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THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Morgan, would you be kind enough to come back, please.

MR GRAY: While Mr Morgan is coming, Commissioner, might I tender a document which has been produced, I think
overnight, and it could become tab 162A in volume 6. It is the Alicia Taylor review of 25 October 2012 --

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, okay.
MR GRAY: -- but the one that in fact does bear the signature and date of Mr Lehmann.

THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right.
Mr Morgan, please sit down, thank you.
MR GRAY: I tender that.
THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right. Thank you.
<STEVEN MORGAN, on former affirmation:
[10.04am]
<EXAMINATION BY MR GRAY CONTINUING:
MR GRAY: $\quad$. Could Mr Morgan please have volume 6.
Could you turn to tab 159, Mr Morgan
[SCOI.02744.00024_0001]?
A. Yes.
Q. That's the statement of Mr Musy bearing a date

3 August 2002?
A. Correct.
Q. You have read this, $I$ presume, and did read it during the time of Neiwand?
A. I would have, I believe, yes.
Q. Have you read it again recently?
A. No.
Q. You see in paragraph 3 he says he met Mr Mattaini in 1978 in Paris?
A. Yes.
Q. In paragraph 4 he says that he thought Mr Mattaini had been born about 1960, and if that had been right,

Mr Mattaini would have been about 18 when they met?
A. Yes.
Q. In paragraph 5, he says that Mr Mattaini was conscripted into the army --
A. Yes.
Q. -- about a year later, ie, in about 1979; you agree?
A. Yes.
Q. In paragraph 5 , he describes a suicide attempt by Mr Mattaini while he was in national service?
A. Yes.
Q. And in paragraph 6, he describes a previous, earlier suicide attempt, before Mr Mattaini entered military service and when he was even younger?
A. Yes.
Q. In paragraph 7 he says after Mr Mattaini left the army they formed a relationship and began to live together?
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. In paragraph 8, Mr Musy came to Australia in March '83 and Mr Mattaini in September '83?
A. Yes.
Q. In paragraph 9, he refers to the expiry of his visa causing Mr Mattaini some distress?
A. Correct.
Q. In paragraph 12, he talks about Mr Mattaini having headphones, being musically inclined, and that he would take long walks from home wearing the headphones; do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. He says that those walks would include the Ben Buckler headland and the coastal walk from Bondi to Mackenzie's Point. Do you see that?
A. I see that.
Q. Do you know now or remember where Mr Mattaini's and

Mr Musy's apartment was?
A. I don't recal1.
Q. If I tell you it was in Ramsgate Avenue near the
corner of Campbe11 Parade, does that ring a be11?
A. Yes, and that's the North Bondi general area.
Q. It is the North Bondi general area. And Ben Buckler is slightly to the north again?
A. Agreed.
Q. Whereas the walk from Bondi to Mackenzie's Point is to the south?
A. Correct.
Q. In paragraph 13, Mr Musy says that Mr Mattaini would take these walks during daylight hours and early evening, doesn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. You've at all times known that, haven't you - known that Mr Musy said that?
A. Whether I remembered it or not, but yes, I'm - I was aware of the fact that he didn't tend to go for walks at night.
Q. That he what, sorry?
A. He didn't tend to go for walks in the evening - at night.
Q. Paragraph 13 seems to say differently, doesn't it?
A. "Early evening", it says.
Q. Yes, it says "early evening?
A. Yes.
Q. Well, that would suggest that he did go for walks in the early evening, wouldn't it?
A. My understanding was that he was somewhat, for want of a better term, afraid of the dark and he didn't go out after dark.
Q. Paragraph 13 says Mr Mattaini would take these walks during daylight hours and early evening?
A. Early evening, yes.
Q. Doesn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. You've got no reason to doubt that being so, have you?
A. I also saw something about --
Q. Could you answer my question? Do you have any reason to doubt?
A. I don't doubt what's written there, no.
Q. Thank you. Mr Musy goes on in paragraph 13 that he knows that the walks would be on the coastal walk around Marks Park. Do you see that?
A. I see that.
Q. Then in paragraph 14, he says Mr Mattaini was in good health, and in the next few paragraphs he describes how he himself went to France on a holiday in August 1985 and thus was away when, as it turns out, Mr Mattaini went missing? A. Yes.
Q. In paragraph 20, he describes how when he came back and went to the apartment, he found Mr Mattaini's wallet, passport, watch and credit card, but he noticed that Mr Mattaini's keys and Walkman were missing; correct?
A. I have some redactions in mine, but it seems to say that "all valuables" were still in place. I don't specifically see it mentioning the key.
Q. We will have to give you a version - I have no idea why that's redacted. I will have to give you a version that is not redacted.

THE COMMISSIONER: Why would there be a redaction about this aspect?

MR GRAY: I am at a loss to understand.
THE COMMISSIONER: It seems to be a mistake because I couldn't possibly see - Mr Tedeschi or somebody might have thought it was necessary but I don't see, with an investigation dealing with a missing person from 1985, whether the keys were or were not in somebody's flat as being so significant to any current or active matters.

Mr Tedeschi, is there any --
MR TEDESCHI: I don't think so.
THE COMMISSIONER: No. All right. Well, let's work on the basis that, as I presently hear what's happening, I don't see any difficulty, unless your side, someone
currently with you, has a recollection of why the keys or the location of the keys was thought to be of some significance.

MR TEDESCHI: I will make some inquiries.
THE COMMISSIONER: Perhaps you can. Someone is getting instructions.

MR TEDESCHI: I'm instructed that it doesn't need to be redacted.

THE COMMISSIONER: It does not?
MR TEDESCHI: It does not.
THE COMMISSIONER: All right. There are so many of these redactions, I want to make sure - I'm going to work on the basis that both sides will be alert to any difficulties and if they do arise, please, just let me know, and if you need a moment to get instructions, that's not a problem.

Al1 right. Does that mean, then, Mr Tedeschi perhaps if I can just tease it out - that what appears to be redacted on the screen in relation to either paragraphs 20 or 21 need no longer be redacted, or is it only one paragraph? Just you tell me.

MR TEDESCHI: I think, your Honour, my learned friend wants paragraph 20 and I have no objection to him reading out what is under the redaction.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
Q. Mr Morgan, it's probably redacted in your copy, but if you could just listen carefully to what Mr Gray says to you, and if you need to see an unredacted version of anything, please just say so, and we'll show it to you, but he will read out to you what is in the unredacted, I think, paragraph 20, in a minute.
A. Thank you.

MR GRAY: I'm just learning this for the first time myself, Commissioner. There are redactions in Mr Tedeschi's copy - and I presume in the witness's copy to paragraphs 8, 11, 20 and 21, and as I understand matters, none of those redactions are necessary. Perhaps

I will ask that that be checked.
THE COMMISSIONER: I think let's do it this way - we don't want to interrupt unduly. Mr Tedeschi, would it make sense if I go off the Bench for a few minutes so that this could be sorted out, because if there are unnecessary redactions, or if there are necessary redactions, can someone just tell me when I come back in, because I'll have a version somewhere myself and I want to make sure ultimately that we're all working from the same document? Most importantly, if Mr Morgan is going to be asked things, he has to, in fairness to him, have before him what everyone has before them.

Would it be handy if I go off for 5 or 10 minutes? While I'm off, can you just forecast - perhaps you can't do it, but we will have to do it on an ad hoc basis, but if we can sort this statement out to start with. All right. I will go off for a few minutes, thank you.

## SHORT ADJOURNMENT

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, please, sit down, thank you, Mr Morgan.

Yes, Mr Gray.
MR GRAY: Q. Mr Morgan, do you now have in front of you, the Musy statement which is, for paragraphs 20 and 21, unredacted?
A. Correct.
Q. Clear?
A. And I have read through those.
Q. So in paragraph 20, Mr Musy - and I don't know whether that unredacted paragraph 20 and 21 can go on the screen. Oh, here it is now. Paragraph 20, thank you. So in paragraph 20, Mr Musy said that when he got back to the apartment after returning from France, he found
Mr Mattaini's wallet, passport, watch and credit card, but he noticed that his keys - Mr Mattaini's keys - and Walkman were missing?
A. Yes.
Q. And you knew that at all times when you were working on the Neiwand case, didn't you?
A. I would say so, but I don't recall it now.
Q. And he adds - Mr Musy adds:

There were no notes indicating suicidal intentions. I believe if Gilles did commit suicide he would have left behind a note.

Correct?
A. I see that.
Q. He adds in paragraph 21 that he found that another thing missing was Mr Mattaini's bright yellow rubber spray jacket. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In paragraph 22, he says that while he had been away from Australia - that is, in the few weeks before 15 September - he had had conversations with Mr Mattaini, obviously on the phone?
A. Yes.
Q. And he said he formed the impression that Gilles was lonely but he was enjoying being on his own and spending money buying things for "our unit"; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And he adds that he knows from credit card bills that he purchased various furniture and decorations for the apartment; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In paragraph 23 he says that he, Mr Musy, on his return, spoke with a man who lived in a unit block nearby that he knew from the beach.
A. Yes.
Q. And that that man informed him that on the morning of 15 September, he saw Mr Mattaini walking at Bondi.
A. Yes.
Q. And that Mr Mattaini looked "aloof".
A. Yes.
Q. And then in paragraph 24 , he records that he was told by Messrs Hubert and Ottaviani that they had tried to find Mr Mattaini, and he says that he had understood that they
had reported him missing to Paddington police, but that he found out subsequently that apparently there had been no such report?
A. Yes.
Q. I took you through yesterday the statement of Detective Sergeant Page in relation to Mattaini; do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. Then the third piece of evidence that was before the Coroner about the Mattaini case, as well as the Musy statement - the fourth - I will start that again.

We've seen already that Detective Page also obtained a statement from Mr Wyszynski; you recall that?
A. I do recall that.
Q. So there was the statement by Mr Wyszynski, there was the statement by Mr Musy that we've just looked at?
A. Yes.
Q. And there was the statement by Mr Page, Detective Page?
A. Yes.
Q. At least, I think there may have been some other statements as well --
A. Yes.
Q. -- from officials who had done searches and so on.

And then there was also oral evidence before the Coroner in the witness box from Mr Musy, wasn't there?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. Have you looked at that lately?
A. Not lately.
Q. Did you ever read it?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Well, if you were going to make statements, as you did in your summary, that certain things were not brought to the attention of the Coroner, you would have needed to check what Mr Musy said, wouldn't you?
A. Yes, and I --
Q. And did you?
A. I checked what Mr Musy had said to Detective Cheb1 in --
Q. No, you would have needed to check what Mr Musy had said in the witness box so as to know what was before Coroner Milledge, wouldn't you?
A. No - well, I - I don't recall checking that.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. But, Mr Morgan, you knew that when Mr Musy gave his evidence before Coroner Milledge he was on his oath - or you assumed that, I presume, did you?
A. It's an assumption that you make, yes.
Q. We11, your experience - I imagine this is not the first time you've been in a hearing room of any sort, is it?
A. Definitely not.
Q. And I take it over time you are fully aware of the fact that a witness either takes an oath or an affirmation? A. Yes.
Q. All right. And are you telling me that you didn't bother, or, in fairness to you, did you rely upon Chebl entirely to tell you what had happened in the coronial inquiry, apart from you skimming or looking, perhaps, at some of the detail?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were satisfied, were you, that Cheb1 would have been on top of all of the detail?
A. It was recorded.
Q. What was recorded?
A. The conversation - when I say "recorded", there was an investigator's note, I believe.
Q. Of what?
A. Of the conversation between --
Q. Mr Morgan, we're at cross-purposes. Just so that you are on the same page as myself, I'm asking you at the moment - and if I didn't make it clear, I apologise - I'm asking you about the reading of Mr Musy's evidence either on oath or affirmation before the Coroner. Now, is your evidence that you don't recall reading it but you might
have and/or that you relied upon Chebl to do an accurate summary or to get on top of it? What is it?
A. I - as I sit here now, I don't recall it, but I believe I probably would have.
Q. All right. And why wouldn't it be of vital importance; if you were going to make your mind up, whether what Musy told Chebl later was right or wrong, why wouldn't be it vital to actually check what Musy had said closer to the point in time when he was describing the events and on either his oath or affirmation?
A. I can't answer that. I don't recall why.

THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right, Mr Gray.
MR GRAY: Q. Just so that I'm clear, did you or did you not, at the time of Neiwand, yourself read Mr Musy's transcript of evidence before Coroner Milledge?
A. I believe that I would have. That's as close as I can put it.
Q. So in terms of a recollection of actually doing it, you have none; is that right?
A. I have no independent recollection, now, as I sit here.
Q. Do you think what might have happened is that you assumed Mr Chebl had read it but you yourself didn't? A. No, I would have thought that was unusual. I thought I probably would have read it but $I$ don't recall specifically doing so.
Q. Do you recall reading any of the transcript before Coroner Milledge?
A. I do recall specifically reading the findings and the associated documents there.
Q. You've said that quite a few times, Mr Morgan. I'm not asking you about the findings. I keep using the word "transcript". You know what a transcript is, don't you?
A. I do, but the findings were part of that transcript.
Q. The findings were published separately and, as a matter of fact, they were not part of the transcript - or you think they were, do you?
A. There is a transcript of the findings, I'm sure.
Q. They're in writing but they weren't read out in court. A. Oh, I'm mistaken there. I thought it was part of the transcript.
Q. No, it wasn't. So let's focus on the transcript. I'm talking about the transcribed evidence of witnesses in the courtroom. You understand what a transcript is?
A. I - yes, I most certainly do.
Q. And it goes for hundreds of pages?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you read any of the transcript of the evidence given by witnesses?
A. I'm sure $I$ read some of it. I can't say that I read it al1.
Q. What are you sure that you read?
A. I don't now recal1, but $I$ recal 1 reading parts of transcript.
Q. Do you?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you going to have a stab at what you might have read?
A. No, I'm not going to have a stab at it because I'm trying to be certain in my evidence.
Q. Wel1, what are you certain about, that you think you would have read something; is that right?
A. I believe I would have read --
Q. Something or other?
A. -- at least some of the transcript.
Q. I see. Wel1, I'11 put it to you directly. When you endorsed, reviewed, agreed with the summary written by Chebl about the Mattaini matter --
A. Yes.
Q. -- had you checked the transcript of what Musy said in court before the Coroner?
A. Perhaps.
Q. Perhaps?
A. Yes.
Q. You have no idea is the real answer, $I$ take it?
A. You do rely upon your colleagues.
Q. What does that mean?
A. Well, the fact that Mr Cheb1 had put something before me, I accepted it as truthful.
Q. Do you mean that you assumed that he might have checked the transcript?
A. I don't know what $I$ assumed, now, as $I$ sit here.
Q. We11, you gave the answer "Perhaps" a minute ago.

