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THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
MS HEATH: Commissioner, I appear as Counsel Assisting, I'm instructed by Mr Tanazefti and Ms Jez.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR BARRIE: Commissioner, my name is Barrie. I appear for the Commissioner of Police.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MS HEATH: Commissioner, the death of Mr Anthony Cawsey has been determined to fall within Category $B$ of the Inquiry's Terms of Reference. So, that is, Mr Cawsey's death is an unsolved suspected hate crime death in New South Wales that occurred between 1970 and 2010, where the victim was, or was perceived to be, a member of the LGBTIQ community and the death was the subject of a previous investigation by the NSW Police Force.

Mr Cawsey's death was not one that was examined by Strike Force Parrabel1, which, by its coordinating instructions, 1 imited its examination to deaths from 1976 to the year 2000, and Mr Cawsey died in 2009.

Commissioner, I will start by dealing with some documents. First $I$ hand up short minutes of order in relation to orders that the parties seek be made pursuant to section 8 of the Special Commissions of Inquiry Act. I understand that is by consent.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR BARRIE: Yes, that's by consent.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much.
Thank you. I have made those orders.
MS HEATH: Thank you, Commissioner.
Commissioner, there are two volumes of material in this matter that comprise the tender bundle. I point out that in volume 1 of the tender bundle there is an envelope containing a USB. That USB has audio-visual material on it. Commissioner, we tender that bundle and I understand
that it is exhibit 39.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

## EXHIBIT \#39 TWO-VOLUME TENDER BUNDLE

MS HEATH: Commissioner, while that is in front of you, could I briefly draw your attention to the index to that tender bundle.

In June and July of last year summonses or requests were issued to both the NSW Police Force and also the Coroners Court of New South Wales. The material you will see at tabs 1 to 74 , as well as the audio-visual material that has been given tab numbers 79 to 85 are extracted from those records.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MS HEATH: Some additional material was served on the Inquiry last week from the NSW Police Force. That has been reviewed by staff of the Inquiry and no additional documents were required to be added to the tender bundle.

The material at tabs 75 to 78 is material that relates to investigative steps taken by this Inquiry. It inciudes the expert certificate of David Bruce of the Forensic and Analytical Science Service in relation to some retesting of exhibits that was caused by the Inquiry and also an expert report from forensic psychiatrist Dr Kerri Eagle, and I will come to each of those documents in due course.

Commissioner, also before you should be my written submissions. These will be more comprehensive than the oral submissions that $I$ make today and I seek to rely upon those submissions.

Finally, Commissioner, before you should be a family statement. It is dated 23 June 2023. I tender that statement and I understand that now is exhibit 40 .

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

## EXHIBIT \#40 FAMILY STATEMENT IN RELATION TO ANTHONY CAWSEY

MS HEATH: Commissioner, Anthony Cawsey, known to his family as Tony, was 37 years old at the time of his death.

He was the youngest son of Esmond and Laurie Cawsey, each of whom is now deceased, and has three older sisters.

I would like to start by acknowledging his sisters Christine and Kerry who are here in person today and all of Mr Cawsey's family and friends who may be watching on the live stream.

Commissioner, as I just tendered, Christine and Kerry have jointly prepared a statement on behalf of the Cawsey family. The statement sets out the impact that losing Mr Cawsey has had on them, both from the first moments when they learned of their brother's death and then in the months and years that followed. They describe the helplessness and frustration of grief, particularly through the protracted criminal and coronial processes that followed Mr Cawsey's death, and that I will detail in due course.

Commissioner, I will not read the family statement in full today but I would like to just read a short extract from it that describes something about who Tony was as a person, and I'm reading, Commissioner, from page 3 of the family statement.

It should also be noted that Tony was loved. He displayed, like many gifted people, eccentric behaviour and he always walked to the beat of his own drum, and he was a perfectionist. But his ability to make a room brighter, to be able to talk to anyone about their own profession with equal knowledge, to include people and make them feel important, was just what came naturally to Tony. He could tell a story better than most and fill the air with laughter. So when he died, it was a devastating loss, a loss to his family, to his extraordinary and large circle of friends, to his work colleagues and, as we later found out, to the much broader members of the community who knew him.

Commissioner, later the statement goes on to say:
We want people to know that he was loved, important to us, and that, as we stand up
as his family, we want him to have a voice, as we let people know he has not been forgotten.

Commissioner, on behalf of the Inquiry, I extend my sincere condolences to all of Mr Cawsey's family and friends, and I, in particular, thank Kerry and Christine for sharing those words about their brother and for their ceaseless advocacy for him.

Commissioner, my oral submissions will cover five topics.

First, I'11 outline the circumstances of Mr Cawsey's death and the police investigation of it.

Secondly, I wil1 spend some time outlining the prosecution of and the case against a man named Mr Moses Kellie. As I will come to, Mr Kellie was charged with the murder of Mr Cawsey but the charges were ultimately withdrawn by the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions. Mr Kellie is now deceased.

Thirdly, $I$ will make submissions on the question of bias.

Fourth1y, I will set out the forensic analysis of exhibits that has been conducted at the request of the Inquiry.

Finally, I will conclude by making submissions as to the manner and cause of Mr Cawsey's death and recommendations.

So turning first to the circumstances of Mr Cawsey's death, on the morning of Saturday, 26 September 2009, at 5.56am, Mr Cawsey's body was discovered lying prone and outstretched on a path that ran along the southern bank of Busbys Pond in Centennial Park, Sydney.

A detailed timeline of Mr Cawsey's movements prior to his death was created by investigating police. They compiled it by interviewing witnesses, reviewing CCTV and reviewing Mr Cawsey's phone charge records, and what follows is a summary of the timeline that they prepared.

At 4.24am on the morning of 26 September, Mr Cawsey
left his apartment in Redfern and walked to Centennial Park. Mr Cawsey's communications suggest that this was an unplanned trip and that he did not have a prearranged meeting with any individual.

At 4.44am, while walking to Centennial Park, Mr Cawsey connected to a gay chat 1 ine, Mediatel Services. Commissioner, Mediatel Services allowed callers to dial in to an access number. Once they called in, they're prompted to record their name and a brief description of themselves, which can then be listened to by other users. They can listen to messages recorded by other users and either record a message to send in return or request that there be a live chat.

Now, once connected, two people may swap numbers and try and connect outside of the system. Messages are generally deleted after 24 hours.

I also note here, Commissioner, that Mr Cawsey was considered by many of his friends and family to be heterosexual, but investigations revealed that he also engaged in sexual activities with men. There is no evidence as to how Mr Cawsey himself identified.

So, Commissioner, returning to the chronology of the morning, while Mr Cawsey was connected to Mediatel Services, Mr Cawsey recorded a message that could be accessed by other users of Mediatel.

In my written submissions at paragraph 61, I have set out the message that he recorded, and I don't propose to read it in full in oral submissions. In summary, Mr Cawsey described that he was "in a park on the edge of the city in Sydney", he described what he was wearing and indicated that he was interested in engaging in sexual activities with a man.

Importantly for the investigation, Mr Cawsey did not reveal his specific location details. Call charge records showed that Mr Cawsey was connected to the chat 1 ine until 5.01 am .

