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THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
MR EMMETT: Commissioner, I'm about to call the next police witness, Detective Superintendent Daniel Doherty. Before I do that, can I indicate that there is a prospect we may not finish with the two police witnesses - that is, Mr Doherty and Detective Chief Inspector Laidlaw - by 4pm today. In that event, it will be my application that we resume tomorrow to finish Mr Laidlaw if we haven't finished with him today.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. What time do you expect tomorrow?

MR EMMETT: Midday, if that's convenient.
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I've got a documentary tender in the morning. I will say not before 12, with any witness who is not finished.

MR TEDESCHI: It might be Mr Mykkeltvedt who appears on behalf of the police tomorrow.

THE COMMISSIONER: That's perfectly fine. As everyone appreciates, current timing is such that $I$ just need to finish it. But if Mr Mykkeltvedt is able to do that, that's perfectly fine. Thank you, Mr Tedeschi.

MR EMMETT: May it please. I call Detective Superintendent Daniel Doherty.
<DANIEL DOHERTY, sworn:
[10.04am]
<EXAMINATION BY MR EMMETT:
MR EMMETT: Q. Could you tell the Commission your full name, please?
A. Daniel Doherty.
Q. And your occupation?
A. Detective Superintendent, Commander of the Homicide Squad, State Crime Command, NSW Police Force.
Q. You have made a statement for the purpose of this Special Commission dated 18 April 2023?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. The contents of that statement are true and correct in every particular?
A. Yes.

MR EMMETT: Commissioner, that's behind tab 1 in volume 1 of the tender bundle.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Yes, I have that, thank you.

MR EMMETT: Q. Superintendent, you have been Commander of the Homicide Squad since 2019; is that right?
A. Yeah, it was December 2019.
Q. December 2019?
A. Yep.
Q. You have been a sworn officer since 1985?
A. That's right.
Q. And at paragraph 12 of your statement, you've set out your qualifications and training?
A. That's right.
Q. Commencing at paragraph 14 of the statement, you give a history of the Homicide Squad within the NSW Police Force?
A. That's correct.
Q. When did the Homicide Squad - when was the Homicide Squad first established?
A. About 1972 there was actually a Special Crime Squad established, which was under the Criminal Investigation Branch. Before that, it was the general duties detectives that would undertake homicide investigations under the Criminal Investigation Branch. The Special Crime Squad was formed in '72, and in 1976 that branch changed its name to the actual Homicide Squad and undertook investigation of homicides, suspicious deaths, unidentified remains and also suspicious disappearances.
Q. Thank you. At paragraph 17 of your statement you outline some examples of the changes and improvements in the way investigations and suspicious deaths have been conducted since the formation of that dedicated Homicide Squad or group, under whatever name, dedicated to homicide investigations?
A. Yes. There's been a number of them.
Q. They include the move from analogue to digital, in terms of digital devices?
A. That's right. So there was a number of advances and enhancements through communication, analogue devices, to mobile devices, through enhancements to computers, emails, the way we communicate in relation to how we store data, how we - in relation to how we can track data.
Q. Are those developments primarily since the 2000s that is, in the last two decades?
A. Yes - primarily. Primarily.
Q. You also identify the development of equipment like cameras and video recording?
A. Again, just enhancements around the way we - police would have been able to record, whether it be surveillance, offenders, identify suspects, crime scenes, trace forensic evidence, CCTV, enhancements around how we record even not only crime scenes but witness statements, suspect statements. So that's all changed with equipment enhancements.
Q. When you say enhancements in the preparation of witness statements, what kind of enhancements do you mean?
A. Well, you know, before, a lot of paper-based witness statements in the past. Now we have body-worn video. There's digitised ability to use computers in relation to communication with witnesses. There's portals, community portals. There's lots of information now we can receive electronically.
Q. And also you have identified increased research into psychology, criminology and victimology?
A. Yes, that's been developed over the years and that's continued to develop over the years.
Q. One matter you single out is advances in DNA technology?
A. Yes. Well, DNA technology changed a lot of the way how we would investigate not only homicide but all crime types, and that was mainly with the introduction around the mid to late '90s, but with the (Forensic Procedures) Act 2000, that changed - had a flow-on effect, giving us the ability or giving police the ability to take DNA from not only suspects, from volunteers; in relation to that, the
way we manage exhibits, and storing exhibits, because of the DNA enhancements, and the ability to test those items, which then had a flow-on effect in relation to obviously cold cases but all crime types, and it's been used along all crime types but specifically to homicides.
Q. You mentioned the changes in the legislation.
A. Yes.
Q. The (Forensic Procedures) Act. That improved your ability to gather DNA; is that right?
A. Well, it's given us the powers to gather the DNA not only from suspects and volunteers, but also it changed the way police managed and stored exhibits and retained them, which then enabled us to have the ability to have trace elements available for retesting.
Q. What were those changes to the way exhibits were stored and made available?
A. Well, it's a point now where going back in - many decades ago, you know, there was points where, I suppose, the - having an exhibit that may be fingerprinted and blood tested, there was no foresight in relation to what would have happened down the track in relation to the advances in DNA technology. So whilst an item may have been photographed and swabbed or - and fingerprinted, it should have been retained, but I suppose back in the day they weren't looking at what could have happened in the future where DNA and forensic process enhancement came along and would have given us the ability then to test for DNA.
Q. When you say there was no foresight in relation to the assistance that DNA might provide, do you include the 1990s in that period, or was there foresight, to your knowledge, in that period?
A. Well, look, again, in terms of exhibit management, you know, for all serious crime, exhibit management was important and those items should have been retained. It's a point where the advances in DNA, from my memory and recollection, was more in the UK and was coming through from the mid '90s to the late '90s, not so much the early '90s. I have a memory of Commissioner Peter Ryan, who had come from the UK, had come into the NSW Police Force and had brought over staff from the UK to look at the advances in DNA and how we would then introduce that into New South Wales. But it really wasn't in place until we had a database put together and had the (Forensic

Procedures) Act in 2000, and that was implemented in January 2001.
Q. DNA technology was being used forensically in the UK in the late '80s, wasn't it?
A. It was, but it wasn't widely used in the NSW Police Force. Again, there may have been exceptions to the rule where certain investigations may have travelled to the UK to get DNA tested. I haven't got those examples in front of me, but that was more the rarity. But it wasn't common knowledge and common use until later on.
Q. You mentioned Commissioner Ryan bringing staff from the UK --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- and starting or raising the value of DNA. Do you remember when that was?
A. From my recollection, it was an interesting time in the NSW Police Force; it was around the Royal Commission, which was the mid '90s, so - and I also - so it was around that mid '90s area.
Q. So to your knowledge, was there any foresight of the benefits of DNA in the early '90s in the NSW Police Force? A. Again, it's - I think it's something where it may have been an advancement that people may have known about, but it wasn't a common practice or - and wasn't really available for us in New South Wales at the time in the early '90s.
Q. And whether available or not, are you aware of whether officers were educated about its future potential and about the prospect of its becoming available at some point?
A. I think it was more starting to come into that way, and again it wasn't until the mid '90s onwards that it started to become more - people were more aware, police were more aware, investigators were more aware, and it was then because any investigative tool or any forensic tool that's going to help us solve a matter, especially any violent matter such as a homicide, that would have been obviously explored, but at the time I think that wasn't - didn't gain impetus until some time in the '90s, and my recollection was more the mid '90s.
Q. And your recollection is that was driven by Commissioner Ryan?
A. Well, I just remember him bringing over the UK specialists and it was starting to gain impetus during that time.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Can I just interrupt. Leaving aside the UK, there were similar developments occurring in the US, though, weren't there, that were being used in trials in the United States?
A. Yes, Commissioner, but I just - my recollection was that we - I do remember investigators having contact with the UK authorities and travelling to the UK.

THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right, okay. Thank you.
MR EMMETT: Q. In paragraphs 20 to 24 of your statement you give evidence about the Homicide Squad or the Special Crime Squad as it was in 1972 to 1987?
A. Yep. Well, the Special Crime Squad - from '72, did you say?
Q. I may have said '82. I meant to say '72.
A. Okay. In terms of the - '72 was the Special Crime Squad; ' 76 was the Homicide Squad and the CIB. Then from 1987, if we're jumping to 1987, to 1997, there was another variation where the CIB devolved, the specialist forces and staff in the CIB were broken into regions. 1987 the Homicide Squad was regionalised into the four regions, which are south, north, south-west and north west, and that was from '87 to '97.
Q. You give evidence at paragraph 30 about the - you mentioned regionalisation. You give evidence about the report of the working party reviewing the effect of regionalisation on the investigation of homicides --
A. Yep.
Q. -- from February 1990?
A. Yep.
Q. What was the impact of that report?
A. Well, regionalisation continued, that was from '87 to '97. So it's a point where I think there was original from my memory as well, and from reading the documents, there was some resistance to the actual regionalisation to start off with, '87, but the report was whether the actual regionalisation was going to also be effective between that time, and there was a review in relation to the
effectiveness of the four regions working in isolation and whether the actual resolution of homicides in particular was being undertaken. But it was agreed that at the time it was effective for that period, from the review, but the ultimate decision came in '97 to devolve the regions and go back to a centralised model.
Q. I want to come to that centralisation in a moment. Can I first ask the report, that is, the 1990 report, to be brought up on the screen. I can take you to a paper version of it if you would be assisted, but it's about to come up on the screen in front of you, if that's easier for you?
A. Yep.
Q. That's the report you were referring to?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Could I ask that pages 5 to 6 , that is, using the last four digits of the document number, point 0839, and could I ask the operator to scroll down to the bottom of that page. There are comments there about the high level of expertise of detectives performing duties in other fields and identifying special expertise that's important for the investigation of homicides?
A. Yes.
Q. Can I ask you to review - each of those, to your knowledge, were recognised, and there are more on the second page, but if we can stay with just where the screen is for now, each of those were recognised as important qualities for a Homicide detective in 1990?
A. Yes, certainly.
Q. And to your knowledge, the same goes for the 1970s and 1980s?
A. Yes. I think those attributes were conceptualised back then. I think there was - back, from my reading and also from my own experience from the '80s, that there was a certain attribute that a detective should have but also to be in the field of homicide investigation.
Q. Could I ask the operator to scroll down to look at the balance of the list, and would you say the same about everything else on that list?
A. Yes. I would, yes.
Q. It identifies that some of these attributes - this is the second sentence of the paragraph underneath the quotes - may be sheer anathema to detectives engaged in other fields of investigation. Is that your experience? A. Yeah, I think it's referring to the training, on-the-job training is important. I think back in the day this is referring to, certain1y in the '70s and '80s and come to the '90s, that a specialist - a person wasn't regarded as a specialist in that field until - or a detective in a squad wasn't considered a specialist until a certain tenure had been undertaken. And until that time, it was - required experience and on-the-job training of doing that. That was just from a specialist point of view. So to be a drug specialist or a homicide or robbery specialist, it was a number of years and then you could call - would be then categorised as a specialist.

So in terms of the field, you know, in terms of the training, they were considered general duties detectives, I suppose, but they were still - had achieved the rank of designation, and then in terms of their expertise, they still had undertaken their detectives training. However, I think that's more referring to it being a specialist homicide investigator was important, and back - there was a reference towards an amount of years that would be undertaken in that field until you were classified as a specialist.
Q. To your knowledge, in addition to the number of years' training, was there a process by which, to become a Homicide detective, those skills may be assessed, and if a detective for whom some of these skills were, to use the language, sheer anathema, those detectives may end up in a different area?
A. It's the point where - I suppose the Homicide Squad was always regarded quite highly, highly regarded, and it was always a lot of competition to get into that specialist field. In terms of - I suppose that meant they were trying to recruit people that were actually quite highly regarded in the expertise of being a detective. It was quite often, from my memory, that those who finished in the top percentage of a detectives course would be recruited into the Homicide Squad, and I've known that for many people that I've met and worked with. So I suppose in terms of that, it was trying to get the right person for the job that's going to be very difficult to work in, it's very challenging working, and they wanted to try to get
a certain person and expertise.
Q. To your knowledge, was there a process by which Homicide detectives were selected by reference to the skills like the ones identified there and perhaps pointed in different directions if some of those skills were sheer anathema?
A. I think in terms of the way the selection was done because again it was built on your ability to have demonstrated the fact that you have had experience in homicide investigation or major crime investigation, but in terms of their selection process, it was, from my recollection, designation and also the fact that you demonstrated the ability to investigate major crime, particularly homicide. The way that is - that's a working party recommendation. Having, I suppose, worked in a Major Crime Squad as well, there was a very - a lot of competition to get in there, there was a lot of selection process to get into that field. So --
Q. You have identified, I think, two things that are relevant to that selection process, being, on the one hand, experience?
A. Yes.
Q. And, on the other hand, how well they did in the course?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there a process by which skills such as the ones listed by the working party were also used as part of the selection of Homicide detectives?
A. Yes, I think so, because you had to show and demonstrate that you did have patience, a certain attitude; you still had a lot of experience and ability in that area of how to respond, manage a major investigation.
Q. How were those skills independently assessed, to your knowledge?
A. Well, again, you're talking back - this is in the '80s are you talking about now, and the '90s?
Q. Well, at least the '90s. This report was dated 1990.
A. So to get into the - it was by application, which you had to demonstrate through written application to go into the Major Crime Squad, and then there was a selection pane 1 through - a panel, there was actually an interview panel
where your knowledge and base set and certain scenarios were put to you.
Q. And did the panel assess people by reference to these skills?
A. They put different scenarios to - again, certain people may have been applying for a certain area, but if you were definitely applying for a Homicide Squad position, yes, those scenarios would have been put to you.
Q. Did the panel assess by reference to these skills? A. Well, again, I've never sat on a panel, so I don't know in relation to selecting someone, but I was on a panel as an interviewee.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Either then or now, is psychometric testing used at all?
A. Psychometric testing is used currently, yes, Commissioner.

MR EMMETT: Q. Are you able to assist the Commissioner one way or another with whether the panel in the '90s assessed people by reference to the criteria such as the skills that we've just looked at?
A. Well, I can't talk about how they assessed that person from all those areas. It was basically on their demonstration of their knowledge and their skill set. And how they would have individually subjectively picked that person, I can't comment on.
Q. In 1997 you explain that there was a move towards centralisation?
A. Yes, ' 97 to - there was a move to - where the regions were devolved and to Crime Agencies, and that was from '97 to 2002. The Homicide Squad became the Homicide and Violent Serial Crime Agency, so not only were they investigating homicides, suspicious deaths and missing persons who disappeared in suspicious circumstances, but also serial sexual assaults as well.
Q. What were the reasons for that centralisation?
A. Again, that was a decision that's made through -

I believe through different working groups and reviews.
I believe Commissioner Ryan was the Commissioner at the time. There was a - we'd just had a - I suppose, during that time, there was a Royal Commission as well that had happened through that time, but also - and there was
a decision made that centralisation would have been the best way moving forward, to centralise a body of investigators because of a number of reasons: to have one point of contact, increase information sharing, communication; to have a specialist body that was answerable to certain crime types.
Q. Then in paragraphs 33 and following you give evidence about the establishment of the State Crime Command?
A. So 2002, the State Crime Command was established. The Homicide Squad then was renamed and - to its - basically to what its performance is - what its current charter is now, except for the Unsolved Homicide Team. There was the on-call investigators, they were still responsible for leading and responding and managing homicides, suspicious deaths, certain critical incidents as well, and certain coronial matters.
Q. At paragraph 38 you describe the 11 teams of the Homicide Squad?
A. Yes. So six on-call teams $24 / 7$ that on call can respond to homicide or suspicious deaths and certain critical incidents and certain coronial matters, and also on call for suspicious missing person disappearances. There's also teams of the Unsolved Homicide Team now as well, which I can go through that as well if you want. But from 2004, the Unsolved Homicide Team became evolved and then there was a review team. In 2008 there was investigative teams added to that, to its current state of what it is now, reviewing, monitoring and investigating unsolved homicide. So in total, we've got a mixture of on-call Homicide teams and Unsolved Homicide Team.
Q. There are - at present there is one team known as the - in the Unsolved Homicide Team, there's one team known as the review team and four teams known as - or four investigative teams?
A. Yes, that's the - basically it's a review team, and the other four teams are the investigation teams, but there is a mixture of the work they do. But that's basically essentially that's what their work is.
Q. Superintendent, you may be aware that the Commissioner anticipates hearing from Detective Chief Inspector Laidlaw later today?
A. Yes.
Q. If we have questions about the operation of the Unsolved Homicide Team and, in particular, the reviews and screening and triage, would Mr Laidlaw be the right person to ask those questions?
A. Yes, he would, yes.
Q. In part B of your statement, commencing at paragraph 39, you describe the roles and responsibilities of the Homicide Squad?
A. Yes, as I said before, it's evolved over time, but mostly it is still responding and leading and managing response to homicides, suspicious deaths, you know, unidentified remains, missing persons in suspicious circumstances, certain coronial matters and critical incidents.
Q. At paragraph 45 you refer to the benefits, some of which you told the Commissioner about a moment ago, of centralising the Homicide Squad?
A. Yeah, that's right. It's a point where, again, there were a lot of business units and squads working in different regions, nearly in silos, independently of each other. Centralisation enabled a primary responsibility for the investigation of suspicious deaths and missing persons under suspicious circumstances. It also assisted with communication, free flow of information. It gave one point of contact not only for the police in the field but also for external agencies. It unified or uniformed - gave a uniform response to our response in relation to homicides, suspicious deaths and also for training and development.
Q. You refer to the Standard Operating Procedures from 2003, which included mandatory notification of all level 1 offences, including homicides, to the State Crime Command? A. Yes, that's right.
Q. To your knowledge, was that the first time mandatory notification was introduced?
A. To my knowledge, but I know that it was general practice in terms of the other - well, just from my own experience, if there was a homicide or suspicious death, there was a notification to Homicide Squad or whatever the variation of that was over the years. I think it was just formalised into - when State Crime came on board, from a documentation point of view or a systems point of view to make it a mandatory notification, and there was a referral
process put in place, which was more formalised. Before, if there was a homicide in the early '90s or - just from personal experience, there would be a phone call, the on-call Homicide would still be contacted and they would attend and would be notified.
Q. So if there was what appeared to be a homicide or if there was a suspicious missing person report --
A. Yes.
Q. -- then your experience was that that would be reported to the Homicide?
A. Yes, and there was a requirement to do so. I think it's just a formalisation in terms of process from State Crime Command. There was a referral notice to make it mandatory. But it was always a practice that Homicide would always be notified and - that's been changed over the years, obviously, which we can talk about, but the process has changed where - that type of response had changed and formalised.
Q. But you say there was always a practice by which homicides - apparent homicides and suspicious deaths or suspicious missing persons were notified to Homicide -A. That's right. That was their charter and that was their role. And as I said, that was from - they would have to be notified and whether that would be during business hours or on call. So from my experience, from, you know, the mid '90s up until, you know - and through those sorry, the mid '80s and through the '90s, there was a referral process, but it was an on-call notification, Homicide would be notified.
Q. To your knowledge, although it wasn't written down as mandatory, proper police practice required that notification?
A. Yes, and I think it was just formalised in relation to the business charter of State Crime Command.
Q. And to your knowledge, was that the case also in the 1970s and 1980s?
A. Yes, so - well, part of the charter was - for Homicide was the investigation of homicides and suspicious deaths, so, yes, the CIB, back in that time, were notified.
Q. You give evidence at paragraphs 52 and following about the current protocol for homicide and suspicious deaths.

You may have a version that is blacked out. I want you to be careful. I only want you to speak to what is there on the document in front of you.
A. Oh, okay.
Q. Oh, I'm terribly sorry, you have an --
A. I can't see anything blacked out.
Q. No, I think in fairness, Superintendent, certain non-publication orders have been made over some of this material.
A. Okay.
Q. It's not marked in your document.
A. Okay. From the history of --
Q. I won't ask you to speak to it. The Commissioner will receive the evidence. He has received it in writing, anyway. I don't want to invite you to address matters that may be the subject of a non-publication order.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you understand?
A. Yes.
Q. At paragraph 62 of your statement you refer to and summarise the current version of what you have described as the business charter?
A. Yes.
Q. Again, Superintendent, there is no need to summarise what is written there, but that reflects current practice?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. At paragraph 63 and following you give evidence about the relationship with the police area command - that is, as I understand it, the relationship between the Homicide Squad and a police area command?
A. Yes.
Q. At paragraph 64 you say there has always been a correlation between the roles and responsibilities. What do you mean by the correlation?
A. Well, in terms of there's been a consistent - in my experience, the roles of - when a suspicious death or homicide occur, it's owned by the local area, to commence with, and the response is initially made by the local PAC,
or PD in this case now. The roles are that the detectives would be called out, they would assess, interpret the crime scene, assist, make a decision in relation to if it's a suspicious death, and then from there the on-call process to Homicide would take place.

