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THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Gray?
MR GRAY: Commissioner, this morning is the hearing in relation to the case of Ross Bradley Warren, who died on 22 July 1989.

Counse1 is here representing the Commissioner of Police.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you.
MR SHORT: Short for the Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR GRAY: Commissioner, Mr Warren was a well-known TV weatherman and newsreader in Wollongong and photographs of him have been widely publicised in the media ever since, and it is appropriate, with respect, that one of those photos be shown on the screen now, as has been done.

The Inquiry has been in communication with Mr Warren's family over the course of the last year and they are aware of today's proceedings.

On behalf of the Inquiry, I extend our condolences to all of Mr Warren's surviving family and friends.

Commissioner, Mr Warren was 25 years old at the time of his disappearance in July 1989. He was living in Wollongong. He was known as a very friendly and good-natured person, with a wicked sense of humour. He worked as a television presenter with WIN4 Television in Wollongong. He was a gay man. His parents and his siblings, a brother and a sister, as well as his employer at WIN Television were aware of his sexuality and accepting of it. He evidently had many friends who were gay. At least some of those friends were aware that he sometimes used the beat at Marks Park that I spoke about yesterday.

On the evening of Friday, 21 July 1989, Mr Warren read the weather at the conclusion of the 6 o'clock news on WIN Television and then drove from Wollongong to Sydney. He went to the home of a friend of his called Craig Ellis, with whom he was going to stay for the weekend. This was something that he quite often did on weekends.

At about 10.30 or 11 that night, Friday night, he drove to Oxford Street in Darlinghurst and he met a work colleague called Phillip Rossini. They visited a number of bars and nightclubs along the 0xford Street strip until they parted ways at about 2am on Saturday, 22 July. Mr Rossini saw Mr Warren driving his car on Oxford Street in an easterly direction heading towards Paddington from Darlinghurst. That was the last known sighting of Mr Warren.

The next morning, or later that morning, 22 July, Mr Ellis realised that Mr Warren had not come home. Mr Ellis and his then partner, a Mr Saucis, commenced to search for him but with no success.

The next day, Sunday, 23 July, Mr Warren was due to be at work at WIN Television, but he did not turn up. Mr Ellis and Mr Saucis went to Paddington Police on the evening of Sunday, 23 July, and reported Mr Warren missing. Their report was taken by a Constable Robinson.

Later that night or early the next morning, they themselves went to Marks Park to see whether perhaps Mr Warren was there. They were aware that that was a possibility, for a number of reasons. One is that Mr Warren and Mr Ellis had first met there; and another is that they were aware from conversations that sometimes Mr Warren did use the Marks Park beat.

So they went to Marks Park and, sure enough, they found Mr Warren's car in a street called Kenneth Street, very close to the park. They reported that to Paddington Police as well. Constable Robinson made some inquiries, both with WIN Television and with Mr Warren's home address, with no positive result. He circulated Mr Warren as a missing person, and his recollection was that he informed detectives of the situation, he being a uniformed officer.

Then on the same day, Monday, 24 July, Mr E1lis and Mr Saucis went back to Marks Park and they explored, or at least investigated, themselves, the area further, and lower down towards the bottom of the cliff they found Mr Warren's keys. They were at the water's edge near the headland, and they were in what was described as a "rock pocket".

Mr Ellis's impression was that the keys appeared to have been placed on the ledge in that pocket which had been
formed in the rock.
They went back to the Paddington Police again and they told the police that they had found the keys.

Constable Robinson went to the location with Mr Ellis. He searched Mr Warren's car and he found his wallet in the glove box. He saw clothes and running shoes in the car and various other personal effects, and he took them back to Paddington Police Station and handed them to the detectives. No photographs of the scene seem ever to have been taken.

On the same day, 24 July, Monday, a Detective Senior Constable Bouris from another police station, namely Bondi Police Station, created a receipt for the items seized from the car of Mr Warren. How that came to be something that happened at Bondi is not entirely clear, but it seems that DSC Bouris, according to what he told Detective Sergeant Page in 2001 in the course of the Taradale investigation, did attend Kenneth Street, Bondi, did see the car, and was given a set of eight keys. As I say, how that came about with the Bondi Police Station is not entirely clear.

One of the documents in the tender bundle, at tender bundle 48, is a statement from another police officer from Bondi who says that the keys and other items were duly returned to Mr Warren's mother at some later stage. It's not perhaps entirely clear how much later, but at some later stage they were returned to Mr Warren's parents.

Now, Mr Warren's body has never been found. His disappearance and suspected death were never reported to the Coroner.

Two days after the Monday that I've been referring to, namely, on Wednesday, 26 July, there was an article in the Daily Telegraph, and if it is possible, I will ask for that to be placed on screen [SCOI.76851]. It is an article of 26 July, 1989. According to that article, which I will quote from - there it is now on the screen - the article, which was on the front page of the Daily Telegraph, headed "Murder fears for TV weather man", began in the first paragraph:

Police hold grave fears that a missing
television weatherman may have been
murdered.