Now, if it is assumed that he walked the most direct route from his apartment in Redfern to Centennial Park at a moderately brisk walking pace, he would have arrived at the Snake Bank path where his body would eventually be
found at approximate1y 4.54am.
Between 5.12am and 5.24am, Mr Cawsey used his mobile to call the landline of another man, who he had connected with by Mediate1. That man was interviewed by police. He told them that they had spoken to each other about sex and, it would seem, engaged in mutual masturbation. That man was investigated by police but excluded as a suspect after a CCTV review showed that he never left his home.

At 5.56am, the body of Mr Cawsey was discovered by several park users who separately notified police. So accordingly, Commissioner, the evidence establishes that Mr Cawsey met his death in the 32 -minute window between the end of his phone call - that's at 5.24am - and the discovery of his body at 5.56.

Commissioner, Mr Cawsey's body, as I have said, was found on a bath along Snake Bank on the southern side of Busbys Pond.

I ask that a map of Centennial Park be placed on the screen at this time [SCOI.84137_0001]. Commissioner, you'11 see Busbys Pond indicated on the map, and there is a marker with the number 2 , and that marker indicates the approximate location of Mr Cawsey's body, and I will return to this map in due course to explain the other markers on the map.

Police made the following observations upon their attendance at the scene. Mr Cawsey was lying prone and outstretched. He was partially positioned on the track. On his upper body, Mr Cawsey was dressed in a blue, short-sleeved shirt, with a cut-off black top underneath. The black T-shirt was soaked through with blood.

The upper body garments were lifted at the crime scene revealing a single stab wound to his lower left chest. On his lower body Mr Cawsey was wearing navy blue tracksuit pants with parallel white stripes running down each leg, pink women's underpants and a black G-string. The tracksuit pants and the pink underpants were pulled down and positioned just above his knees. The G-string remained in place, covering his genitalia but leaving his buttocks exposed.

Mr Cawsey's wallet, with his driver's licence, his
keys and his mobile phone, were all left on his person. The property found on his body tends against a hypothesis that he was the victim of a robbery offence.

Police concluded that Mr Cawsey had been stabbed proximate to where his body was located as there was no blood trail in the vicinity and blood pooling was confined to the body and area immediately surrounding it.

Commissioner, an autopsy report was performed by Dr Rebecca Irvine on 29 September 2009. Her report is at tab 2 of the tender bundle, and I don't need to take you to it now. Her report is dated 14 December 2009 and Dr Irvine determined that Mr Cawsey died of haemopericardium - that is, an accumulation of blood in the pericardial cavity. That was due to a single stab wound to the left chest. The knife punctured the right ventricle of the heart and the injury would have been quickly disabling.

The stab wound was approximately 2.7 cm 1 ong and 10 cm deep and caused by a single-edged blade. There were two smal1 abrasions at the end of the wound, which possibly could indicate that the knife had been pushed deep enough that the handle was touching the skin.

Commissioner, again $I$ wil1 briefly depart from my chronological structure to note that an expert report was obtained at the time of the coronial inquest as to whether those measurements were indicative of the size of the blade used to inflict the wound. A report under the hand of Dr Duflou dated 1 November 2017 is at tab 5 of the tender bundle. In short, that report concluded that the measurement of the wound cannot be used to reliably estimate the size of the blade as the human body is elastic and deformable and the blade may not have entered the body cleanly or fully.

Commissioner, in addition to the knife wound, Mr Cawsey had various small superficial abrasions and toxicology samples indicated a modest concentration of alcohol and the presence of cannabinoids and methamphetamine.

Several items were found near Mr Cawsey's body, including a rollie cigarette butt, or a roll-your-own cigarette butt, and a red plastic disposable cigarette lighter. These items were forensically examined - they
were collected by police and forensically examined -and there were two results that are of particular note.

Firstly, on a section of the roliie cigarette, forensic analysts recovered a mixed DNA profile. That mixture was deconvoluted, so that is, it was run through software that separates the mixed profile, and it revealed two partial profiles. Those partial profiles at the time of the original investigation and now have not been identified, and can be referred to as "Unknown Male A" and "Unknown Male B".

The black G-string that was worn by Mr Cawsey screened positive for both blood and semen. Again, a mixed DNA profile was obtained. Mr Cawsey and a second individual, this time Unknown Male $C$, could not be excluded as contributors to this mixture.

These profiles have not been matched to any person on the national DNA database, nor, importantly, are they a match to any person for whom the police collected a reference sample, and this includes, as $I$ will come to, Mr Kellie.

Commissioner, it is important to note that it is unknown whether these unidentified DNA profiles were deposited at the scene during the murder of Mr Cawsey. The cigarette butt may have been left by any member of the public who used Centennial Park. The DNA profile on the G-string may have been deposited during an earlier sexual encounter. Nonetheless, identification of any of these unknown profiles could generate important investigative 1 eads.

So turning to the police investigation of the death, on 26 September 2009, Strike Force Annand was set up by the Homicide Squad to investigate Mr Cawsey's death. The investigation was led by then Detective Sergeant Leggat and Detective Senior Constable Frame, and in November 2013, Detective Senior Constable Staples assumed carriage of the investigation. She remained the officer in charge at the time of the coronial hearing in 2017.

Commissioner, the original police investigation was thorough and it appears that all appropriate 1 ines of inquiry were pursued.

It should also be acknowledged that Christine and Kerry, in their family statement, commented on the respect and compassion of the officers with whom they worked most closely after the death of their brother and expressed their thanks to the police officers involved in the investigation, especially Detective Senior Constable Staples.

Detective Staples' statement, which is dated 24 February 2017, is at tab 13 of the brief. It is close to 100 pages long and summarises the lines of inquiry that were followed during the course of the initial investigation and subsequently at the coronial hearing.

Now, without intending to be exhaustive of what those lines of inquiry entailed, it included inquiries such as, firstly, inquiries relating to Mr Cawsey's movements, and I have already outlined the summary of that, but there was a detailed timeline that was created in relation to the days and hours leading up to his death.

Secondly, inquiries relating to his use of gay chat lines. So in this regard, police considered, reasonably, that the absence of a specific location in Mr Cawsey's message made it unlikely that he was killed by a person from that service, but nonetheless, in order to fully investigate that possibility, extensive inquiries were undertaken to identify all men who were using either Mediatel or Manhunt around the time of the murder to obtain volunteer DNA samples from them and to interview them as to their movements, and this line of inquiry ultimately developed no persons of interest.

Thirdly, there were inquiries relating to Mr Cawsey's background and associates. So police obtained a large number of statements from friends, family, co-workers and associates of Mr Cawsey. This included people who may have been thought to have some animosity towards Mr Cawsey. The statements pertained to their relationship with Mr Cawsey, their movements on 25 and 26 November, and in some cases, witnesses' movements were confirmed by review of call charge records and/or CCTV footage. Ultimately, these inquiries did not reveal any conflicts, debts or other interpersonal issues which could have provided a motive to kill Mr Cawsey, nor did any persons of interest emerge.

Fourthly, there was forensic analysis that was
conducted. So, as noted above, there were exhibits seized from the scene and this revealed unknown DNA profiles. In the course of following various lines of inquiry, police obtained a large number of volunteer DNA samples that could be used as comparison to exclude these people from the investigation, and ultimately, no match was identified.

Police also considered the possibility that Mr Cawsey was killed as a result of a gay hate crime, and that line of inquiry I will come to in due course and address separately.