It's - their roles would still continue on in investigating, in their crime scene interpretation, preservation, their canvassing, their searching, their organising specialist resources, the search for evidence, speaking to witnesses, speaking to sources, speaking to possibly suspects even at the time. So their role investigating a homicide or suspicious death is ongoing and it continues on. They're not waiting for Homicide to turn up. They're on notification to it. Homicide will still be in contact with them and would assist possibly in that, depending on the situation, but then the leadership and managing of that homicide would then take place.

The roles and responsibilities are then depending on circumstances. So, for example - and it happens, this is on a frequent basis, if it's a - you know, a - I shouldn't say straightforward type of crime, but if it's a straightforward homicide where, you know, an offender's basically arrested at the scene, there's direct evidence in relation to it, they would continue on with that investigation and make the arrest and do the interview, but Homicide would still turn up and make that inquiry, even though they've already had an accepted responsibility for that investigation.

So that's - there's a correlation in terms of the work we do, in terms of either we're leading it or assisting, where we've got complete involvement either way, and whether that's through at the time and post the actual incident, we have involvement.

So I suppose "correlation" is probably not the right word. It's probably more of a collaboration in the work that they - and being a PAC investigator for many years and being in Homicide as well, there is - the work in terms of resolving the matter and doing the necessary steps, investigative steps, they work together.
Q. Tell me, just as a matter of terminology, you refer to the patrol or PAC, the police area command. What's the difference between a patrol and a police area command?
A. I think that's old terminology. They used to be patrols back in the day, back in the late '80s, and then it became police area command later on - or local area command and then it became a police area command, and now we've got police districts which are regionalised in the country areas.
Q. In the next part of your statement, at paragraphs 70 to 85 , you give evidence about the relationship between the Homicide Squad generally and the Unsolved Homicide Team?
A. Yes.
Q. For the most part, am I right, if we have questions about that, the appropriate person to ask would be Detective Chief Inspector Laidlaw?
A. He can - yeah, for sure. He can go into the processes of the Unsolved Homicide Team. Ultimately it's the same squad and they're part of the team of the Homicide Squad but do a specific role in relation to unsolved homicide investigations.
Q. Can I ask you to look at one document, though. I'm going to ask that you be provided with a memorandum or report prepared by Mr Lehmann on 5 August 2016. The reference is [NPL.0100.0018.0001]. It looks like it starts on page 2 of the document. We'11 come back to the structure in a moment, but $I$ think the beginning of the document is the second page behind the tab. If you turn to the last page, you will see the author and the date.
A. Yes.
Q. Can I ask, have you seen this document before?
A. I don't think I've got it in my - any of the references in my statement.
Q. No, it's not referred to in your statement, but my question was, have you seen this document before?
A. Not that I know of, no. I may have, but I can't I don't recollect seeing it.
Q. Well, you will have noticed it's dated August 2016, so it was before you assumed your role --
A. Yep.
Q. -- as Commander of the Homicide Squad?
A. Yes.
Q. Can I take you to the second page of the document, that is, what appears to be the first page of the report, that begins "Issue". Were you aware of concerns being expressed in 2016 about the locating, identification and reconciliation of exhibits in relation to unsolved homicide cases?
A. In 2016?
Q. Yes.
A. Well, I wasn't the Commander back in 2016, but obviously it's been - so I can't really comment on something that I wasn't the Commander for, but --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Is the short point you weren't aware of it?
A. No.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR EMMETT: Q. When you became Commander of the Homicide Squad in 2019, December 2019, were you made aware of it then?
A. I'm aware of - in relation to the ongoing issues of recalling or tracking down exhibits and records and also, back in 2016, trying to centralise and find exhibits for the Metropolitan Exhibit and Property Centre. So it was a point where there was a lot of challenges around that area and trying to track down exhibits and records, and it's been an ongoing issue for some time, but I know there was an impetus in 2016. I wasn't sure it was an absolute project, but I know that there was ongoing issues around that time.
Q. When you became Commander of the Homicide Squad in December 2019, were you made aware of issues concerning the location, identification and reconciliation of exhibits relating to unsolved homicides?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And when you became Commander of the Homicide Squad, were you made aware of the matter described as "Problem-1"? A. Yes, well, that's been an ongoing issue.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Sorry, just to interrupt. How did you become aware of it and who made you aware of it?
A. It's been a - when I first went there, Commissioner, talking to the inspectors at the Unsolved Homicide Team at
the time, one of the issues raised was the challenges and difficulties around tracking down exhibits and documents.
Q. Al1 right. We11, can $I$ put it to you this way: when you assumed the role in December 2019, is it fair for me to conclude that the problems with documents of the kind that are here were notorious within Unsolved Homicide?
A. It was an issue that was raised and it was --
Q. Well, no, not only about issues raised. I'm asking you said it was - I think you used a term, I think I recal 1 you talking about something like "well known". Are you telling me that the problems concerning these documents or documents generally in Unsolved Homicide were well known and notorious within Unsolved Homicide?
A. It was well known, yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

MR EMMETT: Q. Now, the first problem refers to "frustrating and difficult task, as many exhibits are destroyed, lost or misplaced"?
A. Yes.
Q. You became aware of that by December 2019?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. Was it also well known that there were similar problems in relation to obtaining documentary records, such as investigative files or notebooks, in relation to particular unsolved homicide cases?
A. Yes.
Q. That was also notorious in the Homicide Squad - I'm sorry, in the Unsolved Homicide Team?
A. It was an issue raised and it was by the Unsolved Homicide Team.
Q. It was well known as a problem at least by December 2019?
A. Yes.
Q. A problem that is identified - as part of that problem, there's a reference to a lack of proper care and diligence when it came to exhibit retention due in part to no foresight or little appreciation of the value of forensic science in future investigations. Was that well

## known?

A. That was - again, it's been commonly known from what I just explained before, from decades ago, when exhibits and the forensic value of those exhibits weren't known and they were either - which is frustrating for the work of the Unsolved Homicide Team, and those exhibits or records were either misplaced, destroyed or can't be found.
Q. Is it also true that - well, you will see "Problem-2", which is described, is that even when exhibits are located, there are problems because of improper labelling or non-labelling, that they are improperly secured, that they are mixed with other exhibits from separate cases and that they are not readily identifiable as pertaining to a particular case because of the above?
A. Yes.
Q. You were made aware of that problem in December 2019?
A. Yes, it was - and again, yes.
Q. Again, it was well known at that time?
A. Yes, it was well known.
Q. And it was well known that that concerned - that problem extended to documentary records in relation to investigation - in relation to unsolved homicides?
A. Yes, but we're talking about certain cases. We're not talking about every case. We're talking about certain cases, but, yes, it was known.
Q. When you say you were talking about certain cases, was it well known that you didn't know how many cases were affected by this problem?
A. It was - again, it was - once it was brought to the notice of the Unsolved Homicide Team, it was documented and raised, because one of the frustrations in relation to when you're evaluating an unsolved homicide investigation and whether - through a review committee, what was a theme that unfortunately would come up of some of these matters was the unavailability of any evidence, forensic evidence --

THE COMMISSIONER: $Q$. So the raising of these problems was ad hoc, was it? They were encountered from time to time as cases were sought to be reviewed or reinvestigated? A. That's right.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

MR EMMETT: Q. Was it well known that these problems may have existed in relation to a large number of unsolved homicides?
A. I wouldn't say a large number. Again, it's been raised in certain times, and that's where it's frustrating because it's on matters that were being reviewed or being considered for evaluation and we find that if it's a matter from a certain era, matters have been - can't be found or and/or they've been misplaced or been destroyed. So I wouldn't say large numbers, no, but --
Q. Was it well known that these problems arose in a number of cases and nobody knew how many cases the problems arose in?
A. I think that now with - in relation to the work of the Unsolved Homicide Team and with the tracking file and the documentation and the referencing that they have, they'd be a small number of cases, but in comparison to all the matters that have happened since, say, 1970, how many matters have actually been displaced. So there's - through the reviews process, through the triage process and through the reinvestigations, certain matters and records can't be found, and that's true. But part of the work of the Unsolved Homicide Team is to monitor and track these matters, and that's a process that we're trying to improve through digitisation and through our record management, so we can actually have a better, clearer picture of the actual extent of the problem.
Q. What's the basis on which you tell the Commissioner that the number of cases that suffer from this problem is a small number rather than an unknown number?
A. Well, it is an unknown number, but I would - from my experience since I've been there, it's not - I can't put a large number on or I can't even put a numerical number on it, but it comes up time to time on certain matters. And, again, these are all important matters. I'm not trying to diminish that. It's a point where we understand that these matters can't progress because - or may not be able to progress because of the lack of records or available forensic material, and that's as frustrating for us as it is, I'm sure, for the community as well.
Q. Might be a small number of cases that are affected by these problems, might be a large number of cases; you just don't know?
A. It's not a common issue that we're having to talk about all the time. It's a point where - but it does come up in discussions, but $I$ haven't got a numerical figure to give you. However, that's part of the work of the Unsolved Homicide Team, to be able to record and document these cases where it's happened, so we can have it on the tracking file which will then hopefully be transposed into a new electronic format and we will be able to have a complete picture of how many people - sorry, how many cases that the actual misplacement or destruction of any of these items have - and be able to document it. But I can't give you a number, unfortunately.
Q. Are you able to assist the Commissioner, from your own knowledge, with whether, when you started in December 2019, the number of cases affected by this problem was thought to be a small number or a large number or nobody knew?

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. What's the truth?
A. Well, it's an unknown number, but the times it's actually been - the issue's come up, it hasn't been an incredibly large number. I just --
Q. Has anyone brought to your attention the difficulties encountered by this Inquiry in acquiring documents of the kind we are now discussing, or not?
A. In terms of --
Q. I would ask you to - would you mind doing me the courtesy of answering that question: has anyone brought to your attention the difficulties this Inquiry has recently encountered in identifying documents in relation to unsolved homicide cases?
A. Yes, Commissioner, of course.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR EMMETT: Q. The third problem that's identified relates to the way in which exhibits are secured,
particularly biological material, creating work health and safety issues in relation to biohazard?
A. Yes.
Q. That was a concern that was well known, was it, in December 2019, when you became Commander of the Homicide Squad?
A. Yeah, again, I think there was some progress around
that matter, from my recollection. However, that's where the project came through in 2016 in terms of storing of those materials and that was brought to the attention through FE\&TS, who own the Metropolitan Exhibit and Property Centre, in relation to the storage of that material.
Q. And turning over to Problem-4, was it well known, when you became Commander of the Homicide Squad in December 2019, that many briefs of evidence, files and physical evidence exhibits, had not been archived or stored in the proper manner?
A. Yes.
Q. And that some had been left on shelves at various locations in police premises?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. And that some, in some cases, had been left in non-police premises with no records to indicate their movement or whereabouts?
A. Yes, and that's been very frustrating and it's been difficult to manage.
Q. And that was well known in December 2019?
A. Well again, because there was a project put in obviously there was work done in 2016 in relation to this and there was a number of actions taken to try to rectify this, but it's still an ongoing issue, because as you've it's been well documented, the mislabeling of records or of exhibits was always an issue and has been a continued issue and a frustration for us.
Q. Problem-5, was it also well known that some exhibits were not located on the first search, even though the Force was able to tell that the exhibit may have existed?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you mentioned a moment ago work done following this report?
A. Yes.
Q. May I take it, you don't know whether or not it was following this report because you hadn't seen this report before?
A. No, but I know there was some work done around that time in relation to the issues that were raised because -
because of the briefing I got from the Unsolved Homicide Team.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Are you aware of any report within the NSW Police which updates this report or this document?
A. I haven't got that in front of me, no, Commissioner.
Q. What do you mean, you haven't got it in front of you?
A. Well, I haven't got any recollection or documentation of that, no.
Q. Well, when you say - okay, so the answer is you're not aware of any updating report, updating this report of Detective Chief Inspector Lehmann?
A. No, but if there was a project --
Q. Is this the first time you have seen this document, the one you're been asked about now?
A. I've seen this document, yes, but I'm aware of the issues, Commissioner, but I haven't seen any updated document.
Q. Just let me ask you the questions, if I may, for a moment. Were you aware of this document before you got into the witness box today?
A. No, but I was aware of the issues, as I've stated, but I'm not aware of that actual report.
Q. Has anyone brought this document - apart from

Mr Emmett, has anyone brought this document to your attention prior to you sitting in the witness box today? A. No. No.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR EMMETT: Q. Can I ask you to turn to page 4 using the small numbers in the top of the page. About halfway down the page, it begins "Reconciliation Plan".
A. Yes .
Q. Have you been made aware - I appreciate you haven't seen this document before.
A. $\mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. When you became Homicide Squad Commander, were you informed about a reconciliation plan?
A. I became aware that, as I said before, in relation to
the centralisation of exhibits to [REDACTED], which is the Metropolitan Exhibit and Property Centre, and that was an ongoing project or action that was taking place from about 2016 onwards.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Would you have a go at answering the question now, please. Were you aware, when you took over, of what was described in this document as a "Reconciliation Plan"?
A. Well, I was aware of a project that members of the Unsolved Homicide Team took action --
Q. And you're assuming it's the same thing, are you?
A. Well, I know that it was the - it's essentially the same thing, Commissioner, because it was in relation to what's in the reconciliation plan where they were establishing where the actual exhibits were to try to centralise them to the MEPC at [REDACTED].

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Yes, Mr Emmett.
MR EMMETT: Q. When you commenced your role as Commander of the Homicide Squad in December 2019, what was the state of that project, if I can use a neutral term? You may not have been aware of it as a reconciliation plan. Where had that project reached?
A. My understanding, from the briefings I've got, there were still some ongoing issues trying to track down exhibits from various locations, to get them to [REDACTED], to the MEPC. A bulk of that work had been done, but again it was about then identifying and labelling those matters at the MEPC, so --
Q. Are you aware - I'm sorry, I didn't mean to talk over you.
A. So it was basically - it was getting to the end. However, there were still issues around trying to locate exhibit items, documents.
Q. How did you know it was getting to the end?
A. Because through the briefing I obtained in relation to - so early 2020, there was a lot of exhibits had been moved to the MEPC. At that time, I had meetings with FE\&TS, who owned the MEPC, which is the Forensic Evidence \& Technical Services Command, in relation to their ongoing management of that.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Now, let me ask you about the term "getting to the end". Did it come to your attention that that term, as you now use it, meant in some cases that given all the effort that had been taken, the persons in Unsolved Homicide were still - or had reached a conclusion that not all relevant documents or exhibits in relation to an unsolved case may have been found?
A. No, that's right, they hadn't.
Q. Pardon?
A. You are right, Commissioner, that's right.
Q. Correct. So "getting to the end" meant, in some cases, materials had been found --
A. Yes.
Q. -- in part or in whole; but in some cases, notwithstanding the efforts that had been undertaken, you were or someone within the Unsolved Homicide Team was satisfied that you didn't have all of the relevant holdings, paper and exhibits included?
A. That's right, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR EMMETT: Commissioner, would you pardon me for a moment? There's a matter I need to take instructions on.

THE COMMISSIONER: Do you want me to take a break?
MR EMMETT: There is a matter it may be appropriate to raise first.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
MR EMMETT: Commissioner, it's just this, and I make no criticism in relation to this, but a location was referred to in evidence a moment ago that's the subject of a non-publication order and I just want to make that clear.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Well, thank you for raising it.

Mr Tedeschi, what I will do is simply ensure that the transcript does not record that. It has unfortunately gone into live stream now, but there's nothing I can do about it retrospectively. But when the transcript comes through,

I will ask Mr Emmett's side to ensure that we tell you what it is. It had escaped my attention and it had escaped everyone's attention.

MR TEDESCHI: I understand there is a delay between the publication --

THE COMMISSIONER: I will make whatever order anyone wants me to make to preserve the agreed confidentiality. I'm happy to go off the bench for a moment or two to enable that to occur, because I don't want accidents to happen where anything is placed in jeopardy unintentionally. So if you want me to do anything, I will do it.

MR TEDESCHI: I understand you could, at the request of Counsel Assisting, stop the broadcast.

MR EMMETT: I think steps are being taken - they may have already been taken to do that for the time being. We will sort it out.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Then if that's done, I will direct that the broadcast be stopped so as to preserve the confidentiality. I will make an order that the non-publication order bind everyone currently in the hearing room.

MR EMMETT: May it please.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR EMMETT: Just while the broadcast is paused and can I ask that - confirm that the camera is not running. Superintendent, as I say, I know this sometimes happens, for your benefit, it was the reference to [REDACTED] which is --

THE WITNESS: I've just realised that, so I apologise.
MR EMMETT: As I say, sometimes it happens. We can take those steps.

Commissioner I'm content to resume or to take the break now, whatever is more convenient to you.

THE COMMISSIONER: We will break now and we will resume in 20 minutes or so.

MR EMMETT: May it please.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

## SHORT ADJOURNMENT

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Emmett.
MR EMMETT: Thank you, Commissioner.
Q. Superintendent, you referred to the project that you said you were aware of going on when you started as Commander of the Homicide Squad. You referred it to coming to an end. Has that project come to an end?
A. Well, it was probably a bad use of words saying the project, but in terms of the - this is what it's been determined in the report, but the actions, items ensuing from trying to reconcile exhibits and taking them to a central location was a process that was getting to the end when I - the beginning of 2020.
Q. You mean - so the process that was coming to an end was the process of bringing exhibits to a central location?
A. Yeah, that's right.
Q. Are you aware of any report or written document setting out that project having come to an end? A. No.
Q. When you say you're not, is it possible such a document may exist but you don't know about it, or would such a report have come to you as Commander of the Homicide Squad?
A. It may exist, but I haven't seen it and I'm not aware of it.
Q. Would you expect a report like that to come to you if the project had been completed?
A. Yes, and that would be even if it was generated through the owners of the Metropolitan Exhibits \& Property Centre - we would be part of that.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. So, as of today, you personally no criticism, but you personally - would not be aware of how many unsolved homicide cases have had the exhibits located and sent somewhere and how many have not, and in
those where the exhibits have been sent, you would have no idea whether all of the exhibits that were retrieved at the time the crime scene was first approached are there or not?
A. No; that's right.

MR EMMETT: Q. And could I just understand, you have referred to exhibits being brought to a central location. That wouldn't address all or even most of the problems identified as problems 1 to 5 , would it?
A. No, it wouldn't.
Q. Are you aware of those problems - the other aspect of those problems 1 to 5 having been addressed?
A. Well, it's been an ongoing issue and it's been raised in relation to - and again it's well documented - in relation to record management and the issues around that. I know that there's been some reviews of - from record services in relation to their record management, and I know there's reviews in relation to exhibits, and that's been an ongoing issue.
Q. The problems 1 to 5 were well known as problems within the UHT, the Unsolved Homicide Team, when you started in December 2019?
A. Yes. Well, they brought it to my attention, yes.
Q. And it's well known that there are still problems within the Unsolved Homicide Team?
A. In terms of those issues that have been raised, yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. And there's still a significant, may I suggest to you, degree of uncertainty across the board in relation to the holdings of unsolved homicide cases?
A. Yes, that's right.