I asked you whether you had checked the transcript of what Musy said in court and you said "Perhaps". Does that indicate that, actually, you don't remember whether you did or you didn't?
A. I don't remember but I believe that I probably would have.
Q. Let's have a look at some of what Mr Musy said. Could Mr Morgan have volume 14, please. Just turn to the first tab, tab 280 [SCOI.82371_0001].
A. Yes.
Q. Now, just before $I$ take you through this, you would agree, wouldn't you, that Mr Musy's memory in Apri1 2003, when he gave this evidence about events of the 1970 s and up to 1985 , would be 1 ikely to have been better than his memory of the same things many years 1 ater in 1 ate 2016 and 2017; would you agree with that?
A. Yes, I would agree with that.
Q. And indeed, do you recal1 that Mr Musy himself made that point to you and Mr Chebl when he was communicating with you in 2016/2017?
A. I have never communicated with Mr Musy.
Q. No, but you've read the accounts of Mr Cheb1 as to --
A. Yes.
Q. -- what Mr Musy supposediy said to him?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you read the emails that Mr Musy sent to Mr Chebl?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Oh.
A. But again, I may have.
Q. Might you?
A. I don't recal1. It was seven years ago. I don't recal1 that.
Q. They are in the materials that you have no doubt been given in recent times, but you don't recall noticing them?
A. No. I've been given a huge volume of material, much of it on a phone this big to try and read.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Gray, can I just interrupt, I'm sorry to do this. In the copy that I've got at tab 280, the first section of it is shaded. Has that got any significance or not? $I$ just don't know whether that means --

MR GRAY: It's another witness, Commissioner. Mr Musy's evidence starts at the very bottom of page 46.

THE COMMISSIONER: No, I know it does but does that mean, for example, that the top section of the transcript is redacted? Does the shading mean it's redacted?

MR GRAY: It means it's redacted but only because it's another witness.

THE COMMISSIONER: I see, thank you. Likewise there's an address on the next page which $I$ presume would be redacted for obvious reasons.

MR GRAY: That's so.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR GRAY: $Q$. Do you see his evidence starts at the bottom of page $46 ?$
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. He is sworn, so he is giving evidence on oath. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. He is then taken through his evidence by Counsel

Assisting - for example, on page 47 , he's taken to first
meeting Mr Mattaini in Paris in 1978?
A. Yes.
Q. At about 1 ine 50 , he is asked whether, before Mr Musy met him, he was conscripted into the French army, and he says, "Yes"?
A. Yes.
Q. He is asked:
Q. Did he talk to you about that period of his 7 ife?
ie, the period before he met Mr Musy, and the answer is:
A. Yes he has spoken to me about that and I knew really very well his state of mind through that and before and his personality in genera1 ...

You saw that?
A. Yes.
Q. At page 48, in the answer beginning at about 1 ine 3 , he is asked about his state of mind in the period when he was doing the conscription and Mr Musy's evidence is that he was very unhappy in the army. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And he gives some detail about that.
A. Correct.
Q. He says it was very harsh in the army - extremely harsh, in the army, especially for a personality like his, so he was very unhappy?
A. Yes.
Q. In about 1 ine 33 , he is asked, "We11, how did he react to his unhappiness with the army", and the answer is:
A. Wel7 ... u7timately he had actually a suicide attempt which $I$ am not too sure if it was to terminate his life or if it was to get out of the Army ...

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So you can see that that's a reference to the second two suicide attempts, isn't it?
A. It is.
Q. And Mr Musy is actually offering the opinion that it might not actually have been a suicide attempt; it might have been a device to get out of the army?
A. Yes.
Q. But at any rate, if so, it had the desired effect, because he did get out of the army?
A. Correct.
Q. And then in the next question, beginning at line 42 , he is asked:
Q. ... was that the only suicide attempt [that you know about]?

Mr Musy says:
A. No. As I did in my statement state he had a previous suicide attempt when he was younger ... maybe 18 ... or 17 ...

In that one, he says:
He attempted to end his life by taking some pills and slashing his wrist ...
A. Yes.
Q. And his mother found him and he was able to emerge from that and not die?
A. Yes.
Q. Then Mr Lakatos asks him whether the relationship between the two of them commenced about 1978 and 1 asted unti1 Mr Mattaini went missing in 1985, so about seven years, and he says that's right?
A. Yes.
Q. Then the question is this:
Q. In that period --
that is, the entire period that Mr Musy knew him --
did he give any indication of the fact that
he was thinking about suicide, contemplating it in any respect or was so
stressed or sad or depressed that that might be something he could do?

Do you see that?
A. I do see that.
Q. Have you read that question before, ever?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Well, let's look at the answer. The answer is:
A. No. ...

So just to make sure we are following this, Mr Morgan --
A. I'm following it --
Q. The answer "No" is given to the question as to whether, in the whole seven years between 1978 and 1985, Mr Mattaini had given any indication that he was thinking about suicide, contemplating it in any respect, or was in such a state of mind that that might be something he could do, and the answer is "No"; do you see that?
A. I see that.
Q. Indeed, Mr Musy goes on:

On the contrary meeting me and being
involved with me in a love relationship actually made him sort of forget about these thoughts or his problems he had in the past

Doesn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. He goes on:

He had sort of a kind of frame of mind where he was somebody who was more attracted to death than life ...

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, that's one of the three things that you said yesterday that you relied on in asserting that the Coroner had not received the information she needed. You said that was one of the three things that she wasn't told, didn't you?
A. I may have said that, yes.
Q. We11, you did say that?
A. Okay, I accept that I did say that.
Q. And it's clearly wrong, isn't it?
A. Looking at that, yes, yeah.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. And if you'd read this transcript at the time and double checked the conclusions, you would never have made that statement, would you? It couldn't be right in suggesting, now that you've seen this, that that was never put before the Coroner, because there it is in black and white?
A. Yes, I can see that.
Q. And it could never be the basis of a criticism could it, ever, levelled at Mr Page, that that item wasn't exposed before the Coroner?
A. No, clearly, the Coroner did hear that.

MR GRAY: Q. So there are two possibilities, Mr Morgan. Either you didn't check the transcript and thus you didn't know that that had been said, or you did check the transcript and thus you did know that it had been said but you still made the accusation against Page. Now, which is it?
A. We11, I obvious1y - I didn't or hadn't checked the transcript at that time.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. And it doesn't look like Mr Chebl did either, does it, because he wasn't - you didn't think he was misleading you?
A. No.
Q. So therefore, if we now see this in black and white, it must follow, mustn't it, that if both of you jointly made the conclusion you did, both you and Mr Chebl, on one view, were entirely ignorant of what had been said before the Coroner?
A. It would appear so.

MR GRAY: Q. What does that tell you about the reliability of your accusations in your summary?
A. If I can just say again, and I've said this a number of times yesterday --
Q. No, just answer the question for a start.
A. It wasn't my summary. I didn't author it.
Q. The summary that you endorsed - what does it tell you about the reliability of the summary that you lent your name to?
A. There are some serious concerns about the reliability of the summary.
Q. In the answer that you were about to give before I insisted that you actually answer the question, were you trying to - were you about to distance yourself from Cheb1's work, were you?
A. No. What I was saying was, in relation to I think every document that you've taken me through, they were authored by Mr Chebl. Yes, I reviewed them and accepted them. They were his work, not mine.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. That must mean you relied entirely upon him, without checking any of the relevant details yourself, independently, does it?
A. You do rely upon your work colleagues.
Q. No, please, Mr Morgan, whether you do as a matter of practice - you can say that in a minute - does it mean, though, that you have relied entirely upon Mr Chebl without independently checking some of the fundamental facts yourself?
A. Not entirely, but largely, yes.

MR GRAY: Q. Let's go on to see what else Mr Musy said in this long answer. Having said that he had sort of a kind of frame of mind where he was somebody who was more attracted to death than life, he then went on, didn't he, and he said this:

> ... and meeting me show him life in a different way and he had a very fulfilling relationship with me and he was commenting often that he was really happy and how
stupid he had been before to sort of want to die because there was much more to life than what he thought there was.
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. Now, he is spelling out in the clearest possible way, do you agree, that this frame of mind, where he was somebody more attracted to death than life, was a frame of mind that Mr Mattaini had had long in the past, before meeting Mr Musy, but was a frame of mind that he absolutely no longer had at al1. That's what he's saying, isn't it?
A. That is what he's saying.
Q. Not only was he saying that he no longer had that frame of mind, he was telling the Coroner that Mr Musy's outlook by the time he was in his relationship with Mr sorry, Mr Mattaini's outlook by the time he was in his relationship with Mr Musy was to think that he had been very stupid in his youth to have had these thoughts about death; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, that's another thing, is it, that you didn't realise that the Coroner had been told when you wrote or joined in the Neiwand summary?
A. Yes.
Q. Seeing it there in black and white now, does that indicate to you that the accusations you made in the Neiwand summary cannot possibly survive?

MR TEDESCHI: I object. Which accusations?
MR GRAY: Q. About Page withholding necessary or important evidence which caused the Coroner not to consider the possibility of suicide?

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Morgan, obviously by his reaction and Mr Tedeschi's objection, I think has lost track of what you want him to answer. So in fairness to him, ask it again and then Mr Tedeschi can take an objection or not.

MR GRAY: Q. We went through this at some length yesterday, that you made the accusations - you and Cheb1 that Mr Page had deliberately withheld evidence relating to suicide from the Coroner, and that that had caused her not
to consider suicide as a possibility in relation to Mattaini; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Having now read this morning, just now, the first half of this answer, do you accept that such accusations cannot stand?
A. There are concerns about how accurate it is.
Q. Let's try answering the question now. Do you accept that such accusations cannot stand?
A. No, I don't accept that.
Q. Why not?
A. Because of the conversation that Mr Musy had with Cheb1 in 2016.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. At a time when, as you understood it, it was by telephone?
A. Yes, it was. I think Mr Musy was in France at the time.
Q. And a conversation to which you were not privy?
A. I was not privy.
Q. A conversation between someone whose first language, as far as you knew, was not English?
A. Yes.
Q. And a conversation which was neither on oath or affirmation?
A. True.
Q. And at best, maybe from your point of view, a conversation inconsistent with something he may have said in evidence many, many years before - as best, from your point of view?
A. Yes.
Q. An inconsistency which you resolved against Page?
A. Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR GRAY: Q. Coming back to this answer that Mr Musy gave at the top of page 49 , he goes on after the part that I just read to you:

> The coming to Australia was a very sort of big part of this and he was extremely, very very happy to be in Australia and to find a new life living in Bondi by the seaside and all this was exhilarating for him.

Do you see that?
A. I do see that.
Q. Were you conscious of his having said that when you wrote your Neiwand report with Mr Chebl?
A. As I say, I didn't write the report and I don't know if I was aware of this conversation at the time.
Q. Had you been aware of it, would you have expressed the report differently?
A. Quite likely, yes.
Q. Mr Musy goes on in the next sentence:

He was very very happy and he - it just was like a cure for him from his bad faults he had in the past...

Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do see that.
Q. So again, he is making it clear that the preference for death over life and thoughts of that kind were thoughts that he'd had in the past but no longer had; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr Musy goes on:
... and anyway, the army event was probably more to get out of the system than actually to end his days because he was in a relationship with me already.

Do you see that?
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. So for the second time, first in the statement and now in the witness box, Mr Musy's giving evidence that he doesn't actually think the second one was a suicide attempt, isn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you used the word - you and Chebl - "multiple" in your Neiwand summary, didn't you, "multiple suicide attempts"?
A. Yes, I do recall that word.
Q. And you acknowledged to the Commissioner yesterday that although you used the word "multiple", you were only actually referring to the two that are referred to by Mr Musy in his statement and in the oral evidence?
A. Yes.
Q. And although you used the word "multiple", you see that in Musy's opinion, the second one probably was not a suicide attempt at all; correct?
A. Well, I see that now, yes.
Q. Wel1, he said it in the statement and he said it in the witness box?
A. Yes.
Q. Twice.
A. Okay.
Q. And you didn't only see it now, you saw it then when you read the statement, didn't you?
A. Then when I read which --
Q. You saw it in 2016/2017, when you read Mr Musy's statement?
A. Look, I don't recal1 what $I$ thought then.
Q. I withdraw that question. I was mistaken. Where he said it before was earlier in the transcript and not in the statement, so I withdraw that question.

Stil1 on the same page, the question at about 1 ine 28, Counsel Assisting raises the topic of the visa having expired. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr Musy gives an answer about that. By all means take your time to read the whole answer. Then towards the end of the answer at about line 29, Mr Musy says:
... he was very happy in Australia but he
was worried by the fact that his status as illegal immigrant, so to speak, was preventing him from going to France to visit his parents and come back because he really wanted to live here.

Do you see that?
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. And the question is then asked:
Q. Can you tel 1 us how worried he was about his immigration status?

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And the answer is given:
A. Worried to a point ...

And I won't read the rest of it but would you just read the rest of that answer at 1 ine 46 to yourself.
A. Yes.
Q. So "worried to a point", and then in the next answer, beginning at 1 ine 54 , he says:
A. So he was thinking of that --
the visa problem --
but it was worrying him not to be in the law, like he was like illegal but I mean it was a thought but it was not something which was really sort of weighting on him constantly not at all.