So, Commissioner, after pursuing these multiple lines of inquiry, ultimately, police narrowed in on one key person of interest, a Sierra Leone national named Moses Kellie. Mr Kellie was a homeless man who was living in Centennial Park at the time of Mr Cawsey's death. The evidence established that he had a borderline IQ level and, further, while there is a contest as to the evidence of his mental state, there is, I would submit, a likelihood that Mr Kellie suffered from a psychotic illness.

The prosecution of Mr Kellie and the evidence against him is the second topic that I intend to now turn to.

It is instructive to begin by setting out the procedural history in relation to the investigation and prosecution of Mr Kellie.

Mr Kellie became a suspect in relation to the death of Mr Cawsey relatively early in the police investigation. He was interviewed on multiple occasions in 2009 and in early 2010 in relation to the death of Mr Cawsey, as well as in relation to other offences that were committed and which occurred in the Centennial Park vicinity, and I'11 come to those in due course.

In October of 2015, police charged Mr Kellie with the murder of Mr Cawsey. Commissioner, I don't require you to turn to it, but at tab 9 of your tender bundle is the court attendance notice which commenced the prosecution, and at tab 10 is the facts sheet prepared by police.

Commissioner, one comment I will make in relation to the police investigation is in relation to the delay in bringing the prosecution against Mr Kellie. Much of the evidence against him had already been collected by early

2010, but it appears that police did not charge him until 2015 when his release from custody on other matters was imminent.

It has been observed on many occasions in the criminal context that delays in prosecution can result in unfairness to an accused person. Delay can result in witnesses becoming unavailable, memories fading or documents, records or other exhibits being lost. That's also obviousiy of significance to the Crown case, and so delay of this nature, where possible, should be avoided.

In any event, Mr Kellie was charged in 2015 and the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions took carriage of the prosecution.

Nearly a year after he was charged, on 7 September 2016, the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions withdrew the charge against Mr Kellie. The ODPP - the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions - considered that there was no reasonable prospect of conviction.

Commissioner, I wil1 ask that tab 11 be placed on the screen. [SCOI.83377_0001].

This is a letter under the hand of Kara Shead. You'11 see, if we scroll down, her sign-off. She was the Deputy Director of Public Prosecutions at that time, and the letter is addressed to Detective Chief Inspector Dickinson of the Homicide Squad. This letter advises, in short terms, of the decision to discontinue the prosecution.

> You will see that a reason is provided. It states:
> The case against the accused Mr Kellie was a circumstantial one.
> In determining that there was no reasonable prospects of conviction, there were difficulties with the admissibility of the tendency and coincidence evidence, as wel7 as the reliability of the "admissions", and the statements made by the accused alleged to be "lies as consciousness of guilt", given his history of mental illness.
> Commissioner, this letter foreshadows some of the
topics that $I$ wil1 come to when $I$ make submissions in
relation to the Crown case.
Commissioner, from 11 to 13 December 2017 - so this is now some eight years after Mr Cawsey's death - an inquest was conducted by Deputy State Coroner Magistrate Russel 1. At that inquest, Mr Kellie remained the only person of interest.

On 13 December 2017, her Honour suspended the inquest and referred the matter back to the Director of Public Prosecutions. I wil1 ask that tab 8 of the tender bundle now be put on the screen [SCOI.10483.00054_0001].

Commissioner, this is a letter from the Coroner dated 7 March 2018 that, as I said, refers the matter back to the Director of Public Prosecutions.

At paragraph 2 of the letter, the Coroner expresses her reason for suspending the inquest and that is that she was of the opinion that there was evidence capable of satisfying a jury beyond reasonable doubt that Moses Keliie had committed an indictable offence and that there was a reasonable prospect that a jury would convict him of that offence.

Now, that letter, which is some 12 pages, I wil1 not take you to in full at this time, but it sets out some of the additional evidence that had been obtained in the course of the coronial investigation and inquest.

Following receipt of this letter, the matter was then reconsidered by the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions. On 16 November 2018, that Office wrote to the Coroner and advised of the outcome of that reconsideration.

I'11 ask that tab 12 be put on the screen [SCOI.10483.00062]. This is, Commissioner, a letter from Peter McGrath SC, Deputy Director of Public Prosecutions, who advised that there would be no further prosecution of Mr Kellie.

Commissioner, he explains in his letter that because there had already been a decision to withdraw the charges against Mr Kellie, the nature of the consideration was whether there was significant new evidence to warrant the
reversal of that direction. Importantly, he notes that the Office of the DPP considered that there remains no reasonable prospect of conviction and that the new evidence does not overcome the previously identified difficulties in the prosecution of this matter.

Commissioner, I note that beyond these letters, the reasons for discontinuing the prosecution by the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions were and remain the subject of legal professional privilege.

As may be observed from this procedural history, the case against Mr Kellie is a circumstantial one and the sufficiency of the evidence against him is one upon which reasonable minds may differ.

My ultimate submission to you, Commissioner, will be that while there is a plausible argument that Mr Kellie was responsible for the death of Mr Cawsey, the evidence is insufficient to conclusively find on the balance of probabilities that Mr Kellie was responsible for inflicting the stab wound suffered by Mr Cawsey, and as I already noted, Mr Kellie is now deceased.

Having set out that procedural history, I turn to set out a summary of the evidence in the police case against Mr Kellie.

Commissioner, I will not attempt to be comprehensive in these oral submissions as to the evidence. There was a significant volume of evidence, and I refer to my written submissions from pages 14 to 48 for a more comprehensive analysis. What I will endeavour to do is set out the key planks of the case against Mr Kellie, and there are five planks of evidence which I will come to in turn. These are: first, the location of his camp sites; secondly, sightings of Mr Kellie in close proximity to the crime scheme; thirdly, the allegation that he told lies out of a consciousness of guilt; fourthly, tendency and coincidence evidence; and, fifthly, and arguably most significantly, alleged admissions that were made by Mr Kellie.

The case against Mr Kellie is a circumstantial one so each of these five strands need to be considered together to assess their cumulative effect.

Before I turn to these strands, it is important to observe that there has never been any forensic evidence linking Mr Kellie to the scene of the crime or to Mr Cawsey's body. Mr Kellie was not a match to any of the unknown DNA profiles recovered from the crime scene.

In addition, in the course of their investigation, police seized a large number of exhibits from Mr Kellie and from the places in the park where he kept his property. That included clothing, knives, backpacks and shoes. Analysis of all of these items failed to establish any forensic link between Mr Cawsey and Mr Kellie. None of Mr Cawsey's property was ever found on Mr Kellie or amongst any of his property.

So, Commissioner, I turn to the first of the planks that I just moments ago set out, and that is the location of Mr Kellie's camp sites.

Mr Kellie was homeless and living in Centennial Park at the time of Mr Cawsey's death and the evidence established that he had been living there since about April 2009. Commissioner, I have used the language of "camp site", but I hesitate and point out that this may apply a degree of semi-permanency about the locations that the sites did not necessarily possess. So these were locations where Mr Kellie sometimes slept or sometimes stored property.

Commissioner, I will ask that the map that I previously took you to is put on the screen.