MR EMMETT: Q. And are you able to assist the
Commissioner with whether those problems arise in a small number, an unknown number - a small number, a large number or an unknown number of cases, as at today?
A. Well, I'd have to say an unknown number.
Q. Can I turn back to your statement. You can put that document to one side, thank you. Feel free to close that other folder.
A. Yes. Thank you.
Q. At paragraphs 89 and following you give evidence about the relationship between the Homicide Squad and the Engagement and Hate Crime Unit and its predecessors? A. Yes .
Q. Could you assist the Commissioner, what is the Engagement and Hate Crime Unit?
A. The Engagement and Hate Crime Unit is a unit that's based under the Counter Terrorism and Special Tactics Command. They were established post the previous one, which was the Bias Crime Unit, which also had some involvement with, and in relation to - their function is not an investigative function, it's more of an intelligence function and support function and assists with - assisting the field and specialist units with training and development around bias crime, in relation to prejudice or bias of persons that - due to a person's identity or their perceived difference, and they provide information that may assist in relation to a victim, a location of interest, for example, a group of people, that may assist an investigation. But they provide ongoing training through the State as well and in relation to bias crime, the legislation, what to look for in relation to bias crime, in relation to victims, how to treat the situation and the victim.
Q. Who makes the decision when the Homicide - when somebody from the Homicide Squad consults with somebody from the EHC Unit --
A. Yes.
Q. -- who makes the decision to consult?
A. Well, again, it's through an investigation and it happens through the intelligence side of our house, who contact all holdings that would be relevant to assist with the investigation. It would be through our intel section of Homicide, through the intel section, which is basically the Engagement and Hate Crime Unit at CT and ST Command.
Q. So does a detective investigating a potential homicide make a judgment as to whether or not to consult with the EHC Unit?
A. Yes, they would, if the circumstances prevail and that was - under those circumstances, yes, they would.
Q. And what factors would inform that judgment?
A. Depending on the crime, the actual type of
investigation. We've had a number of investigations where we've had members of - of possible bias crime who have been part of the LGBTIQ community, who have been murdered, and we need to build a profile in relation to victimology, locations, possible associates, those sort of things. So it's an - that would be - it's a tool, an investigative tool as much as an intelligence tool, but the intelligence side of the house is supporting the investigation.
Q. Do detectives receive guidance about how to make that judgment or when it's appropriate?
A. Well, there's training that's been ongoing across the NSW Police Force for some time in relation to bias crime. Even before this unit, Bias Crime Units gave presentations. So there's ongoing training that police have received across the State in relation to bias crime, how to report it, what to look for and how to manage it - the investigation, I should say.
Q. Does that include guidance about when it's appropriate to consult the EHC Unit?
A. Yeah, well, part of the training is that - that their unit is communicated and disseminated across New South Wales and this is - that they're the leaders in relation to providing that information and that assistance. So it's communicated across New South Wales if there's any issues in relation to perceived bias crime that they're available and ready to assist. I know they do. You know, I've been in contact with the commander over there, they are very busy with their engagement with the field and other specialist units and their training.
Q. You say they're very busy. Is it your observation that they are adequately staffed?
A. I can't really comment on their resources, but I know that they're an important intelligence tool for us and they're providing a lot of training and awareness to NSW Police Force.
Q. Are you able to assist the Commissioner with how frequently Homicide detectives consult with the EHC Unit? A. I can't give you a number, but I know that when there's - when the need arises, and we have had occasions where we've had victims that were part of the LGBTIQ community, and when that happens, they can be consulted through an intelligence-based process.
Q. When you say it has happened, do you mean a couple of times a year, dozens of times a year?
A. Whenever we've had that - the circumstances arise. I can think of matters since I have been at Homicide where we've resolved four matters where would be a perception of bias crime or it could be part of that, in recent times, so since probably 2020.
Q. Does the EHCU or some other body also provide detectives with guidance about how to deal with victims or other members of a minority community?
A. Yes, and it's about how we should treat that situation in relation to - there's other training that's provided by the NSW Police Force in relation to that. There's a hate crime awareness training that's online. There is also face-to-face mandatory training about victim care, and part of that is about bias for certain parts of the community, and that has been established and ongoing over many years, but only as recently as the last training period, those modules were in place.
Q. Thank you, Superintendent. Could I turn next - and this is from paragraphs 96 and following in your statement - to the relationship between the Homicide Squad and the long-term Missing Persons Unit - I shouldn't call it that - the Missing Persons Registry, as it's now known. A. What page, sorry?
Q. It's page 27 of your statement. If you're going from the numbers, it's .0027 in the top right-hand corner.
A. Yes. Yes, well, there's been a long-term - well, there's no - as you just raised, there's no such thing as a long-term Missing Persons Unit. There's been variations of a Missing Persons Unit/Registry for some time. It was established back in - I think in the late '40s, there was people working in relation to missing persons. I know from the documents I've read, there was a relationship with the CIB and the Missing Persons Unit, especially around unidentified remains and how to deal with missing persons under suspicious circumstances. But Homicide would take carriage of the matter or at least be notified and have consultation on matters in relation to a person that would be missing under suspicious circumstances back then.

The local area commands, or, sorry, the police area commands and the police districts own the investigation up to a certain point for a missing person. And, you know, up
until 2019, there was basically a Missing Persons Unit that would just be a guidance in relation to how we would manage that through local area commands or police area commands.

But from 2019 there was a whole review process, a very thorough review process done. 2019, the Missing Persons Registry evolved. It really did become, I think, a really valuable unit within the State Crime Command. They give complete oversight on missing persons. They work closely with Homicide Squad. The same missing - sorry, the same intelligence manager that we have at the Homicide Squad manages the intelligence side of the Missing Persons Registry. There is a lot of crossover between the Missing Persons Registry and the Unsolved Homicide Team but also Homicide Squad on-call activities. So there's a lot of crossover, exchange of information.

The SOPs that were developed in 2019 by the Missing Persons Registry are commendable. They really do --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Can I just interrupt you. I'm terribly sorry to do so, and I'm sure you're trying to assist. May I ask you this: have you or anyone that you know of encountered similar documentary problems with the Missing Persons files and holdings, or has anyone done an audit of the holdings of Missing Persons to find out the complete or incomplete nature of any of those documents? A. I'm unaware of that, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR EMMETT: Q. In paragraph 102 you refer to - this is the second sentence in the paragraph - internal police records suggesting that a close liaison was maintained between the Missing Persons Unit and the original Homicide Squad.
A. Mmm.
Q. What are those internal police records?
A. I believe there was a record from ' 81 in relation to just the SOPs around unidentified remains by the Missing Persons Registry working with the Homicide Squad.
Q. I think you gave the Commissioner evidence earlier that to your knowledge, proper police practice at the time, including in the '70s and '80s, required, if there was a person who was missing in suspicious circumstances,
notification to the Homicide detectives?
A. Yes, that's right, and that was part of their charter as well.
Q. Can I ask you some questions about a matter that the Commissioner has received evidence about. You may not know about this matter. It's a matter of Mr Baumann, who disappeared in 1983. You may not know - you may not have heard of his case before.
A. Sort of - I don't have a thorough knowledge of it, no.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Does that mean you have some?
A. Sorry, Commissioner.
Q. When you said you don't have a thorough knowledge, does that mean you have some of the Baumann case?
A. Oh, I - well, I've heard of a Baumann case, but I just don't know if it's the same one we're talking about.

MR EMMETT: Q. Why don't I try to jog your memory. His Honour is looking at a case in which a Mr Baumann disappeared in late 1983.
A. Right.
Q. I want you to assume the Commissioner has evidence that he disappeared and the police were notified by at least two people, one being his landlady and the other being a human resources person from within the $A B C$, where Mr Baumann worked.

I want you to assume that the evidence indicates that the police attended Mr Baumann's unit, and the evidence of at least the landlady, although this evidence wasn't gathered until 10 years later, was that the unit was in disarray, that there was a burnt cushion in the shower and that someone had written "AIDS" on a mirror.

As I say, the police were notified by two persons. We're unable to find any further files from 1983 or thereabouts to understand what happened. We do know that he was in a sexual relationship with another man at the time and that appears to have been readily ascertainable to the police. We don't know if the police learned about it, but the other man apparently contacted the human resources person from the $A B C$ when he first went missing. It's not clear whether the police made inquiries to learn that.

On the facts as I have described them, there would be every reason to treat this as a suspicious disappearance, wouldn't there?

MR TEDESCHI: I object.
THE COMMISSIONER: Why?
MR TEDESCHI: Commissioner, with respect, it's not fair to ask somebody in the position of this witness to make comment about a case that he hasn't had any opportunity --

THE COMMISSIONER: He has been asked to make assumptions, though, Mr Tedeschi, and witnesses are constantly asked to make assumptions. He is entitled to say, as an experienced Homicide detective, "I don't have enough assumptions", or, "On that basis, I can" or "I can't". I don't think it's unfair. You can always comment that ultimately there wasn't a sufficient basis to put to him, but I will allow it. Thank you.

MR EMMETT: Q. So, Superintendent, I want you to assume that that's the evidence before the Commissioner and also that he left - he appeared to have left valuable belongings behind.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mmm}$.
Q. In those circumstances, the Commissioner needs to ask himself whether there could be any possible reason not to treat this as a suspicious disappearance. Can you offer one?
A. Again, it's hard to comment without knowing the whole matter, details of the matter. However, in terms of if it was reported 10 years later, obviously at the time, the evidence, if that was brought to the attention of police and those - the crime - or the scene as you explained was known to police at the time, yes, I could see where that could be seen. But 10 years later, I suppose we'd have to look at now then, knowing the fullness of time and all the details, make an --
Q. I'm sorry, maybe you misunderstood me. A statement was taken 10 years later.
A. Oh, okay.
Q. The two people I referred to, the landlady and the person from the $A B C$, reported it at the time. I want you
to make that assumption. On that assumption, could there be any reason why the police would not treat this as a suspicious disappearance?
A. Again, I'd like to know all the - the full circumstances and details except for a synopsis like that to make example, but it does, you know, again, with further - it would warrant further investigation. In that time, I'm not sure what was actually done or what investigations were undertaken.
Q. If the Commissioner concludes that the circumstances at the time, that is, in late 1983 --
A. $\mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- were obviously suspicious or even if the Commissioner concludes that they were suspicious --
A. Yeah, yeah.
Q. -- then police practice at that time required the Homicide detectives to be notified?
A. Well, they should have been, yes, if there was a suspicious - if they believed it was a suspicious death and circumstances, they would have been contacted.

The detectives - as I've probably mentioned before with missing persons, local detectives always oversight a missing persons case and it belongs to the local area where it occurs. But if there is any suspicion in relation to possibly the person could have died or suspicion around the circumstances of his disappearance, there should have been a notification, yes.
Q. And if the Commissioner concludes that the circumstances were suspicious as they appeared in October 1983, the Commissioner can conclude that there was a failure of the police processes at that time?
A. Well, again, without knowing the full circumstances and details; however, there should have been some further investigation and notification.
Q. Now, at paragraph 104 you explain changes that in 2002 the Missing Persons Unit became part of the Operational Information Agency?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. You explain its current operation at paragraphs 107 and following.
A. That's correct, sir. From 2019, Missing Persons Registry has come under the command of State Crime Command and that's why we've continued to have that good relationship with Homicide Squad and Missing Persons Registry.
Q. At paragraph 113 you make what you describe as a general comment that the Missing Persons Registry has significantly improved the response to and investigation of all missing persons.
A. Yes.
Q. In what way?
A. In terms of - it basically assists in a step-by-step way, for all police across New South Wales, how to properly record and investigate a missing person. There's around 10,000 people go missing every year, so there's a lot of people go missing. Not all of them stay missing, granted, but there's a lot of processes in place now, and a lot of them come from coronial inquests, where the need to record and properly investigate a missing person are undertaken and there are safeguards in place through the formation of the Missing Persons Registry that they can oversight and provide guidance in that area.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Can I just understand that answer you gave a moment ago. Do you say that, what, 10,000 people on average are reported missing each year? A. That's what I've been told, Commissioner. They're only short term, most of them. A lot of people will just go missing for various reasons, but that is a lot of people that go missing.
Q. Sure. But of the 10,000 roughly that you have been told about, presumably a significant number - I don't know, you might not know - are located within some time after they are reported missing?
A. Yes, that's right, and part of that, Commissioner, is with the SOPs that are very detailed. It points out not only the practices and best practices in place to do to recover them and locate them but also what the processes are if those persons aren't located over certain times.
Q. Thank you, but the Missing Persons Register that I've been told about I presume are those that haven't been located?
A. There's only a small number each year now --
Q. I understand that, but my understanding is it's roughly about 700 . Is that your understanding or not? A. Is that the total at the moment? I'm not aware.
Q. We11, I don't know. I have some information it may be the total between 1970 and 2010. I just don't know.
A. Yeah, I think it's only a small number per year, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right, thank you.
MR EMMETT: Q. Superintendent, I'm going to ask you some questions now - in part $C$ of your statement, from paragraph 114, page 31, onwards, you deal with the qualification and experience of Homicide Squad officers.
A. Yes.
Q. Can $I$ ask you some general questions about the training and qualification for Homicide detectives. One thing that is important in Homicide detectives is accuracy and precision of record keeping?
A. It is. There's a number of attributes that are required, but that's one of them, yes.
Q. Another is keeping an open mind to different hypotheses, including being vigilant to set aside personal beliefs or biases about particular groups of people?
A. Yes.
Q. And treating family members of deceased persons, witnesses and persons of interest with respect?
A. Yes.
Q. It's sometimes said that it's important for investigators to have what's called professional curiosity. Do you agree with that?
A. Yes.
Q. Strive to avoid blinkered views?
A. Yes.
Q. And tunnel vision?
A. Yes.
Q. Police may have tentative case theories, but it's very important that those case theories remain tentative?
A. That's right.
Q. If they make assumptions about how someone died or what kind of person was responsible, that may lead to important 1 ines of inquiry being ignored?
A. That's right, yeah.
Q. That's also one reason, is it, why it's important to maintain objectivity?
A. That's right.
Q. And to avoid rigid thinking?
A. Yes.
Q. And to avoid thinking exclusively along particular 1ines?
A. That's right.
Q. There's a risk which investigators ought to be - need to be vigilant about, is there, as to confirmation bias or a tendency to fit facts to a case theory instead of recognising that some evidence may point towards a different theory?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's important that investigators be vigilant in relation to conscious or unconscious bias against members of a particular community?
A. Yes.
Q. Again, those matters can affect the quality of investigations?
A. Yes.
Q. Could I ask if you would agree or disagree with the following questions in relation to cultural awareness and sensitivity and how they can assist homicide investigations?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to ask you about the LGBTIQ community, but what I say may well go for other diverse minority communities as well.
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree that one of the reasons why it is important is that a climate of trust and confidence between
police and members of the community will tend to facilitate the flow of information towards police from members of that community?
A. Yes, for every community and from every area.
Q. And likewise, and again this goes for many communities or every community, knowledge about a community may assist investigators to make more informed judgments about what might be fruitful lines of inquiry in an investigation that might involve members of that community?
A. Yes.
Q. Next, investigators with broader horizons will tend to be more professionally curious and perceive lines of inquiry that a narrow-minded investigator might overlook? A. I think it's a point where, yes, I think you need to have an open mind and be innovative.
Q. And while this is not universal and I'm sure this doesn't affect all investigators, but are you aware of an impulse or a tendency that investigators need to be vigilant about to work - that investigators or officers may work harder or be more thorough if it's a sympathetic victim that they're inquiring into?
A. Just from my own experience, you know, again, it's human nature when certain victims - and I think that's well known, if it's a young boy or girl gets murdered or - and there's a lot more media interest or public interest, there's always going to be a lot more reaction and sympathetic reaction. But in terms of an investigation, you have to remain completely mindful of the fact you have to be objective.

And I've seen that many a time, not only with the LGBTIQ community where we've had many instances where we've dealt with victims who have been murdered from that community and resolved those matters but also, conversely, where from other - where sympathy might be difficult to achieve from a community's perspective, for example, we've had victims from - who are alleged paedophiles, alleged terrorists, alleged murderers, alleged major crime figures, and we still treat that person as a human being. We do our best to be empathetic with the family. We actually are empathetic with the family. We treat that and work tirelessly in those matters as in any other matter.

So I think it's a point where whilst it's a challenge
in certain areas not to be influenced by an outburst of emotion through some high-profile public issue, an investigator has to maintain objectivity, and I think, from those examples, we have to be objective in our approach.
Q. How do you train and educate the detectives to try to facilitate that or ensure that?
A. Well, some of that's from just internally and through our own training, but also a lot of that objectivity and the open-mindedness in the way we deal with that is on the homicide course, but also in terms of general training that NSW Police Force receive, and it's about being objective and treating every person on their own merit. That comes through from a lot of training that we've had over the years through the NSW Police Force.
Q. Is that a matter in respect of which the training has improved over the years?
A. I think so, yes.
Q. To your observation or experience, having joined the Force I think in the '90s, or was it the late '80s that you joined --
A. '85.
Q. -- have you observed improvements in the quality and kind of training in relation to those matters during that time?
A. Yes, yes, I have.
Q. Would it be fair to say that awareness of the matters we've been talking about was less prevalent in the '80s?
A. Look, I didn't - I've never witnessed it or seen it, but again I can't comment on other people's views at that time.
Q. You have never witnessed it or seen it?
A. Not in my experience, anyway, from being in the Police Force since 1985.
Q. Can I ask you to turn to paragraph 115.
A. Yes.
Q. You refer there to detectives needing to possess demonstrated experience in major crimes investigations. What kind of experience is necessary?
A. It's quite broad. They come from different areas.

It's preferable, if they're applying for the Homicide Squad, that they have examples in relation to where they've actively participated and been involved in a homicide investigation, and also what we find invariably is they've been part of strike forces that Homicide have been involved in. That's - you know, at any one time in the Homicide Squad, we can't do our work without the police area commands and the police districts, and we may have up to 80 to 90 people from those commands assisting us on strike forces, so we do manage to - are able to assess the ability of those people. However, they demonstrate through an application a major crime, and we look at the complexity of that through their application before they get to the level of being interviewed by our HR panel.
Q. At paragraph 116 you say that many detectives possess a broad range of qualifications, including tertiary degrees or diplomas. What is the value of a detective having a tertiary degree or diploma? What does that add to the detectives?
A. Oh, it adds the fact they have more broad-mindedness in terms of their abilities and what skill set they have. We have staff in the Homicide Squad from a complete broad, diverse background. They are all experienced detectives; however, they bring different academic qualifications. We've had investigators who have had law degrees, science degrees, cyber security degrees, masters in counter terrorism. It brings the fact that they have a decision-making skill set, a thinking and logistic sorry, a skill set in relation to their thinking and their deep thinking. And I think it's good to have people with a tertiary background, as many as you can, because I think that it's been encouraged from - I'd say from the '90s that police undertake - especially detectives, undertake tertiary qualifications in an effort to increase their skill set and their learning, and again, as you said, it's a curious mind, to be innovative.
Q. What was the encouragement that was provided from the late '90s to detectives to undertake tertiary education?
A. There was opportunity through Charles Sturt University to undertake a myriad of courses, and that's across the board. So it mainly was just - it started off with Bachelor of Policing Investigations. There was a number of them, a number of courses, but that was a common one for the detectives to do. And there was really a - it gave you a framework of then undertaking further tertiary education.
Q. You have completed that Bachelor of Policing, haven't you?
A. Yes, and it was through that '90s period. I suppose it was a result of, again, I suppose, Commissioner Ryan introduced the fact that police have to have their skil1 set upgraded. To be a profession, we need to have certain qualifications. I think from there, education, training of recruits increased their tertiary level and it became more tertiary qualified.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Tertiary education, though, is not mandatory for entrance to the Homicide Squad, is it?
A. No, Commissioner, but invariably most of them have, yes. But it's not a mandatory requirement.

MR EMMETT: Q. To your knowledge, did the officers receive support from the Force to undertake tertiary education?
A. Yes, they did, yes.
Q. What was that support?
A. We11, it was the - through the financial support, really, to undertake a degree through Charles Sturt University or some other qualification, so it was actually sponsored through NSW Police Force.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. So does that mean that every Homicide detective or putative detective doing tertiary qualifications was financially supported by the NSW Police Force?
A. No, Commissioner, sorry. In terms of the actual initial - in the '90s, through - when the Charles Sturt University became involved as part of a - it was like a scholarship, I suppose, through - NSW Police Force would support officers to undertake tertiary education and it was funded by the police.
Q. Yes, I know that, but apart from scholarships, I take it it was not the fact that every police officer seeking to do tertiary education was given financial support?
A. No, that's right.
Q. And so does it follow that those who didn't had to pay
for it themselves or take up what might have been in more recent times described as some HECS debt?
A. That's right, depending on the course you were doing.

So if some person wants to do a science degree or some type of psychology degree, it may not be covered.

