, 4221:20, 4228:46, 4237:21
stole [1] - 4223:42
stood [1] - 4226:17
stopped [1] - 4221:47
stored [1] - 4231:38
straw [1] - 4237:25
Street [1] - 4213:20
stressed [2] -
4228:34, 4229:43
strictness [1] -
4236:10
Strike [1] - 4236:39
strong [1] - 4221:6
strongly [1] - 4216:35
struggle [1] - 4226:36
subjected [3] -
4219:43, 4220:3, 4232:32
submissions [6] 4214:15, 4214:26, 4231:23, 4233:20, 4236:19, 4237:7
submitted [8] -
4217:34, 4219:27,
4226:34, 4233:15,
4234:30, 4234:31,
4236:36, 4238:15
submitting [1] 4237:6 subsequently [1] 4216:45
substance [1] 4217:5
suburb [1] - 4215:46
suburbs [1] - 4228:46
suffered [1] - 4228:26
sufficient [1] 4236:43
suggest [5] - 4218:35, 4219:10, 4219:35,

4220:10, 4233:4
suggesting [3] 4230:43, 4235:18, 4236:27
suggestive [2] 4216:35, 4232:35 suggests [5] 4214:43, 4227:17, 4233:26, 4234:30, 4235:11
Sullivan [4]-4233:2, 4233:3, 4233:10, 4236:42
Sullivan's [1] 4233:15 sum [1] - 4228:39
Sunday [2] - 4221:42, 4221:44
supply [1] - 4218:34
support [1] - 4235:13
surprising [3]-
4234:47, 4235:2, 4235:41
suspicion [2]-
4217:21, 4217:27
suspicious [1] 4234:1
sustained [1] 4216:13
swabbed [2] -
4219:36, 4219:47
swings [1] - 4228:26
Sydney [5] - 4213:21, 4215:28, 4215:47, 4228:46, 4229:3
symbolic [1] - 4233:11
System [1] - 4231:18
systems [1] - 4215:28

| $\mathbf{T}$ |
| :---: |

T-shirt [2] - 4218:42, 4231:44
tab [7] - 4218:47, 4224:7, 4227:6, 4228:6, 4229:46, 4232:6, 4233:2
Takao [1] - 4221:26
taxi [3]-4228:44, 4230:44, 4235:8
technology [1] 4237:46
Telegraph [1] 4219:19
telephone $[7]$ 4216:23, 4220:46, 4221:20, 4230:24, 4231:27, 4234:26, 4235:6
television [26] -

4216:22, 4218:23, 4218:29, 4219:45, 4220:2, 4220:8, 4220:13, 4226:32, 4226:37, 4226:41, 4226:42, 4226:44, 4226:45, 4227:2,
4227:14, 4227:19, 4227:24, 4232:17, 4232:21, 4232:25, 4232:30, 4232:31, 4232:40, 4233:42, 4235:18
telly ${ }_{[1]}$ - 4225:42
tender [1] - 4224:7
term [1] - 4225:13
termed [2]-4223:24, 4225:4
terrible [1] - 4224:19
testing [7] - 4219:43,
4220:3, 4220:18,
4231:39, 4231:44,
4231:47, 4232:1
tests [1] - 4235:44
text [1] - 4227:9
theft [1]-4223:9
theory [1] - 4235:13
therapy [1] - 4215:22
thereafter [1] -
4230:28
thinking [1] - 4235:31
thirdly [1] - 4234:45
this' [1] - 4226:28
Thornton [5] -
4218:46, 4225:21, 4230:7, 4230:23, 4234:27
thorough [1] -
4219:28
threads [1] - 4236:14
three [3]-4214:21, 4228:42, 4231:45
throughout [2] 4216:20, 4219:23
thrown [3]-4222:32, 4222:36, 4227:26
Thwaites [17] 4225:21, 4228:32, 4228:38, 4228:43, 4228:45, 4229:2, 4229:13, 4229:23, 4229:28, 4230:31, 4230:36, 4230:41, 4231:1, 4231:6, 4234:32, 4234:39, 4234:45
tidy [1] - 4224:26
timeline [1] - 4222:12
tired [2] - 4220:37, 4220:39
together [4] - 4214:14,
4220:23, 4226:27,
4237:40
tonight [1] - 4224:14
took [1] - 4238:13
tools [1] - 4223:43
top [1] - 4218:32
toppled [1] - 4232:17
toppled-over [1] -
4232:17
totality [2] - 4221:32, 4233:19
touch [1] - 4230:17
Touch [7]-4217:3,
4230:12, 4230:19,
4230:20, 4230:29,
4230:45, 4235:14
towards [2] - 4224:32,
4236:15
train [3]-4224:23,
4230:44, 4235:12
transcript [1] - 4227:5
transgender [3] -
4215:12, 4233:5,
4236:34
transition [1] -
4236:32
transport [1] -
4235:14
traumatic [1] -
4232:34
travelling [1] -
4223:40
treat [1] - 4220:29
treated [1] - 4232:31
treatment [3] -
4215:33, 4220:30,
4220:31
tried [1] - 4221:13
trust [1] - 4238:12
truth [2]-4227:32,
4231:7
try [1] - 4220:23
turn [4] - 4222:13,
4236:19, 4237:27,
4238:1
turned [1] - 4218:32
turps [1] - 4222:37
TV [1] - 4220:47
two [8]-4214:13,
4216:20, 4216:30,
4218:15, 4223:31,
4229:45, 4231:44,
4235:1
type [2] - 4231:47, 4232:12
typographical [1] 4214:26

## U

unable [1] - 4232:29
unavoidable [1] -
4236:5
uncommon [1] -
4235:32
unconsciousness [1]

- 4232:35
unconventional [1] -
4237:32
under [3] - 4223:10,
4228:10, 4236:2
undergoing [1] -
4215:21
underpants [1] -
4218:43
understatement [1] -
4224:28
unfortunately [1] -
4231:39
unhinged [1] -
4224:41
unidentified [1] -
4231:16
unit [28] - 4216:20,
4216:28, 4216:46,
4217:44, 4218:34,
4220:44, 4221:10,
4221:14, 4221:26,
4221:27, 4221:33,
4222:9, 4222:17,
4222:18, 4222:23,
4222:46, 4222:47,
4223:36, 4225:15,
4225:31, 4226:36,
4229:3, 4231:26,
4231:27, 4233:33,
4233:38, 4235:7,
4236:37
Unit [1] - 4219:14
unknown [3] -
4217:31, 4233:17, 4238:19
unlikely [3] - 4221:32,
4236:35, 4236:47
unopened [2] -
4216:11, 4236:24
unstable [2] -
4226:33, 4226:44
unsuccessful [2] -
4231:39, 4237:11
unsurprising [1] 4231:28
unusual [1] - 4237:25
unwell [2] - 4220:39, 4220:47
up [8] - 4214:13,
4217:8, 4218:21,
4220:26, 4223:23,