When Mr Kellie was first spoken to by police - and that was on 3 October 2009 - he was asked to show police where he had been living. He took the police to two locations, the first being a sandstone pavilion situated off Park Drive where he had slept the previous night because it had been raining, and the second was a location under a tree in an area of the park known as the "South-West Paddock". That location is marker number 5, which is now being pointed out on the screen.

Approximately two weeks later, that is, on 17 October 2009, Mr Kellie was located by police at a drainpipe that opened into Busbys Pond and that was concealed from view by foliage. In the drainpipe was a sleeping bag, a number of backpacks, food packaging and other items. Now, that site
is at marker 8.

As, Commissioner, you wil1 observe, that site was less than 100 metres from the location where Mr Cawsey's body was ultimately located, which is at marker 2 , and Mr Kellie did not disclose the location of the camp site at marker 8 on 3 October 2009.

On 9 November 2009, police located a third of Mr Cawsey's camp sites. That is at marker 10.

Commissioner, the close proximity of Mr Keliie's camp sites to the crime scene increases the likelihood of Mr Cawsey and Mr Kellie crossing paths in the early hours of the morning on 26 September 2009, and may be considered a piece of the circumstantial evidence against Mr Keliie.

The second plank of the case is sightings of Mr Keliie in proximity to the crime scene. Three witnesses provided statements to police regarding a sighting of a man who generally fit the description of Mr Kellie within the vicinity of the crime scene the day before the murder. Most significantly, a man named Mr Kay saw a man fitting Mr Kellie's description emerging from bushes along Busbys Pond at about 3pm on the day before the murder. Commissioner, that sighting, the location of that sighting, is at marker 1 that you wil1 see on the map.

Now, as you wil1 no doubt observe, this was very close to Mr Kellie's camp site, marked by marker 8 , and that supports the proposition that the man that Mr Kay saw emerging from the bushes was indeed Mr Kellie. It is very close also to the scene of Mr Cawsey's murder, but I do note that it is a sighting of Mr Kellie some 12 hours before the murder was likely to have occurred.

Another witness, a man by the name of Mr Edgington, gave evidence of seeing a man broadly fitting the description of Mr Kellie shortly after the murder. Now, that sighting was at marker 3 , just off Grand Drive.

Now, there is good reason to believe that this person was Mr Kellie, when it is compared to his own description of what he was wearing on the morning of Mr Caswey's murder and what he was doing. And $I$ have set that out in more detail in my written submissions from paragraph 87.

Now, Commissioner, as with the location of Mr Kellie's camp sites, these sightings may all be considered as part of the circumstantial case against Mr Kellie. However, it should be noted that even if we were to assume that these were, in fact, sightings of Mr Kellie, it is known that Mr Kellie was homeless and living in Centennial Park at the time of Mr Cawsey's death, which provides an innocent explanation for him being sighted by multiple people in the area. None of the purported sightings tie Mr Kellie closely to the crime scene at the time of the murder.

Commissioner, the third plank of evidence relates to lies that were told to police by Mr Kellie. So the police sought to rely on multiple lies given in interviews by Mr Kellie as evidence of his guilt. The first two of those lies arise from some of the evidence that I have already taken you to. The first, on the police case, was a lie by omission - so that is, when Mr Kellie was asked to show police his camp sites in early October, he only showed them the camp site at marker 5 but failed to reveal the closer camp sites at markers 8 or 10.

The second matter said to be a lie was about when he was last in the area. In an interview on 17 October 2009 that is, approximately three weeks after the murder Mr Kellie said that he had been in the area around the murder about one or two weeks before the murder. Now, if the sighting by Mr Kay was in fact a sighting of Mr Kellie, then that would, in fact, put him at the crime scene within the day of the murder, the day prior to the murder, and so that was said to be a second lie.

The third matter said to be lies told by Mr Kellie related to his movements on the morning of Mr Cawsey's murder. Commissioner, I have set these out, his conflicting versions, in my written submissions at paragraphs 109 to 114.

In short, there were inconsistencies between what Mr Kellie described his movements to be when he was first interviewed on 3 October 2009 and when he was interviewed again on 17 October 2009.

On both occasions, however, he did tell police that he had left the park, walked to the University of New South Wales early in the morning on the day of the murder, and only upon returning saw police tape near the vicinity of

Mr Cawsey's body.
Commissioner, the police case in respect of each of these lies was that Mr Kellie made false statements to distance himself from the area where the victim's body was located and to remove himself from the park at the approximate time of Mr Cawsey's murder.

Commissioner, the omissions or inconsistencies in Mr Kellie's accounts are capable of being construed as 1 ies amounting to admissions and can be considered as one element of the circumstantial case against Mr Keliie. However, as your Honour would be well aware, it is established that great care needs to be taken in inferring guilt from 1 ies.

As established by the High Court in the seminal case of Edwards $v R$, which was a decision from 1993, for errors to be treated as proof of a guilty conscious and an implied admission, they would need to be deliberate falsehoods and they would need to be told by Mr Kellie due to a consciousness that the truth would convict him. In assessing each apparent lie, it would be necessary to consider other possible explanations for a lie.

In this case, it must be borne in mind that Mr Keliie was homeless and itinerant and had a borderline intelligence level. I will come to deal with the evidence of Mr Kellie's mental health in due course, however, as I said earlier, it was at least possible, if not likely, that he suffered from a psychotic illness.

In these circumstances, an imperfect recollection of where he was camping, his waking times, his routes, when he was interviewed one week and three weeks after Mr Cawsey's death, may simply reflect that he had a disorganised mind, that he experienced some temporal disconnect associated with his living conditions, or was generally an unreliable historian.

Mr Kellie may also have had other reasons for not disclosing all locations where he slept or stored his property in the park, to preserve what limited privacy he had and to prevent him from being moved on from those places.

Another explanation is that he was aware that a person
had been killed and was fearful of being wrongfully accused. So, in short, while these 1 ies do form part of the circumstantial evidence against Mr Kellie, great care should be taken as to what reliance can be placed on them in assessing Mr Kellie's involvement with Mr Cawsey's death.

Commissioner, the fourth plank in the case against Mr Cawsey arose from what is described as tendency and coincidence evidence. So the police case against Mr Keliie relied upon evidence of other offences in or around Centennial Park that were said to have been committed by Mr Kellie close in time to the death of Mr Cawsey.

Mr Kellie was convicted of a robbery offence, a robbery with wounding, on a man who has been given a pseudonym and who $I$ will refer to in these oral submissions as "JR". That robbery took place on 11 October 2009, and in the map that's stil1 on the screen, you'11 see that there is a marker, marker number 6 , and that was the approximate location of that robbery.

Mr Kellie was also convicted of an assault offence against a woman on 16 October 2009. Now, that offence was at marker 7 on the map.

Mr Kellie was also suspected to have been the offender in relation to a third assault, on 23 September 2009, on Anzac Parade. However, it is important to note that he was never convicted of, or even charged with, the third assault, and the evidence, which I set out in my written submissions, falls short of there being a positive identification of Mr Kellie as the offender in relation to that third assault.

The police case was that these offences demonstrated a pattern of behaviour or a tendency on the part of Mr Kellie to attack people in an unprovoked manner at night-time in the vicinity of Centennial Park, and that that made it more likely that he committed the offence against Mr Cawsey. When evidence is used in this way, it may be described as tendency evidence.