MR EMMETT: Q. How long has the - are you aware of how long the Bachelor of Policing has been available at Charles Sturt?
A. It was - I just remember the mid '90s, it became available.
Q. Are you aware of officers who have undertaken it on their own steam or undertaken a HECS debt to do so rather than on a scholarship?
A. I know - I know staff that have undertaken qualifications before they joined the police but also undertaken them during that time and have done on their own - they've been supported in terms of their ability to go and do it, but they haven't given funding, so they just would do it off their own steam.
Q. You referred a moment ago to the diversity within the Homicide Squad today, and that diversity - you identified benefits to that diversity. Was that diversity there that is, diversity of backgrounds - 20 years ago?
A. Well, I'm only commenting on what I know now as a Commander of the Homicide Squad.
Q. From your knowledge.
A. So I think we have a very diverse background now. But from 20 years ago, it's like - and even 30 years ago, obviously the further back you go, it's not as diverse, but as the years went by, the whole NSW Police Force changed the face of diversity. It's been - for the last even - you know, year by year there's different cultural backgrounds, people from different backgrounds, cultural backgrounds, and different communities that join.
Q. So to your knowledge, it was less diverse 20 years ago and less diverse again 30 years ago?
A. Yes.
Q. Can I ask you to turn to paragraph 124. I should just confirm: paragraphs 122 and 123 deal with, to the extent that you are able to, the education or training of Homicide detectives from 1970 to 1996 ?
A. Yeah, well, from my own experience and from what I've read, the basic requirement for - it had to be a detective level to go into Homicide, normally demonstrate the ability
to undertake major investigations. But in terms of any other tertiary education, it wasn't a requirement. It was just the fact that they were designated would demonstrate their ability to undertake major investigations.

And then there was a - there's been a change over those years towards different courses. There was an investigators course that was then initiated. There was another name for it at some stage; it was like the pre-investigator course. But basically if you were a budding detective, you would undertake the investigators course and that would give you a solid background prior to going and undertaking the detectives course. There was a lot of training in that area. Once you've completed the detectives course, from that period, it was a matter of application to the homicide course, as I said before sorry, the Homicide Squad.

From the '70s, it was - I'm unaware if there was any panel or any sort of interview process, but certainly through from '87 to '96 there was an interview process, EOI by application and then an interview process.
Q. And the Homicide Investigators Course was then introduced in 1996?
A. Yeah, there was a review conducted, and it was initiated in '96, that the homicide course would be a valuable course for all police to undertake in - that were detectives and possibly to be involved in homicides. As I said before, local police are the ones that conduct the investigation initially, so to upgrade the skill set for all police, homicide course was initiated.
Q. You refer to an accreditation process that was finalised in mid 2008 in relation to the course?
A. Yeah, so from about late 2005, I think 2008, there was a - there was a bit of a hiatus until they worked out an academic status in relation to it and that was accreditation, I should say, and that was gained in 2008.
Q. The Homicide Investigators Course, you have described some features of it in paragraph 127. Does the course have a component dealing with bias crimes?
A. They deal with what I've said before in relation to objectivity and open mindedness. There's scenarios now based in the homicide course that promote the participant to actively have an open mind about the scenarios that are
presented to them. They actually have to attend a crime scene, interpret it, go through the whole process in relation to it, and there's a couple of scenarios that are presented. So there's - that was only just rewritten in December 2020. But in terms of particular - about bias crime, I can't think of a component in the homicide course in relation to that, because there's other training already that's available to all police officers in relation to that.
Q. Does the course deal expressly with combating or being vigilant in relation to conscious or unconscious bias?
A. Well, yes, because the scenarios are based on the fact
that you are to keep an open mind in relation to the scenarios that present themselves and it really does challenge the participants to keep an open mind and they are actually assessed on that ability to be objective and keep an open mind.
Q. Does the course contain content about dealing with victims or witnesses from minority or marginalised communities?
A. The course involves a lot of work around victimology, and part of that victimology obviously would be who the victim is and what their background is. That's necessarily part of investigation for victimology is you look at all aspects of their life and their - and from there, you may be able to obtain a motive, look at their associates, things like that, so that victimology would come under that category.
Q. Well, victimology doesn't - does the course on victimology contain content about dealing with victims or witnesses from minority or marginalised communities?
A. I don't think specifically it talks about it, but it talks about all walks of life and keeping an open mind on the victim and what their background is. So it doesn't specifically go in and categorise each person and where they come from.
Q. And then there are other formal training courses that are available but not mandatory to Homicide detectives; is that right?
A. Yes, and there's courses that again - we promote the fact that self-learning should happen. There's a lot of training in relation to technology that we support, because, as we know, technology is a very important part of
our work and so there's some technical training that we also do.
Q. How is the self-learning supervised or --
A. No, these are internal courses that we're talking about. But any self-learning, if someone is going to undertake a tertiary education, well, that's just in their own time and they undertake it in their own time.
Q. What about internal courses, what kinds of courses are available, optional but not mandatory, to Homicide detectives?
A. Well, you're talking about just ones that are optional. There's lots of courses online and there's obviously heaps of workshops and courses that police can undertake. Quite often there's a lot of cross-training that staff have undertaken, so the arson course, to give to increase their skill set around arson. There's courses around, as I've said, technology.
Q. Are officers given guidance as to what kinds of courses would assist their professional development?
A. Yes, especially around the - well, that's right, and there's obviously - there's a myriad of courses that can be undertaken. It's whether it's relevant to the command, but it's also about their own professional development. There's promotional and leadership courses, there's courses to assist them not only from the work they're doing but also their own personal development.
Q. And are there mandatory courses that Homicide detectives need to do regularly or from time to time?
A. Yeah, and that's set by Education and Training Command each year, and as I said before, there is a strong focus on victim, victim care. The last training day, the last training course, that was a face-to-face presentation that everyone had to conduct. There's an online module in relation to it as well, to the victim care and support. That's - it's a very big focus for Homicide investigators anyway, but there is mandatory training in relation to that.
Q. And how is an individual officer's participation in those courses managed or enforced?
A. Well, it's - there's a compliance, 100 per cent of people. It is a 100 per cent compliance. If it's mandatory, it's mandatory. So it's done - it's enforced
through Education and Training, but as a commander we ensure that all the staff undertake that training.
Q. If the training is an online course, what does that mean? Do you sit there and satisfy yourself that they have watched the video or --
A. No. Whilst there is some self-learning in relation to that, there's components on that module, that it has to be completed and it's recognised and recorded online and it actually generates a record on our SAP system, which is our personnel system, and it's recorded and documented, and that's downloaded by management just to make sure what the percentage of those - of the staff have undertaken that training.
Q. At the top of page 35, you refer to the induction package for Homicide Squad dated January 2020 ?
A. Yes.
Q. That induction package doesn't refer at all to the EHCU, so far as we can see?
A. No, it doesn't, no. Again, it's basically - what it is, it's an induction package into the Homicide Squad in a broad sense, and again it talks broadly about Victims Charter and a victim's rights and how it's very important, the type of work we do, and, you know, basically it's just an overview of the history of the Squad and what - an induction to, you know, some administrative things around the Squad.
Q. So a detective joining the Homicide Squad will learn about the EHC Unit, what, by word of mouth?
A. There's training - they'd already be aware of it even prior to joining the Homicide Squad. It's communicated through the whole NSW Police Force.
Q. And how would they learn about the particular relationship between the Homicide Squad and the EHC Unit?
A. Well, because, really, they'd be aware of it already. However, when circumstances arise during those certain investigations, that would be part of the - they're experienced investigators that work on those teams, they're all run by - these teams are run by detective inspectors with a lot of experience and detective sergeants with a lot of experience, who have utilised all investigative practices and intelligence strategies, so that would be part of their training within their own team. But, saying
that, in terms of State Crime Command, you know, there's training from various groups that come in, externally and internally, to assist us with training, and I know there's another training day for supervisors in August and the Engagement and Hate Crime Unit are presenting at that training day.
Q. If a detective joins the Homicide Squad, how would a manager or someone satisfy themselves that the new Homicide detective understands the relationship between the Homicide Squad and the EHC Unit?
A. Well, you know, it's a point where there's a lot of investigative capabilities and intelligence capabilities that they are expected to know, and again that would be through an internal process through their teams.
Q. You refer in section $E$ to specific training in relation to the investigation of potential LGBTIQ hate crime deaths?
A. Mmm.
Q. You refer there to the Hate Crime Awareness Course.
A. Yes.
Q. The Commissioner has received evidence that it is not a mandatory course because the process for having a course made mandatory is a lengthy one. Are you able to agree or disagree with that evidence?
A. Well, I know it's an online course and I have seen it, but I'm not sure if it's mandatory because Education and
Training run the mandatory training. I know in relation to the face-to-face presentations in relation to bias and subconscious bias and victim support, that was mandatory.
Q. So when in paragraph 136 you refer to mandatory training in relation to hate crimes, do you mean by that you don't know whether the Hate Crime Awareness Course is mandatory?
A. Well, hate crimes in terms of the presentation from the subconscious and bias training for presentation, but not in relation to the online modules, no, I'm not aware if they were mandatory.
Q. So when we read paragraphs 136 and 137 together, we should in fact understand - we should in fact proceed on the basis that you don't know, or you didn't know, whether that training, that is, the training you describe in
paragraph 137, was mandatory?
A. One part of that training face to face was mandatory, and the other one online may have been optional.
Q. You have only referred to an online module in your statement.
A. Well, it was actually - the victim - in relation to the victim care one, it was actually a mandatory face-to-face presentation. That was in the last training period.
Q. Is that part of your statement correct?
A. Well, no, it's - that's incorrect, then. It's the the online referred to the hate crime awareness, and the other training was in relation to victim care and the response through bias or subconscious bias.
Q. The online module, how long does it take?
A. They're not very - you know, they're not completely protracted. There's different modules and there's self-1earning modes through it. I wouldn't be able to tell you how long it took, but they're short training modules that --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. When you say you're not sure, is it an hour, two hours, half a day?
A. It would be under an hour.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR EMMETT: Q. Have you done that training course yourse1f?
A. Yes, on the online, yes.
Q. When did you do it?
A. Oh, some time ago in relation to it. I can't remember when. The last --
Q. A year, three years?
A. The last few years, I've undertaken that.
Q. Less than four years but more than one year ago, is that - -
A. I think so, yes.
Q. While you were Commander of the Homicide Squad?
A. Because of the amount of training and the different
types of training every year that's made available, especially online and that, there's a system called PETE system and a lot of it pops up as mandatory and some of it pops up as optional. You know, so I've done training online for some time, but it may have been whilst Commander of the Homicide Squad, yes.
Q. If somebody undertakes that course, is there any process by which a supervisor tries to understand or tries to ensure that the person has digested or understood the content of the online course?
A. Well, to get through the self-learning, sometimes with these trainings there's little questions that are asked through it to reinforce what you've learnt, and to complete it you have to answer them, and then it generates a completion on the SAP system.
Q. Does this module include such questions?
A. I believe so.
Q. If you don't know, say so.
A. I know they were scenario based. I just don't know if there was questions in that, that's all.
Q. Does a supervisor take any step to satisfy themselves that the person has understood and digested the content of that course?
A. Well, if it's an optional - if it's optional and they've completed it, you know, they've completed it and there's a record of it. That would be like any course that anyone's undertaken - how do you know that person's digested any course? But in terms of - most of the courses that have online self-learning in it have questions along the way that understand a scenario.
Q. The answer to my question is "No"?
A. Well, there's no - there's no - if it's not mandatory, then a supervisor wouldn't be expected to make sure there was some compliance with it.
Q. Now, you refer in paragraph 139 to a mandatory course in relation to victim support?
A. Yeah.
Q. Is part of that module focused on dealing with members of the LGBTIQ community?
A. It's in relation to bias and - sorry, subconscious
bias in relation to vulnerable communities and certain communities. I can't recall if it was actually targeted towards LGBTIQ community. However, it was in relation to having victim care in relation to - and not having a bias or subconscious bias in relation to a diverse community group.
Q. Are you aware of any other course that educates or provides education as to cultural awareness in respect of the LGBTIQ community?
A. There's a lot of cultural awareness in relation to and again this is not my specialty, expertise, but in relation to - there is a Gay and Lesbian Liaison Officer Course. There's a lot of situational awareness in relation to that they would do internally presenting in certain commands, and they're an active liaison officer for certain commands in the city metropolitan area especially. So they would do their own internal training of staff. However and they've undertaken that course.
Q. So there's a course for the liaison officers?
A. Yes, and they would then also assist local area commands, or police area commands, I should say, in relation to their own internal training.
Q. That's a matter for the individual liaison officer and the individual command?
A. Well, it would be a matter for the commander or the regional - in that area, you know. During recent Pride week, there was a lot of situational and sensitivity information that was disseminated across NSW Police and there was a lot of information that was actually communicated across the board, and it was all about cultural and sensitive awareness, and that was broadcast right across New South Wales.
Q. How is the support provided by liaison officers overseen or supervised to ensure it's consistent?
A. I wouldn't be able to answer that, because that there's a different portfolio holder or sponsor in relation to LGBTIQ community. I know that they have their own training days and workshops. However, I can't - I wouldn't be able to answer that question accurately.
Q. And to your knowledge, there's not an online - is there or is there not an online mandatory LGBTIQ awareness and inclusion training module?
A. Mandatory?
Q. Yes.
A. No, not as far as I'm aware.
Q. To your knowledge?
A. To my knowledge, sorry, yes.
Q. I didn't mean to speak over you. Finish your answer?
A. No, not to my knowledge.
Q. And to your knowledge, is there an optional LGBTIQ awareness and inclusion training module?
A. There may well be, but I'm not aware of it.
Q. Are you aware of any module other than the training that liaison officers in particular receive?
A. Look, there may well be, but I'm not able to answer it.
Q. You certainly haven't undertaken a module of that kind yourse1f?
A. No.

MR EMMETT: Thank you, Superintendent. Commissioner, those are our questions.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Tedeschi.

MR TEDESCHI: Commissioner, I would like to have an opportunity to confer with Superintendent Doherty, if I may. Can I suggest perhaps we take the luncheon adjournment now?

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, that's convenient. For various reasons, I can't resume until 2 o'clock. Would that be inconvenient for you?

MR TEDESCHI: No.
THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
MR TEDESCHI: Pardon me. It is 12.30 now. Are you saying that you would prefer to resume at 2 o'clock?

THE COMMISSIONER: Well, yes. Alternatively - I would. It's not just preference. There are other things that

I have to do usually during breaks in relation to other matters that we're looking at. So it would be convenient for me to resume at 2, but if you need - I'm in your hands. I'm trying to accommodate you, Mr Tedeschi.

MR TEDESCHI: Could I have 10 minutes now to ascertain how much time I need with the Superintendent? It might be that I can do it in 10 minutes. It might be that $I$ need longer.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, that's fine. I'm trying to accommodate you, as I've said. So I will go off the bench. Why don't you let me know when you have been able to make an assessment.

MR TEDESCHI: Thank you.

## SHORT ADJOURNMENT

MR TEDESCHI: Yes, thank you, Commissioner, I'm ready to proceed.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
<EXAMINATION BY MR TEDESCHI:
MR TEDESCHI: Q. Superintendent, you were asked a number of questions about this document from 2016 that was produced by Chief Inspector Lehmann?
A. Yes.
Q. Does it appear from the document that he makes a number of recommendations for trying to alleviate, to the extent possible, the absence of both exhibits and case files?
A. That's right.
Q. And does it appear from the document that those proposals have been approved by then Detective Superintendent Mick Willing, who was then the Commander of the Homicide Squad?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And also Chief Superintendent Kerlatec, who was his superior?
A. He was the Director of State Crime.
Q. And I think also somebody else, it appears might be an

Assistant Commissioner?
A. Yes, it would have gone through the Assistant Commissioner.
Q. From any briefings that you have received, are you aware of attempts that have been made by members of the Unsolved Homicide Team, either before you became the head of the Homicide Squad or after, whilst you have been the head of Homicide - are you aware of steps that have been taken by officers from the Unsolved Homicide Team to locate these missing documents, to locate missing exhibits and to process them in a way that makes them available for future reinvestigations?
A. So what I've been briefed, in relation to the items that were known and locations known, those places were they generated files to have those documents or exhibits available. Unsolved Homicide Team collected those documents and exhibits, transported them to the MEPC and then - the process was quite lengthy. The ongoing issues when I took over and into 2020 was that - the items that were at the MEPC, that could be found and located, was transferring those items on to EFIMS so we would have a record, an electronic record of where those items were. And that was the next phase, which took some time, and that was during 2020 that was done.
Q. So it involved a process of Unsolved Homicide Team members going to various stations and getting physically hold of the documents?
A. Yes.
Q. Taking them to the MEPC?
A. Yes.
Q. Entering them into the records of the MEPC?
A. Yes, on to the EFIMS system, which is the Exhibits, Forensic Information and Miscellaneous Property System, which is the corporate system for exhibits and --
Q. And I suppose that involves allocating them to a particular matter, a particular homicide or suspected homicide?
A. That's right, labelling them properly and having them recorded properly.
Q. Is it still the case that there are documents, files and exhibits that are still missing from unsolved
homicides?
A. Yes, there is.
Q. You were asked a number of questions by Counse1 Assisting concerning when DNA testing became viable in New South Wales and when it became active in policing in New South Wales and in the court system?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that a two-part process that involved, firstly, the availability of testing for DNA in New South Wales, but also an ability for Forensic Services to be able to give evidence about databases?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the significance of the availability of databases within New South Wales?
A. Well, we need a database to test whatever the item was or whatever the DNA sample was. So you needed to have a database available for the testing purposes, to make it so we'd have positive identifications.

So from about - again, the idea was around the mid '90s, as I said before, with Commissioner Ryan, and the UK place came over to start that process, and by about mid to late '90s there was a database that was starting to be formulated, and then by - again, our (Forensic Procedures) Act came in in about 2000. So it was that time for getting the database together which was really important.
Q. A database is also important so that if DNA is detected, and if it can be matched to a suspect, that somebody, some expert, can give evidence about how rare or commonplace --
A. That's right, it's got to be --
Q. -- a particular profile is?
A. That's right. So they'd have to give their expert opinion in relation to that - in relation to matching, positively matching, the DNA.
Q. And do you know when that sort of evidence became available within New South Wales?
A. Well, I know in New South Wales the database was starting to be formed around '98, or late '90s, and then from that, the (Forensic Procedures) Act was enacted 2000, was implemented in early 2001. Then you had procedures and
legislation involved and processes in place to obtain DNA from suspects and volunteers.
Q. You gave evidence about the fact that back in the '70s, and even the '80s, that police officers didn't know about DNA and they really had no way of knowing that DNA would become available as a forensic procedure and an investigative tool?
A. That's right.
Q. Are the police in the Homicide Squad looking to the future in terms of possible technological advances that might be made?
A. Absolutely. In terms of, you know, we have a strong relationship with FE\&TS in relation to - and the DNA Management Unit, and all advances in forensic technology and forensic science we like to keep abreast of. We have in recent times utilised familial DNA.
Q. Could you explain what familial DNA is?
A. Where it is from a member of a - I'm not an expert, but in terms of a DNA sample from someone else in the family or the relative, or it is a next of kin in relation to a person.

So if we have a sample - and we only used this recently and it resolved a murder, where a member of the family, through - it was a hit for us in relation to a familial DNA and then we have to do a lot of investigative work then to obtain the sample from the actual person who we believe is alleged to have committed the murder and then - which has given us a confirmation, but also then we have to test it to the scene of crime DNA as well.

So from that matter we were able to resolve a murder from I think 2008, and that person's before the court as we speak, and that victim was part of the LGBTIQ community, and that was only just - so that was a great example of the familial DNA and where we can go from here. It's not only for homicides but also for serial sexual assault matters.

From there, there's a lot of advancements and there's training in relation to forensic genealogy and the DNA that's kept on databases around the world. Our Unsolved Homicide Team have done that training with Missing Persons Registry and our intel staff. So it involves putting
exhibits and samples to the databases that are owned by non-1aw enforcement, for example, you know, an Ancestry type database, where we can then test it and see if there are any matches there that may be on a genealogy basis, and then we can investigate from there. So that's the future that we're looking for.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Can I just interrupt you. I'm sorry to ask you this: is the matter that you referred to a few moments ago that said you were able to get a DNA match, and you said the person was a member of - did I understand you to say the victim was a member of the LGBTIQ community?
A. Yes, Commissioner.
Q. Did you also say the matter was in court at the moment?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you able to tell me what it is?
A. Strike Force --
Q. Do you want to take some instructions on any confidentiality? But $I$ am unaware of this matter - and perhaps I am --

MR TEDESCHI: I'm not aware of which matter it is.
THE COMMISSIONER: $Q$. If you say it is in court, when you say it is in court, has the matter been tried, concluded?
A. No, we're still waiting for the trial to come.
Q. So somebody has been charged?
A. Yes, Commissioner.
Q. Is the charging of that person, as far as you understand it, a matter of public record?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Are you able to tell me what the matter is, then?