Do you see that?
A. I do see that.
Q. So the topic of the visa was well and truly ventilated, and Mr Musy has acknowledged, we11, he was worried about it to a point, but it wasn't something that was "weighing on him constantly at al1"; correct? A. Yes.
Q. Page 50, the answer at 1 ine 5 , when he is asked to tell the Coroner a bit about Mr Mattaini:
A. He was very shy --
says Mr Musy:
... but very joyful. He was somebody who was really enjoying life fully for everything 7 ike the sun, the 7 ight ...

And so on:

He was a joyful person.
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. That doesn't seem to get much of a mention in your Neiwand summary, does it, how joyful and happy he was?
A. No, I don't recall it being in the summary.
Q. No. Then at 1 ine 13 he is asked about the habit of taking long walks, and Mr Musy says:
A. Yes every day. ... he would never
walk outside without a Walkman.

And so on?
A. Yes.
Q. And at 1 ine 27 , "What time of the day would he usually walk?":
A. It could be any time depending on the shift. He could have been working in the morning, could have been working in the evening.

Do you see that, about 1 ine 30 or so?
A. Oh, yes. Sorry, I was further up the page.
Q. On the next page, 51, at about line 28, the question
is - the topic is now when Mr Musy's away in France at the time that Mr Mattaini shortly thereafter goes missing?
A. Yes.
Q. He is asked:
Q. Was there anything in any of the phone calls that you had with him when you were in France and he was in Australia in August '85 and September that caused you to think that he wasn't his normal self that he was perhaps depressed or stressed or otherwise pressured?

Do you see that's the question?
A. Yes.
Q. Answer:

No I would say no because he was happy that I was coming back. He was happy to tell me that he had bought this and that ... for the flat so it was like ... some kind of proud that he had done that even though we would have argued about the financial repercussions of his spending but he would laugh about that and he was very happy of me coming back.
A. Yes.
Q. That's pretty clear evidence from Mr Musy about

Mr Mattaini's state of mind as at September 1985, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. That doesn't get much of a mention in your Neiwand summary either, does it?
A. Not that I recall, no.
Q. No. Then, page 52, we get to Mr Musy coming back to Australia in September 1985, and at line 33 he is asked whether he noticed a number of items missing, and Counsel Assisting says, "His keys were not there, is that so?", and Mr Musy says:
A. Yes his keys and the clothes which

I believe he was wearing at the time ...
And he adds that there was a spray jacket missing, there were headphones missing.

Then again at line 45 he is taken to his statement which, as you saw this morning, included reference to Gilles being lonely but enjoying being on his own and spending money on things for the unit and so on?
A. Yes.
Q. And he is taken to that and his answer is:
A. Yes lonely because he was by himself
but he was just like enjoying sort of -
because it was winter ... it's quite nice,
Bondi is very quiet ... it's nice to walk around.

And so on. That's again evidence of his state of mind in the immediate days before he disappeared; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Then page 53, he's asked about the visa problem again, and he is reminded that another witness, Mr Hubert, had said that Gilles - and I'm looking at about line 10 now on this page - was feeling very uncomfortable about his situation in that he'd recently become an illegal immigrant because his visa had expired and he felt trapped in that he couldn't leave Australia and come back, and he's asked whether he thought Mr Hubert's assessment was accurate in that respect. Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And the answer is - well, he is asked in fact directly:
Q. Was he feeling uncomfortable and trapped?

And Mr Musy says:
A. That would be correct yes. Yes.

So that topic, the concern about the visa, was well and truly before the Coroner, wasn't it?
A. Agreed.
Q. Then towards the bottom of the page, from about
line 35 onwards, he is asked some questions about whether or not there had been some difficulties in the actual relationship between Mr Musy and Mr Mattaini?
A. Yes.
Q. I won't read these out but you can see them there.

I'11 let you take your time, but from line 40 and following down to the end of that page.
A. Yes.
Q. And so having acknowledged that there were some difficulties in the relationship --
A. I'm somewhat confused on about line 42 it refers to a "Mark". I'm not sure who "Mark" is.
Q. Marc is Mr Hubert --
A. Oh, okay.
Q. -- who had referred to this possibility of there being problems in the relationship and Mr Musy is acknowledging that yes there were, in the sense that he describes in those 15 lines or so there.
A. Yes.
Q. You agree? But then, I want to take you to the top of the next page, having made that acknowledgment, he says, page 54, line 1:
... that was a benefit a worry for both of us as well and it was not something which was really a big issue because we were very very much in love, very deeply in love both of us ...

And:
... so the sexual part was really not too much an issue at all because we had really an enormous bond ... this is what I missed the most after his disappearance that I had this absolutely amazing bond with that person ...

Et cetera. Do you see that?
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. So the evidence that Mr Musy was giving was to acknowledge candidly that there were some issues in the relationship, but to say that really in the scheme of things, they were minor because the relationship overall
was so wonderful.
A. Yes. I can see that.
Q. Now, at 1 ine 14 on that page, Counsel Assisting gets to the topic of who might have seen Mr Mattaini on the day --
A. Yes.
Q. -- or around about the day that he disappeared.
A. Yes.
Q. So he asks him starting at 1 ine 14 did he speak with a man "who lived in a unit block near you?" "Yes". At about line 20:
Q. Do you know who that person was?

Answer:
No. I recall since the interview had with Detective Page that his name is
Terry ... I know physically where he 7 ives
but I don't know if he's still there or not.
A. Yes.
Q. So that's apparently saying that that's something that he's remembered since speaking with Page, it would seem?
A. Yes.
Q. At 1 ine 25 he says:
... [Terry] told me he had seen him the morning of his supposed disappearance walking in an aloof state.
A. Yes.
Q. Then it is checked again at the bottom of the page by the question:
Q. Did ... Terry tel 1 you whether it was
in the morning or the afternoon ...
And Mr Musy said:
A. He said, "I think it's the morning, from the best of my recollection ..."

Then at the top of page 55 Counse1 Assisting asks did he that is, Terry -
... say where precisely he saw Gilles walking at Bondi?

And the answer is given:
That would have been between my unit at the corner of Ramsgate and Campbe 17 Parade --
A. Yes.
Q.
-- and his unit, Terry's unit which was like three houses up towards the Bondi Diggers so it would be ... around [100] or 200 metres away from our place?
A. North Bondi, yes.
Q. So it's clearly he's - would you agree what he's describing is that Terry's place is around the corner to the north in Campbel1 Parade?
A. Yes, I accept that.
Q. What he appears to be saying, although maybe it's not 100 per cent crystal clear, is that that's where Terry saw him?
A. Yes, that's my understanding of it.
Q. So not at Marks Park but somewhere --
A. In North Bondi.
Q. North of Ramsgate Avenue, heading up the hil1 towards the Bondi golf club?
A. Yes.
Q. A couple more things $I$ want to take you to. On page 56, at 1 ine 23 , he is asked this:
Q. Nobody wi 77 ever know but what is your belief as to what might have happened to Gilles Mattaini?

And his answer is:

> A. I have no idea. At the time I thought he had committed suicide that's why I actually didn't ever think that he could have been killed, murdered or attacked or anything because as he had two previous attempts I would have thought that - at the time I thought well he did it this time again and he managed to do it and that from that day onwards he had committed suicide.

Just pausing there, you would agree that he's telling the Coroner that he doesn't actually know, he has no idea what happened, but that at the time, ie, 1985, he assumed that it must have been suicide because nothing else occurred to him as a possibility?
A. Yes.
Q. But then he goes on in the next sentence at line 31:

It's not until we saw some ads and reports about this and that happening that we put I mean some thoughts together ...

Pausing there, in 2002 and 2001, there was indeed considerable publicity about Operation Taradale, wasn't there? Yes, I believe so.
Q. There was, among other things, a media event where there was a staged reconstruction of the death of John Russe11 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- by a dummy being thrown over the cliff dressed in similar clothes?
A. Yes, I recall that.
Q. And that attracted considerable publicity?
A. Yes.
Q. And among other things, there were media releases and invitations to the public to contact Crime Stoppers and so on?
A. Yes.
Q. And all of that in the context that the police, in 2001 and 2002, were having another look at some 1980s deaths of gay men to see whether they might have been homicides rather than something else?
A. Yes.
Q. That was what was being publicised?
A. Yes.
Q. And what Mr Musy says at 1 ine 32 is:

It's not until we saw some ads and reports about this and that happening that we put I mean some thoughts together ...

Now, does that indicate to you that what Mr Musy is there saying is that while he had initially assumed, because he couldn't think of anything else, that it must have been suicide, by the time he had become aware of what he calls "ads and reports" --
A. Yes.
Q. -- which one might surmise was the publicity about

Taradale - -
A. Certainly.
Q. -- that he put two and two together in his head and thought, "We11, perhaps the disappearance of my friend might be in the same area of consideration as these other deaths"?
A. I can see that, yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. And you understood at the time, didn't you, as far as you now recall, that those ads did not make any reference to Mr Mattaini; they were references to other possible deaths or murders?
A. Yes.

MR GRAY: Q. So it looks as though what has happened, according to what he has said to the Coroner, is that he was jolted into considering the possibility of violence or homicide by the publicity about Taradale?
A. Yes, clearly.
Q. Did you notice that at any time before you joined in the Neiwand summary?
A. I must admit $I$ hadn't noticed that but it makes sense.
Q. It does make sense, because, among the reasons why it makes sense is that, as you know - and you've said this in the summary - it was Mr Wyszynski, another friend, who came forward to the police in response to the publicity to say, in effect, "Look, this disappearance of Mr Mattaini might belong in the area of what you're looking at"?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr Wyszynski and Mr Musy were friends; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And so it's highly likely, isn't it, that that's what caused Mr Musy to think that maybe it was a violent or homicidal cause of death?
A. Yes.
Q. In your experience as a police officer, have you come
across many, or indeed any, examples of police officers attempting to influence a witness to say something which is actually not their opinion?
A. No.
Q. Never seen it?
A. Not that I remember, and I would think I would remember that.
Q. Yes. So if Detective Sergeant Page had done that, it would be totally foreign to your experience, wouldn't it? A. Yes.
Q. And yet that is what you accuse him of doing, don't you?
A. And as I have said, that is based upon the conversation between Mr Musy and Detective Cheb1.
Q. Maybe. But what about what Mr Musy says here on his oath? Did you check it against that?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Had you checked it against that, you would have surely realised that maybe what he said on oath 13 years earlier was more reliable?
A. I understand that.

THE COMMISSIONER: $Q . \quad O r$ that what he said to Mr Cheb 1

13 years later may not be accurate?
A. Certainly a consideration.
Q. On any view, an inconsistency which would arise which you would have been finding it impossible to actually resolve unless you, for example, spoke to Page?
A. Fair comment, yes.

MR GRAY: Q. Just for completeness, but no other reason, I will go on with what he says at the end of the same sentence. After saying that "we" put some thoughts together, he goes on in the same sentence:
... but my idea was he had committed suicide --

So he is clearly, you would agree, referring there - this is at 1 ine 34 ?
A. Line 34, yes.
Q. He's obviously saying, "My idea was", meaning "my idea
was back in 1985" that he'd committed suicide?
A. Yes.
Q. And he goes on:

What puzzled me --
ie, after 1985, it must mean, at 1 ine 37 :

What puzzled me is that they never find anything at all.

And he talks about every time there was a report in the paper about a body being found, he would wonder, and so on. And at line 45 he goes on to say that when bodies were found, like the body of a fisherman or something:
... that was reinforcing my thought that he had maybe committed suicide by throwing himself in the ocean ...

Right?
A. Yes.
Q. But it's clear, you would agree, in the context of that whole answer, that what he's saying is that that's
what he had thought, but once he put two and two together or, sorry, put some thoughts together following the ads and reports, he began to think that, maybe it was different; agreed?
A. It's somewhat convoluted but that's certainiy a reasonable conclusion to come to.
Q. Thank you. At about the bottom of page 56, the 1ast question:
Q. Do you know of any reason which he may have had to want to disappear?

Answer:

> No, no. He - even in spite of the worries for his visa, his relationship problems with me all this was not sufficient enough I believe to turn him into black in a sort of state of mind where there was no future and everything was bleak and then he would just want away from that. I don't think so ...

Do you agree that's his answer?
A. That's his answer.
Q. And then when it gets to counsel for the police at line 40, after some final questions that establish that he didn't take drugs and he had money in the bank --
A. Yes.
Q. -- Mr Saidi for the police says, "I have no questions"?
A. I see that.
Q. The Coroner then asks a few questions herself. Do you see on page 58, at line 33 , she asks:
Q. Apart from the McKenzie Point, Marks

Park area being the common factor in these other men that are missing or were found dead, if that hadn't been mentioned do you still feel to this day that he may have committed suicide?

Answer:
A. Well I had nothing else to think yeah.

Do you see that?
A. I do see that.
Q. And you would accept that that's altogether consistent with the longer answers that he gave to Counsel Assisting that I've taken you to?
A. Yes.
Q. It's very clear, you would agree, that in the course of Mr Musy's evidence in the witness box, the possibility of suicide was explored and discussed repeatedly and from several different angles; correct?
A. Sorry, seven or several?
Q. Several different angles?
A. Yes.
Q. That volume can be put to one side, and can you have volume 6, please. Turn to tab 172 [SCOI.74881_0001] and go again to paragraph 60, on the last page. Do you see the last sentence:

Page's failure to include all the information about Mattaini's suicidal ideation in Musy's 2002 statement was a key factor in the Coroner not considering suicide as a possibility in Mattaini's disappearance.
A. Yes, I can see that's incorrect.
Q. You can accept - I take it you would accept now that that sentence is completely incorrect in several respects?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you withdraw that accusation?
A. Well, I didn't actually make the accusation, personal1y.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. We11, you put your name to it Mr Morgan. Is that not an equivalent to authorising or submitting that, in effect, that should be the proper conclusion?
A. It's not accurate, sir. I'11 say that.
Q. You mean the statement in 60 is not accurate?
A. Sorry, no, this - this investigation summary, that is an inaccuracy in it.
Q. Yes, okay, in the particular respect Mr Gray is asking you about?
A. Yes.