The police case was also that it would be improbably coincidental, having regard to the similarities between the offences, that a person other than Mr Kellie committed Mr Cawsey's murder. When used in this way, the evidence
may be described as coincidence evidence.
Commissioner, my ultimate submission to you is that you would not be satisfied that this evidence would be admissible as tendency or coincidence evidence. If I could say this by way of overview, although I rely on my written submissions for a fuller development of the law, the Evidence Act 1995 operates to exclude both tendency and coincidence evidence unless the court thinks that the evidence has significant probative value. For evidence to have significant probative value, it must make it more likely to a significant extent that Mr Kellie killed Mr Cawsey.

Commissioner, while you are not bound by the Evidence Act, by section 9(3) of the Special Commissions of Inquiry Act, you shall only receive evidence, as far as practicable, that, in your opinion, would be likely to be admissible in evidence in civil proceedings.

The other incidents that Mr Kellie either committed or was suspected to have committed, involved Mr Kellie committing unprovoked attacks on strangers at night-time in the vicinity of Centennial Park. In at least one of the offences, it appears that robbery was a motivation, with Mr Kellie having stolen the victim's property.

Now, it must be observed, the common features of the assaults or robberies that Mr Kellie committed or was suspected of having committed are generic to many assault or robbery offences, so that is to say that it is not particularly surprising that many assaults and robberies would be unprovoked attacks on strangers and generally committed in hours of darkness. However, there are critical differences between the offences which Mr Kellie did commit and the murder of Mr Cawsey.

The police case against Mr Kellie - and I will come to this in due course - based on his alleged admissions, was not that Mr Kellie committed an unprovoked attack on Mr Cawsey; rather, it was that Mr Kellie reacted in anger after being repeatedly propositioned for sex by Mr Cawsey. This is reinforced by the apparent sexual components to Mr Cawsey's death that can be inferred from the positioning of his clothing - so you will recall his pants were removed - and his stated intentions for coming to Centennial Park - that is, to seek a sexual encounter.

This suggests that the murder of Mr Cawsey took place in a different context to the other robberies or assaults.

Commissioner, there is no rule of law that demands that there be a close similarity between the conduct said to constitute tendency or coincidence evidence and the act which is sought to be proved. However, the extent of the similarity will have an impact upon whether the evidence meets the threshold of significant probative value.

My ultimate submission is that given the dissimilarities between the offences and Mr Cawsey's murder, that threshold wouldn't be reached and you would not be satisfied that the evidence would be admitted as tendency and coincidence evidence when determining whether Mr Kelly was responsible for Mr Cawsey's death.

Commissioner, you will recall that that is at least consistent with the conclusion that was reached by the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions, albeit they were considering admissibility in a criminal rather than civil context.

So, Commissioner, that is what I wish to say in relation to the tendency and coincidence evidence.

The final plank of the evidence, and arguably the most significant, relates to alleged admissions by Mr Kellie in his interview regarding the robbery of the man JR. So, as I mentioned, that robbery offence occurred on 11 October 2009. By January of 2010, Mr Kellie was a suspect in relation to that robbery and police had arrested and were interviewing him.

Commissioner, a transcript of the interview from January 2010 is at tab 47A of the tender bundle, and the audio-visual recording of that interview has also been tendered.

In the course of that interview, notwithstanding that police were asking him about the robbery of JR, Mr Kellie gave answers to police that led them to believe that he was, in fact, describing an argument that he had had with Mr Cawsey and not the interaction with JR.

It's necessary to set out the facts of the robbery of JR, and I'11 do so briefly. At about 2.30am, JR was
walking on Lang Road in a poorly lit residential area opposite Centennial Park. He was talking on his iPhone to a female friend.

With no apparent provocation, Mr Kellie confronted JR and began to yell something at him that JR couldn't understand. He then waved a silver-coloured object in his left hand, believed by JR to be a knife. Mr Kellie was wearing a dark-coloured hooded jacket with a centre zip. The hood was up, disguising his features. JR stepped back and felt his back touch the fence to Centennial Park. JR held his phone out towards Mr Kellie and either handed it to Mr Kellie or dropped it. Either way, Mr Kellie ran off in possession of JR's phone.

The friend who JR had been on the phone to called JR's phone multiple times and a male voice with a strong accent answered. When JR arrived home, he realised that he had received a cut to his upper left arm that required suturing. He was uncertain how he received that injury. In an interview with police, Mr Kellie denied carrying a knife or using any weapons to cause that injury, and he was not sentenced on the basis that a knife was used to inflict those injuries.

So, Commissioner, I come to the interview of Mr Kellie that occurred in January of 2010 , and as I indicated, the police case was that Mr Kellie became confused during the interview and conflated the robbery of JR and the murder of Mr Cawsey.

Commissioner, I've set out in my written submissions significant portions of this interview, although I submit that the interview must be read in full to appreciate and evaluate its capacity to be construed as an admission.

Mr Kellie's accounting in the interview is confusing to follow. He often rambled, he gave answers that were not responsive to questions that were asked, his English was heavily accented, and his grammar was imperfect. All of these features mean that the version he provides in his interview is open to multiple interpretations.

As a starting point, it is clear that at least at some points during the course of the interview, Mr Kellie is describing an incident that is clearly consistent with the assault of JR. Early in the interview, he is shown
a photograph of $J R$ and he says that he recognises that person. He described the victim as speaking on an iPhone.

Commissioner, JR had an iPhone where Mr Cawsey had an old Nokia. He described pushing the victim against the fence of Centennial Park, which is consistent with what JR describes. He describes taking the victim's phone. JR's phone was stolen, whereas Mr Cawsey's phone was located on his body. And he also describes conversations he later has with a woman who called the phone and, as you will recall, that is consistent with what occurred in the robbery of JR.

At other points of the interview, however, Mr Kellie appears to describe an interaction that is somewhat inconsistent with the robbery of JR. To take you to perhaps the most striking indication, and that is that Mr Kellie gave a version of events in which the victim repeatedly propositioned him for "gay sex", and that is what angered Mr Kellie and led to an argument.

I'11 take you to just some of those portions of the interview. If I ask that tab 47A [SCOI.10469.00004_0001] be placed on the screen, and we'll start at page 11 and question 131 to 135 . I won't read that in full, but Mr Kellie describes the area where he met the victim as he came out of the gate, was a "popular spot, like for gay people", and that the victim "start talking about something" and later that he approached him.

Commissioner, I will then ask that we turn to page 13, question 162. You will see that, again, there is a question about the wound, that's the wound to JR's arm that was shown to Mr Kellie. Mr Kellie's answer is somewhat unresponsive, but he says that he told him that he was "not interested", and then "he start to pretend he was talking on the phone".

If I ask that we then scroll down to the top of page 14, question 163 , you'll see that Mr Kellie describes that he "start to walk", and then following on from that:
... he's still forcing, still forcing
himself like, I said, I'm not interested
like in gay sex, because that's where they
thought anybody they see around that
area ...

He again reinforces that at question 164 that, "he approach me".