MR TEDESCHI: Can I suggest that maybe he writes down the name of the matter?

THE COMMISSIONER: If it is a matter of public record and the person has been charged, why should I be concerned
about it? I'm happy to adjourn --
MR TEDESCHI: I'm in your hands.
THE COMMISSIONER: No, I'm happy to adjourn for to you get some instructions. If you satisfy yourself that I should take a particular course, I'd be guided by you. This is the first I've heard of this matter, and it may not be a matter that was in my Terms of Reference, I don't know, but my ears, for obvious reasons, were attracted to a certain number of integers.

What about if I go off the bench for a few minutes and you can find out a bit more about it, and you can tell Mr Emmett about it and then he can make a decision about whether I need to know more about it or not.

MR TEDESCHI: All right. I've almost completed re-examination. Would you like me to finish the rest of it?

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, if that's convenient, absolutely.
MR TEDESCHI: Q. What about, looking to the future, other types of DNA testing or DNA testing of old samples where there was not enough DNA in the past but there might be enough DNA sample now?
A. That's right. We are working closely with FE\&TS but also FASS in relation to where there may not have been a strong profile or a mixed profile or not enough DNA. We're re-examining those because there have been enhancements in relation to DNA as you just said.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. But do I understand you to say, in relation to the matter you just mentioned, that you are routinely going through every unsolved homicide case to identify exhibits where DNA may have existed previously and test it, or is it an ad hoc exercise?
A. It's a point where we're working with the DNA Management Unit for unsolved matters, where there is DNA.
Q. I wonder if you could just answer my question: is it an ad hoc position, namely, that you are addressing cases as and when they may arise, or are you systematically going through all of your unsolved homicide cases?
A. The reason it's difficult to answer, Commissioner, is because DNA Management Unit are going through their
holdings in relation to it and working through in their own system with FASS. However, when we have a review or a reinvestigation, then a forensic review is conducted and we look at that technology.

THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right. Yes, Mr Tedeschi.
MR TEDESCHI: Thank you, Commissioner. Apart from that one issue, that's my questioning of this witness.

THE COMMISSIONER: Al1 right. Do you have any further questions?

MR EMMETT: I do.

## <EXAMINATION BY MR EMMETT:

MR EMMETT: Q. Can $I$ just understand your evidence about the database for DNA. Am I right in understanding that from the early days, the first benefit of DNA as used in forensic analysis was to match it to known samples?
A. Yes.
Q. So when you had DNA from a scene of a crime and DNA from someone else, in the early days, you could match that up if you --
A. No, we needed to have a database, and that wasn't until the late '90s.
Q. As I say, without a database, in the early days, the benefit of DNA was matching to known samples?
A. So - and from my experience, those matters were quite few and far between in relation to --

MR EMMETT: Q. Is the answer "yes"?
THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry --
THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm sorry.
THE COMMISSIONER: Q. It may be that there is a problem here with translation, but if you can just try and address the actual question - maybe just start again.
A. No, sorry, Commissioner, yes, that's right. The answer is right.

MR EMMETT: Q. Later, I think you said from the
mid '90s, a database started to develop; is that right?
A. More towards the late '90s.
Q. More towards the late '90s. Before the database had been developed, while the samples were gradually accumulating, it was clear that the database would grow over time and get better and you would acquire more and more DNA samples in the database?
A. Well, the database is only as good as what is in the database in the DNA testing but it was always, from my recollection - from the '90s, the late '90s onwards, that was the impetus in relation to getting the database together, prior to there becoming the (Forensic Procedures) Act.
Q. Well, investigators knew from the early '90s, didn't they, that DNA matching was available and that the database or the population of DNA samples that the police had would grow over time?
A. From some time in the '90s, again, the UK had the technology, and there was exceptional cases where some investigations involved taking DNA samples to the UK.
Q. But it was obvious, wasn't it, to an investigator who thought about it in the early '90s, that the database of samples would grow over time in the future?
A. Well, again, from my recollection, it was more from the time where - from the mid '90s, where Peter Ryan brought in the UK specialists to start educating and setting up the program. That wasn't really until about '98.
Q. Do you agree or disagree with the proposition that from the early '90s it was obvious that the database would grow over time?
A. Well, I can't answer that because it's a point where my recollection was that the database wasn't really in place until the late '90s.
Q. You are not able to assist the Commissioner -A. No.
Q. -- with whether or not it was obvious that the database would grow over time?
A. In terms of - no, I can't, because that wasn't readily - no, I can't help the Commissioner.
Q. If someone in the early '90s had turned their mind to the prospect of the database growing over time, they would have appreciated at that time that improvements in the database would mean, at some time in the future, there may be more DNA samples to which a sample at a crime scene may match?
A. Yes.

MR TEDESCHI: It is hypothetical.
MR EMMETT: Of course it is hypothetical.
Q. If a person turning their mind to it in the early '90s had thought of it, it would have been obvious, wouldn't it? A. Again, it wasn't really well known among all investigators for NSW Police Force until about the mid '90s.
Q. And it would have been obvious to someone who thought about it that, even if there wasn't yet a database, if a database was likely to grow over time, that database may provide breakthroughs in the future in relation to existing cases.

MR TEDESCHI: I object. It's hypothetical.
THE COMMISSIONER: I am sorry, what was the objection?
MR TEDESCHI: The objection is that it is just so hypothetical it is of no use to you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Why isn't it, Mr Tedeschi? I mean, one of the issues that I may have to look at is how imaginative or unimaginative the Police Force has been in relation to possible technological change. It may go to that issue. Of course, you may want to put forward something to say this was rocket science and it wasn't something that an ordinary investigator would have had his mind or her mind applied to.

MR TEDESCHI: It is asking a question about some hypothetical police officer who had prescience, eight to 10 years before the database started.

THE COMMISSIONER: Are you giving evidence from the Bar table now, are you?

MR TEDESCHI: No, the evidence is that it was in the 1ate '90s. He has been asked about the early '90s.

THE COMMISSIONER: I'm going to allow it, Mr Tedeschi, thank you.

MR EMMETT: $Q$. It was obvious, wasn't it, to somebody who thought about the subject in the early '90s, that the database of known DNA samples was likely to grow and that if DNA samples were taken in the early '90s, future DNA samples may provide a breakthrough in relation to that matter?
A. That would be a possibility.
Q. It was obvious, wasn't it?
A. I don't think it's obvious, because again, as I said before, from the mid '90s to the 1 ate '90s, it became obvious then.
Q. But you are not aware of anyone thinking of the prospect of a database earlier than that?
A. No.

MR EMMETT: Thank you, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Tedeschi, why don't you get some instructions on the matter, and perhaps at 2 o'clock would you mind, in advance, perhaps letting Mr Emmett know if there is any problem about it.

MR TEDESCHI: Yes.
THE COMMISSIONER: What I will do for the moment, witness, is I won't excuse you just for the moment, Mr Doherty, just until we resolve this issue.

If it is resolved shortly and Mr Doherty can get away, then obviously let him get away.

MR EMMETT: Yes. If we're able to inform you,
Commissioner, without Mr Doherty being here, I wil1 discuss that with Mr Tedeschi.

MR TEDESCHI: I'm content with that.
THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right. Thank you. I wi11 adjourn, thank you.

## LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
MR EMMETT: Commissioner, before I call Detective Chief Inspector Laidlaw, I am about to tender volume 11 of the tender bundle for this proceeding, but $I$ hand up first a form of orders, non-publication orders, in relation to that volume.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
MR EMMETT: I understand those orders are common ground between those assisting you and the police.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. I have made those orders. Thank you.

MR EMMETT: Subject to those orders, I tender volume 11 of the bundle. Commissioner, you should have a copy of that.

THE COMMISSIONER: I do, thank you.
MR EMMETT: The version for the file is being provided.
EXHIBIT \#53 VOLUME 11 OF THE TENDER BUNDLE
MR EMMETT: I call David Laidlaw.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
<DAVID LAIDLAW, sworn:
[2.04pm]
<EXAMINATION BY MR EMMETT:
MR EMMETT: Q. Would you tell the Commissioner your full name, please?
A. David Laidlaw.
Q. Your occupation?
A. I'm a Detective Chief Inspector.
Q. You're with the Homicide Squad?
A. That's correct, I'm with the Unsolved Homicide Team within the Homicide Squad.
Q. Thank you, Detective Chief Inspector. You have made a statement for the purpose of this Special Commission dated 13 June 2023?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Are the contents of that statement true and correct in every particular?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. You've got a copy of that in front of you, I think?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. You've been with the Force since the late '70s?
A. That's correct.
Q. You've been a detective since 1988?
A. That's correct. I trained - from 1985, I was a plain clothes senior constable, so from there is when I started my criminal investigation duties.
Q. You did the Homicide Investigators Course in 1996?
A. That's correct.
Q. And have you been a Homicide detective since then? A. No, no. I was attached to the Major Crime Squad South in 1995 through to the inception of Crime Agencies, and then within Crime Agencies I was promoted to inspector in 2000, but in 2001 I transferred to the Drug Squad, and then in 2007 I returned to the Homicide Squad within State Crime Command.
Q. You joined the Unsolved Homicide Team in 2017; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. In your current role?
A. Yes, I'm the - that's my current role, yes.
Q. And that's as the investigation coordinator for the review team; is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. What are the functions of the investigation coordinator of the review team?
A. It's primarily with - the aim of the review team is to prioritise - sorry, identify and then review and prioritise and consider reinvestigation of unsolved matters and -
unsolved homicide but also suspicious missing persons.
Q. In your statement, beginning at paragraph 19, you give evidence as to the history of the Unsolved Homicide Team? A. Yes.
Q. It was established in 2004?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And what's its remit? What is its remit?
A. Sorry?
Q. What's its function?
A. Oh, the function there. Sorry, I do apologise. Initially in 2004, it was created, it was only a review mechanism. There was no investigative arm attached to the Unsolved Homicide Team at that stage, whereupon that they were tasked with collecting any information that they have, briefs, files, et cetera, to consider for a review of those matters.
Q. Before the Unsolved Homicide Team was established, would it be detectives, officers within patrols or area commands that would manage homicide investigations?
A. No, not necessarily. They would. However, any ones that were defined to be allocated to the Homicide Squads that's both within the region concept and State Crime Command and also Crime Agencies - they would be in control of those investigations.
Q. And to your knowledge, was there a systematic process or procedure for the management or review of unsolved homicides before 2004?
A. No, there wasn't.
Q. How might unsolved homicides be reviewed or come to be reconsidered, to your knowledge, before 2004 ?
A. To my knowledge, whilst I was attached to the Major Crime Squad South and to Crime Agencies, there was no system in place because of the - I suppose the resources available, where we were reacting to actually homicide, current homicide investigations.
Q. And would the file remain with the original team that investigated the homicide - I'm sorry, investigated the incident, whether it be a suspected homicide or a suspicious missing person?
A. That's correct. The squad - both Crime Agencies and State Crime Command, they don't have a particular area, so therefore, wherever the offence occurred, that is generally where the material is maintained. However, if the Homicide Squad conducted the investigation, it would remain with the Homicide Squad at those commands.
Q. Are you aware of any matters being reviewed or reinvestigated before 2004 ?
A. No, I haven't.
Q. Is that the sort of thing that would have come to your attention in the course of your role?
A. Possibly not, no.
Q. The Unsolved Homicide Team was formed following - or established following a coronial recommendation?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. That was a recommendation by State Coroner Abernethy to have systematic audits of unsolved homicides?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. At paragraphs 33 and following of your statement you explain the structure of the Unsolved Homicide Team?
A. Yes.
Q. There are four - since 2009, am I right, there have been four investigative teams and one review team?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And you explain - we don't need to go through the numbers, but you explain the number of personnel in various teams in your statement?
A. That's correct.
Q. In order to become an Unsolved Homicide Team detective, an officer needs to be a detective; is that right?
A. That's correct. They need to be designated as a detective.
Q. And they need --

THE COMMISSIONER: Is there a problem?
MR TEDESCHI: I just don't like a situation where, when

I'm writing notes, that I'm being filmed and my notes can be publicly seen. I don't mind if I'm caught in the screen, but $I$ don't think it is very fair to have me writing my notes being filmed. When I'm so close to the camera, my notes would be able to be read.

THE COMMISSIONER: I would ask the cameraman not to film anywhere near your notes. I didn't notice it occurring.

MR TEDESCHI: I've only just noticed it just then.
THE COMMISSIONER: I didn't notice it until you stood up. We will make sure that doesn't happen. I'm sure it wasn't intentional to intrude in any way, Mr Tedeschi.

MR TEDESCHI: No, I accept that.
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, okay.
MR EMMETT: Q. To become a member of the Unsolved Homicide Team, I think you said it was necessary to be designated a detective?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And all staff, once assigned to the Unsolved Homicide Team, must undertake the Homicide Investigators Course? A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Are there detectives who are assigned to the team who have not yet completed that course, in your experience? A. Yes.
Q. Is that the usual course, that people will be assigned to that team and then undertake the course or is it more common for --
A. It's either way.
Q. Is there one --
A. They may be out at a police area command, as we call them now, and shown an interest in or been accepted to undertake the course. There's only two courses a year of about 24 participants, and they may have been accepted through their own - nomination through their own commands that they attend the course and then they come into the Unsolved Team.
Q. Is there any specific training for Unsolved Homicide

Team Homicide detectives beyond what is necessary to join the Homicide Squad?
A. No.
Q. Are there particular skills that are recognised to be important for dealing with unsolved homicides that require special development?
A. No, a lot of - because they're already designated as detectives, they should have - and I've never seen any that haven't had - a broad understanding and acceptance and responsibility for how they investigate matters of all types.

So I think on-the-job training is so important, because they're led by their peers, generally they're senior constables that come in, and they're led by their team leaders, who are sergeants who have had a - who've always had the requisite knowledge to pass that on to the staff.
Q. What are the special skills that an Unsolved Homicide detective needs?
A. Having an open mind is the first and foremost, I feel, and accept all things on the table, and never discount until such time as you're happy that it's not the case. You have to be inquisitive, an inquisitive nature, and ask questions, because you will never know the answer if you don't ask. So they're the sort of broad-brush concepts. And you certainly have to have a passion for what you do, and commitment, is how I see it.
Q. The matters you have described are important skills for any Homicide detective?
A. Of course, for any police officer.
Q. Are there any skills that you would recognise as particularly important specifically for the role as an Unsolved Homicide detective?
A. No, not apart from the ones I've mentioned because it's a general concept of being a detective, I feel.
Q. There aren't any areas of knowledge or expertise that somebody who deals with cold cases ought to develop?
A. No, I don't - I don't see that. It's one of their appreciation of - such as a cold case, as you called it, is having an understanding of what investigation has been undertaken and whether there is new evidence to be
resolved, whether they can - like I said, an open mind on, well, this investigation went this way; why did it go this way? So there's your inquisitive nature behind being a detective of looking at, okay, well, then we may have to go in another direction.
Q. I think you have said the Unsolved Homicide Team is high1y sought after?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. What are the features of it that make it highly sought after?
A. I think the nature of the work. As has been seen, there's a number of investigations that have - of recent times that have resulted in charges and convictions of matters that have been dormant for quite some time, and to me, that is an appreciation of how they've then investigated the matter to get that matter to that status.
Q. At paragraphs 54 to 56 of your statement you give evidence about the historic as well as the current Standard Operating Procedures for the Unsolved Homicide Team?
A. Yes.
Q. I think you have said you can't speak from personal experience in the team prior to 2017?
A. That's correct.
Q. You have provided the Standard Operating Procedures, and I think you have said, so far as you were aware, they were followed, or at least that those were the procedures that applied at the time?
A. Yes, that's my understanding, yes.
Q. You have given evidence about the creation of the UHT tracking file?
A. Yes.
Q. The tracking file - was that created or was that started in 2004, when the Unsolved Homicide Team was first established?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Was it established as a spreadsheet of --
A. I can't answer that directly, but I can only assume it would be, because I haven't seen the actual document, the tracking file document, for 2004.
Q. But in 2004, as part of the establishment of the Unsolved Homicide Team, searches and inquiries were made to identify unsolved homicides and suspicious missing persons?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And when it started, the focus was the period 1970 to 2000; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Are you able to assist the Commissioner with what those searches and inquiries were?
A. Through the police stations, through the COPS, which is our computerised operation system, through any of the Major Crime Squads that were current at that - sorry, at that day, of Homicides, and whether they went through archives, I can only assume that they would have.
Q. The result was that - and I'm taking this number, I think, from - you have referred to Superintendent Doherty's statement in a number of places in your statement.
A. Yes.
Q. According to Superintendent Doherty's statement, that initial search identified 366 cases; is that right?
A. Yes, about that.
Q. That's not a number you are able to confirm or deny?
A. I've got a feeling it was 400 .
Q. What Mr Doherty says in his statement - and tell me if you agree or disagree - was that it started at 366, and then over the course of the period from 2004 to 2008 it grew to 400?
A. That's correct. That's my understanding, yes.
Q. During the period from 2004 to 2008 , the period when I think you said the Unsolved Homicide Team was a review team rather than - was concerned with reviews, not itself concerned with investigations --
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. -- those cases, or at least some of them, were reviewed?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Pardon me for a moment. The upshot of that review is that in 2008, 201 cases were identified as warranting reinvestigation; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. What happened to the other 199 - I assume it is approximately 199, but the other cases, the ones that weren't?
A. I can't advise the Commission exactly what happened to them, because, as I said, the 2004 database, 2004 to 2008, I don't have access to that. I've only got the current database for the tracking file.
Q. So how do you know that 201 were identified as warranting reinvestigation?
A. That's what $I$ was advised.
Q. By whom?
A. I can't recal1 now.
Q. You've not seen a written record of that figure?
A. No.
Q. In relation to the other half of the 400 cases, you don't know what's happened to them?
A. No.
Q. Do you know if they are stil1 on the tracking file?
A. They could be. Why I say that is because the tracking file is a live document, as you can appreciate, and those 2004 to 2008 matters are incorporated into the tracking file and I'm unable to identify the - even the date range, you have the date range in the tracking file, but I'm unable to identify which of those cases actually were investigated from 2004 to 2008 as a - sorry, as a review, I meant.
Q. You mean you are unable to identify which of the cases were among the 201 or among the $199 ?$
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And you can't even say which were among the 400 in the first place?
A. That's correct.
Q. Is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. During the period 2004 to 2008 , what was the quality control or supervision of the process by which the cases were reviewed?
A. I've been advised that the quality control was by the investigation coordinator at the time, Inspector Jarratt, and those matters were put on a case screening form, then they were assessed as to their viability for reinvestigation.
Q. Individual officers prepared screening forms for particular cases; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And was there a review committee in relation to those screening forms after they had been completed?
A. I don't know.
Q. Are you aware of any document recording a review committee or other process by which the completion of those screening forms was checked or the consistency reviewed?
A. No, I'm not aware, no.
Q. To your knowledge, did one exist?
A. I'm not aware, no, so, yes, sorry, I don't know.
Q. You just don't know one way or the other?
A. I don't know.

THE COMMISSIONER: Can I interrupt.
Q. Of the 201 cases that were thought appropriate for reinvestigation, was a list made of those? You quote the number, but does it mean somewhere there should be a list of those 201 cases?
A. I believe so, sir, yes.
Q. But you don't know where it is?
A. No.
Q. So who in the Police Force - forgive me, perhaps I should start again. Do you know whether anyone has ever been tasked with the actual reinvestigation process in relation to any one or more of the 201 cases?
A. No, I don't, sir.
Q. So I should assume that someone identifies 201. There
doesn't appear to be readily available a list of which they are nor any update of whether any one or more of them have been subject to any reinvestigation?
A. No, sir, I don't - I can't give you a figure because I don't know.
Q. You immediately report to Mr Doherty, I think, don't you?
A. I do, sir.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR EMMETT: Q. One thing that at least Mr Doherty refers to in his statement - I will just turn up whether it is in yours as well - is a reference to - yes, it's paragraph 62 of your statement, that after the review of cases from 2004 to 2008, there were nine cases that were referred for reinvestigation?
A. Yes, I can see that, yes.
Q. Should the Commissioner infer that the other 192 were not referred for reinvestigation?
A. I can only assume that, yes.
Q. Should the Commissioner - well, are you able to assist the Commissioner with whether anything was done in relation to those 192?
A. No, I can't assist, sorry.
Q. Because it may be, for instance, that the review could have identified - are there levels or gradations of the way in which something might reinvestigated, that is,
a screening form might identify some steps which could be easily taken?
A. Yes, I believe there would have been like a rating associated with each of the matters - would have been? Should have been.
Q. But I'm also exploring, reinvestigation is not necessarily an on/off switch. You don't need to decide that a case should be reinvestigated and then put the full force of an investigatory team towards it, do you? One option that may have occurred is to identify cases where there are some obvious steps to take and take those steps? A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. An example being in relation to exhibits, test them
for DNA or fingerprints?
A. That's correct, yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Or find them? Or find them?
A. Yes, exactly, sir.