## Ross Warren, who worked for Wollongong's

 WIN, has not been seen since the early hours of Saturday.A police spokesman said it is feared
Mr Warren may have met with foul play.
Now, who the police spokesman was is not stated, however, the officer in charge at Paddington Police, the detective officer in charge, was Detective Sergeant Kenneth Bowditch. On 28 July, two days later, a Friday, Detective Sergeant Bowditch made an entry in his occurrence pad, and if it is possible, I would like that to be brought up on the screen as well. It is in tab 6 of the tender bundle [SCOI.02744.00031].

If we scroll down a little, we'll see that Detective Bowditch - I want to take you, Commissioner, to a few aspects of this. You'll see that the entry is made, according to the top left-hand column, at 9.50am on the 28th, which was a Friday. Mr Warren is described as a "Missing Person". On the right-hand side there's a column which says "Police in charge. Action taken". And the first few lines record, as written:

Detective Sergeant Bowditch --
who was the OIC, and then several other officers, namely Detective Sharrock and Plain Clothes Constables Ryan and Glascock, together with Constable Robinson in charge. Various other officers are said to have been informed, including Constable Emery of Missing Persons. It's stated that Scientific and Fingerprints were informed and attended, and that the Air Wing and Water Police were utilised. I will come back to those matters.

Then in the main column there is a narrative account of essentially what Mr Ellis and Mr Saucis had told police, and that takes the first page and the second page. At the bottom of the second page - if we scroll down further, thank you - we see, about half a dozen lines up, reference to Mr Ellis and Mr Saucis, on a ledge near the water 's edge they located a set of keys. What then is recorded is this:

Following this both Ellis and Saucis and the Police carried out a residential canvass of nearby dwellings.

That seems to be, as later evidence indicates, not entirely accurate. The next sentence is:

The Water Police and Air Wing were called in to assist foot police.

Later evidence would suggest that that is not entirely accurate either.

If we then turn over to the next page, you see, Commissioner, a paragraph reading as follows:

On the morning of the 26.7.89 an article as headlines in the Daily Telegraph that investigating Police were of the view that Warren had been murdered.

That's the article that we just looked at. And Sergeant Bowditch went on:

This is not the view of investigating
Police nor did the story or information
regarding this emanate from this office.
When one scrolls further to the bottom of the next page - if we could do that, please - we get a paragraph beginning, "Investigating Police are of the opinion", where Detective Sergeant Bowditch sets out what he says the view of investigating police actually was, namely:

```
... that the missing person has fallen into
the ocean in some manner and it is
anticipated that in the near future his
body will surface and be recovered. I am
not able to offer any explanation as to how
he would have fallen into the water only
that the area near where the keys were
located is a treacherous rock formation
which at the present time is secreting
a lot of water and moisture from recent
rains ...
```

et cetera.
And then at the bottom of that page and just spilling over to the last page, there's a sentence which reads:

Further enquiries are continuing and the appropriate authorities will be notified.

The contention that "further inquiries are continuing" seems also, on the basis of later evidence, to be somewhat less than accurate.

That occurrence pad entry, as we saw, was dated Friday morning, 28 July. On the evidence, the investigation, to the extent there was an investigation, seems to have finished at that point. The evidence does not indicate any other or further step thereafter.

Let me turn to that initial investigation per se. I took you, Commissioner, a moment ago, to the steps which Mr Bowditch - Detective Sergeant Bowditch - claimed to have carried out.

In the course of Operation Taradale, evidence was gathered by Detective Sergeant Page, which was put before Coroner Milledge, and, in summary, the position on that evidence appears to be this:

Sergeant Bowditch claimed that he had submitted a brief of evidence to the Coroner in 1990, however, no such brief was received by the Coroners Court.

Secondly, he claimed that copies of all documents in relation to Mr Warren's disappearance would also have been sent to the Missing Persons Unit, however, no documents were received by the Missing Persons Unit.

Thirdly, he claimed that Detective Sergeant Ryan and Plain Clothes Officers Glascock and Sharrock had assisted in the investigation into Mr Warren's disappearance, however, each of those three officers provided statements to Operation Taradale to the effect that, in fact, they had no involvement in any such investigation.

Fourthly, Detective Bowditch claimed that the Air Wing and Water Police were involved. However, no record from either of those entities supported that claim.

Fifthly, he claimed that he had taken formal statements from Mr Saucis and Mr Ellis and that he had met with them, however, no such statements were located, and both Mr Saucis and Mr Ellis told Detective Sergeant Page that this had not happened.

Sixthly, he claimed that he obtained Mr Warren's health and dental records and some recent photographs, however, no such documents were found by Operation Taradale.