Commissioner, the next reference I'11 take you to is on page 16 , question 185 . You'll see that the police ask Mr Kellie what specifically he said what he was approached. Mr Kellie said, or reportedly said, according to Mr Kellie:
... I'm not interested ...
and then at the very bottom you'11 see:
... he forced, he forced attempt.
On the next page, page 17, at question 199, there is a portion that was consistent and relied on by the police as an admission, and you'll see that Mr Kellie described that they started to argue and that Mr Kellie said:
... if you don't, I said the $F$ word.
and then goes on to threaten to stab him.
The next page reference I'11 take you to is at page 26, question 288. And the police ask:
... what did he confront you with that made
you end up in a struggle with him?
And Mr Kellie answers:
It was, it was the gay sex.
Commissioner, there is no evidence that JR was gay or that he was seeking to meet other men at Centennial Park. JR's evidence was that he was walking home alone along Lang Road towards Oxford Street while looking for a taxi. By contrast, Mr Cawsey was in Centennial Park with the expressed intention of seeking a sexual encounter.

Now, I pause to note that it is, of course, speculative as to whether he, in fact, propositioned any person, let alone Mr Kellie. However, it may be considered more likely that Mr Cawsey was perceived to be looking for a sexual encounter than JR.

Now, in one of the passages that I just took you to,

Commissioner, and that was at question 162, Mr Kellie described the man as pretending to talk on the phone. At another point in the interview he refers to the victim talking about "sex stuff" on the phone. Now, this is consistent with Mr Cawsey connecting to a gay chat line and speaking about sex with a person he met on that chat line.

Commissioner, in assessing Mr Kellie's interview, one relevant factor is his mental health at the time of the incident. I will ask that tab 68 [SCOI.10488.00009.0001] be put on the screen. Commissioner, this is a psychiatric report prepared by Dr Clark, a forensic psychiatrist, that is dated 12 April 2011. Commissioner, this was prepared at the request of the court for the purposes of Mr Kellie's sentencing in relation to the robbery of JR. Importantly, this report was prepared over a year after the interview of January 2010, so, Commissioner, even if Mr Kellie was confused during the police interview as to which incident he was describing, one would expect that by this time, when he had pleaded guilty to the robbery of JR, he would have greater clarity about the event that he was describing.

On page 1 of this report, you'11 see that it says that the circumstances of the offence, he said, are that he was alone, when approached by the victim, who made sexual advances to him. So that is consistent again with some of what he describes in his interview.

It continues that at the time of his plea, it became apparent that Mr Kellie suffers from a mental disorder. However, he gave this version of events at a time when he was "appropriately treated".

Commissioner, I would ask that we now turn to page 4. Dr Clark makes comment upon his mental state at the time of the robbery offence. What is said is:

```
Looking back at his mental state at the
time of his offence, he was paranoid,
feeling that people were after him and that
he had experienced hallucinatory voices,
with threatening intimidating sexual
content.
```

Now, I ask that we turn to page 6. There is another indication of this, where it's said that he was paranoid and convinced the victim was a sexual predator.

So, Commissioner, with that background in mind, with the psychiatric background of Mr Kellie, it's plausible that Mr Kellie's interview was in relation to the robbery of JR, but that Mr Kellie was recounting his distorted perception of the interaction that he had with JR.

Now, in this vein, you wil1 recal 1 that JR was speaking on the phone to a friend, that it was a female friend, and that when Mr Kellie approached him, he confronted him and began to yell incoherently at him. That would be consistent with the mental state that Dr Clark describes.

Commissioner, alternatively, if Mr Kellie was indeed confused and conflating events, a reasonable possibility exists that he was conflating the robbery of JR with another occasion, or multiple other occasions, on which he was approached for sex in Centennial Park. There is some indication of this in Mr Kellie's descriptions that he had been approached for sex by the same victim up to three or four times before, and his knowledge of Centennial Park as a popular meeting place for men seeking to have sex with men.

In evaluating whether Mr Kellie describes a sexual advance by Mr Cawsey, it may be observed that in the January 2010 interview, Mr Kellie never described that the victim had his pants or his underpants removed. Given Mr Kellie's apparent preoccupation with the sexual advances made, this would be an unusual detail for him to omit and something that would make it inconsistent with the murder of Mr Cawsey.

So, Commissioner, in summary, there are aspects of Mr Kellie's interview that give rise to a real suspicion that he was conflating his interactions with Mr Cawsey and with JR, and if that was the case, the interview could be taken as an admission to meeting Mr Cawsey and becoming angered at him after being propositioned for sex. This was the interpretation favoured by the original investigating police as well as later the Coroner.

However, Mr Kellie's interview is open to a number of other reasonable interpretations, including that he was describing a distorted perception of his interactions with $J R$, that he was conflating the robbery of JR with other
occasions on which he had been propositioned by men within Centennial Park, or that Mr Kellie was lying about being propositioned by JR in order to try and mitigate his conduct in the robbery.

Commissioner, even taking this evidence at its highest and assuming that Mr Kellie was conflating encounters with JR and Mr Cawsey, the problems with relying upon this interview as a reliable admission are self-evident. This case theory would entail that Mr Kellie was switching between multiple events throughout the interview. It would be necessary to identify which aspects of the interview related to Mr Cawsey as opposed to JR, and also to treat those aspects as sufficiently reliable.

So, Commissioner, while it is an arguable proposition that Mr Kellie was conflating the murder of Mr Cawsey and the robbery of JR in his January 2010 interview, it's submitted that this interview does not provide a safe or reliable basis, at least on its own, for a finding that Mr Kellie was responsible for Mr Cawsey's death.

Commissioner, what I have described thus far summarises the case against $\operatorname{Mr} \operatorname{Kellie}$ as at the time he was charged with Mr Cawsey's murder in 2015. You will recall, as I already set out, that the DPP then discontinued proceedings against Mr Kellie and that the reasons provided were at least consistent with what I have set out - namely, firstly, difficulties with the admissibility of the tendency and coincidence evidence; secondly, issues with the reliability of Mr Kellie's purported admissions; and, thirdly, difficulties with the statements alleged to be lies evidencing a consciousness of guilt.

In part but not exclusively, these difficulties arose on the basis of Mr Kellie's mental illness.

At the coronial inquest in 2015, there was significant exploration of whether Mr Kellie had a mental illness and, if so, whether it affected his ability to answer questions in police interviews responsively, truthfully or reliably.

Now, in part, this line of inquiry was undertaken because of evidence gathered by police that suggested that Mr Kellie may have been lying or exaggerating about his mental illness to gain an advantage in court proceedings.

Commissioner, $I$ have set out in my written submissions, at paragraphs 207 to 231, the evidence pertaining to Mr Kellie's mental illness, and there was a significant body of evidence that was obtained.

While Mr Kellie's mental health presentation is complex and contested, at least two forensic psychiatrists who examined Mr Kellie in person in 2011 concluded that he suffered from a psychotic illness. This is consistent with evidence that he was diagnosed with and treated for mental illness while in custody. It's also congruent with his presentation while living as a homeless person in Centennial Park, with various witnesses describing him as not dressing according to the weather, shouting at people for no apparent reason, and muttering to himself.

The forensic psychiatrist at the coronial inquest who expressed the most doubt about whether Mr Kellie suffered a mental illness put it no higher than that there was a possibility that he feigned a psychotic illness. That psychiatrist had not conducted a personal evaluation on Mr Kellie.

Commissioner, perhaps more importantly, even if one were to assume that Mr Kellie was not affected by a psychotic illness at the time of the interview in relation to the robbery of JR, many of the issues identified above with relying upon the interview of January 2010 as an admission in relation to the murder of Mr Cawsey remain.