MR EMMETT: Q. Are you aware of that taking place during the period 2004 to 2008?
A. No, I'm unaware.
Q. You just don't know one way or the other?
A. No, I don't.
Q. And do you know how the nine that were selected for reinvestigation were identified for reinvestigation?
A. No, I don't, sorry.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Is there a list of which are the nine?
A. I don't have that list with me, sir.

MR EMMETT: Q. Does that list exist?
A. Sorry?
Q. Does that list exist?
A. I don't know.
Q. Might exist; you just don't know?
A. Yeah, I don't know, no.
Q. After 2008, as you explain, investigatory teams were introduced into the Unsolved Homicide Team in 2009?
A. That's correct.
Q. And since that time, the tracking file has continued to grow; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Are any cases taken off the tracking file?
A. Sorry?
Q. Are cases taken off the tracking file?
A. No.
Q. So would it be fair to assume, then, that the 199 - of the 400 , the 201 that we have been talking about were on the tracking file and still are?
A. They should still be, yes.
Q. And the 199, even though they weren't identified for reinvestigation, were on the tracking file and still are?
A. Yes, they should be, yes.
Q. You're not aware of anything being taken off?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware of a policy prohibiting anything being deleted from the tracking file?
A. No, there's no policy associated with it. Even today, when matters are solved or we've identified that they're not homicides at all, they still remain on the tracking file as a record management.
Q. But do you know whether cases have been taken off the tracking file over the last 20 years?
A. No, I don't.
Q. May have happened?
A. It may have, yes.
Q. If so, there's just no - there's no record of it that you're aware of?
A. No, no.
Q. At paragraph 70 you explain that the latest matter on the tracking file, at least as at the date of this statement, was an incident of 17 August 2016 ?
A. Yes, that is correct.
Q. To your knowledge, is that still correct?
A. No.
Q. More have been added since?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know how many?
A. No, I can't give you a figure, sorry.
Q. But as at 13 June 2023, the last matter added to the tracking file was added - was an incident dated 17 August 2016?
A. That's correct, yes. If I can reiterate, that is as a result of a coronial finding that the matter has been referred to the Unsolved Homicide Team. That's the last
one that's recorded on there.
THE COMMISSIONER: Q. But does that mean that everything that's happened since that date has been solved or that there may be unsolved cases since that date that have not made their way yet to the tracking file?
A. That's correct, sir, yes.

MR EMMETT: Q. If the latter --
A. Well, sorry, if $I$ can --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. I will put it again. Does that mean that every case since August 2016 has been solved, or does that mean that there might be or may well be unsolved cases that have arisen since August 2016 but have yet to be added to the tracking file?
A. There could be, only that with matters we're saying they should all go to the coronial jurisdiction, and that's when we receive them back from the coronial jurisdiction as a matter that is unsolved.
Q. I see. So, what, the process since August 2016 is to only nominate a case as unsolved for the purposes of adding to the tracking file if a coronial finding is of foul play or suspicious circumstances or something?
A. That all matters would be - all homicide-related matters would be put on the tracking file.

THE COMMISSIONER: I'm not following. Perhaps you take it up, Mr Emmett.

MR EMMETT: Q. I'm just trying to understand. When you say all homicides would be put on the tracking file -A. Sorry, what I mean by that is all the matters up to then and beyond, we have - we would have knowledge of across the board because of our obvious associations with the investigative teams, what we call on the floor, within the investigative teams, so we would have knowledge of. Currently, to alleviate some of the issues we've identified in the tracking file, we're creating a database that as soon as a homicide occurs, it gets put on that database. That's presently being built, but it hasn't come into fruition yet.
Q. So you've got a second database, being a database of homicides in addition to the homicides on the tracking file?
A. That's correct. The old homicide tracking file, the current tracking file - all that data will be incorporated into that database, and whenever a homicide occurred, it wil1 get put straight on to that database so that we've got a track of all homicide-related matters.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. But the homicides - and they're those which have occurred, are they, post August 2016 ? A. Yes, sir.
Q. And does that database, though, include cases where a person of interest has been charged and is in the process of being tried or has been tried and those cases where there may or may not be a person of interest, but it is unsolved?
A. Yes, sir, all homicides.
Q. A11 homicides?
A. Yes, yes.

MR EMMETT: Q. You referred to that step being taken to alleviate pressures on the tracking file?
A. No, alleviate issues, I said.
Q. I'm sorry, I misheard. What were the issues with the tracking file that the creation of this new database were intended to alleviate?
A. I suppose the multi-wording of where the status may be of an investigation. I suppose the database, we're hoping to alleviate any - all cross-references, so there's only one reference point to where that investigation is actually at and the result thereof. So that's what we're attempting to do with that database.
Q. Were there multiple - when there was just the tracking file, were there multiple cross-references?
A. Yes, there were.
Q. Of what kind?
A. Oh, some like the matter might be solved, yet we wouldn't realise it was solved until such time as we did a triage on it; or some matters that are not homicide, when you look at the triage and review, it's clearly not a homicide, but it initially came in to us as a homicide.
Q. So the issues were with other parts of the Police Force, information that arose in other parts of the Police

Force not making its way to your team?
A. Part of it is our - sorry, part of it is the way we've entered that data on, too, so we're not blameless in relation to that from the Unsolved Homicide - the review team.
Q. By that, you mean the issues include that the data is unreliably entered; is that what you mean to say? A. I won't say unreliably. It's meaningfully entered, but there's some cross-references that may be confused extracting data from the tracking file.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. No, but what you said a moment ago - I'm just trying to follow it. I think each of us is trying to follow it. Is the tracking file - was the tracking file to some extent inaccurate in the sense that it might have identified a case which was once unsolved, but a prosecution has taken place elsewhere, a person has been convicted but the tracking file not updated?
A. That's correct, sir, yes.

MR EMMETT: Q. Now, at paragraph 77, you describe the number of cases listed on the tracking file for the period 1970 to 2010?
A. Yes.
Q. You say that as at the date of your statement, there were 829 of those cases?
A. Yes, that's correct, yes.
Q. Has that number changed since the date of your statement?
A. Can I refer to a document here?
Q. Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: What paragraph is that, Mr Emmett?
MR EMMETT: Paragraph 77.
Q. Can I ask you to indicate what document you're referring to?
A. Oh, just in relation to trying to establish the exact figures that we have.
Q. And I'm just asking you what document you're referring to to do so. So by all means do so, but could you also let
the Commissioner know what document it is you're referring to?
A. The document you provided to NSW Police counsel, and just to clarify what matters are actually recorded there as compared to nowadays, because the difference there from 2006 - sorry, from 2010, there were numbers there, but the additional information we may have where we continually update the tracking file. So we've continued to update the tracking file from June 2022, where matters would either be added to or re-identified.
Q. So is the document you are referring to a document that is titled "Special Commission of Inquiry into LGBTIQ hate crimes, Aide-Memoire, UHT Tracking File"?
A. That's correct, yes.

MR EMMETT: It might be convenient at this stage, Commissioner, to hand up a copy of that, which I wil1 tender shortly.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR EMMETT: $Q$. Do you have a copy of that?
A. I've got a very --
Q. We might provide you with a better copy. That way, we al1 know we're looking at the same document.
A. Thank you, yes.
Q. Could I confirm, you've seen this three-page document before?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. This was a document that emanated from the Commission of Inquiry?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. To your knowledge - are you able to agree or
disagree - it was provided to the NSW Police Force, who reviewed it and added the numbers in red, or the entries in red that appear next to other digits?
A. That's correct, yes, yes.
Q. And your understanding is the figures and entries in black reflect the position on the tracking file as at 6 June 2022?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And the figures and other entries in red reflect information that has been provided - matters that have been added to this document by the NSW Police Force to reflect the tracking file in its current form as at today or yesterday?
A. Yes, that's correct, yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Do you want to tender that?
MR EMMETT: Yes. I tender that.
THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right. Thank you. What number is that?

MR EMMETT: Exhibit 54.
EXHIBIT \#54 THREE-PAGE DOCUMENT TITLED "SPECIAL COMMISSION OF INQUIRY INTO LGBTIQ HATE CRIMES, AIDE-MEMOIRE, UHT TRACKING FILE"

MR EMMETT: Just for the Inquiry's benefit, Commissioner, your Commission has not yet received a tracking file that reflects the red. We may or may not need to, but these figures are the figures that have been provided by the Police Force.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR EMMETT: Q. Reviewing that, going back to your statement at paragraph 77--
A. Yes.
Q. -- there it says that for the period 1970 to 2010, there are 829 matters listed in the UHT tracking file?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Is that figure correct?
A. Yes, I believe so, yes.
Q. Could you help us reconcile, if there were 829 matters during the period 1970 to 2010 on 13 June 2023 --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- the figure provided by NSW Police as at yesterday for that period is 790 ?
A. Yes. I can't assist, no.
Q. Do you know what - so do you know what has happened to those 39 files?
A. No, I don't, no.
Q. Where did you get the figure 829 from?
A. That was provided to me at the time of making my statement from our tracking file, the person who administers it.
Q. Am I right in assuming there appears to be an error somewhere?
A. There is, yes, yes.
Q. As you sit in the box, you can't assist us with where the error is?
A. No, I can't, and I apologise to the Commission for that.
Q. We might see if we can get to the bottom of it in due course. The other thing you do in paragraph 77 is provide a breakdown of matters categorised in different ways?
A. Yes, that's correct, yes.
Q. Those figures - I hope I have my arithmetic correct sum to 829?
A. I hope so, yes.
Q. May we assume, if the correct figure is 790 , then those figures may also not quite be right in certain respects?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Who administers the tracking file? Is there one person who is responsible for --
A. No, no, there's not. The only people who have access to the tracking file are the investigation coordinators, of which there's three of us; the two detective sergeant team leaders within the review team; and the two detective senior constables, together with two of our intelligence staff personnel attached to the Unsolved Homicide Team.
Q. Do any of those people have the ability to edit the document from time to time?
A. On1y those persons can. A11 other persons are "read on1y" within the squad.
Q. And is there a single person who is responsible for the upkeep of the file?
A. No, there's not, no.
Q. You describe categories in paragraph 77?
A. Yes.
Q. You refer to various categories - "Undetected"?
A. Yes.
Q. And a matter is "Undetected" if the perpetrator is unknown or the matter has not resulted in charges being brought; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Except that there is an exception if a Coroner has returned an open finding, then it is not recorded as "Undetected"; it is recorded as "Undetermined"; is that right?
A. That's right, there's no determination on the cause of death or the fact that it is a homicide or the missing person has been murdered.
Q. But typically with an open finding --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that means the Coroner has concluded that homicide is a possibility?
A. I'd have to - the matters that have come before me before - in relation to the tracking file, because of my role as a coronial liaison officer, I had to speak with the Coroners in relation to every - the majority of the missing persons that went before the coronial jurisdiction, they would report back as - to us as unsolved homicide, when clearly the matter didn't appear to be homicide. So, as part of that, the recommendations now coming from the Coroner identify that if the matter is to be referred to the Unsolved Homicide Team to be - for the information and as per our protocols, only when there is a suspicion that the person, missing person, has been murdered.
Q. And when you say "a suspicion", you mean by that that the Coroner may do one of three things: the Coroner may make a finding that a person died by homicide but not be able to identify who it was?
A. That's correct.
Q. That's one possibility. Another is that the Coroner may return an open finding in the sense of just not being able to say, not being able to find on the balance of probabilities either that it was or it wasn't a homicide? A. That's correct. Yes, that would still be referred to us.
Q. And then a third category is a category where the Coroner is able to make a positive - is able again on the balance of probabilities to conclude that the cause of death was something other than homicide?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And in that third category, matters are not referred to the UHT; is that right?
A. No, it would be - it would be on our tracking file. That's where we've identified there that there's ones recorded as not homicide because of medical, misadventure or suicide, et cetera, et cetera. So those matters would still be recorded on our tracking file, but they would be recorded now as not homicide.
Q. I'm just trying to understand - they wouldn't be recorded as "Undetermined"?
A. No, they wouldn't, no.
Q. I'm sorry. I'm focusing on the category you describe in paragraph (b).
A. Sorry, no.
Q. "Undetermined". Those are matters in respect of which the Coroner has been unable to make a finding one way or the other as to homicide; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. In the Standard Operating Procedure, it refers to it as "Undeterminable"?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. But those cases are not undeterminable, are they?
A. Well, they are - they're still open, they're open matters, so it is undetermined as to whether the person died as a result of a homicide or whether the suspicious missing person has actually been - is actually deceased, so they're still open findings.
Q. Look, this may just be a matter of nomenclature, but
you appreciate there is a difference between saying a matter is undetermined - that is, nobody has made a decision yet or nobody has made a concluded finding --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- and saying it's undeterminable?
A. Wel1, "Undetermined" is how we use - how we phrase it.
Q. Well, except it's not, is it, in the Standard Operating Procedures? They say "Undeterminable".
A. I think for a variance of a word - I deem that to be the same, sorry.
Q. And are members of the team educated to understand that even though the Standard Operating Procedure describes the case as "Undeterminable", a11 that means is the Coroner hasn't made a finding one way or the other?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Is there a reason why you use the language of "Undetermined" instead of the language of "Undeterminable"?
A. No. It's the same, as far as I'm concerned.
Q. You have told his Honour that if a matter does come to the Unsolved Homicide Team as "Undeterminable" or "Undetermined", that receives a lower priority?
A. A lower priority together with the others that have obviously the "Undetected" is the higher priority.
Q. That's the case even if the open finding of the Coroner was in the '70s or early '80s?
A. Was in, sorry?
Q. If there was an open finding by a Coroner in the '70s or early '80s --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the case is stil1 categorised as "Undetermined"?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And if a Coroner makes an open finding recently - if a Coroner in the 1 ast 10 years has returned an open finding, that is, has said, "I just can't tell one way or another whether this is a homicide" --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the consequence of that finding is that the matter
wil1 be deprioritised in the unsolved homicide 1ist?
A. I wouldn't say deprioritised. It would be a matter of - it would still be active within the 1 ist, and if any fresh information came to the fore, then that prioritisation would raise itself to a level that we could consider reinvestigating the matter.
Q. But I think you have said in your statement that unless fresh information comes to 1 ight about a matter, your team is reviewing all of the cases labelled as "Undetected" before coming to any that are labelled as "Undetermined"?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. So when a Coroner returns an open finding, are Coroners aware that the consequence of that is that the matter won't be looked at by the Unsolved Homicide Team until after all of the "Undetected" cases have been viewed?
A. No, not that $I$ know, no.
Q. And then the other categories are "Solved"?
A. Yes.
Q. And that means a suspect has been detected and charged, resulting in a successful prosecution?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And then there are matters that you identify that there were 24 in this category as at 13 June 2023, where it was possible to say "Not homicide"?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Could I ask you to turn now to exhibit 54 , that table that $I$ handed to you earlier?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Could you help us understand, on the first page, is it right that there are - of either 785 cases as at 6 June 2022 or 790 cases as at yesterday --
A. Yes.
Q. $\quad-\quad 572$ have been triaged?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And 213 have not yet been triaged?
A. No, with that figure there, it was only identified late yesterday that this actually should be 125. There's
no indicator in red, as you notice, from us. There was 125 not triaged, because they were either "Solved" or "Not homicide", so they hadn't been taken from that iist.
Q. I see. So the 213 , your understanding is that's the total number of cases that are not recorded as having been triaged, but that includes cases that were recorded as "Not homicide" --
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. -- and cases that were recorded as "Solved"?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. I understand. The 125 - I just want to make sure I understand. The 125 are the ones that are left, the ones that are not triaged even though they are neither "Solved" nor "Not homicide"?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Of that 125, how long have those cases been on the tracking file?
A. I can't advise the Commissioner that.
Q. At least seven years, because the latest was 2016 ; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And some of them may be decades old?
A. No, with that comment of at least seven years --
Q. The reason $I$ say that is that you said the latest case on file was August 2016.
A. Oh, yes, I appreciate that, yes.
Q. That's the only reason why $I$ say seven years.
A. Okay, righto. Okay.
Q. But some of those cases, that 125 that have never been triaged, may be decades old?
A. They could be, yes. You have to understand the resource implications surrounding the triage and the review process.
Q. We11, when you say I don't understand, that's something I think the Commissioner would like to understand.
A. Yes.
Q. So having volunteered that, tell us what the resource implications are around triage?
A. We don't have enough people to do them. And "enough people", I mean people who are qualified to at least review and review - review the - triages nowadays are almost a mini review, where they collect as much data as they can so that they can formulate the triage form to forward on to the respective reviewer.

So we're already - we're sort of - if I can say it, we're moving a backlog of triage forms into the review area and they're unable to be reviewed because we can't resource them adequately.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Have more resources been requested?
A. No.

MR EMMETT: Q. The object of triage is to assess all the - if I can put it this way, the population of cases in order to prioritise them; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. There is a balance to be struck, isn't there, between the level of detail necessary for triage - that is, how closely you review any given case, on the one hand?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And the speed with which you can get through them because you need to get through a high volume; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Do you think you have that balance right at the moment?
A. Possibly no, no.
Q. One of the indicators that the balance may not be right is that there are 125 that have never been triaged?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And the triage system was introduced in 2018; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. So in five years, there are still about 20 per cent of
the cases that have never been triaged?
A. That's correct. Of those 125, I know there's 19 triages ready for me to vet and I haven't had the opportunity to vet yet.
Q. How many triages does the team get through in a month or in a six-month period?
A. I couldn't tell you - well, there's been no triages completed since the start of the Commission assistance from the Unsolved team, because my review team has been assisting Detective Inspector Warren's team in relation to collating data for the Commission.
Q. You tell the Commissioner, do you, that triaging of cases has stopped?
A. Yes.
Q. For how long?
A. Since, I'd say - since June, I would say, last year.
Q. And what about the 19 that are on your desk, have they been there since June of last year?
A. Well, they're still there, but they're for me to vet in relation to what data is available in that document so that I can establish, together with the other inspectors, whether there is enough information there to send it to review or not.
Q. So those 19 where somebody has completed a review and sent it to you to assess, they've been sitting on your desk for over 12 months?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. When do you expect to get to them?
A. I don't know. I can't - I can't tell the Commission that, because of other inquiries that I'm doing, so --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Have you drawn this to the Commissioner's attention, that your triaging has been hindered by the requests made by this Commission and that you need to triage these matters and you need more resources one way or the other?
A. No, I haven't, sir.
Q. Why not? If the work is that important and you need to respond to what this Commission has been asking you for, why on earth has not Unsolved Homicide drawn this to the

Commissioner's attention as a matter requiring urgent attention, or does it not require urgent attention?
A. It does require urgent attention.
Q. Well, then, why hasn't somebody said something to the Commissioner instead of sitting quietly, leaving files collecting dust on the desk?
A. If I can reiterate what I said before, we're going from a backlog of - we've still got triage forms that have been completed that we cannot get out even to review because there's so many of them. That's why, in 2008, the investigative arm of Unsolved became an investigative arm, because there was so much of a backlog of case screen/triage forms then, that they were just sort of sitting there. So that's why that - that concept - it's still there. It's - it's just another important aspect of policing in general, sir, is how $I$ see it.

MR EMMETT: Q. Can I ask you to turn to the next page --
THE COMMISSIONER: Q. So do I work on the basis that the present Commissioner is entirely unaware of the resources issues that you currently face; is that right?
A. That's correct, sir.

THE COMMISSIONER: Well, that is remarkable, if I may say so. Yes, Mr Emmett.