In fact, it was Mr Warren's friends, Mr Ellis and Mr Saucis, who took some action once they realised Mr Warren was missing. Having reported him missing on the evening of Sunday, the 23rd, they themselves conducted a search of Marks Park, for the reasons I have mentioned. On that Sunday evening they found his car. They reported that to the police.

Again it was Mr Ellis and Mr Saucis, not the police, who returned to Marks Park on the morning of 24 July. They, not the police, did a door-knock of houses in the area and spoke with residents. They, in particular Mr Ellis, then walked down the stairs to the rock shelf and then walked down below the rock shelf and saw the set of keys that Mr Ellis recognised as Mr Warren's.

If it is convenient, I will show or ask to have shown on the screen a map or photograph of the area which was produced in the course of Strike Force Neiwand, and it shows, with the use of red arrows, where these various events happened.

That's Marks Park that we saw yesterday. The first red arrow, number 1 on the right, shows where the car was found, which was in Kenneth Street.

The second red arrow shows the path down which Mr Ellis and Mr Saucis walked, which leads down to the actual coastal track itself, which is the one skirting the park.

Then the fourth arrow indicates where Mr Ellis, in 2017 or so, was standing with a Strike Force Neiwand officer, at the top of the rock shelf, or at an elevated part of the rock shelf, pointing to where the keys had been
found.
Then the fifth one shows the spot where the keys were actually found, which was down almost at water level at the bottom of the cliff, just above the waves from Mackenzies Bay.

Now, there is no record, as I said, there is no contemporaneous record, of any steps whatsoever being taken by police after the occurrence pad entry of Detective Sergeant Bowditch on 28 July.

At the Taradale inquest or the Milledge Inquest in 2003, Sergeant Bowditch - former Sergeant Bowditch by then - gave evidence that Mr Warren's case actively continued and it was not closed for another three weeks, until 19 August. However, he was not able to nominate any steps actually taken beyond 28 July.

Mr Warren was reported missing to Paddington Police. Had the matter been investigated by Bondi detectives, it is perhaps possible that when Mr John Russell, another gay man, was found at the base of a cliff at Marks Park on1y four months later, a connection between the two events may have been made. Instead, the matter remained at Paddington Police with Detective Sergeant Bowditch, who, as we have seen, within a matter of days ceased doing whatever little he may have done and wrote in his occurrence pad:

> Investigating Police are of the opinion that the missing person has fallen into the ocean in some manner and it is anticipated that in the near future his body will surface and be recovered.

In other words, for all practical purposes, there was no investigation of the death of Mr Warren as a possible homicide.

The link between the two deaths was not made until a year or two later, when Detective Sergeant McCann, the officer in charge, or OIC, of the investigations into the murders of Richard Johnson at Alexandria in January 1990 and Mr Rattanajurathaporn near Marks Park in July 1990, did document a series of connections between and among other assaults on gay men, both in the Marks Park area and other parts of Sydney, and the Commission has those two reports
by Sergeant McCann.
Coroner Milledge, when she handed down her findings after the inquest in March 2005, described this initial police investigation into the death of Mr Warren in 1989 as:
... a grossly inadequate and shameful
investigation. Indeed, to characterise it
as an "investigation" is to give it a label
it does not deserve.
Now, I turn to Operation Taradale. I won't repeat what I said yesterday in general about it, suffice to say, however, that its origin did relate specifically to Mr Warren's case, and that happened this way, that in May 2000, Detective Sergeant Page, who was then at the Rose Bay Local Area Command, received a file in relation to the disappearance, as it then was thought to be, of Mr Warren. That file contained a series of letters from Mr Warren's mother, requesting that inquiries be made so that at least a death certificate could be issued in relation to her missing son. Letter after letter from her had gone unanswered, and eventually, a somewhat neutral response had been sent.

On becoming aware of these matters, DS Page began to examine documents associated with Mr Warren's disappearance, and he requested resources to investigate the matter. He became aware, in the course of his initial work, of DS McCann's two reports from 1991 and of the possible links between and among various gangs that were operating in the area at the time.

In the end, Operation Taradale was set up to investigate both the disappearance of Mr Warren and the death of Mr Russell, as well as the assault on Mr David McMahon, which had happened also at Marks Park in December of 1989, only about a month after the death of Mr Russell.

I spoke yesterday about the extent and scale of Operation Taradale, the 12 police officers involved, the telephone intercepts, the listening devices, the persons of interest located and interviewed, the expert evidence and so on. I won't repeat that.

At the conclusion of his work, when he - "he",

Sergeant Page - prepared his brief of evidence for the Coroner, which included his own very lengthy statement, he summarised his views as follows, and these are set out in our written submissions at paragraph 137.