It remains the fact that Mr Kellie did not unambiguously describe an encounter with Mr Cawsey. Indeed, to accept the 17 January 2010 interview as a reliable admission with substantial probative weight would require the walking of a fine line between establishing that Mr Kellie's mental state was sufficiently impacted that he would confuse or conflate two separate events, but not so impacted that he could be confabulating or misperceiving some details.

So, Commissioner, in short, it is submitted that the new psychiatric evidence obtained at the coronial inquest does not substantially change the treatment that should be given to Mr Kellie's interview on 17 January 2010 as a purported admission.

As I have already described, after receiving the coronial referral, the Director of Public Prosecutions declined to bring a further prosecution against Mr Kellie. I will return briefly to tab 12 [SCOI.10483.00062_0001] and ask that that be put on the screen. Commissioner, you will see the final sentence:

> In particular, the newly obtained medical opinions as to Mr Kellie's mental health do not overcome difficulties with the reliability of "admissions" and statements alleged to be "lies as consciousness of guilt".

Commissioner, you are, of course, engaged in a different task to a prosecutor called to assess whether there are reasonable prospects of conviction.

A finding that Mr Kellie was responsible for Mr Cawsey's death could be made consistently with a finding that there was insufficient evidence to prove his guilt beyond reasonable doubt or even to bring a prosecution. However, any such finding would be a serious finding, and consistent with the authority of Briginshaw v Briginshaw, you would be required to feel an actual persuasion before making such a finding. Mr Kellie is also now deceased and cannot answer any allegations against him.

My submission is that while there is a plausible argument that Mr Kellie was responsible for the death of Mr Cawsey, the evidence is insufficient to establish conclusively on the balance of probabilities that Mr Kellie was responsible for inflicting the stab wound suffered by Mr Cawsey.

Commissioner, I turn now to the third topic, and that is the question of bias. Given that it is unknown or cannot conclusively be determined who it was that committed the murder of Mr Cawsey, but that there is a realistic possibility that it was Mr Kellie, the question of bias in my submissions will be considered in two ways: the first will be on the assumption that it was Mr Kellie who committed the murder of Mr Cawsey; the second will be on an assumption that an unknown person committed the murder of Mr Cawsey.

So in relation to the first of those, the Inquiry
sought an opinion from Dr Eagle, a forensic psychiatrist, as to whether there was evidence that Mr Kellie was motivated by LGBTIQ hate or bias on the assumption that the interview of 17 January 2010 related to interactions between Mr Kellie and Mr Cawsey.

Dr Eagle considered that, even on this assumption, there was insufficient information to reliably determine the motivation for Mr Kellie's behaviour, and in Dr Eagle's opinion, interpretation of Mr Kellie's motivations is significantly complicated by his psychotic illness and traumatic experiences.

So Dr Eagle states that it is plausible that, if Mr Kellie did have a chronic psychotic illness, symptoms of psychosis could have impaired his judgment and resulted in a misinterpretation of Mr Cawsey's behaviour, contributing to the violence.

Dr Eagle sets out three possible motivations for Mr Kellie's response to Mr Cawsey's alleged sexual advances. This includes first, "a reactive albeit excessive response to a persecutory misinterpretation of Mr Cawsey's intentions"; secondly, "poorly controlled anger at Mr Cawsey for propositioning him sexually but not necessarily motivated by hate (arising from problems with anger management, rather than hatred towards a specific group due to prejudice'; or, thirdly, "anger motivated by hatred due to prejudice towards a specific group."

Certainly the third of these motivations would bring Mr Kellie's response to Mr Cawsey within the definition of an LGBTIQ hate crime as adopted by this Inquiry - that is, Mr Cawsey's sexuality as perceived by Mr Kellie would have been a factor in the commission of the stabbing. However, in respect of these first two motivations identified by Dr Eagle, Mr Cawsey's sexuality per se would not have played a factor in the Commission of the offence, as opposed to Mr Kellie's perception of, or reaction to, Mr Cawsey's sexual advances that Mr Kellie believed were "forced".

Accordingly, even on the assumption that Mr Kellie stabbed Mr Cawsey following an interaction that was described by him in his interview, it is not possible to conclusively determine that the killing of Mr Cawsey was an LGBTIQ hate crime.

So I turn then to look at the other assumption, and that is that the possibility that an LGBTIQ hate crime was committed by another person. Police investigating Mr Cawsey's death considered that possibility. Detective Senior Constable Staples provided the opinion that Mr Cawsey's death was "not a targeted gay hate attack". She gave three reasons for this, which I will consider in turn.

First, Detective Staples concluded that the murder itself is not indicative of it being hate crime related. Now, in providing this opinion, she relied principally upon an email received from Ms Kimberley Ora, dated 17 February 2015.

Commissioner, that is at tab 63 of the tender bundle, but I don't require you to go to it.

In that email, Ms Ora stated, among other things, that the absence of excessive violence against the victim would be the main factor that does not support this being a hate crime.

Commissioner, in relation to that opinion, while it may be accepted that excessive violence can indicate an emotion-based motive consistent with anger, rage or hate, it does not necessarily follow that the absence of excessive violence can be used to exclude the fact of a hate crime.

It is necessary to appreciate, Commissioner, that Ms Ora's email, which was tendered at the inquest into Mr Cawsey's death, was not an expert report. Ms Ora, appropriately, in her email qualified her opinion as preliminary and not based on a thorough review of the materials. Despite Ms Ora stating in the email that she would "put some of these thoughts into a report for you", no report was ever prepared or tendered at the coronial inquest, and so her opinion must be viewed with those qualifications.

Secondly, Commissioner, Detective Staples noted that the area of Snake Bank and Busbys Pond was not known as being a place for male sexual activity, and that, she concluded, would make it unlikely that persons intent on committing violence against men would choose to focus on
that area.
Police took a number of statements from security guards and from park rangers as to the areas of the park in which sexual activity principally occurred. There are parts of Centennial Park that are well-known beats. However, notably, the areas that are identified as beats did not include the southern bank of Busbys Pond where Mr Cawsey's body was found.

Commissioner, accepting this information reduces the likelihood that Mr Cawsey was the victim of a planned LGBTIQ hate attack. However, it does not exclude the possibility of an opportunistic attack on Mr Cawsey, nor does it exclude the possibility of Mr Cawsey being followed to the location where he was ultimately killed.

Commissioner, thirdly and finally, Detective Staples observed that there were no police or internet records indicating that gay hate offending was occurring in the Centennial Park area during 2009. It's necessary to make some comments as to this conclusion.

On 20 December 2016, a request for assistance was sent by Detective Staples to the Bias Crimes Unit seeking further information about whether there existed any evidence of gay hate offending within the Centennial Park area between 1 January 2008 and 31 December 2010. Commissioner, that request is at tab 64 [SCOI.83353_000] of the tender bundle.

In response to the Acting Sergeant Corbett of the Bias Motivated Crimes Unit within Operational Programs prepared a document setting out each "sexual preference prejudice incident" for each month between January of 2008 and May 2009. No incidents in the Centennial Park area were identified, and that document is located at tab 66 [SCOI.83355_0001].

In an email to Detective Senior Constable Staples dated 9 December 2016, Sergeant Steer set out the following limitations of the data that had been provided.