MR EMMETT: Q. Can I ask you to turn to the second page of that document, please, Detective Chief Inspector? A. Yes.
Q. Now, according to these figures, there are 291 cases that have never been reviewed - I should say, where it appears at least that no review has been completed.
A. That's correct. With that 291, we have 178 actually not reviewed. However, 19 of that 291 are ongoing investigations as we speak.
Q. Is that the 19 you mentioned that are sitting on your desk?
A. Yes.
Q. The 291 that were not reviewed, that includes they weren't reviewed - at least they were not recorded as being reviewed in the period 2004 to 2008; is that right?
A. I would assume, yes.
Q. May we assume that the review - the process conducted from 2004 to 2008 was not comprehensive; it did not review all files on the list during that period?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. When I look at the number on the left, does that look about right to you, that in fact 329 of the 400 were reviewed during that five-year period?
A. Yes, that's correct, yes.
Q. Do you know what happened to the other 71 ?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you know if the - you said that there were 201 that were identified for investigation and what I've been calling the 199 that weren't?
A. Yes .
Q. Do you know whether the 71 that weren't reviewed at all are in one category or the other?
A. No, I don't.
Q. And from 2009 to 2017, on1y 76 files were reviewed in total; is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct, yes.
Q. Is that also because of - well, that doesn't seem like very many to be reviewed in nine years?
A. No, it's possibly not, no. But once again, it would be a matter of - an investigation can be one lever-arch folder or it could be 200 lever-arch folders where you have to go through each of the documents to identify the investigation and what is best as an investigative strategy that can be implemented. So it does take time. It doesn't - there's no set parameters around the time to do the review, because some are quite long and lengthy.
Q. I know this was before your time, but was the Commissioner of Police during that period, 2009 to 2017, aware that only 76 were reviewed during that time?
A. No.
Q. You are able to say from your knowledge that the Commissioner wasn't aware of that?
A. I can only assume, sorry.
Q. You can only assume that because, to your knowledge, it's not a matter that's likely to have been brought to the Commissioner's attention?
A. I don't know.
Q. Looking at 76 being reviewed during the period from 2009 to 2017, is that consistent with having the balance right between getting through a volume and taking too long or taking a certain period of time on each review?
A. Yes, I would say so, yes.
Q. You would say it is consistent with getting the balance right?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Really? Even though, at the rate of 76 every nine years, it would take decades to get through - to review all of the files or even half the files, wouldn't it?
A. That's because we've got so many unsolved matters listed.
Q. Doesn't that suggest that the balance isn't right between speed and level of detail?
A. No, I can't answer that.
Q. Can you he1p us - as I look at some of the other figures, over the last 12 months, where it says "Under review", the cases that are under review have gone from 71 to $36 ?$
A. Yes.
Q. So 35 cases over the last 12 months have ceased to be under review?
A. Yes.
Q. But only five of them, if that, have ended up in the "Review" column - that is, the number that have been reviewed increased from 494 to 499.
A. Yes.
Q. Can you assist us with what happened to the other 30 ?
A. No, I can't.
Q. They wouldn't be - if they were under review and then ceased to be under review, that's not the same as being rejected for review, is it?
A. It can be.
Q. Well, if you turn to the third page, I'm just looking at what the NSW Police Force has added in red.
A. Yes.
Q. Under the line where it says "Rejected for review", it says $59 ?$
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And then it says 88 matters were rejected for review, 75 rejected without review, 13 were reviewed prior to being rejected. Do you see that?
A. That's right, yes.
Q. So the 75 that we see on the preceding page, those are the ones that have been rejected without review, aren't they - isn't it?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. So between 6 June 2022 and yesterday, 19 cases were rejected for review without being reviewed?
A. Yes, because of the reasons indicated when you see the next column underneath. Of the 75 rejected without review, it identifies the list there as to why.
Q. And so the reason why is that there may be cases in which an offender was convicted or an offender was charged and at that point it was taken off the list for review?
A. That's correct. That's what I say with the tracking file, there are those sort of anomalies where there's multiple information that should be more defined.
Q. Another one I'm struggling to understand is that between June of last year and yesterday, the number that are recorded as "Not reviewed", with no reason given, has increased by 12.
A. Yes. With that, 66 of those matters are listed as "Unresolved". So they're matters that have - a person has been acquitted or there's a warrant in existence for the person identified as the offender.
Q. But I'm just trying to understand, you would expect to see in a tracking file that reason given, wouldn't you?
A. You would, yes.
Q. Is there any reason why the number of cases with no reason given should increase?
A. Sorry?
Q. Is there any reason why the number of cases in which no reason is given should increase?
A. I think we've redefined, as in we've delved into parts of the tracking file that had incorrectly recorded data on them.
Q. I see.
A. Yes.
Q. So there may be cases in which a reason was given, but it was a wrong reason, and so someone's just deleted that reason and left it empty?
A. That's correct, yes, that's correct.
Q. And not made a record that that's what's happened?
A. No, but I can only assume that that's where these anomalies are made.
Q. Is there someone within the Unsolved Homicide Team who monitors these changes?
A. We do have a number, but there is a certain detective sergeant who assists in this process, of the team leaders.
Q. And that's something - is it consistent with that person's instructions to remove a wrong reason and not insert a correct reason?
A. That's correct, yes. It would - it's in preparation of the new database, is to try to reconcile these records on the tracking file so that the correct data is put into the new database, what we call the HIMS system.
Q. Can I try to understand this about the process by which cases were screened or reviewed. So I'm interested both in the period from 2004 to 2008 and the period since. Do you understand?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Is it important that the review be by investigators who have no prior commitment to theories that were originally developed?
A. That's correct.
Q. That lowers the likelihood of information being made to fit a prevailing investigative hypothesis?
A. It's a fresh-eye approach is what we hope to achieve.
Q. It's important for a person screening or reviewing to be vigilant as to conscious or unconscious bias?
A. Yes.
Q. How are people who screen or review trained or educated to ensure - or to avoid or reduce the risk of conscious or unconscious bias?
A. There is certain training, training procedures that are undertaken by the organisation. There's a module, training module, under PETE, which is a training system, that is related to hate crime, and there is also a customer service face-to-face which brings into account unconscious and conscious bias, that we can only hope that our people have that understanding and that concept, that they understand it and don't utilise it.
Q. And during the period 2004 to 2008, during the initial review, was there a process of supervision in which someone sought to ensure that conscious or unconscious bias wasn't creeping into the process?
A. I'm unable to advise the Inquiry, sorry.
Q. It's important to be vigilant about that, because conscious and unconscious bias can affect the way investigators approach cases?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. There's a risk that someone with a conscious or unconscious bias might more readily conclude that a death is not suspicious?
A. That's correct.
Q. Conscious or unconscious bias could even affect the speed with which particular police officers conduct the screening, triage or review?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. They might work harder or faster in relation to a matter where there's a sympathetic victim - where there's a victim that they think of as sympathetic?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And is there any system of management or oversight to combat that?
A. We11, under the present processes that we have from 2018, we have identified a review committee that reviews
al1 of the reviewed material. We have a quality assurance which is undertaken by a senior investigator within the Unsolved Homicide Team. That document, together with the review document, the triage document, is brought before the review committee, which currently consists of all the investigation coordinators, the three of us, the intelligence manager, the commander and team leaders within the Unsolved, various team members from the investigative team and also the review team, to go about and review the actual review document to see what outcomes that can come from that review.
Q. And how does that committee monitor or control for the risk of conscious or unconscious bias?
A. I think within their own perception of and their own ability to identify as to whether there is anything that, "Why wasn't this matter looked at a certain way?", has to be assessed, I think. There's no specific way of doing it. It's just a matter of, we can only hope that people - we train our people to not do that. So it goes across all broad sections of life, not just within the Police Force.
Q. It's not something that the review committee specifically looks for, then; is that what you mean?
A. No, not specifically, but we're aware of it as experienced detectives, experienced investigators, and also managers.
Q. Can I ask you about the - is it called the Homicide Investigation Management System? Is that the new system that you're introducing?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. What was that system introduced?
A. It was only last year it was. It hasn't been introduced, if I can say, concept of it. Phase 1 is under way and there's a building phase of that data, and that's currently in the process. That is being - the technical side of that system is undertaken within the Unsolved Homicide as to identify this is the data we need on that database to ensure we have the right information.
Q. So it started last year, did you say?
A. Yes.
Q. Phase 1 has been completed or is nearly completed?
A. Is in the process of being completed. I can't tell
you it's - and that's trying to back-capture a lot of the data from the tracking file to make sure it's correct.
Q. And what is the system? Is it another spreadsheet or is it something --
A. No, it's a database where - that we can rule out some of these anomalies that we've identified here in evidence in relation to different aspects of an investigation, whether it's solved, unsolved or not a homicide, that sort of concept. It is - the system is defined that when the homicide comes into - whether it - I've called it a fresh homicide, comes into our organisation, we then put it on this HIMS system and we can monitor it all the way, for want of a better word, from cradle to grave, as to whether - when it starts, we know all the concept of the investigation.
Q. Thank you, Chief Inspector. I was asking you - you told the Commissioner about the review process or the management and oversight under the review committee since 2018?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there any similar form of supervision or oversight before 2018, to your knowledge?
A. No, not that I - not that I know of, no.
Q. Was there any other kind of supervision or oversight of the individual screening or reviews that were conducted, to your knowledge, before 2018 ?
A. I can only - prior to me being transferred into the Unsolved Homicide Team, there were two investigation coordinators at that stage attached to the Unsolved Homicide Team, where they would assess the reviews. Those reviews would then go up into the investigation support within State Crime Command, who would then allocate people to undertake those reviews and/or investigations.
Q. But in terms of quality control of the screening process, who managed or supervised that?
A. I can only assume the investigation coordinators would.
Q. But if it existed, you don't --
A. I don't have first-hand knowledge, no.
Q. What about today in relation to the triage process?

The review committee looks at a review after a matter has been reviewed, is that right, after a review has been completed?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. What about that first step of the triage? What supervision or oversight is there of the triage step since 2018?
A. Well, we look at the triage document itself. That's where the team leaders and myself are able to sit down with whoever is conducting the triage to identify what information is there and what information we can obtain. As it is, it's a significant snapshot of how that investigation was - the information obtained from the investigation, so we can identify if there are any investigative opportunities, and we can then decide, okay, there should be something here; there are exhibits available; there were suspects. Some reviews that we triage, we identify down the track that the offender is now deceased, so we don't continue with that triage. So you have those checking mechanisms before it's actually sent in to the - out for review.
Q. So the review, is that done - is that a formal process by the review committee as well?
A. Yes, that - no, no, no, the review committee is separate. This is where the triage document comes to myself, and I will sit down with the other investigation coordinators. This is where we have, "What's your thoughts?" Sometimes we see that the person conducting the triage may have said, "I don't think it should go out"; however, we've decided we think it should go out for review, and then we send it out for review. And we now manage that. It wasn't managed by the Unsolved Homicide Team back in 2018. It was managed by our Crime Operations Section at State Crime Command, where the triage would go up to that section, they would then send that - sorry, they would then send that review to the respective commands for the other personnel to actually conduct a review.
Q. And reviews - well, before we come to a review, a triage can take weeks or months to complete?
A. Yes, yes - sorry, especially now, if we're - because we're now looking at going back in time, so there's - even though there might be a lot less records around, it's a matter of trying to identify where those records may be.
Q. So the triage can take weeks or months?
A. It can, yes.
Q. When it's completed, it then comes to you and sits on your desk until you review it?
A. Yes, until--
Q. How long does that typically take?
A. Oh, that's hard to say, because there's so many variances.
Q. We know that there are 19 that have been sitting there for 12 months?
A. Yes, yes, yes.
Q. If the triage document is reviewed - is assessed and a decision is made that the matter should be reviewed, that review can take, what, months or years?
A. Yes, it can. Well, initially, in 2018, there was a time frame given of three months for the review to be undertaken. However, when we resumed control of those reviews, we identified that some matters had been out there for three years and due to obviously competing priorities within that certain command, they were either only partially completed or not yet completed.
Q. And so there was a period - in 2021, the Unsolved Homicide Team team took back all uncompleted reviews under their own control; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And during that three-year period, there were a significant number of matters that were referred to be reviewed, and that review simply didn't happen?
A. They hadn't been completed and --
Q. And when they came back to the Unsolved Homicide Team, if the Unsolved Homicide Team starts to look at it, they may have to start again; is that right?
A. Yes, yes. Yes.
Q. At the moment, is this the case, that the review committee receives five to 10 reviews every three to six months?
A. Approximately, yes.
Q. Is it more likely to be fewer reviews than that?
A. Yes, there could be, yes.
Q. Not likely to be more reviews than that in a three- to six-month period?
A. No, no.
Q. So that's fewer than 20 in a year; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. So of the 442 "Undetected" cases, it will take 22 years to review all of them, on that average?
A. Yes, it could do.
Q. Do you still think you have the balance right between the speed with which these steps are conducted and the inquiries that are being made?
A. In a perfect world, no.
Q. I'm sorry to go back to the HIM System, but am

I right, nothing has been added to the tracking file since 2016 because matters have been --
A. No, no, no. Matters have been - the tracking file is a live document. So ever since even 2022, when you receive that data, there's matters being added to the tracking file. That's the only mechanism that we have at present --
Q. Maybe we're at cross-purposes. When I talk about a matter, I mean a case, a particular death. Are deaths being added to the tracking file, deaths or missing persons?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. But only - well, does that mean there are more recent cases than August 2016 that are currently on the tracking file?
A. There could be. I would have to check. I don't want to mislead the Inquiry.
Q. But at the moment, as deaths or missing persons come to the attention of the police, you're adding them in two places, are you - first to the tracking file, and second to the nascent HIM System?
A. Well, that is still in progress, so that data hasn't been completely input into that system yet. That's still a work in progress.
Q. Are you aware of academic commentary recommending that
cold cases should be reviewed ideally every two years?
A. No.
Q. Such an approach would not be consistent - I'm sorry, you were about to say something?
A. No, we use a concept of five years.
Q. So your view, your attitude, is that an unsolved matter ought to be reviewed every five years?
A. Hopefully, yes. That would be the optimum if you could, but only providing if there's new information or new technology surrounding any exhibits, et cetera, or new information such as witnesses or suspects. However, like I said, probably in an ideal world you could do it every five years, but we don't have the resources to do it every five years.
Q. But one reason to do it every five years is developments in technology, isn't it?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. In the last five years since the 2018 system was introduced, during that period, some more may have been reviewed, but there are still 125 that have not been triaged and 291 that have not been reviewed?
A. Some may not have exhibits in that number. So for forensic - sorry, for forensic technology to take effect, there'd have to be exhibits within those.
Q. Do you know how many in that number do have exhibits that haven't been examined?
A. No, I don't. I'm just using an example of the number you're giving me, that I can assume that that may be the case. I don't know. I don't know all matters, I'm sorry.
Q. And that number of cases that have been neither triaged nor reviewed, they may include cases from the '70s and '80s?
A. They could do, yes.
Q. And if those cases have any exhibits, there's every reason to think that there's more to be done in relation to them?
A. Yes, there would be, yes.
Q. And a failure to review them within five years means that nobody knows, in relation to those cases, whether
there are simple steps that can be taken on current forensic technology?
A. Well, we're now - the concept back in 2018 was cases from 2014 backwards. But now that we've taken over, we're now going to the ones that are old cases, to advise, to see whether - now, it's obviously because of the tyranny of time and exhibits, et cetera, et cetera, that we're now looking at those matters to try to identify if there's any investigative techniques or forensic evidence that can be obtained.
Q. One matter that may weigh on this Commissioner's mind, or both Commissioners' minds, is that as at 2018 the Unsolved Homicide Team had been in place for 14 years? A. Yes.
Q. So that's nearly three times the cycle of five years?
A. Yes.
Q. And in that time, fewer than 400 - I'm sorry, slightly more than 400, certainly fewer than 500, had been reviewed at a11?
A. Can I have that figure again, sorry?
Q. I'm just adding the two figures, 329 plus 76.
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Just a little over 400 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Which means --
A. But, sorry, with that 494, the ones that have been reviewed, we have established the figure now is 499, and of that, 403 have been reviewed once and 96 have been reviewed more than once.
Q. Yes. So what I'm interested in is that during the first 14 years of the life of the Unsolved Homicide Team, around 400 cases were reviewed --
A. Yes.
Q. -- out of how many, 700,800 ?
A. Approximately, yes.
Q. And of those that haven't been reviewed, you don't know how many might well have exhibits - might predate DNA and have exhibits ripe for examination for DNA?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And you don't know when you will get to those matters? A. No, I don't know. Like I said, we're now working from 1977 forward to see if we can identify any exhibits in those.
Q. From 1977 forward?
A. 1970 .
Q. 1970?
A. Did I say '77? I apologise. That's when I joined.
Q. I may have misheard.
A. I meant '70.
Q. And when you joined the Unsolved Homicide Team in 2017, were you already aware of difficulties in locating exhibits that were appreciated within that team?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that notorious within the Unsolved Homicide Team?
A. That's a rather strong word, notorious. I would say it was known.
Q. Well known?
A. Yes.
Q. Also well known in relation to documentary files, that those files may not be complete in relation to cases --
A. Yes, that's correct, yes.
Q. And those files may be stored in any number - in at least a number of different places?
A. That is correct.
Q. Can I ask that you be shown a memorandum written by Detective Chief Inspector Lehmann in August 2016?
A. Yes.
Q. The reference is [NPL.0100.0018.0001]. It looks like you may have brought a copy with you?
A. I have a copy of that, yes.
Q. I infer from that that you were aware of this document before you got in the box?
A. I was aware of this document when I took over the

Unsolved Homicide Team, the review team.
Q. When you took over the review team, someone showed you this document, did they?
A. Yes, I was aware because that was part of - Mr Lehmann had left the organisation by then, and I had taken his role. He was looking after the review team plus the investigation team, so I took over his role.
Q. When in 2017 was that?
A. Sorry?
Q. When in 2017 was that?
A. Oh, I can't say. I don't recall.
Q. Mid 2017, early 2017, late 2017?
A. Honestly, I don't know.
Q. When you assumed your role, did you have a handover with Mr Lehmann?
A. No, he had already left the organisation.
Q. But someone provided you this document as part of your induction or in the course of your commencing?
A. Yes, plus my briefing with the review team about what - the current status of what they were doing. They were in the process of collecting these exhibits.
Q. As a result, when you saw this document, you were aware of the fact that at least as at August 2016, five problems had been identified?
A. Yes.
Q. Those problems included that it was difficult to locate exhibits because they were lost, destroyed or misplaced?
A. Yes.
Q. And that same problem - were you made aware, when you joined, that that same problem applied to some investigative files or other documentary records?
A. Yes, briefs of evidence, et cetera, yes.
Q. Indeed, that's problem number five identified, or it's part of problem number 5 - I'm sorry, problem number 4? A. Yes, number 4, I believe, yes.
Q. And also that there were problems when exhibits were located, because they were not labelled or improperly labelled or improperly secured or mixed with other exhibits from separate cases?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And you were aware of what is described as problem number 5, which is that exhibits, even if they weren't located on a first search, they were known to exist and -A. Yes.
Q. When you joined the team in 2017, was that problem were all of those problems still problems with the cases on file?
A. Yes.
Q. And they were well-known problems at that time?
A. Yes, it was in the middle of - this project was undertaken to obtain forensic-related exhibits.
Q. When you say "this project", do you mean the reconciliation plan?
A. Yes.
Q. Let's turn to that just to make sure we're all on the same --
A. Yes, sorry.
Q. So the problems were identified, being the problems we've been talking about?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And the reconciliation plan, which is referred to on page 4 of this document, that's the project that you're about to tell the Commissioner about; is that right?
A. That's correct, that's correct. That's only in relation to outstanding exhibits that - because the review team had identified - the Unsolved Homicide Team had identified there were a number of exhibits still out there, therefore the reconciliation plan was to get all exhibits for forensic analysis to be back into one place, which is the Metropolitan Exhibit and Property Centre.
Q. That project was under way, was it, when you joined the team?
A. Yes.
Q. Has that project been completed?
A. I'm unable to tell the Commissioner that --
Q. Do you - I'm sorry.
A. -- for the reason being we are beholden to whatever we sent the forms out to the respective commands, which were local area commands I think at that stage, and also Crime Scene, that we're beholden to what information they provide back to us. It wasn't a matter of the Unsolved team or the review team to go to every police station to try to locate these exhibits. So there could still be exhibits there; I don't know.
Q. But you said that there was a project that was ongoing?
A. $\mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Have you received written updates as to the progress of that project?
A. No, I haven't, no.
Q. You haven't received any written update as to the progress of that project since you commenced?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Have you asked for --