MR GRAY: Q. Could you just turn to tab 161
[SCOI.02571.00021_0001] in that same volume. Do you see those are indeed the findings and recommendations of the Coroner? 161?
A. Yes, there's quite a few in between, sorry.
Q. Sure.
A. Yes.
Q. You've said several times that you read these, you read these findings?
A. Yes.
Q. So on page 2, in relation to Mr Mattaini --

THE COMMISSIONER: Upper right-hand corner, Mr Morgan, I think.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR GRAY: Q. Do you see there is the name "Gil1es Jacques Mattaini" in bold?
A. Yes.
Q. In the paragraph after that the Coroner says:

At the time he went missing he had overstayed his visa and was concerned about his residency.
A. Correct.
Q. So she was well and truly alive to that topic; agreed?
A. Yes.
Q. She says:

Whilst he was worried about his future in
that regard, he was also excited about a friend who was soon to visit from France and had purchased furnishings to decorate his apartment.
A. Yes.
Q. And that is obviously an accurate enough summary of the evidence that we've been looking at; you would agree?
And in paragraph 2 below that --
THE COMMISSIONER: I don't think the witness answered the question.

MR GRAY: Q. You would agree with that? That's a short but accurate summary of the evidence that we've been looking at about the visa topic?
A. Correct.
Q. A couple of paragraphs below that, she says:

Mr Mattaini was known to take long walks along the Marks Park walking track. He would wear earphones. [The earphones were missing] ... as well as a yellow spray jacket and his keys.

So she was aware of those matters?
A. I can see that.
Q. She says:

He was last seen walking on the track at Bondi on or about 15 September ...

Now, that is essentially an accurate account of what Terry is supposed to have said?
A. No, I don't necessarily agree with - I mean, I can see it's written here, but the way that's written "on the track" suggests Marks Park, whereas Terry actually indicated North Bondi.
Q. No, no, no. It says Bondi, doesn't it?
A. On the track at Bondi.
Q. Yes, at Bondi. Marks Park is not at Bondi, is it?
A. No, it's not, it's almost Tamarama.
Q. That's right. But where Terry apparently saw him, as we just went through, probably was a bit north of Ramsgate Avenue towards the Bondi golf club?
A. Yes.
Q. Probably?
A. Yes.
Q. ie, at Bondi. Maybe the word "track" is not exactly right?
A. Yes, that's what - yes.
Q. But she's, it would seem, picking up the evidence about what Terry apparently saw?
A. Yes, I accept that.
Q. Now, two paragraphs below that - or three - two - she says:

Mr Mattaini's father was not close to his son and his mother believed it was possible that her son had "suicided".

And she goes on:

There is no evidence before me to support the finding of "suicide".

Do you see that?
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. She doesn't say, does she, "There is no evidence before me about suicide"?
A. No.
Q. She says, "There's no evidence that would support a finding of suicide", doesn't she?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, is it possible that all you ever read was her findings and not the transcript, and that you wrongly assumed that what she was saying was that she had no evidence of suicide before her?
A. I honestly can't remember, but I would have thought that $I$ would have read transcripts during the investigation.
Q. I want to now go through the Neiwand summary about Mattaini in a little bit more detail from start to finish. Would you turn back to tab 172 [SCOI.74881_0001] start at paragraph 1. The assertion that he was last seen walking along a track around Mackenzies Point is wrong, isn't it? A. That is incorrect, yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Where did that come from, do you think?
A. I - that's where I was confused about the track, but yes, looking at what was actually said at the inquest, that is incorrect.
Q. But I just wonder - any idea where it came from?
A. No, I don't, no.

MR GRAY: Q. In paragraph 4, just have a look at how the first sentence begins, namely:

In 2002 due to the media attention Operation Taradale was receiving, a former partner and friend of Mattaini, Jacques Musy contacted Police in relation to Mattaini's disappearance.
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. Well, that seems to indicate, doesn't it, that what I was putting to you as the likely sequence was correct namely, that Mr Musy became aware of all the publicity about Taradale --
A. Certainly.
Q. -- that the police were looking at the possibility of gay hate violence around Bondi/Marks Park, and came forward to police to say, well, perhaps that might have been what happened to Mattaini?
A. Yes.
Q. Well, I will come to what you say later in the summary about Detective Page, but you say two or three times - you and Chebl say - that Musy only got the idea of a possible violence or homicide into his head because Page put it there when he spoke to him? That's what you say, isn't it? A. My understanding of it - and I didn't speak to Mr Musy direct - was that he expressed to Detective Page that he
thought it was likely that Mr Mattaini had suicided and Detective Page had persuaded him otherwise.
Q. Yes, I am going to come to that, and that's about the fifth or sixth time you have said that.
A. Mmm.
Q. But back to my question. What you yourself have written - you and Chebl - in the first couple of lines of paragraph 4, is that Musy contacted police due to the media attention Operation Taradale was receiving; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. That was media attention about gay hate deaths or the possibility of them apropos Mr Warren and Mr Russel1?
A. Yes.
Q. So according to your own summary, it was Mr Musy who came forward in that context?
A. Yes.
Q. And the transcript that I took you to, where he said, "after reading some ads and reports we put some thoughts together", is consistent with what appears in paragraph 4, isn't it?
A. Correct.
Q. Namely, that he came to the police, and ultimately to Sergeant Page, with the idea already in his mind that maybe, after al1, the explanation for Mattaini's death was not suicide but perhaps something like what had happened to Russe11 or Warren; correct?
A. That's a reasonable conclusion to make, yes.
Q. But in your summary, notwithstanding what you have actually written yourself, you and Chebl, in paragraph 4, you assert as a fact that it was Page who persuaded Musy that it might have been homicide, and that that was contrary to Musy's own thinking, and you base that, as you keep saying, on Chebl's account of a telephone cal1, don't you?
A. Yes.
Q. You don't mention in your conclusions in this Neiwand summary, where you say that, that your own paragraph 4 is more or less directly inconsistent with that, do you?
A. As far as Mr Musy contacting police initially, yes.
Q. You are agreeing with me?
A. On that point, yes.
Q. Let's have a look at paragraph 9. Just read through that paragraph 9, if you would, as a supposed summary of the earlier suicide attempts.
A. Yes.
Q. You would agree, I imagine, that that is a somewhat garbled and confused account of the actual sequence?
A. It's not well written.
Q. It's not well written, and it seems to confuse one with the other, in the sense that both suicide attempts are described as occurring by taking a number of tablets and cutting his wrists, whereas in fact only the first of them --
A. Yes.
Q. -- was in that character; the second one was just tablets, wasn't it?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. And nor was Mr Mattaini on holiday when he did the second one; he was in the army and not on holiday?
A. Yes.
Q. So that paragraph 9 is, as you say, not we11 written, but actually rather jumbled and --
A. Incorrect, yes.
Q. - - back to front?
A. Yes.

MR GRAY: I notice the time.

THE COMMISSIONER: I wi11 take the break now. A11 right. Thank you.

## SHORT ADJOURNMENT

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, come back, Mr Morgan.
Mr Gray, there is a matter $I$ need to attend to just immediately after 1 o'clock so $I$ might adjourn a few minutes before 1 today.

MR GRAY: Certain1y, Commissioner. I have two other housekeeping matters, Commissioner. The document that I tendered this morning, which was the document signed by Mr Lehmann, which was the same as Alicia Taylor's document, I said 162A but apparently it should be 162B, just for the record.

THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
MR GRAY: Secondly, I would tender to be added or to be placed in the tender bundle a statement of Jo Kenworthy of 23 February 2023, which would become tab 254 of exhibit 6.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR GRAY: Q. Now, Mr Morgan, you have that summary open stil1?
A. I do.
Q. Paragraph 12 , you say:

Information from associates of Mattaini is that he was under a reasonable amount of stress and anxiety, due to his expiring visa ...

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. You don't mention Mr Musy's evidence that although it was something of an issue, it was not one that was weighing down on him particularly, do you?
A. We11, Mr Musy did acknowledge that he was concerned about that, the visa situation.
Q. He did. But as I took you to, he made a point of saying it was "not something which was really sort of weighting on him constantly not at al1". You remember I took you to that this morning?
A. You did.
Q. You don't mention that in the summary, do you?
A. No.
Q. You rather mention it as though it was something that was weighing on him, don't you?
A. It was a concern, yes.
Q. And you mention it that way as something that was a concern of - a real concern, notwithstanding that Mattaini had said it was not something weighing upon him. You just left that out, didn't you?
A. I don't know that it was deliberately left out but yeah, I agree it's not there.
Q. At 17, you record that Mr Wyszynski had said that he had a telephone conversation with Mr Mattaini on 15 September, and that Mattaini sounded very happy. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. You don't mention that Mr Musy also gave evidence that he had had several conversations by phone with Mr Mattaini about this time, and that he also said Mr Mattaini was very happy. You leave that out, too, don't you?
A. I don't see it there, no.
Q. Was that deliberately left out?
A. No.
Q. At 22, you talk about what Mr Wyszynski said, and in the last sentence you say:

Wyszynski states he received a further phone call from Ottaviani informing him that he had checked Mattaini's place and noted it was intact with his passport and house keys present.

Don't you?
A. Yes.
Q. So you record the hearsay account of Wyszynski from Ottaviani that Mattaini's keys were in the house?
A. When they in fact weren't.
Q. When they in fact weren't and you had direct evidence from Musy that they were not. Why?

THE COMMISSIONER: Plus, if I may add, a finding of a Coroner factually to that effect that they were not in the house.

MR GRAY: Q. Why do you include the incorrect hearsay account of Wyszynski that the keys were there but not include the correct account of Musy's that they weren't there and the Coroner's finding that they weren't there?
A. I can only assume that it's an oversight. Like I said, I did not author this document.
Q. Is the suggested relevance of the keys being present to assist the suicide hypothesis?
A. I wouldn't have thought so, not - certainly not intentionally.
Q. What would be the relevance of the keys being present in an investigation into a death of this kind?
A. I can only say from my negotiator's point of view that where a subject who is contemplating suicide, standing on the edge of a cliff or something, where they leave their belongings behind, their keys, their wallet, that type of thing, it is - it heightens the concerns that that person may be serious about jumping, and that's the only thing I can say.
Q. Well, this is someone not leaving keys at a jumping spot?
A. Yes.
Q. But according to Wyszynski's hearsay account leaving them at home and leaving the house?
A. Yes.
Q. And was the relevance of that thought to be, by you or Chebl, that that might have indicated that he was going to suicide?
A. We11, I can't speak for what Mr Chebl thought.
Q. Well, speak for yourself, then.
A. I didn't author this document.
Q. I know that. What did you think the point was of saying that the keys were still there?
A. Just the fact that Mr Ottaviani had told - had said that and --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Surely, Mr Morgan, wasn't it to suggest or infer that Mr Mattaini, if he left his keys behind, one inference might be he wasn't coming home? A. That's one inference one might draw.

MR GRAY: Q. What other conceivable reason for putting it in a summary of an investigation into a death that you were leaning towards suicide about would there be?
A. I don't know.
Q. None would be the answer, wouldn't it? There's no other reason? It's put in there --
A. I don't --
Q. It's put in there to underpin a suicide hypothesis, isn't it?
A. I don't know.
Q. Whereas you knew that the truth was diametrically the opposite - the keys were not in the house?
A. No, that's not the true - had - yes, a closer reading of the transcript would have revealed that, but no, I'm not of the belief that it was deliberate.

THE COMMISSIONER: Can I just interrupt, and I do apologise again.
Q. Above paragraph 22, there's a photocopy of what appears to be a diary?
A. A calendar, yes.
Q. A calendar. And was that, as you best understood it, Mr Mattaini's calendar?
A. Yes.
Q. And apart from a dental appointment a day or two before he disappeared, does it appear in his or someone else's writing that he had appointments after the 15th that he was meant to be attending at? I can't read them because it's too small, but did you ever actually look at the calendar to see whether he had anticipated arrangements to be made or that he was meant to meet after the 15th the September date?
A. Yeah, well, it does seem to indicate that on the 24th - and I also can't read the writing, but I know that there's a line pointing to it, and it says "Arrival of Musy", and there is something handwritten there?
Q. Yes, but there's also something on the 22nd,
seemingly?
A. Yes.
Q. And there's something on the 19th in a square bracket?
A. Yes.
Q. So what I'm getting at is this: the diary entry is placed in this summary to show that it was believed to be indicating that Mattaini was not working on the particular day he disappears, but it seems no consideration was given, in the context of suicide or not, to whether or not he had anticipated appointments or assignations or social events in his diary, in his handwriting, dates beyond the day he disappeared. That doesn't seem to have been focused on at a11?
A. I would agree.
Q. And wouldn't it be relevant, if a keen homicide detective was trying to explore scenarios, to give consideration to whether the person had planned some things in the immediate future after the day upon which he disappears?
A. Yes. It should have received consideration.
Q. We11, nobody has - I'm not commenting on it either way because $I$ can't read what's there, but surely you would accept that it would be a relevant matter and perhaps highly relevant to investigate whether the person who it might be suspected has done away with himself had nonetheless appointments to anticipate in the future? It might be entirely neutral but at least it would give some indication that he was planning for the future, wouldn't it?
A. Yes, I can see that.

THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right. Thank you.
MR GRAY: Q. Now, when we do get to paragraph 24, where you give an account of, or you refer - you and Cheb1 - to what Musy said, do you see at the top of page 5, you have written this - you and Cheb1 -

> Musy states in his statement he noticed there was no forced entry into the flat and Mattaini's wallet, passport, watch and credit cards were present.

Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you've said:

Musy further stated he noticed a yellow coloured spray jacket that Mattaini wore to be missing.
A. Yes.
Q. But you don't say that Musy also noticed that the keys were missing, do you?
A. No, the keys don't appear to get mentioned.
Q. Why is that? That's the one thing that you leave out of what Musy had actually said? Why would that be?
A. I don't know. I don't know what --
Q. Could it be - sorry, go on.
A. I don't know what Detective Chebl was thinking at the time.
Q. What were you thinking? Did you notice that the very thing that Musy had stressed was missing, the keys and, for that matter, the Walkman, being both missing, had just been airbrushed out of Mr Chebl's paragraph 24?
A. As I sit here now I don't recall noticing.
Q. Do you think it might have been left out because to include it would have somewhat countered the significance of the keys being present as indicating suicide?
A. Well --
Q. And that somebody who was writing this thought it would be better to leave that out?
A. Well, that certainly wasn't my intention at the time.
Q. In paragraph 27, there is reference to Wyszynski contacting the police about Mattaini's disappearance and the statement in paragraph 27 says that that was due to the media attention that Operation Taradale, investigating the disappearance of Warren and Russell, was receiving and a publication in the Star Observer. Do you see that there?
A. Yes, and it refers to Mr Wyszynski, not Mr Musy.
Q. It does.
A. Yes.
Q. But your own statement says that Musy contacted the police in paragraph 4, doesn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. Your own summary?
A. Yeah.
Q. But this one --
A. I can only assume that's an oversight.
Q. Well, one or other of them is wrong, or, alternatively, they might both be right?
A. Or both had contacted the police, correct.
Q. Quite. Either it was only Musy or only Wyszynski, or perhaps it was both of them?
A. Both, yes.
Q. But either way, one of the things that your own summary notes is that the media attention included a publication in the Star Observer; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Which is a well-known gay newspaper?
A. Yes.
Q. At 28 , we get back to the visa topic, and this is what you and Chebl write:

When Police obtained statements from friends and associates of Mattaini, each stated that he had expressed his concern at having overstayed in Australia and the status of his residency, this was all due to his visa expiring.

Now, pausing there, that's accurate enough as far as it goes - people had drawn attention to that?
A. Yeah, I think the visa had expired about three weeks before, yeah.
Q. Yes. But what about the next sentence. You say, you and Chebl:

This issue appeared to weigh heavily on Mattaini's mind.
A. Yes.
Q. As we've seen, and I have taken you to this a couple of times, that is the direct opposite of what Musy said in the witness box, isn't it?
A. Yeah, look, I'm unaware whether other associates may have expressed a different view. I'm not aware.
Q. My question is: it's the direct opposite of what Musy said on oath in the witness box, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. He said it did not weigh heavily on his mind?
A. True.
Q. But you have written, you and Cheb1, that it did.

Why?
A. It's in there. I can't explain why it's in there.
Q. It's completely wrong, isn't it, according to Musy's sworn evidence?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, in paragraph 37 you and Cheb 1 set out what you say are a number of areas not explored by Taradale. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. You say that there are more than these, you say it's not 1 imited to these, but the three that you nominate are, one, obtaining a DNA sample from Renee Mattaini, the mother?
A. Yes.
Q. Second1y, obtaining Mattaini's medical records and mi1itary records?
A. Yes.
Q. And thirdly, further statements from his associates to clarify Mattaini's previous suicide attempts.
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. They are supposed to be areas not explored. Now, can

I just go through them. Getting the DNA sample from Mattaini's mother would have been relevant if and when a body was found that you could compare the DNA with?
A. Correct.
Q. But no body had been found or indeed ever was found?
A. Not to this day, no.
Q. Not to this very day. So the not having obtained DNA from Mrs Mattaini in the couple of months that Page had available to him in the second half of 1985 is hardly something that has ultimately caused any effect at all, is it?
A. Yes, I would agree with that. At the end of the day, it hasn't affected it.
Q. Secondly, obtaining his medical records and military records - did you do that in Neiwand?
A. I think attempts were made through Interpol to do that, but $I$ don't specifically recall.
Q. And if they were attempted, those steps, that would have been to pursue the suicide theory, would it?
A. I can only imagine, yes.
Q. And the third one is also to do with suicide, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. "Further statements from his associates to clarify his previous suicide attempts". Now, the previous suicide attempts had been some time before 1978 when he was a teenager or perhaps no more than 20 ?
A. Yes.
Q. In France?
A. Yes.
Q. Which associates were you going to get statements from to clarify, or could Page have got statements from, to clarify that?
A. Well, it's not clear there, that's not something --
Q. There aren't any, are there, in Australia? Is that what you meant, statements from someone in Australia?
A. I don't know what was meant by it.
Q. It appears to be verging on meaningless, doesn't it, when you look at it now? Statements from his associates to clarify his previous suicide attempts in France, when his associates in Australia didn't know him?
A. Unless it was to ask them about whether he'd spoken to them - as in he spoke to Mr Musy about it; he may have spoken to others. I don't know.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. But if you had the evidence from Musy, and it seems to have been uncontroverted - indeed, it wasn't capable of being controverted - why would you need corroboration of an event or events that he'd told his partner about previously; to check the veracity of Musy's evidence?
A. Possibly.
Q. Why would you want to do that in this respect only?
A. I would have thought that where serious consideration was being given to a suicide, you would want to check all those - all those lines.
Q. What, to make sure that the attempt was real or I don't quite understand?
A. Well, the fact that Mr Musy was overseas when Mr Mattaini disappeared --
Q. Yes, but Mr - I'm sorry, you go on.
A. Whether he may have spoken to other associates.
Q. But other associates would have either had to have been people, given his age, who either Mr Mattaini was at school with or potentially was in the French army with, wouldn't it?
A. No, no, that he may have spoken later with associates in Australia about.
Q. But what I'm trying to get at, Mr Morgan, is the two previous suicide attempts were not even controverted. His mother had said - she had been spoken to at an earlier point in time and thought suicide was on the cards; Musy gave uncontroverted versions of that, which apparently Mr Page accepted, the Coroner seems to accept and you seem to accept. Why would there be any criticism of anybody, including yourself, for not trying to find out more about those suicide attempts if it weren't to, what, discredit the fact that they occurred or - I don't understand. A. Yeah, I - as I say, I didn't author this and I can't explain.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right, thank you.

MR GRAY: Q. All right. Let's go to 41. Here you say that a person called Magali Eyraud of some branch of police in France --
A. Yes.
Q. -- notified you in November 2016 of recent contact with Musy and his willingness to speak, and the summary says that Eyraud stated during her conversation with Musy on 17 November:
... Musy advised he was happy to assist Police, but was surprised about the Police investigation as Mattaini stated to him that "he wanted to die and nobody would [find] his body".

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'll come to this in some detail, and the next sentence says:

Musy further stated Mattaini made suicide attempts before he went missing.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, that paragraph apparently stems from an email that came from Magali Eyraud to Neiwand; is that right?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. Did you ever see that email?
A. I may have. I don't recall.
Q. Is it available to you now? It hasn't been produced to the Inquiry.
A. I wouldn't --
Q. Do you have access to it?
A. I don't know. This is going back to 2016. I don't know.

MR GRAY: I raise for my learned friend to perhaps take some instructions on that no such email has been produced. We would have expected that it had been caught by one or other of the summonses, but whether it was or wasn't caught
by a summons, we would ask that it be produced.
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Tedeschi, can you shed any light on this?

MR TEDESCHI: We haven't seen any such email, Commissioner. I can ask those who instruct me to conduct a further search.

THE COMMISSIONER: Well, something seems to have existed at some point and the impression I have at the moment is, it either can't be found - I presume it seems to have existed - but it obviously can't be found, but if you can get some further instructions about it, and if the answer is you have no record of it or no record can be found, so be it. Thank you.

MR GRAY: Q. I'm about to come to the investigator's note by Chebl which covers these matters.
A. Yes.
Q. Just picking up the language there, "Musy further stated Mattaini made suicide attempts before he went missing" --
A. Yes.
Q. -- although that is literally right, it seems to be written in a way that would indicate that he made suicide attempts in the period leading up to his going missing, doesn't it? That's how it reads?
A. Well, I - you can take it either way. I mean, as you say, it's technically right, but it, in actual fact, was several years since he'd made those suicide attempts.
Q. It was at least seven, if not eight or nine or 10 years before?
A. Several years, yes.
Q. If we go to tab 167 [SCOI.10389.00041_0001] in that volume --
A. Yes .
Q. -- we find, and I hope - does your 167 consist of one page being an e@gle.i cover page type document?
A. Yes, it's investigator's note 148.
Q. It has that file number, yes.
A. Yes.
Q. And attached to it was the investigator's note which appears at tab 167A; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I'11 come to the note itself, but the cover page seems to tell us a few things. It's created by Chebl and reviewed by you?
A. Yes.
Q. The date of the note is 13 December 2016; do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What it's talking about is a few things, as it turns out. The brief description is that in the early hours of Thursday, the 8th, between 6.15 and 7.20am, Cheb1 and Musy had a telephone conversation. You weren't present and didn't hear it?
A. No.
Q. It wasn't transcribed, I take it, or recorded in some way?
A. Oh, no, I don't believe so.
Q. Certainly we should proceed on the assumption that there is no recording of it and no transcript?
A. That's a fair enough assumption.

THE COMMISSIONER: $Q$. So we don't know precisely what questions were actually asked?
A. No. It wouldn't appear so.

MR GRAY: Q. Two paragraphs below that, this statement appears:

The attached investigators note covers ...
and a few things are indicated. Firstly, a brief case overview of Mattaini's disappearance?
A. Yes.
Q. Secondly, the conversation between Chebl and Musy; and, thirdly, follow-up information provided to Chebl by Musy through emails.
A. Correct.
Q. Now, when we turn to the note itself at 167A --
A. Yes.
Q. -- it's 12 pages long. You can see most of the pages, not quite all of them, have "Page 2" or "Page 3" or whatever at the top, in the little box that says "Investigator's Note"? Do you see the second page, for example, has a box at the top, "Page 2 Investigator's Note"?
A. Yes, mine - oh, yes.
Q. And then if we turn right through to the last page, which is page 12 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- there's the calendar?
A. Yes.
Q. And the box says, "Prepared by" Cheb1; "Date"

13 December. Do you see that?
A. The investigator's note was prepared on 13 December?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay, yes.
Q. You see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. So it's prepared five days after the telephone call on the 8th; correct?
A. That appears to be what it says, yes.
Q. There is an email embedded in this note immediately after page 6?
A. Yes.
Q. As what, in effect, is page 7, because the next page is called page 8. Do you see the one that doesn't have a page number but is immediately after page 6 ?
A. Six, yes.
Q. The email begins:

Hi Michael ...
"Yes, I confirm", something about Hubert and so on?
A. Yes.
Q. And if we turn to 167B, we can see that same email as an email in the abstract, repeated, or it appears there again, the same email?
A. Yes.
Q. And that is part of a chain. If we go to the back of the chain at 167B, do you see there's an actual numerical number at the bottom of it, page, 89 , which seems to be because it's one of those email chains where everyone repeats what they've said before, so the same email -A. Yes.
Q. The same emails appear umpteen times.
A. So what page am I looking at now?
Q. The very last one in 167B. It's an email from Cheb1 to Musy on 28 November?
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. He introduces himself and tells him who he is and --
A. So this would appear to be the first email in the chain.
Q. Exactly.
A. Yes.
Q. It would appear to be, yes. In the main paragraph he says:

I was informed by Magali Eyraud that you informed her that you believed Gilles was likely to have committed suicide as he had attempted to do so on a number of occasions previously. I'd like to clarify this further with you ...
et cetera?
A. Yes.
Q. And the question that he goes on to ask after referring to his 2002 statement is:

Did you inform Police in 2002 that Gilles contemplated suicide leading up to his disappearance?

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And a follow-up question in brackets:
(How did the Police respond to this
information of Gilles wanting to commit suicide).

And then he adds:

You stated that Gilles had said if he did commit suicide no one would find his body?
A. Yes.
Q. So it's clear enough, you would agree, that what Mr Chebl wanted to talk to Mr Musy about was what he had told the police and how much he had told Page about suicide.
A. Yes.
Q. Rather than what he might have known about suicide generally; his interest was what he had told police, what he had told Page, wasn't it?
A. That's how I read it, yes.
Q. Is that because the object of this exercise was to discredit Page and Taradale?
A. I'm not aware of what was going through Mr Chebl's mind at the time.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. But wouldn't it have been more relevant on all occasions as to precisely what Mr Musy had said in any statement he'd made and/or the evidence he gave?
A. Yes.
Q. Does it appear to you that this email was written by

Mr Chebl perhaps in ignorance of what Mr Musy had given sworn evidence about?
A. Perhaps .
Q. Well, is there any other conclusion, unless he was playing games with Mr Musy and pretending not to know? It
was clearly written by someone who seems, on the face of it, hadn't read what Mr Musy had said in his sworn testimony?
A. That's the reading I make from it, yes.

MR GRAY: Q. Have a look at Mr Musy's answer, if you turn back one page to page 87 - it has the same email again, but 86 is obviously Mr Musy's answer. Do you see that? Page 86, on 1 December:

Hello Michael,
I was expecting your email since I spoke to Magali.
A. Yes.
Q. He says, yes, he's able to fully cooperate and prefers the phone:

I would of course allow you to record our conversation(s).