He said, firstly, that he noted that Mr Warren had not let his financial affairs lapse, which some people contemplating suicide sometimes do, and he noted that he appeared, according to his friends, to be in good spirits. He said that he believed that Mr Warren was deceased and that it was likely that his body had entered the water surrounding Marks Park. He said he believed it was likely that Mr Warren's keys had been placed where they were found - placed there either by Mr Warren or by somebody who had found them. He said he did not believe Mr Warren attended Marks Park to commit suicide, since he was a gay man attending a known beat and was likely there for a clandestine sexual encounter. He said that taking into account violence known to have been displayed against gay men at Marks Park, he believed it was likely that Mr Warren met his death there as a result of violence. But he said that although the available evidence showed that groups such as the Bondi Boys, the Tamarama Three and the Alexandria Eight were involved in violent offences towards the gay community in the vicinity of Marks Park at around that time, he was not able, on the evidence he had found and gathered, to offer an opinion as to who specifically was responsible for Mr Warren's death.

He also made some observations about the adequacy of the initial police investigation. They are set out in our paragraph 138. For the most part, I have adverted to all of those myself this morning.

The first one makes the point about which police station was involved, and DS Page noted that the investigation was retained by a command, namely, Paddington, not responsible for the incident scene. It's plain, at least with hindsight, to see how that could cause information to fall between cracks, and that does plainly seem to have happened.

He mentions that the diving unit was not utilised to conduct an underwater search. He mentions that crime trends in relation to violence against members of the gay community were not monitored to establish whether it was likely that Mr Warren was the victim of foul play. He
expressed the view that it was illogical that the scenario of accidental death was considered likely in the very early stages of the investigation, with foul play almost eliminated. He expressed the view, which, on the evidence we submit is well founded, that other than the primary response controlled by and essentially the work of Constable Robinson, the investigative follow-up was negligible.

Now, the Taradale work led, as we know, to the Milledge Inquest. If the Milledge findings could be brought up I would be grateful. They are at exhibit 6, tab 161 [SCOI.02751.00021_0001]. Thank you, the actual Milledge findings, if that's possible, because I just want to recall - Commissioner, I know you are aware of this the summary that the Coroner gave of some of the breadth of the evidence that was available to her. It starts at page 3. I won't read it out, as I appreciate that the Commission has seen this before and others have as well, but the force of the evidence summarised in these pages needs to be borne in mind when considering these cases.

At the bottom of page 3, or halfway down page 3, her Honour talks about Marks Park being a popular walking track during the day and at night "gay men will 'cruise' the coastal walkway rattling keys or coins as an indication of their availability for personal contact". These are the Coroner's overall summarised extractions of a very large amount of evidence that was before her.

She says, a couple of paragraphs down, that it was well known to everyone in the community that this was a gay beat, including the police. She records the nub of some of the evidence about the nature of beats and how they operated in New South Wales at the time. There is a heading, "The 'Gay Hate' climate at the time", where she records that the evidence before her included that gay men were more likely to be victims of violence than other male members of the community, that homosexuals in that era were not likely to report crimes of violence against them, both because of shame and embarrassment and because they believed, rightly or wrongly, that police would not respond appropriately to their victimisation. She records the evidence before her, which was that 22 per cent of gay hate homicides between 1989 and 1999 occurred at beats. And I drop down to the next paragraph, beginning "1989/1990":
... a number of gay men were attacked and some killed in the inner city and eastern suburbs, all victims of "gay hate" violence. Whilst a number of offenders were arrested, many of the brutal attacks went unsolved.

Several of them are listed there in some bullet points.
The one on 18 December is the assault on Alan Boxsell. The one on 21 December is the assault on David McMahon.
Commissioner, in our submissions, we have actually given some references to some other assaults at about the same time also at Marks Park or Bondi in this era as well.

In the bottom paragraph on that page, she records that the evidence before her established that:

During the 1980's and 1990's police were aware of a number of gangs of youths that were systematically engaged in the assault and robbery of gay men in Marks Park and other areas.

She refers to the evidence about the names that some of them gave themselves and about their view of homosexual men as easy targets, who would be unlikely to come forward and report their attacks, and that the gangs, as a consequence, believed that they were safe.

As to her findings, you had this before you yesterday, Commissioner, in the case of Mr Warren, the finding was and this is on page 14 of the same document, if it is convenient to show that:

I find that Ross Bradley Warren died in
Sydney on or about 22 July 1989. Whilst
the cause and manner of death are unknown, I am satisfied that the deceased was
a victim of homicide perpetrated by person
or persons unknown.
At the top of that page, in addition to the formal findings, she expressed the views about the evidence that I took you to yesterday.

Now, I move to Strike Force Neiwand. Again, not wishing to repeat at any length what I said yesterday, the

Coroner's findings - Coroner Milledge's findings - were in March 2005; Strike Force Neiwand was set up in October 2015, 10 and a half years later. In the intervening period, there had been no investigation or reinvestigation of any of these three deaths, including that of Mr Warren, even though, among other things, Detective Senior Constable Taylor of the Unsolved Homicide Team had recommended some such reinvestigation in October 2012.