THE COMMISSIONER: $Q$. Are you the person who would be expected to receive the updates?
A. Yes, sir, I would be.
Q. Have you asked for them?
A. No, sir.
Q. Why not?
A. I can't give the Commissioner a reason why not.
Q. I'm finding it very difficult to follow this. You knew that the requests were made, and you are waiting, I imagine, for important information, and you tell me that you have not made any requests in relation to any of the outstanding inquiries as to see where they're up to and when they might get around to doing it?
A. No, as I said, we're beholden to whatever information is --
Q. When you say "beholden", do you mean - I don't want to
be driven by semantics. When you say "beholden", do you mean you are waiting, is that what you mean, or do you mean that you will only get a response if they choose to give you one?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you are not in a position of authority or you can't invoke anyone in authority to require a response?
A. Yes, I can, and I haven't undertaken.
Q. Forgive me, I may have misheard you. You have not done that?
A. No, I haven't done that, sir.
Q. Why not?
A. I can't give the Commissioner an answer as to why I haven't.
Q. Is the person that you would look to in order to get that authority Mr Doherty?
A. Yes, it would be, yes.
Q. Have you raised with him the problems you are now discussing with me?
A. No, I haven't, no.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
MR EMMETT: $Q$. This project you said related to exhibits?
A. That's correct.
Q. You were aware, weren't you, that the problems applied equally to documentary records?
A. That was one of the issues, yes.
Q. And there is no similar project that has yet been undertaken in relation to documentary records; is that right?
A. As far as I know, no.
Q. And you are the person who would know about that?
A. Yes.
Q. When you saw this document, did you observe that Detective Superintendent Willing, as Commander of the Homicide Squad, made a note that said - and I hope I'm
going to read this correctly - "Forwarded for the information of the Director, SCD and Commander, SCC". This is on page 5?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. What do you understand to be - where you see a reference to "Director, SCD and Commander, SCC", do you understand what that is?
A. Yes, that's the Commander of State Crime Command and obviously the Director that we had in place at State Crime Command, who was above the respective commands.
Q. And then the note appears to say, "I have provided" --

THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry to interrupt.
Q. At the date of this memorandum, who filled each of those roles?
A. We11, Detective Chief Inspector John Kerlatec was the Director.
Q. Yes.
A. And I can't be sure - it could have been Assistant

Commissioner Lanyon. I don't know.
THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right. Thank you. Sorry,
Mr Emmett.
MR EMMETT: Q. It then goes on to say:
I have provided approval to the UHT in
relation to the proposal outlined by
DCI Lehmann, but the contents of this
report outline systemic risks for the
NSW Police Force similar to those being
experienced by other jurisdictions,
(ie WAPOL) ...
I assume that's WA Police?
A. Yes.
Q. And it says:

For further discussion.
Are you aware what further discussion there was in relation to this note?
A. No.
Q. Have you seen any written record of further discussion in relation to this matter?
A. No.
Q. The Director, SCD and the Commander of the State Crime Command appear to have approved or supported the proposal?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. To your knowledge, the proposal was only implemented as a project in relation to exhibits, not in relation to documents?
A. That's correct, yes. It wasn't until October of that year that $I$ think the first memorandum went out to the respective commands to undertake that search for any exhibits.
Q. So a memorandum went out in October of 2016 to undertake a search for exhibits?
A. I believe it was October, yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: $Q$. Are they the requests or some of the requests that are stil1 outstanding?
A. Yes, they are, outstanding in that they hadn't - if anybody hadn't identified any exhibits, then there was nothing to return to us. I am of that belief.
Q. No, but - we're at cross-purposes, I think. Is it your understanding that everyone who was canvassed has responded one way or another?
A. No.
Q. So there are stil1 outstanding requests from October 2016?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And I don't want to guess the answer to the question, but is the answer to the question that they haven't been followed up?
A. They were followed up in 2017 by Detective Chief Inspector Chris 01en. He sent another what we call a "green", which is an intercommand form, out to the respective commands.
Q. How did that go?
A. We have approximately 80 per cent replies to all of
those requests that went out.
Q. Some of those responses would have said things iike, "We've got them all", "We don't know where they are", "We've got some"?
A. I haven't - I haven't seen the replies, sir.

THE COMMISSIONER: I see.
MR EMMETT: Q. Where are the replies kept?
A. I don't know. I can - they would be on what we call our Records Management System. If that can be interrogated, I would be able to identify --
Q. Is someone --

THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, Mr Emmett.
Q. But at 1 east 20 per cent at that stage weren't responded to?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that 20 per cent or some percentage stil1 outstanding?
A. I don't know. I'm unable to advise the Commission.

MR EMMETT: Q. Is there someone responsible for collating the replies and reviewing them?
A. We11, I suppose the responsibility now rests with me.
Q. But you haven't conducted that exercise?
A. No, no.
Q. When you started, do you know how many responses had been received as at --
A. No.
Q. -- the time you started? Have you taken any steps towards reviewing the responses that have been received? A. No, I haven't, no.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. And prior to the commencement of this Commission of Inquiry, had you sought any additional resources for the purposes of pursuing responses?
A. No, I haven't, sir.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

MR EMMETT: Q. Can I ask that you be shown another document. This is an article that was published in the Daily Telegraph on 8 October 2017, [SCOI.84313_0001].
A. Yes.
Q. This is an article that was published referring to 50 unsolved homicides that have evidence that's missing or discarded?
A. Yes .
Q. Have you seen this article before?
A. No.
Q. Was this before or after you became - before or after you joined the Unsolved Homicide Team?
A. I'm unable to identify. I notice it's dated 8 October 2017, but I'm unable to advise if I was at the Unsolved at that stage.
Q. Did you overlap with Detective Chief Inspector Olen in the team?
A. Yes, Mr Olen was one of the investigation coordinators, together with Mr Leggat, of the investigative teams.
Q. Is this the sort of publication that would have come to your attention, had you joined the team by this stage?
A. Yes, yes. I - to say I don't know - I know of it, but - because of my involvement in other aspects of the Homicide Victim Support Group, et cetera, in relation to some of these matters that are referred to.
Q. If you turn to page 4 of that document - they're not numbered, so you will need to count them over, but the fourth page?
A. Yes.
Q. It starts "The unfortunate consequence"?
A. Yes.
Q. And there is a reference to Detective Chief Inspector Olen making certain statements about that?
A. Yes.
Q. So this was a matter that the Unsolved Homicide Team, may we take it, was alive to in October of 2017 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Can I ask you to turn two pages over. There is
a reference that says:

We've managed to locate a lot of exhibits.
A. Yes.
Q. To your understanding, was that part of the project that you have been talking about?
A. Yes.
Q. And it was known at that time, was it, that some of the exhibits were in the bowels of the police stations, attics of retired investigators, or hiding in plain sight, just incorrectly marked?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that there were others that were - where it was discovered that they had been destroyed 30 years ago?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. There is also a reference to storage areas cluttered with boxes and boxes of exhibits; without knowing the potential of DNA and other technology, things were destroyed?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And that exhibits weren't returned from trials and inquests or when sent to other agencies for analysis and examination?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And when you joined the Unsolved Homicide Team, whether it was before or after the publication of this article, were you aware that these matters were not only we11 known within the Unsolved Homicide Team but were a matter of public comment?
A. Yes, yes, definitely public comment, and it was well known that that was the whole idea behind the project, is to try to (a) get the exhibits, and obviously with the review team, was to collate all the information we could so that we can put it in one place.

THE COMMISSIONER: $Q$. Has it ever occurred to anyone in the NSW Police Force that a specially funded project needs
urgently to take place by way of audit of all unsolved matters to find out where the records are, such that do exist, whether exhibits are sitting in boxes somewhere untested, which may be? These are all people's lives and people's families' lives?
A. I appreciate that, your Honour.
Q. Has anyone, as far as you know, ever put to the Commissioner, the current one or any prior Commissioner, that some specially funded project is urgently needed to get a grip on all of these unsolved cases?
A. I'm unaware, sir.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
MR EMMETT: Q. Physical exhibits are of course critically important to unsolved homicides?
A. Of course they are, yes.
Q. The loss, contamination and deterioration of physical exhibits is a major obstacle to the success of any investigation?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And we've known for at least two decades now how transformative scientific advances can be to forensic analysis?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. We've known that for many more than two decades?
A. Mmm.
Q. To your knowledge, having been in the Force in the '70s an '80s, while people might not have been aware of DNA, investigators and forensic investigators were aware of the transformative power of scientific advancement?
A. Yes, you're aware of it, but back when I joined, it was mainly fingerprints. There was no concept of transference of DNA or the like.
Q. There was a concept of transference, wasn't there that is, of a perpetrator leaving signs --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that could be picked up by physical evidence?
A. Yes.
Q. And it was appreciated that technology had advanced and was likely to continue to advance to improve the kinds of things that could be picked up?
A. Yes.
Q. That was well known in the '70s, wasn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. And it was well known in --
A. It was well known in life, not just within policing.
Q. And it was well known in the '70s that that was a good reason to keep physical exhibits?
A. It would have been, yes.
Q. Well, you are able to say from personal experience, aren't you?
A. Yes.
Q. It was well known in the '70s, wasn't it?
A. It was known to keep - retain the exhibits, especially in homicide related matters and also sexual assault matters.
Q. I want to turn next to some of the particular screening or triage documents in relation to some of the matters into which the Commission is inquiring. For that purpose, can I ask that you be provided with volume 11 of the tender bundle.
A. Thank you.
Q. You have a folder hopefully that begins with tab 19, [SCOI.03130_0001]
A. Yes.
Q. That is a triage form in relation to Mr William

Allen --
A. Yes.
Q. -- who died of a head injury sustained on 28 to

29 December 1988?
A. Yes.
Q. I should ask, are you familiar with this case?
A. No, not myself personally, no. 1988, I was stationed down in the Illawarra area.
Q. What about in your capacity as a member of the Unsolved Homicide Team, are you familiar with it as an unsolved homicide --
A. Yes, the name rings a bell, yes.
Q. But you haven't had occasion to look at this case as part of your role in the Unsolved Homicide Team?
A. No, not me personally, no.
Q. When I turn to page 12 - just to assist you, I'm going from the numbers in the top right-hand corner. They normally overlap with the substantive page numbers, but it is _0012.
A. Yes.
Q. And can $I$ just let you know, when looking at this file, apart from the deceased person, could you take care not to read onto the record other names, because they may be the subject of a non-publication order. Do you understand?
A. Yes, I understand.
Q. This matter, it appears, was assessed - the triage was completed and it was recommended for review on 24 August 2021?
A. Yes.
Q. And there is no record of any review having been undertaken that has been provided to this Inquiry.
A. No, not that I - I don't know, no.
Q. If a matter was triaged and recommended for review in August 2021 and proceeded to review after that time, so in the last two years --
A. Yes.
Q. -- would you be aware of the fact that the review had commenced?
A. Yes, I would be, provided I was given the data that the review actually went out.
Q. In other words, it's a matter that you would be made aware of but, as you sit there in the box, you might not remember. Is that what you mean?
A. That's correct, yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: $Q . \quad$ Does it also mean that
a recommendation for review may have occurred but the review has not been activated?
A. That's correct, yes.

MR EMMETT: Q. Sitting in the box, you have no idea whether this review was commenced?
A. No, no.
Q. The case of Mr Allen was not reviewed - according to the tracking file, was not reviewed at any time from 2004 to 2008.
A. I accept that.
Q. It was obviously a homicide.
A. Yes.
Q. It would be very odd, wouldn't it, if it wasn't on the tracking file from the start in 2004 ?
A. It would be - yes, it would be odd, for want of a better word. It could have been missed. I don't know.
Q. The reason I ask is you have said that there's no record of what the 366 cases were that were identified in 2004?
A. That's correct. This could be one, yes.
Q. From your knowledge of the system, this is highly likely to be one of those 366 , isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. But, according to the tracking file, nevertheless, it wasn't reviewed between 2004 and 2008. Does that surprise you?
A. I am unsure of that because I don't know whether there was a review conducted from 2008 up to this report written by the senior constable in 2021.
Q. I want you to assume that the tracking file records no earlier review.
A. Okay.
Q. On that assumption, would it be correct to assume that this matter was not reviewed between 2004 and 2020 ?
A. I would assume that, yes.
Q. What's the typical time frame between triage and review? This having been recommended to proceed to
a review in August 2021, how long would it ordinarily take to go from that stage to a review commencing?
A. From 2021?
Q. Noting that the recommendation, on 24 August 2021, was "Proceed to review" --
A. Yes .
Q. -- how long does it normally take from the time such a document is completed to the time a review commences?
A. It's - I suppose it's hard to say, because it's a there is a bit of time variance. I can't recall when in 2021 that we took back the process of these reviews. So, prior to that, we had the other resources out there at other commands, but I don't know whether this was one of those ones that actually went out to get reviewed.
Q. But it was only triaged - the triage was only completed in August 2021?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. So if the step of calling all matters, unreviewed matters, back into the Unsolved Homicide Team had not occurred by that date, it occurred within a couple of months of that date?
A. It could have yes.
Q. Or within a few months of that date?
A. I'd say so, yes.
Q. And could you help us understand, it says in item 12:

Warning - under no circumstances are witnesses to be contacted as part of the review process.
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you have given evidence that that doesn't apply to people in the Unsolved Homicide Team; is that correct? A. That's correct, yes. The reason behind that concept was that when these matters - when this triage form was formulated in 2018, the reviewer was told just to review the information that they could obtain and not go reinvestigate the matter. That wasn't their role. It was a matter of coming back to see whether a reinvestigation should be undertaken.

Now, with the expertise of our personnel at the Unsolved Homicide Team, if it's deemed during the review process, that there's a possibility of contacting a witness, et cetera, then that will be assessed by the investigation coordinator as to whether that will occur.

It's normally unlikely, but that sort of restriction is put there to make sure nothing is contaminated within the review.
Q. Because the 2022 Standard Operating Procedure also says "No witness is to be contacted as part of the review"? A. Yes, yes, it does.
Q. That's after all matters have been brought back within the Unsolved Homicide Team; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So the practice within the Unsolved Homicide Team is not consistent with the Standard Operating Procedure; is that correct?
A. It is if you are talking literally, yes.
Q. Well, how else should we understand the Standard Operating Procedure?
A. Well, I'm saying - I'm agreeing with you, sir.
Q. Can I ask you about some other matters that, according to the tracking file, there's no record of them being reviewed during the period 2004 to 2008, so I'm interested in that period?
A. Yes.
Q. Because that was the period, as I understand it, in which there was an initial review that led to 201 cases being identified --
A. Yes.
Q. -- for review. Among those that appear not to have been reviewed during that period is the case of
Robert Malcolm, who was killed in 1992.
A. Yes.
Q. You may or may not be familiar with Mr Malcolm. It was a case --
A. No.
Q. -- that was obviousiy homicide.
A. Sorry?
Q. I want you to take it from me that it was obviousiy a homicide; he was beaten to death.
A. I accept that, yes.
Q. Again, it would be odd if that case were not on the list of unsolved homicides from the start?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. It would be very odd if that case were not on the 1 ist of unsolved homicides from the start?
A. It should be, yes.
Q. Now, that is a case in which three people were charged and were discharged at committal.
A. Yes.
Q. Knowing that much, how would the matter be classified on the tracking file?
A. It would be "Unresolved", in that persons have been charged and they have been acquitted, except for self-defence or mental health aspects, or where there's a warrant issued. So it would sit in that "Unresolved" area.
Q. Wel1, they weren't acquitted, they were discharged at committal.
A. Which - I can see, yep. It could stil1 be current, then, if that's the case. If they were discharged, there obviously wasn't enough evidence to convict, so therefore, it'd still be in the "Undetected" realm.
Q. It should stil1 be in the "Undetected" realm?
A. Yes.
Q. If the system were working, it should certainly remain on the tracking file?
A. Yes - it would always remain on the tracking file.
Q. And it would be appropriate for it to be screened or reviewed in 2004 to 2008?
A. Yes.
Q. And again, I know this was before your time, you are
not aware of any good reason why it wouldn't be screened or reviewed during that period?
A. No, I'm not, no.
Q. The matter of James Meek - he was killed in 1995 and there was a suspect who was tried and acquitted, do you understand?
A. Yes.
Q. In that situation, I think your evidence is that matter would have been classified as "Unsolved"?
A. No, "Unresolved".
Q. I'm sorry, "Unresolved"?
A. "Unresolved", yes.
Q. It would stay on the tracking file?
A. Yes.
Q. But it may receive a lower priority; is that right?
A. No, oh, it would receive a lower priority until such
time as any information came forward or new evidence or even forensic techniques became available.
Q. But no active step is going to be taken to obtain that new evidence if it's not being --
A. Well, if there were exhibits involved in that matter, I would assume that they would then be identified that they could be - go before new forensic analysis.
Q. How would that happen if it's not the subject of a screening or review?
A. It would be a matter of - if it's - if we have identified - if - you are talking double jeopardy here, where, to get that evidence it would have to be fairly significant. So how would it be screened? It would be a matter of having a look at it. I don't know - I can't give you a time frame of when, but it would be a matter of going through these - some of these matters to identify if there are forensic possibilities.
Q. Well, you say it's a matter of double jeopardy. It's only a matter of double jeopardy if you are considering the person who was acquitted; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. If it is not known who did it and it might have been
someone else, then shouldn't it be reviewed for consideration of whether --
A. Yes. I thought you identified the persons were acquitted.
Q. That's what I'm saying, somebody was charged and acquitted.
A. Yes.
Q. My question for you is, that wouldn't be a reason for it to fall off the tracking file or for it not to be ripe for a screening or review; is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes. It would receive less priority, though, because we're sti11 looking at the "Undetected" matters.
Q. It should stil1 have been reviewed, shouldn't it, as part of the - assuming it was part of the original 366, it should have been reviewed between the period 2004 to 2008?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. And if there is no record of that, can you offer any reason why it wasn't reviewed?
A. No, I cannot give you a reason.
Q. Another one that is not on the tracking file - I'm sorry, another person who is on the tracking file but is not recorded as ever having been the subject of a screening or review is Mr Rooney. Are you familiar with the death of Mr Rooney?
A. No.
Q. The Coroner returned an open finding in relation to him in May 1987.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you understand? That being the case, am I right, it would be placed on the list as "Undetermined"?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Of course, the Coroner's open finding in 1987 was before DNA, before a range of other steps that were available?
A. Yes.
Q. It's a matter that may be ripe for review?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. Do you know how it got onto the tracking file?
A. How it what?
Q. How it came to be on the tracking file?
A. No, I don't know that. The tracking file - it's from 1987, did you say - the inquest?
Q. Yes, there was an inquest in 1987 which returned an open finding. Would that be enough for it to get onto the tracking file because of -- -
A. It should be, from the first concept for the Unsolved, 2004.
Q. Again, if there's no record of its being reviewed, of that case being reviewed between 2004 to 2008, so far as you are aware, it should have been reviewed during that period?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. And you are not aware of any reason why it wasn't?
A. No.
Q. Another matter before the Commissioner is the matter of Richard Slater, who died on 22 December 1980, again, obviously an assault.
A. Yes.
Q. Again, may $I$ take it you are not familiar with that case?
A. No, no.
Q. Again, not reviewed at al1.
A. Mmm.
Q. That case should have been among the 366 first identified in 2004?
A. Should be, yes, but I don't know whether it was captured then. I don't know.
Q. And we've got no way, now, of finding out whether it was captured then; is that what you are saying?
A. No; that's correct, yes.
Q. If it was captured then, it should have been reviewed during that five-year period?
A. Yes.
Q. And if it wasn't reviewed during that five-year period, you've got no explanation for why not?
A. No; that's correct.
Q. Another matter that the Commissioner is looking at is Kar 1 Stockton, who died on 11 November 1996 after an incident several days earlier, and the Coroner returned an open finding, do you understand?
A. Yes.
Q. That matter was reviewed in 2019, but on1y in 2019, do you understand?
A. Yes.
Q. Again, given the Coroner returned an open finding, you would expect that case should have been picked up as one of the 366 in 2004?
A. It should have been, yes.
Q. And should have been reviewed over the five-year period after that?
A. Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Emmett, is that a convenient point?
MR EMMETT: It is, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Tedeschi, I know you won't be here tomorrow. I don't know whether Mr Mykkeltvedt or Mr Short wil1 be here for the documentary tender.

MR TEDESCHI: Somebody else will doing the documentary tender.

THE COMMISSIONER: For Mr Mykkeltvedt's point of view, I will say not before 12 in the continuation of witness's evidence. So you need not come here until a bit before 12 tomorrow morning.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. All right. I will adjourn until 10, thank you.
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