But that didn't happen; correct?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. And then he says this:

I have to admit --
he is saying this in December 2016 --
that as Gilles disappeared on September 15th 1985, then interviewed --

He seems to be saying, "then I was interviewed" --
A. Yes.
Q. --
by Detective Steve Page several years
later; my recollection of the events is quite fuzzy.
A. I see that.
Q. Have you ever had your attention drawn to that before
now?
A. I can't say.
Q. Indeed, if we flip forward a few pages to the one that has page 37 at the bottom of it?
A. Sorry, page 37?
Q. It has 37 at the bottom of it. It follows --
A. Yes.
Q. -- a number of emails setting up times to have the conversation and so on.
A. Agreed.
Q. And then at 37 Cheb1 says:

Attached is your [2002] statement ... see if you can remember anything further. I'd like to get a further statement from you covering the conversations Gilles had with you about his thoughts on suicides and his previous attempts.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. He, in fact, never did get a statement from Musy, did he?
A. I don't believe so, no.
Q. What he got, apart from what others provided, was his own note of a telephone conversation, being a note that he wrote five days after that conversation; correct?
A. Well, he may have finalised it five days after, I don't know when he started writing the notes.
Q. In the note itse1f, which is 167A
[SCOI.10389.00042_0001] the first page would appear to be what the cover document calls a brief case overview?
A. Yes.
Q. It's a shortish summary of the general situation?
A. Agreed.
Q. Then, from the second page onwards, there appears a note mainly about the conversation between Chebl and Musy on the phone?
A. Yes.
Q. And the first part of that, on the page headed "Page 2", says that Eyraud from France emailed Chebl with information; do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's the email that you don't recall and which hasn't been produced and which nobody in this room seems to have?
A. Okay.
Q. And then what Chebl does is apparently to paraphrase what was in Eyraud's emai1. Do you see he says, "Eyraud stated that"?
A. Yes.
Q. So how much of that paragraph accurately quotes or captures what was in Eyraud's email we will never know, I suppose, unless and until the email is produced; correct? A. Yes. Fair comment.
Q. Then there's a paragraph that says that between 28 November and 6 December there was an exchange of emails between Chebl and Musy, and those we do seem to have and they're the ones I showed you at 167B?
A. Yes.
Q. Then in the next paragraph, we get the start of the account by Chebl of the conversation between him and Musy; do you see that? "Between 6.15am", et cetera?
A. Yes. So an hour and five minutes phone call.
Q. So it seems.
A. Yes.
Q. In the second-bottom paragraph beginning "In 1983",

Musy seems to have said to Chebl, according to his note of it, that prior to the pair commencing their relationship, Mattaini was unhappy in life, but he believed he became happy once their relationship commenced?
A. Yes.
Q. At the top of page 3, Musy appears to have said that prior to Mattaini's disappearance he was in good spirits and he'd bought things from David Jones?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, three paragraphs from the bottom there's reference to him returning to Australia and Ottaviani telling him that he, Ottaviani, had spoken to a neighbour who had seen Gilles walking at Bondi; do you see that? A. Yes, and I notice there is a name in brackets there - oh, no, sorry, I'm - yes.
Q. Well, he is talking about a man called "Lehman" who helped him with the searches?
A. Yes. No, sorry, I thought that was an identifier of the person, the neighbour, but it's not.
Q. No, it's not.
A. Yes I see that.
Q. You may or may not remember this, but you'11 see there that, on that account, if it's accurately recorded by Chebl, Musy has said that it wasn't him that spoke to the neighbour, Terry, but it was Ottaviani; do you see that? A. Yes.
Q. But you recal1, I take it, that later on, Chebl has further communications with Musy and he eventually says, "No, no, it was in fact me, it was I, Musy, who spoke to Terry"; do you remember that?
A. Vaguely, yes. I'm not doubting what you say.
Q. Good. I will take you to it, but it seems, even on that point, Mr Musy's memory was fallible. Do you agree? A. I understand, yes.
Q. And in the next paragraph, Chebl puts this to him. He says that in the statement, Musy had said that he was the one who had spoken to Terry and Musy said, well, due to the passage of time, he couldn't recall. Later on, as we'll see he eventually came to the view that yes, indeed, it was him.
A. Yes.
Q. So his memory chops and changes on that point?
A. There are some concerns, yes.
Q. Halfway down page 4 we get Chebl asking Musy about Mattaini's history with attempting suicide. We don't know the precise question or the precise answer, but the two incidents that we know about are referred to again. The
first one involving slashing of the wrists?
A. Yes.
Q. Which is obviously in fact the first one, when he was young?
A. Yes.
Q. And the second one, in the next paragraph, to do with the event when he was in the French army.
A. Yes, and the pills, yes.
Q. Yes. And then the next paragraph says this:

Musy stated throughout his relationship
with Mattaini he found him to be
comfortable with death and would speak
openly about dying on his own accord rather than naturally.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, that phrase, "throughout his relationship with Mattaini", is very significant, isn't it?
A. Yes, I would have thought so.
Q. And it appears in the summary, which we wil1 come to in a minute?
A. Yes.
Q. It's reproduced in the summary, and in the end, it's reproduced by Leggat in the post operational assessment?
A. Yes.
Q. Namely, the assertion that Musy stated throughout his relationship with Mattaini he found him comfortable with death and would speak openly about dying of his own accord; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now you know actually that Musy gave sworn evidence directly contrary to that, don't you? You know that from morning?
A. I've seen that this morning.
Q. So either you or Cheb1, if you had read the transcript, would have known that if that indeed was what

Musy was saying, then it was the opposite of what he had said on his oath; you would have known that if you had read the transcript, wouldn't you?
A. I can agree with that, yes.
Q. But since it was reproduced in the summary and reproduced again in the post operational assessment, would that indicate that you hadn't read the transcript, or would it indicate that you did know what was in the transcript but you put it in your summary anyway?
A. Or I'd forgotten what was in the - we had forgotten what was in the transcript.
Q. To put it in the summary, the phrase, "throughout his relationship with Mattaini", in respect of his being comfortable with death, and so on, given what's in the transcript as you sit here today, is obviously wrong, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. And of course, one doesn't know - you don't and no-one else knows in this room - just exactly what words Musy used in this telephone conversation?
A. No. Agree.
Q. So whether that's an accurate kind of paraphrase of something Musy said is unknown to you?
A. Correct.
Q. A couple of lines down, Chebl records Musy as saying that prior to the pair moving to Australia, Mattaini would make comments about taking his own life.
A. Yes.
Q. He spoke of death as being a release for him, and so on. Now, that is a bit closer to what Musy actually said in the transcript, although even then it's not the same, do you agree?
A. It's - it's closer, yes.
Q. It's closer, but what Mr Musy made clear in the transcript was, it wasn't just prior to moving to Australia, it was never since 1978 had he ever said anything of the sort since, wasn't it? That's what he said in the transcript?
A. That's what he said in the transcript, yes.
Q. Then at the top of page 5, Chebl says that he asked Musy about information said to have been provided to Eyraud stating that he wanted to die and nobody would find his body, and Musy is said to have agreed that he had said that, but there is no identification in that paragraph of when Mattaini is supposed to have said that, is there?
A. No, it doesn't appear so.
Q. No. Then in the second paragraph on page 5 we get:

Detective Chebl asked Musy if the information about Mattaini's comments about "dying" was provided to Police in 2002 ...

Now, I'll come to the answer in a second, but if that was the question, it was - if that was literally the question, it was somewhat ambiguous, wasn't it?
A. Put like that, yes.
Q. Pretty obviously, Musy did tell Page at least something about suicide, because there's reference to two suicide attempts in the statement?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. And pretty obviously, he told the Coroner - whether or not he had previously also told the police is another matter, but he certainly told the Coroner - not just about the two suicide attempts but about the previous outlook or mind set involving preferring death to life and so on?
A. Yes.
Q. So without knowing what the question was, it's a bit hard to know, but according to Chebl's note, Musy stated in answer to whatever the question was:

```
... "Of course I told ... Page of this, of
course, in 2002 I said this. I said Gilles
was comfortable with dying and he would do
it so his body is not found, but this did
not happen".
```

Now, none of that's in - well, no, sorry, it is in quotes. But what did you understand him to mean by "but this did not happen"?
A. I can't follow it. I'm wondering whether it's a problem with the - like, the English, broken English or something.
Q. Yes. We11, that's a very frank response, if I may say so. It is very hard to know, you I would suggest to you, just what it is that Musy is saying that he said?
A. Yeah, on the face of it, it doesn't appear to make sense.
Q. Thank you. And then Chebl records:

Musy went on to state despite Mattaini's history with suicide attempts after speaking with Page he was of the opinion Mattaini did not commit suicide was most likely murdered.
A. Yes.
Q. Now, given what you know about what you said in evidence and what you've put in your own summary about how Musy and Wyszynski were responding to publicity about Taradale and articles in the gay press and so on --
A. Mmm.
Q. -- before they ever went to Page, that sentence is one that obviously you would say today would have needed to be fleshed out and checked somewhat to see what exactly he was saying; would you agree?
A. I would have clarified it.
Q. In the paragraph three from the bottom:

Detective Cheb7 asked Musy what he based this on --
that is, that he thought that they'd never find the body --
Musy stated "because of everything that has happened in Bondi." Musy encouraged [Detective] Cheb1 to read the book which covers the murders around Marks Park.

Do you see that?
A. When you say - I throw a different interpretation on that.
Q. I haven't put an interpretation on it, yet. I'm just asking if you see what has been written there?
A. I see what's written there.
Q. Now, this is in 2016, and Musy is referring to a book that he seems to have read about the murders around Marks Park.
A. Yes.
Q. Likely to be, although one doesn't know for certain, "Bondi Badlands", published in 2007 by Greg Callaghan. Do you know that book?
A. I have seen the book, yes.
Q. At any rate, Musy seems to have, as part of his recollection of what he knew and where he knew it from, what he'd read in the book about the murders at Marks Park, probably "Bondi Badlands"; you agree?
A. We11, possibly "Bondi Bad1ands", but certain1y he appears to be saying he got it from a book.
Q. Yes. Then in the next paragraph:

Detective Chebl inquired again whether Page
or any other Police were made aware of the
comments made by Mattaini regarding
"dying" ...
Now again, that is a somewhat ambiguous question as a note of a telephone conversation. Again, one doesn't know what the question actually was; do you agree?
A. Agree.
Q. And what's recorded then is:
... Musy reiterated that he had told him in 2002, but was of the belief it wasn't a major issue because from his meeting with Page he was of the firm belief Gilles was murdered and did not commit suicide.

So I take it that sentence is one of the parts of this note of conversation that you have been relying on when you say, "Well, I'm basing what $I$ think on this telephone conversation"?
A. Yes.
Q. But the very next sentence, Musy is supposed to have said:
"Gilles was too scared, he would be too afraid to stand on the edge of a cliff and jump, I don't believe he did this".
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see that? Now, that's somewhat different, isn't it? That's not based on anything Page may or may not have said. That's Musy's own instinctive understanding of his lover?
A. Yes.
Q. So then we get embedded into the note this last email in the chain, which Chebl seems to have formatted so that the email is on the left, and comparably on the right are a couple of paragraphs from the 2002 statement; do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So Musy's emai1, which is 10 December - I won't take you to every part of it - on the page numbered page 8,
which is the second page of the email --
A. Yes.
Q. -- he refers to the person who saw Mr Mattaini on the day, a beach acquaintance living a block away, and do you see he says:

I second my 2002 statement \& I want to confirm that $I$ would have spoken from (fresher) memory at the time --
A. Yes.
Q. --
(against from what $I$ can remember now) ...
A. Yes.
$Q$.
Indeed it is me who spoke to him, I, at the time had handwritten "Terry" next to that article...
et cetera. So he's now reverting back to what he'd said in

2002 as distinct from what he said in that earlier exchange that we looked at namely --
A. Yes.
Q. Now, a couple of paragraphs below, he sends him the page of the calendar, which points out what Mattaini had noted in the calendar including that what was written on the 24th was the word "Kikou" - K-I-K-O-U - which was a nickname for Musy?
A. Yes.
Q. So he was evidently expecting Mr Musy to return and looking forward to it, as we know from Mr Musy's own evidence; agreed?
A. Yes.
Q. Then he says:

Finally $I$ can state that.

And he gives firstly a description of the first suicide attempt:

```
... prior to meeting me tried to kil7
```

himself once as a teenager.
A. Yes:
Q.
... he was a unhappy youth not at ease in this harsh world not accepting the gays.
... his father had rejected him ...
Then on page 9 , he says:

Years 7ater we met.
Shortly after meeting and starting dating Gilles, he was conscripted [into the army].

He describes the conditions in the army as being, in a sense, essentially unpleasant, and Musy says that this and harassment from bullies led him again to try to end his 1ife.

Agreed?
A. Agree.
Q. Then:

We happily re-united and went on our 7 years wonderful loving relationship.

He says:

Years later discussing these 2 attempts he explained to me that 7 ife was sometimes --
was sometimes --
so unbearably difficult to confront, that
to him, death eventually seemed then
a relief.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see the reference to "then"?
A. Yes.
Q. Meaning in the past?
A. Perhaps meaning in the past or perhaps again the problem with some kind of broken English or misunderstanding.
Q. Possibly. But I'11 come to another document in a minute which wil1, I think, enable you to agree that indeed he was talking about the past. And then, according to Mr Musy:

So if such daunting times were to fall on him he couldn't rule out that he could resort to such solution to try to escape.
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. Now, "daunting times" had not fallen on him again, had they, according to all the evidence you had?
A. Not from what $I$ have seen today, no.
Q. No. Mr Musy says:

When I objected that he was selfish to
overlook --
in effect the effect that him suiciding would have on his family and himself. Musy said that:
... family and friends ...
would easily carry on with our lives as we would not find his body!

He said "not to annoy us with his corpse would be the least he could do", and so on. So there's an account there of the committing suicide in a way that you wouldn't find the body. That's certainly referred to.
A. Yes.
Q. But you would agree that it's at least somewhat unclear there as to when that state of mind is being said to have been?
A. I don't follow your thinking on that.
Q. Well, there's no timing in that paragraph as to when he had this --
A. No. No, there's no date or approximate date or --
Q. Or approximate date. There's no indication of when Musy is saying that Mattaini thought like that?
A. Yes, and that's an important point.
Q. Agreed. And I will come in a minute to a document that sheds some light on it. Musy says:

However I can honestly state that meeting me --
and we know that was in 1978 --
had changed his life around.
Me, Australia and our wonderful work mates ... turned him into a happy lad.
A. Yes.
Q. Then on the next page he refers to the visa business:

The only dark point in that happy life was the expiry of his Visitors Visa looming ...

That's all he says about that. Then he says:
As I was away for a few weeks prior to his disappearance, we had many phone calls ...

He talks about the shopping:
... he couldn't wait for my return; he was over-excited at the planned arrival [of Wyszynski].