Now, again, not wishing to repeat myself unduly, as I submitted yesterday, the Neiwand summaries, including the one relating to Mr Warren, made a number of global criticisms of Operation Taradale applicable, so it was asserted, to the investigations by Taradale of all three of these deaths.

The first criticism, if I'm now summarising what I said yesterday, was the accusation that it was relying on investigation confirmation bias and seeking only evidence consistent with such a bias while disregarding inconsistent evidence; secondly, it accused Taradale of having been only conducting its investigation with tunnel vision by concentrating only on members of youth gangs and not considering other hypotheses; and thirdly, it claimed that Taradale had not deployed the strategies related to victimology at all.

As submitted yesterday, at the end of the evidence of Sergeant Morgan this year before you, in February, and the evidence of Mr Willing, it was plain that those three criticisms were now abandoned and it was accepted that they were unjustified and unwarranted and wrong and that, in fact, the investigations by Operation Taradale and Sergeant Page were very thorough and appropriate.

Now, another concession that was made, specifically about the three cases, and including the case of Mr Warren, was that the focus of Strike Force Neiwand was not to investigate the possibility of homicide at all; it was overwhelmingly on factors pointing towards either suicide or misadventure, and the many concessions that were made to that effect are set out in our written submissions at around paragraph 184. They include the deliberate decision by Neiwand not to pursue any of the known persons of interest and the concession that, in the case of Mr Warren, Strike Force Neiwand did nothing itself to pursue the possibility of gay hate homicide as distinct from domestic
homicide, other than to review what Operation Taradale had done.

As I submitted yesterday in relation to the other two cases, Mr Russell and Mr Mattaini, the conclusion of the Neiwand summaries, including in relation to Mr Warren, was that the 2005 findings of Coroner Milledge should be overturned. The concluding paragraph of the Warren summary at paragraph 270 said:

Warren's disappearance - cause and manner of death remain "undetermined" despite the 2005 "homicide" findings of the Coroner, which list it as a homicide.

I spoke yesterday about the use of the word "despite" in that context.

DS Morgan accepted in his evidence in February that this contradiction of the coronial findings was made even though Neiwand, in fact, had uncovered nothing of any consequence beyond what was before Coroner Milledge. I'm now looking at our written submissions at around about paragraph 200.

Mr Willing agreed, in our submissions at 202, that for Neiwand to purport to say, as it did in all of these summaries, that the cause and manner of death "remain 'undetermined' despite the 'homicide' findings of the Coroner" was completely without foundation, he said it was "breathtaking" and "extraordinary" for Neiwand to reverse the decision of the Coroner and that he had never seen the like of it before. Our submission that you have, Commissioner, is that Neiwand had no proper basis and no reasonable basis for contradicting the coronial findings of Coroner Milledge in any way.

Now, I wish to take you, Commissioner, to a couple of particular aspects of the Neiwand summaries in connection with Mr Warren in particular. We point out at 235 - what I would like, if it could be done by those who are so expertly operating the screen, if we could have the Neiwand summary itself for Mr Warren on the screen, I hope that's possible.

If we go to that summary [SCOI.74883_0001] we will see - when it comes up I will just illustrate this on the
screen for you, Commissioner - that the Warren summary, and the Russell summary was the same in this respect. The Warren summary devoted many pages to summarising some of what had been uncovered by the work of Sergeant McCann in the early 1990s, and Sergeant Page in the early 2000s.

The expression used in the Neiwand summary is that there was a "spate" of violent attacks on gay men in the Bondi/Tamarama area, and many paragraphs of the Neiwand summary were devoted to the activities of various gangs in connection with many of those attacks. Thank you very much.

If we could scroll to paragraph 62 of this Neiwand summary, it is exhibit 6 in the Commission's Inquiry generally at tab 174, but at any rate, it is now on the screen.

To illustrate the point, at paragraphs 62 and following, there is, from 62 to 84 - so five or six pages recounting what paragraph 62 refers to as the "spate of assaults/robberies/murders committed against gay men in the Eastern Suburbs of Sydney around the period of Warren's disappearance". The summary says that this provides the reader with a synopsis into the deaths or assaults identified by Homicide Squad South investigators that occurred in the 1990s.

I won't go through this in detail but you see, Commissioner, that there is then the murder of Mr Rattanajurathaporn, the murder of William Allen, the death of John Russell - links between and among various gangs thought to have been involved in one or more of those murders and deaths. At paragraph 77 there's an extract from one of Sergeant McCann's two 1991 reports, which specifically make all these links as early as 1991.