First, in June of 2009, the Bias Crimes Unit was disbanded and no bias crime capability existed within the NSW Police Force from then until September 2012. As a result, there was no available data from May 2009 and
none in the months preceding Mr Cawsey's death.
Commissioner, this is a matter that has been the subject of evidence and submissions in public hearings of the Inquiry and I don't propose to say a great deal further at this time, other than to note that it is regrettable that that data did not exist.

Secondly, in the opinion of Sergeant Steer, hate crimes were underreported by the community and "police especially back then either didn't flag the associated factor to identify it or didn't identify the crime as a hate crime." So those limitations that were acknowledged by Sergeant Steer need to be borne in mind when considering the evidence that there was no hate crimes occurring in the area.

It is submitted that, at most, the data produced by the Bias Motivated Crimes Unit can be taken as qualified evidence for there being no readily identifiable cluster of "sexual preference prejudice incidents" occurring in Centennial Park.

Now, each of these factors that were identified by Detective Staples is relevant to the assessment of the probability of Mr Cawsey being the target of an LGBTIQ attack. However, in the absence of a known person responsible for Mr Cawsey's death, they're not able to exclude the possibility of the stabbing of Mr Cawsey being an LGBTIQ hate crime.

Notwithstanding the fact as identified by Detective Staples, it is difficult to ignore the confluence of features of Mr Cawsey's death that make it likely that his sexuality or at least his sexual conduct played a role in his death. This includes: first, his purpose for attending Centennial Park, namely, to engage in a sexual act with another man; secondly, Centennial Park's reputation as a beat; thirdly, the evidence that he engaged in mutual masturbation over the phone not long prior to his death; and, fourthly, the positioning of his clothing when his body was located.

While the circumstance of Mr Cawsey's death remains unknown, it can be inferred that Mr Cawsey was engaged in an expression of his sexuality in the period preceding his death and would have been seen to be doing so by any
offender. This gives rise to a distinct possibility that Mr Cawsey was the target of an attack on the basis of the expression of his sexuality.

However, ultimately, while the identity of Mr Cawsey's killer cannot be proven, there is no sufficient basis for a conclusion as to whether or not his murder involved LGBTIQ hate or bias.

Commissioner, the next topic that I turn to is about forensic testing conducted by the Inquiry. The Inquiry arranged for further forensic analysis in relation to a number of exhibits that were assessed to be of particular forensic significance. The results in relation to this analysis are as follows.

So, Commissioner, you will recall that a section of rollie cigarette butt was located at the crime scene and that previous testing revealed a mixed DNA profile. Further testing conducted in 2023 utilised the latest deconvolution software - that is software that, as I explained earlier, separates out the two profiles in the mixture.

Partial DNA profiles were obtained. These are of better quality than the ones that were previously found. These profiles have been uploaded into the New South Wales database but there have been no matches to those profiles.

Similarly, in respect of the black G-string, you'll recall that there was the DNA of an Unknown Male C that was located on the G-string at the request of the Inquiry, the original profile was upgraded using the latest DNA typing system used by FASS. That upgraded typing system, which is known as PowerPlex 21, increases the number of loci on the chromosome tested from 10 to 21 . So there is now an enhanced profile. This profile has been uploaded for searching on the New South Wales and national database, however, it remains unidentified.

Commissioner, a penis swab was taken from Mr Cawsey post-mortem. Previous testing revealed that a partial DNA profile matched Mr Cawsey. There was further testing of this done in 2023 by FASS in order to obtain if any further information could be yielded. There was again a weak partial DNA profile consistent with Mr Cawsey but no further information.

Commissioner, during the crime scene examination, as I've noted, a wallet containing Mr Cawsey's driver's licence was located in his trackpants. Previous testing had revealed the DNA, trace DNA, from at least one other individual, but it was at levels that were too $10 w$ for interpretation.

Now, although Detective Staples would ultimately conclude that there was no evidence of any property being stolen from Mr Cawsey, there was evidence that he had withdrawn $\$ 650$ from his bank account on the Thursday prior to his death, and that money was not located in his wallet or his apartment, and accordingly, the Inquiry considered that an investigative lead could arise if it was possible to determine the individual who deposited the trace DNA identified by earlier testing.

However, consistent with the original testing and notwithstanding improvements in technology, reanalysis of the swab revealed a mixed DNA profile with the major profile matching Mr Cawsey and the minor profile being too weak for interpretation.

Commissioner, the next series of testing was on property that was owned by Mr Keliie. As I noted, there were, in the course of the investigation, items of clothing and shoes that were collected from Mr Kellie's property. Among these were a black hood and a black glove. Previous testing had been negative for blood and accordingly, at the time, a decision was made not to test for trace DNA.

Notwithstanding the absence of blood, the Inquiry considered that any trace DNA from Mr Cawsey that was located on property owned by Mr Kellie would be of forensic significance as it could indicate some interaction between the two men.

In 2023, FASS took a total of 43 tape 1 ifts from the inside and the outside of the hood and also 10 tape 1 ifts from the gloves. A11 of this testing revealed that there was no DNA originating from Mr Cawsey. There was DNA originating from Mr Kellie, but Mr Kellie's DNA would be expected on both the hood and the glove given that they belonged to him, so those results are of no forensic significance.

Similarly, police seized Puma brand shoes worn by Mr Kellie. Mr Kellie would later admit to wearing those shoes on the morning of Mr Cawsey's death. Previous testing of one of the shoes had revealed a partial DNA profile, however, due to low levels of DNA, it was unable to be further interpreted, and previous DNA testing was unsuccessful in relation to the right shoe.

As with the hood and the glove, the Inquiry considered that any trace DNA from Mr Cawsey would be of forensic significance, however, again, forensic testing in 2023 revealed a mixed DNA profile that was too weak for further interpretation.

Commissioner, I do note that DNA testing on the right shoe is ongoing and supplementary submissions will be filed in relation to any results.

So, Commissioner, in short, none of the forensic testing yielded new lines of inquiry nor advanced any theory as to who may have been responsible for the stabbing of Mr Cawsey.

Commissioner, it remains only now to make submissions as to the manner and cause of Mr Cawsey's death and to propose any recommendations.

Commissioner, the findings as to the manner and cause made at the original coronial inquest remain appropriate. That is, that the cause of Mr Cawsey's death was haemopericardium as a result of a stab wound to his left chest. Given the conclusion I earlier expressed that the evidence was insufficient to ground a positive finding against Mr Kellie, it is submitted that the finding should reflect that the person who inflicted the stab wound is unknown or cannot be conclusively determined.

Commissioner, it is proposed that a recommendation be made to FASS, the Forensic and Analytical Science Service, to take steps to further enhance the profiles of Unknown Males A, B and C as technological developments allow, and to continue to run the unidentified profiles against State and national DNA databases on a regular basis, so that the NSW Police Force will be notified in the event that there is an individual or familial match to any profile. Commissioner, those are my submissions.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Anything at this stage?
MR BARRIE: Thank you, Commissioner. The Commissioner of Police wishes to reserve her position. She would also like to take an opportunity to extend her condolences to the friends and family of Mr Cawsey.

THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right. Thank you.
Can I just direct some remarks to Christine and Kerry. Your attendance here today is very much appreciated and I too express my condolences to both of you and to members of your family.

I will reserve my position, I will hear from the police in due course and I will report on this in detail.

Thank you all. I will now adjourn.
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