Do you agree?
A. Yes.
Q.

So, besides the Visa cloud, there were absolutely no reasons for him to want to end it all.
A. Yes.
Q. He says that after his disappearance he had Valium and
so on, and he assumed that his friends had done everything that could be done. And then he says:
... had he committed suicide!
and he goes on:
As of course it never crossed my mind then --
that is 1985 --
that anything else could in fact have happened.
A. Yes.
Q. In other words, you would infer, the only thing he could have even imagined in 1985 in the absence of anything else was suicide, because at that time he had no notion in his head that something like murder might have even been possible?
A. Yes, I - that's the reading I get from it, yes.
Q. Okay. Now, that email and the note that I went
through by Chebl of the phone call --
A. Yes.
Q. -- are the two main things that you and Cheb1 had from Musy. I know there are a couple more which I'11 come to, but they're the two main things that you had when looking at this question of what Musy's views about police were about suicide were?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. Now, back to the summary.

MR GRAY: I've just been reminded, Commissioner, it's about 10 to 1.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, another couple of minutes. Wi11 you finish this topic in a few minutes, or not?

MR GRAY: I can finish a part of the topic in a minute or two.

THE COMMISSIONER: I'm in your hands.

MR GRAY: Q. Just back at 41?
A. Sorry, you've lost me there, sir.
Q. Tab 172 [SCOI.47881_0001]?
A. Oh. Yes.
Q. Paragraph 41. That's where you and Cheb1 have referred to the communication from Eyraud, and you've picked up her language, or his language - I'm not sure whether Magali is male or female.
A. Yes.
Q. Saying:
... Mattaini made suicide attempts before he went missing ...

That's where that has come from, apparently?
A. Yes.
Q. Or at least it's come from Chebl's account of what the email said?
A. Yes.

MR GRAY: I'11 pause there. That's convenient, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Yes, I will adjourn until
2. Thank you.

## LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr Morgan, please sit down.
Yes, Mr Gray.
MR GRAY: Q. Mr Morgan, I'd been taking you through I took you through the investigation note --
A. Yes.
Q. -- which was mainly Mr Chebl's note of his conversation with Mr Musy?
A. Yes.
Q. Although it covered some other topics as well, but it was mainly about that. Then I want to just now come back to the actual summary itself so we can see where those parts of the investigation note find a place in the summary. So if you turn to the summary at tab 172 [SCOI.74881_0001] --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and if we go to paragraph 43 first of all, I had taken you up to 41 , and then at paragraph 42 , I should say, you refer to this phone call between Chebl and Musy. Do you see paragraph 42?
A. I do.
Q. That's the one that's the subject of the investigator's note?
A. Correct.
Q. In 43, you mention something thought to be of significance from this telephone conversation, and you mention about Musy's remarks about Mattaini going on walks? A. Yes.
Q. And you say in the last paragraph - when I say "you", you and Chebl say:

Musy high7ighted the fact that Mattaini
would not go out at night.
A. Correct.
Q. --
or ever attend a gay beat.

Just focusing on "not go out at night", you'11 recal1
I took you to this yesterday, that in his statement, Musy actually said that Mattaini did go out in the early evening; you recal 1 that?
A. Yes, the distinction $I$ make is after dark. "Early evening" isn't definitely after dark, whereas to me, "night" is after dark.
Q. Okay. Wel1, I won't 1 inger on that one. At 45 there's a reference to the two suicide attempts. Then when we get to 46 and 47 in particular, if you would just read those to yourself now, what $I$ want to suggest to you is that it's pretty clear that 46 and 47 basically come from the investigator's note, in some cases, the same language, in fact.
A. Yes, they come from the same investigator's note. I accept that.
Q. And there are numerous footnotes down the bottom of the page which all say "IN-148", which is the investigator's note; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And the footnotes refer to the follow-up information, which in part is from the telephone call and in part is from an email; correct?
A. Yes, and there's also reference to Mr Musy's statement.
Q. Yes. Now, in paragraph 46, in the middle of that paragraph, you wil1 see that you and Cheb1 have picked up the phrase that Musy stated "throughout his relationship with Mattaini", he found him to be comfortable with death, et cetera; do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And as we went through this morning, you accept now, having seen the transcript, that that is not right?
A. Well, it appears it was earlier in the relationship.
Q. Well, not "it appears"; he made it crystal clear in the transcript that it was only earlier in the relationship? I took you to that in detail this morning. A. Yes.
Q. I'11 do it again if you need it, but --
A. No, no, we don't need to go through it again.
Q. I don't think so. And in 47 you are again, I think you have accepted this, basically picking up what's in the investigator's note, and the same is true of 48 , you would agree?
A. Yes.
Q. And 49. It comes from somewhere or other in the investigator's note - either in the note of the phone call or from the email?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, we have established this morning that you weren't present when this conversation on the phone happened, and that you'd seen no transcript of it and heard no recording of it, so we're all just dependent on what Mr Chebl wrote down, one or as many as five days later?
A. Well, I wouldn't agree with that.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, I'm unaware - I would imagine that Mr Chebl made notes as he was doing the phone call. That's certainly how I would do it, and then transcribed them into the investigator's note subsequently.
Q. Well, whether he did that or not, all that any of us have now is his typed note; correct?
A. Well, that's all I have in front of me at the moment, yes.
Q. You can assume that it's all that anyone else in this room has, too.
A. Yes.
Q. So that without a transcript of the telephone conversation or an audio recording of it, all any of us in this room can do is rely on or use his note, the typed -A. The investigator's note.
Q. The typed note?
A. Correct.
Q. Which he produced some time either on the 13th, which is the date stated on it, or at a date - he started it no earlier than the 9th, according to the cover page.
A. We11, obvious1y he can't have started it before the phone call, so yes.
Q. He can't. But the phone call was on the 8th, just so you're oriented, but the cover sheet of the note says the document wasn't even started until the $9 t h$ ?
A. Okay.
Q. And it starts with - and the expression is - "A brief case overview"?
A. Sorry, what was that?
Q. "A brief case overview" -- -
A. Yes.
Q. -- which is basically the first page. We went through that this morning?
A. Yes.
Q. So one possibility - none of us can be sure - one possibility is that he might have written that on the first day, the $9 t h$, the brief case overview, and written the rest of it at some time between the 9 th and the 13th?
A. Perhaps.
Q. At the end of yesterday, in the witness box, you listed three factors that you relied on as the basis for standing by the allegation in the summary that Detective Sergeant Page deliberately withheld information from Coroner Milledge; do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. The three factors were, and I'm looking at transcript page 2056, 1 ines 12 to 21 , first, information from Musy about Mattaini feeling more comfortable with death than being alive?
A. Yes.
Q. Second, a conversation about if he did kil1 himself, he wanted to make sure his remains weren't found?
A. Yeah, because it would upset his mother, yes.
Q. And thirdly, you said, most importantly, the fact that he claims that Mr Page convinced him that it was a homicide rather than a suicide or anything else?
A. Yes.
Q. They were the three things you relied upon as how you could continue to maintain this allegation that Detective Page deliberately withheld evidence from the Coroner. Is that right?
A. Yeah, that's what I said, but conversely, the claim that he had persuaded Mr Musy that it was a homicide rather than a suicide.
Q. Yes, that's the third one - that's the third --
A. Yes.
Q. -- point that you raised.
A. Yes, okay.
Q. Now, I want to take you through them. As to the first one, that the Coroner did not have before her material about Mattaini feeling more comfortable with death than being alive, as I've been through with you this morning -A. Yes.
Q. -- that was expressly stated by Mr Musy in the witness box, wasn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. And, further, Mr Musy made it crystal clear in the witness box that Mr Mattaini had had that outlook, about preferring death to life, in the past, when he was young, prior to meeting Mr Musy; correct?
A. That's my recollection of it, yes.
Q. And that Mr Mattaini had never had any such outlook subsequently after meeting Mr Musy. He said that in the witness box too?
A. Correct.
Q. Indeed, he said in the witness box that Mr Mattaini's thoughts after meeting Mr Musy were actually to reflect on how stupid he had once been in his young days when he had had such an outlook?
A. I recall those words.
Q. Right. So you would accept, I take it, then, that that first basis for standing by your accusation to do with Mr Page deliberately withholding evidence cannot be maintained?
A. It appears not to be able to be maintained.
Q. So do you withdraw it?
A. Well, it's not for me to withdraw.
Q. Well, it is. I'm asking you whether you withdraw it?
A. I didn't make the accusation.
Q. You did yesterday in the witness box.
A. No.
Q. No, come on, Mr Morgan, this is not a joke. You may smile. It's not a joke.
A. It's certainly not a joke but --
Q. Excuse me, I'11 ask the question now. You said yesterday that you still stood by the accusation that he had withheld evidence from the Coroner deliberately, and one reason that you gave for it was this reason. You now accept that that reason was not maintainable. Do you withdraw it?
A. Okay. I withdraw it.
Q. Thank you. As to your second reason, or your second basis, namely, the conversation about if he killed himself, he would make sure his remains weren't found so as not to upset the mother --
A. Yes.
Q. -- as to that topic, Chebl's note, the investigator's note, contains assertions to the effect - and we went through them this morning - that according to Mr Musy, speaking to Chebl, Mr Musy had said something to that effect to Page about that detail back in 2002. That's what the investigator's note appears to say?
A. Yes.
Q. As discussed already, you don't know if Mr Chebl's note is entirely accurate or not?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Neither of you asked Mr Page if Mr Musy had actually said any such thing to him?
A. No, and I actually gave some thought to that, last evening, and - because I know I said to you I thought we had a meeting as to whether Mr Page would be approached, and I'm - I seem to have an impression that due to the circumstances in which Mr Page left the police, that may have been why he wasn't approached.
Q. And what difference would that make?
A. Well, I - my understanding, and I may well be wrong, your Honour, but my understanding is that he went off sick, and generally speaking, when police go off sick, we don't normally contact them, because it - if they've got a condition, particularly a mental health condition, it can make things worse. I don't know for a fact but that seems to be a recollection, that that may have been why we did not contact Mr Page. Because normally I would have.
Q. So now, at the heel of the hunt, after two days in the witness box, never having said this before, you try to raise the suggestion of some mental health issue about Mr Page and then try to base not giving him the chance to respond on that; is that your position?
A. It's - it would explain why we didn't contact.

Normally I do contact previous OICs of a matter. It is part of what I normally do. But if people have gone off sick, particularly with, you know, some kind of PTSD or any of those kind of afflictions, the general advice is that we don't contact them.
Q. And to your knowledge, did that apply to Mr Page?
A. I can't be certain but it would certainly explain the circumstances.
Q. When you say you can't be certain, do you have any idea at all?
A. No.
Q. So for you to say this in the witness box now as though it's the reason is pure speculation on your part? A. No, I didn't say it as the reason. I said it may explain why we didn't go down that track, because it would - it's unusual that you wouldn't go down that track of speaking to a previous OIC.
Q. Oh. Does that mean that if it hadn't been for what you are speculating about, his having speculatively gone off sick for any reason, you would have asked him?
A. Sorry, what was that?
Q. You would have asked him, unless there was the obstacle of his having gone off sick?
A. Well, that would certainly explain it in my mind.

I was giving quite a lot of thought to it last night.
Q. At any rate, at the moment - I may come back to that whatever the reason was, neither of you asked Mr Page for his response as to whether or not Mr Musy had ever said such a thing to him?
A. No.
Q. And in any event, as you know, Mr Musy did give evidence in the witness box, didn't he?
A. He did.
Q. And he was asked numerous questions, and I went through them all with you this morning?
A. Yes.
Q. Relating to suicide in one way or another?
A. Yes.
Q. He was asked numerous questions about Mr Mattaini's thoughts and ideas about suicide at various times?
A. Yes.
Q. If Mr Musy had wanted to refer to the particular concept of Mr Mattaini's body not being found, he was perfectly free to do so, wasn't he - in the box?

MR TEDESCHI: I object.
THE COMMISSIONER: Why?
MR TEDESCHI: It's speculative.
THE COMMISSIONER: We11, there's a lot of speculation in this matter. I will allow it.

MR GRAY: Q. Mr Musy could have referred to that point if he'd wanted to in the box, couldn't he?
A. He could have.
Q. I will take you to the answer in a minute, as to what the question was and what the answer was, but anyway, you
agree that he could have?
A. Yes.
Q. And in fact, he did not?
A. Apparently not.
Q. Well, you read the transcript this morning. You know he did not?
A. Yeah, it's not in the transcript, I agree.
Q. So he didn't say it in the witness box, did he?
A. No.
Q. But what he did say in the witness box is what he said in particular in the long answer at page 49 that I took you to this morning. I'11 take you to it again. You remember the long one?
A. Yes.
Q. That's what he apparently regarded as important when answering the question he was asked about Mr Mattaini's mental outlook - you agree?
A. That's what he said.
Q. Right. Could Mr Morgan have that transcript again, please. It's in volume 14, tab 280 [SCOI.82371_0001]?
A. Yes, I have that document.
Q. Page 48 , the question at the bottom of the page, line 58, introducing the fact that the relationship went from 1978 to 1985 - do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Then the question to which the long answer was given is this:
Q. In that period --
the whole seven-year period --
did he give any indication --
any indication:
... that he was thinking about suicide, contemplating it in any respect or was so stressed or sad or depressed that that
might be something he could do?