At paragraphs 80 to 84 there's reference to some of the work that Sergeant McCann did in 1990 and 1991, and at paragraph 82, for example, there's a reference to one particular person of interest, who has a pseudonym there, "implicating himself" - himself only, that is - in approximately 70 to 100 gang assaults and robberies on gay men in the Alexandria and Bondi areas.

Then if we turn over to paragraph 104, or, in fact, 102, there's a heading "Operation Taradale", and then over
the next 10 or 12 paragraphs, down to about paragraph 112, there's a summary of some of the work that Taradale did, also bringing into focus the activities of these many persons of interest and on gangs and on the evidence of Sue Thompson and the study written by Sue Thompson and Jenny Mouzos in the late '90s in relation to gay hate related homicides.

Then, finally in this regard, if we turn to 152, there's then listed again a kind of outline of the gangs and persons of interest that Operation Taradale had focused on, including the Alexandria Eight, the group known as PSK or Parkside Killers, the Tamarama Three, the Bondi Boys and others. There's reference to the telephone intercepts in relation to all of those people. So the point being that Strike Force Neiwand was clearly very well aware of the work that had been done in the past to establish abundantly the extent and nature of the violence being perpetrated on gay men in this area at the relevant time.

At paragraph 266, however, if we could turn to 266 , which is in the last couple of pages of the Warren summary, and it is, in fact, under a heading called "Summary", in 266, which you have on the screen, the authors of the Neiwand summary say:

> An interesting detail from several witnesses who frequented the Mackenzies Point gay beat was that they did not witness or encounter violence of any sort.
> They were aware that "gay bashings"
> happened at beats but were not aware that they happened at the Mackenzies Point gay beat.

Two witnesses are then cited for that - a Mr Ellis, and a second witness who has been given the identifier I98. I know, Commissioner, that you are aware who that is, and I dare say my learned friends are as well. The sentence then follows:

Based on this information police confirmed that attacks on gay men did occur, but may not have been as prevalent as portrayed by the Operation Taradale investigation or the media.

Now, this was a particularly remarkable part of the Warren summary, we submit. First of all, there were not several witnesses; there were two.

Second of all, as I have been demonstrating a few minutes ago, by contrast, there was a very large mountain of evidence, much of it specifically referred to in the very same Neiwand summary, about the frequency of violent attacks on gay men at and around Marks Park.

Thirdly, in any event, what each of those two witnesses, Mr Ellis and Mr I98, had actually said was rather different from what this paragraph 266 claims.

As to Mr Ellis - I won't take the trouble to have this brought up on the screen, it's a short point - his statement of 25 September 2000, which is in evidence before you as exhibit 6, tab 325, was a statement given by him to Operation Taradale, and at paragraph 26 of that statement, he said:

> I am aware that Marks Park, in the late 1980 s , was the scene of at least one assault on a gay man as a result of homophobia.

That's Mr Ellis.
As to Mr I98, first of all, if we turn in this same Neiwand summary, if that could be brought up again, to paragraph 201, Mr I98's evidence is summarised. The summary, which was Neiwand's own summary, says, in the third line:

> I98 did not encounter any violence or gangs during his visits to Mackenzies Point. I98 recalled noticing that if something wasn't right, he would see numerous men walking away from Marks Park. He interpreted this to be "not right to be there." [He] did state that he had heard of violence towards gay men at beats (which was around the 1960 's and 1970's) and because of this he carried nun chucks (ninja weapon). [He said] there were two types of people who he and others like him feared, they were groups of males and Police.

So even in the summary itself at paragraph 201, Mr I98's evidence seems to be a little different from the way it's put at 266. But then if we go to what Mr I98's statement actually said, which $I$ hope might be able to be brought up on the screen, it is in the tender bundle for today at tab 90 [SCOI.10390.00118_0001] it's a statement of the man known as I98, given to Strike Force Neiwand in May 2017, and if we turn to paragraph 20, what Mr I98 said was, actually, this, if we get to paragraph 20. Thank you:
Q. What times of day would there be activity at the beat at Marks Park?
A. Always during the night when it was dark ... Mostly it would happen around 1am and 2am ...

And so on. Question 21:
Q. Were you aware of any violence at the Marks Park beat?
A. I had heard of gay bashers in the area however $I$ had never witnessed anything.
I hadn't even witnessed any shouting or screaming though $I$ was ready for it.
$I$ used to carry a smal 1 nun chuck weapon with me in case I was attacked. I was
scared of groups who would carry out poofter bashings. I never saw it but I was aware of the potential.

And over the page at question 23 , when he was asked, "When you went to the beat what would you take with you", he said:
A. I would take my wallet and keys ...

Sometimes I would hide my wallet in the car
in case someone tried to rob me. Although
at the time $I$ was a black belt in karate so
I wasn't too concerned about my ability to
fight if someone wanted to fight me
although $I$ never wanted to fight.
So that's what Mr I98 actually said.
But, more than that, in his evidence before you
in February, DS Morgan was taken to these matters. He conceded that paragraph 266 in the Neiwand summary was "totally inaccurate", and "at odds with the evidence of the two witnesses in question". He conceded as well that even if there had been two men who had said that they weren't aware of bashings at Marks Park, which, as we have seen is not actually what these two men did say, that, even then, would not be a sufficient basis for suggesting that attacks on gay men may not have been as prevalent as portrayed by Operation Taradale or the media.

Commissioner, I would like to remind you of some of the passages in DS Morgan's evidence where this topic was traversed, and if we could bring up that transcript, I would be very grateful. It is the transcript of 27 February 2023.

If we start at around about page 2209, Commissioner, you will see how this unfolded. At 2209 at about line 35 , I took Detective Sergeant Morgan to this paragraph, 266. Then at 2210, the next page, having acquainted him or familiarised him with what paragraph 266 said, at line 23 I asked him:
Q. Now, did you check to see what, first
of all, Mr Ellis had said at the time of Taradale in his statement then?
A. ... no, I don't believe so.

Then I looked with Sergeant Morgan at the Ellis statement, and at 2211, having taken him to that paragraph which I read out a few minutes ago, at 1 ine 14 it was suggested to Sergeant Morgan:
Q. That seems to be somewhat different from what you've put in paragraph 266 ?

And his answer was:
A. You keep saying "you" and we've been down this track. I accept that it is at odds with what is in 266.

The second sentence is the one that matters for today's purposes, he accepts that it is at odds. The first sentence, when he says "you keep saying 'you'", Commissioner, you may recall is one of the examples
of a number of occasions when Sergeant Morgan seemed to wish to distance himself from authorship of the Neiwand summary and to suggest that it was the work, perhaps on1y, of DSC Chebl.

You, Commissioner, then asked him a question about that part, which we see at line 23, and his answer was:

## A. I accept that I obviously didn't read <br> the document carefully enough ...

Then on page 2212, the next page, I took him to the statement of Mr I98. I took him to the contrast between paragraph 266 of the Neiwand summary and what Mr I98 actually said. At page 2213, I98, of course, is the one who talks about taking nunchucks to the beat in case he got bashed.

So at line 10 on page 2213, I took him to I98's account of noticing that if something wasn't right, he would see numerous men walking away from Marks Park, and he agreed with the question at line 15:
Q. ... it seems clearly enough to be telling the reader that what $\operatorname{Mr} 198$ saw at Marks Park was sometimes things not being right, when he would see numerous men walking away?
A. Yes.
Q. That's telling the reader, isn't it, really, that he was aware that there could be trouble from marauders or people intending harm to the gay people who were meeting there?
A. Yes, that's my interpretation and in addition, he used to personally carry a weapon.

And we see that that's agreed, nunchucks. Question at line 37:
Q. That rather indicates that he was aware that Marks Park was possibly a dangerous place for gay men?
A. That's certainly the way $I$ read it, yes.

Question at line 41:
Q. ... that being so, when we go to 266 --
that's in the Neiwand summary --
to put Mr 198 forward as someone who was not aware that bashings happened at Mackenzies Point is not really right?
A. It's inaccurate, totally.

Then at page 2214, or the bottom of 2213, really, there was a summing-up exercise, and I put to him:
Q. So in that paragraph, 266, there are
then a few problems. First of all, it says that there were several ...
but in fact there were only two, and at 1 ine 5 he agrees with that. Then at lines 7 to 17 he agrees that even those two were, in fact, aware of the risk of violence at Marks Park. At 1 ines 19 to 20 , in the case of 198 he took weapons there and was clearly aware of things not being right. At line 23, the question is:
Q. ... even if there were two men who did
say they weren't aware of bashings ... that
would not be a sufficient basis for
confirming [as 266 purports to do] that
attacks on gay men may not have been as
prevalent as portrayed by Taradale or the media.
A. I would agree with that, yes.

And then finally, he is asked whether he would accept that Neiwand also had available to it, and was aware of, a mountain of evidence going back to the late '80s of many, many, many violent attacks on gay men in the
Bondi/Tamarama/Marks Park area. The answer was, "Yes". I put that much of it had been referred to by himself and Mr Chebl in this very summary. Again the answer was "Yes".

Then at 2215, he was asked about whether he was aware of the reports by Streetwatch and Lesbian and Gay Anti-Violence Project and the Count \& Counter Reports. He said:
A. Not until I became part of Neiwand.
Q. Were you aware then, during the course of Neiwand?
A. Yes.

At 1ine 21:
Q. And so the statement in paragraph 266 --
and $I$ won't read it out again --
is not a confirmation that actually stands up, is it?
A. No, it is totally incorrect.
Q. ... Was that paragraph included so as to downplay or minimise the extent and frequency of such attacks?
A. I don't know why it was put in there. $I$ don't.
Q. Well, since you have accepted that it's almost completely incorrect and that the opposite was the truth, why put it in, do you think?
A. I don't know.
Q. What other reason could there be, given that it is so obviously
unsupportable, but to downplay or minimise the extent of gay hate attacks in this area?

A question objected to by Senior Counsel for the Commissioner of Police.

U1timately, after some discussion between Counsel and you, Commissioner, the question was again put at the bottom of 2216, and it's a telling answer. The question is, at 1ine 37:
Q. ... what possible reason could there
be for it being included - paragraph 266-
given that it is so obviously
unsupportable, other than that the reason
was to downplay the extent and frequency of
the attacks of this kind in this area?
A. Yes, I - I can only think that it
was - it is totally wrong, that statement, and I can only think that it suited Senior Constable Chebl's findings on it to put that forward, that he wanted to put that forward as a fact, when clearly it wasn't.

DS Morgan, in the case of Mr Warren, conceded that Neiwand's work did not shed any further 1 ight on what actually happened to Mr Warren and that Neiwand did nothing beyond what was already done in Operation Taradale to pursue the possibility of gay hate homicide.

In terms of the work that Neiwand did do, directed to whether the theories of suicide or domestic homicide could be supported, he conceded as well, and we've given the reference in the written submissions, that those 1 ines of inquiry, none of those 1 ines of inquiry, as to suicide or domestic homicide, actually led anywhere in the end, and he conceded that the basic upshot in relation to Mr Warren was that the work of Neiwand did not shed any further light on what had happened to Mr Warren in the end.

Commissioner, I see the time. I am not that far off completing my submissions, but $I$ am in your hands.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think continue, Mr Gray, thank you.
MR GRAY: Commissioner, as we've outlined in our written submissions, the Inquiry has taken various steps of its own to attempt to advance the quantum of information available that might assist in elucidating what happened to Mr Warren. Those steps are outlined in our written submissions. They include, of course, getting the coronial file, issuing various summonses to the police, and obtaining various records over a period of many months. It includes speaking to and communicating with FASS, the Forensic and Analytical Science Service, regarding the possibility of further testing of exhibits, including the driver's licence and the library card - these were articles from inside the car.

FASS's recommendation is that there was little point in any further DNA testing as there had not been significant improvements in this respect since it had been done by Neiwand.

The Inquiry nailed down the last of a couple of
remaining loose ends in relation to fingerprint testing and the details are set out in our written submissions, but the bottom line, if I can speak slightly loosely, is that none of the further fingerprint testing yielded information that was going to be ultimately useful in pursuing any line of inquiry to finality.

In addition to those matters, the Inquiry sought and obtained reports from two experts, one being forensic pathologist Linda Iles, who was asked to provide a report on the decomposition of bodies in water. That report was also relevant to the case of Mr Mattaini, and we looked at it yesterday, so I will say no more about it today. In one sentence, unfortunately, the position is that there are so many variables, both extrinsic and intrinsic, on that question, what happens to a body in seawater, that it is really not possible, with the paucity of information available in this case, to say with any conviction what may have happened.

The second expert who was briefed was Professor Robert Brander, a coastal geomorphologist. You will recall, Commissioner, that he was also briefed and did provide a report in the case of Mr Mattaini. Although the events are four years apart, the views of Professor Brander in relation to what may have happened to Mr Warren's body, assuming it went into the water at Marks Park in about late July 1989 are broadly similar to his views in connection with what may have happened to Mr Mattaini's body. The possibilities are many, and certainty is impossible. The details are referred to in our written submissions.

Commissioner, so far as manner and cause is concerned, the submission that we make, and it's at paragraph 455 of our written submissions, is that the finding made by Coroner Milledge in 2005 is still appropriate. That finding was:

Ross Bradley Warren died in Sydney on or about 22 Ju7y 1989. Whilst the cause and manner of death are unknown, I am satisfied that the deceased was a victim of homicide perpetrated by a person or persons unknown.

On the question of bias, our submission is that the evidence in the case of Mr Warren is sufficient to
establish that his death was a gay hate crime - that is, a death in which LGBTIQ bias was a factor.

Matters that inform that conclusion include the location where his keys and car were found, being a well-known gay beat; secondly, the probability that Mr Warren attended Marks Park for the purpose of using the beat on that night; and, thirdly, the abundance of evidence of gay hate assaults in the Bondi/Tamarama area, in particular, Marks Park, at that very time, in the late '80s and early '90s, including, of course, the death of Mr Russell only four months later.

Our submission is that it is highly probable that Mr Warren met his death at the hands of one or more gay hate assailants.

Those are our submissions.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR SHORT: Commissioner, the Commissioner of Police seeks to reserve her position and rely on written submissions, and otherwise extends condolences to Mr Warren's friends and family.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Thank you both. I will now adjourn, thank you.
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