That's the question.
A. Yes.
Q. And I won't go through the whole answer again, but --
A. But he says "No".
Q. -- after saying "No", he then goes on for 16 or 17

1 ines developing why he says "No", referring to the fact that at some long-ago point he had been more attracted to death than life, and so on - he gives all of that evidence, doesn't he?
A. He does.
Q. And if he had wanted to say something about the body not being found, if Mr Musy thought that was relevant to a question about did he give any indication at all, he could have, couldn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. But he didn't.
A. No.
Q. But he did say all the things that are set out there in that answer at page 49 , 1 ine 4 to 1 ine 21 , and he said all of the things at page 51 , 1 ine 28 to 39 , that $I$ took you to this morning?
A. Yes.
Q. And he said all of the things on page 56 and the top of page 57 that I took you to this morning?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, what Mr Musy chose to say in the witness box and what he chose not to say had nothing to do with Sergeant Page, did it?
A. No.
Q. As to the third reason that you gave, or the third basis, which was - you said most importantly, the fact that Musy claims that Page convinced him it was a homicide rather than suicide or anything else --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that's your third basis for standing by the allegation that Page deliberately withheld information from
the Coroner?
A. Yes.
Q. You base this, as I think you have said several times, on what Musy seems to have said, or might have said, in his communication with Chebl, his telephone conversation?
A. Yes.
Q. And Chebl in his note of that conversation probably, although it's slightly ambiguous, but probably, records Musy as saying that Page had persuaded him it was homicide and not suicide?
A. Yes.
Q. Again, you never asked Page if, in fact, that was correct?
A. Wel1, as I explained --
Q. The answer is no, though, isn't it?
A. No.
Q. You've given the reason, but --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the fact is you never did?
A. No.
Q. But, as wel1 as that, first of al1, I put this to you,

Mr Morgan: from all the matters that $I$ took you to this morning in the transcript and in your own summary, it's obviously very likely that, in fact, Mr Morgan - sorry, Mr Musy and/or Mr Wyszynski had already formed the view that it might be homicide before they ever went to Page? I went through that with you this morning?
A. Yes.
Q. And you accepted that that was so?
A. They may have.
Q. They may have. And indeed, your own summary would indicate that they probably did, because that's what you say in the summary at paragraph 4 , isn't it?
A. Okay.
Q. And, secondly, even if it had been Mr Page who had put the idea of homicide into Mr Musy's head, that would have made no difference to whether or not evidence relating to
suicide was before the Coroner, would it?
A. No, it wouldn't have affected the evidence about suicide.
Q. No. So would you agree that, on reflection today, the first basis that you put forward as justifying your standing by the allegation against Page is not maintainable? You have accepted that?
A. I accept that.
Q. The third basis is not maintainable as a basis for alleging that Page withheld evidence?
A. The third basis being the business about him suggesting that it was homicide?
Q. Yes.
A. I'm not going to say that I don't agree with that.
Q. What conceivable relevance to whether Page withheld evidence does it make - or, rather, is there, regardless of whether it was Page who put that idea in Musy's head or the publicity that did so? How does that make a difference to whether evidence was before the Coroner?
A. Well, at the end of the day, it may not have, but how are we to know? It's hypothetical.
Q. I don't know that it is hypothetical. What difference could it possibly make? If Musy had arrived at the view subsequently, whether by reading publicity in the paper and on television or because he got it from something Page said - how does that make any difference to what evidence was before the Coroner about suicide?
A. I - I can't be certain.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. When you say you can't be certain, though, Mr Morgan, it's plain, isn't it from the transcript you've been taken to that Mr Musy was at pains to answer all questions in relation to suicide and did so seemingly comprehensively?
A. Yes, I see that in the transcript.
Q. And so what difference does it make if Page even had had this conversation and part of the idea of homicide had come in via Page as opposed to "Bondi Badlands" or some other book that Mr Musy had read? Mr Musy appears uninfluenced because when asked the question, he was forthcoming, both in relation to the two suicide attempts,
the circumstances in which they had occurred, when the suicidal ideation had manifested itself and so on. So how could you possibly suggest Mr Page's conduct somehow or other prevented the Coroner from considering suicide?
A. Put like that, I understand where you're - what you're saying.
Q. It's an untenable proposition, isn't it, really?
A. Yes.

MR GRAY: Q. Right. So you would accept that the first and the third of your bases that you gave yesterday are untenable and simply fall away; correct?
A. On the face of it, yes.
Q. So that leaves the second, which is the concept of, "If suicide, do it in a way that the body's not found".
That's the second basis that you put forward?
A. To save the mother's anguish, yes.
Q. As to that one, you didn't check with Page, number one?
A. No.
Q. Number two, it's not entirely clear, even you agree, exactly what may have been said as between Chebl and Musy?
A. Well, it's recorded by Chebl. That's all I can go off. I wasn't part of the conversation.
Q. Yes. And given that the record is only a note made at least a day, and perhaps quite a few days, after the conversation, and given that the point was never put to Page, so you don't know his response, that second basis that you nominated last night cannot possibly be a proper basis for maintaining the very serious allegation of withholding evidence that you've made in the summary, can it?
A. I can see there are problems with it.
Q. Do you accept what I'm putting to you? It cannot possibly sustain, by itself, and it's now the only one left standing, the serious allegation that you made of deliberately withholding evidence so as to prevent the Coroner considering suicide as a possibility?
A. I accept that it didn't result in the Coroner not hearing about the possible suicide.
Q. And it's not a basis for alleging that Page deliberately withheld evidence from her? It's not a proper basis, given the problems with it?
A. I'm struggling with the concept somewhat.
Q. What are you struggling with?
A. The fact that if Detective Sergeant Page had influenced Mr --
Q. No, no, we're not talking about that. We're talking about the second one, which is the body not being found one. That's what we're dealing with now. The first and third I've covered with you. The second one is the body not being found topic, and I'm putting to you - and I thought you had agreed - that there is at least a level of uncertainty as to exactly what Chebl and Musy said, because we don't have a proper record, we've only got a note made some time later, that's the first thing; and, secondly, you never asked Page for his response, so you don't know whether the accusation is right or not.
A. Well, I'm trusting of what Detective Chebl said in recorded in the conversation with Mr Musy.
Q. Let's assume that's so. That, however, leaves unclear whether Mr Musy was accurately remembering it when he said whatever he did say to Chebl, doesn't it?
A. That's true.
Q. And you never asked Page --
A. No.
Q. -- whether it was correct that that thing had been said to him?
A. No.
Q. So since you don't actually know whether Musy's recollection on this point is right, as recorded by Chebl, that point, body not being found point, cannot sustain by itself as a proper basis the allegation against Page of withholding evidence from the Coroner, can it?
A. In relation to the suicide you're saying?
Q. Yes, in relation to suicide.
A. I accept what you're saying.
Q. That being so, all three of your bases nominated yesterday for standing by that very serious allegation
against Mr Page you now have resiled from. I'm not criticising that, but that's what you have done this afternoon; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, nevertheless, you put that sensational allegation against Page - you and Chebl - into writing, giving him no chance to respond, and you left those damaging allegations sitting on a police file ever after, didn't you?
A. Well, I didn't as - as we've discussed, but yes, it's on the file.
Q. And you knew that in the case of the summaries, including this Mattaini summary, it was going to be available on e@gle.i to whoever it was who had access?
A. Well, only to those that had access, yes.
Q. And you knew that when the shorter version found its way into the post operational assessment, that document, the post operational assessment, would go all the way to the top, by which I mean to the Commander Homicide, to the Director of Crime Operations and to the Commander of State Crime Command, didn't you?
A. Yes.
Q. And you knew that every time somebody read it, including those three high-ranking officers and including anyone who looked at it on e@gle.i, Page's reputation would suffer; correct?
A. Well, I didn't - as I say, I didn't author it and; secondly, it certainly wasn't done with that intention.
Q. I'11 ask the question again. In fact, I'11 go back a step. You've now said many times that you didn't author it, but you've also conceded many times that you reviewed it and endorsed it?
A. Yes.
Q. So do you accept that you joined in the dissemination of that document?
A. By way of accepting it, yes.
Q. And by way of sending it, in the case of the summaries to e@gle.i, and in the case of the post operational assessment, to the three high ranking officers that I've mentioned?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you agree that that was very unfair to Page?
A. I can see that it appears to have been unfair to him, yes.
Q. And you would agree that whether it was your intention or not, inevitably, given the seriousness of the allegation, every time someone read it, his reputation would suffer?
A. Possibly.
Q. Possibly? An accusation of deliberately withholding evidence from a Coroner?
A. I --
Q. It's very damaging, isn't it, Mr Morgan, surely?
A. I can see how it could be.
Q. We11, as I put to you yesterday, I think, it's effectively an accusation of perverting the course of justice?
A. That was your terminology for it.
Q. Yes, it was.
A. I don't see it that high.
Q. Because the accusation was that he deliberately withheld evidence and that, as a consequence, the Coroner was not alerted to something that she needed to be alerted to, and that her findings were infected by that lack of evidence. That was the accusation, wasn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. We11, that amounts to an accusation - and they are my words - of perverting the course of justice, doesn't it?
A. Wel1, I don't accept that, but --
Q. At any rate, whether you accept that terminology or not, it's an accusation of not putting evidence before Coroner Milledge that she should have had?
A. Yes.
Q. And you never told Coroner Milledge that this was your finding?
A. No.
Q. And surely you needed to: didn't she need to know
that, on your account, she'd been the victim of the deliberate withholding of evidence by police?
A. Well, it was many years subsequent.
Q. And so? Isn't that a serious enough allegation that the Coroner surely deserved to be told, in case she wanted to re-open the matter or do something about it?
A. Yeah, I - I have no answer to that.
Q. I'll come back to this point in a second, but just to complete the picture of what you actually had, you and Chebl, when you wrote this summary --
A. You keep saying "when you wrote" it. I did not write it. I accept that I reviewed it and accepted it, but I did not write it.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. We have to accept, don't we, Mr Morgan - or should I accept - that before you accepted it, you would have carefully read it?
A. I read it. I don't know how carefully I would have read it.
Q. I see. So is it possible you just skim-read it?
A. I would have thought more than that but there is a lot of material that one reads.
Q. Mr Morgan, the gravity of these allegations were obvious to you, weren't they, even if you just cursorily looked at the last few paragraphs?
A. Putting my mind to it, now, as I sit here, yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Okay.
MR GRAY: Q. So what was available to Chebl and to you at the time he wrote and you endorsed the summary was, among other things, the Musy statement itself of August 2002?
A. It would have been, yes.
Q. The Musy oral evidence transcript from April 2003?
A. I'm assuming it would have been, yes.
Q. No, no, you're not assuming. You've told us on your oath that you had it --
A. Okay.
Q. -- aren't you? You've said that on your oath. You're
not going to go back on that now, are you?
A. No, no, I'm not trying to go back on it.
Q. No. So you had the statement and you had the transcript of Musy's oral evidence?
A. Yes.
Q. And you had Musy's email of 10 December 2016, which

I went through with you before lunch?
A. Yes.
Q. Which is embedded within the investigator's note?
A. Yes.
Q. And then on top of that - well, before I go, that email that we went through before lunch was obviously, in some respects at least, inconsistent with things that Musy had said in his oral evidence in the witness box, wasn't it?
A. There were inconsistencies, yes.
Q. Now, as well as those matters, you also had, finally I think finally - very late in the piece, in late 2017 just before this summary was written and reviewed, another document containing another version of Mr Musy's story. Do you remember that?
A. Please remind me.
Q. Yes. Turn to tab 170 [SCOI.10397.00006_0001].
A. It's not in this folder.
Q. I'm sorry, volume 6 you need. This is the document, according to the summary, that arrived from France on about, I think, 19 December 2017, in French.
A. Yes.
Q. Somebody - tell us who it was if you know - arranged for it to be translated from French to English by using Google Translate?
A. Yes .
Q. Who did that? Who arranged for that method to be used?
A. I think it may have been Chebl but I can't be certain.
Q. I'm assuming, but I may be assuming wrongly, that neither you nor Chebl spoke French?
A. No.
Q. I'm correct in that?
A. I certainly didn't and I don't believe that he did.
Q. So the statement comes in from France and it's translated by a Google program. I want to take you through it. Do you see it has a heading down the bottom of the first page, "Free audition"?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, whether this is something that somebody wrote, Mr Musy or someone else, or whether it's a transcribed account of something that he said on tape is not apparent, but in any event, it has Musy saying, among other things, that, at the bottom of the page, in 1976 or 1977 , he met Mattaini?
A. Yes.
Q. And then moving over to the next page, about halfway down, do you see the one sentence just below halfway:

I joined my friends --
this is after he comes back from France in 1985 --
.. Gilles had disappeared with the keys of the apartment.
A. Yes.
Q. So you're told that again, and yet it's still left out in your summary at every point. Agreed?
A. Agreed.
Q. Then he gives an account of the suicides. He says he remembers that Gilles had a difficult time in the military service and made a suicide attempt.
A. Yes.
Q. And this seems to be a little bit jumbled, you might agree?
A. Yes.
Q. But he says at that time Gilles was 15 or 16 years old, which seems to be - it would look like he's reversing the two?
A. Yes.
Q. He would have been probably 15 or 16 at the time of the first one?
A. Yes.
Q. And more 1 ike 20 or 19 at the time of the second one?
A. Yes, I would have thought.
Q. And then he says in the middle of that paragraph, or just below the middle:

One day while chatting he had told me in these terms (if one day it goes bad, I commit suicide because it will be an issue for me and that we will not find my body to bother the survivors).

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, the translation seems to be a little bit clunky?
A. Agreed.
Q. But nevertheless the topic is raised. And so he says, "One day while chatting". So the question arises immediately, when was this one day?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, we get the answer to that, I suggest, at the top of the next page, where the document suddenly turns from being a kind of uninterrupted narrative to a question and answer type format. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And the question is:

Did Gilles Mattaini comment on wanting to die and no-one found his body?

Answer:
It was in France before going to Australia. It was before 1983 ...

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So when on the previous page in that paragraph $I$ took you to about two-thirds of the way down he said, "One day while chatting he had told me in these terms", about not finding the body, it's clear from the answer at the top of the next page that that conversation happened before they ever came to Australia, isn't it?
A. I accept that.
Q. And the next question is:

If this had happened several times --
And pausing there, he didn't say it had happened several times, but anyway, the question is:

If this had happened several times, can you remember when and where you were when [plural] these conversations took place ...

And he gives an answer which refers only to one conversation, do you see that?

We were in the apartment we occupied in Paris --
A. Yes.
Q. - -

I wanted to know why Gilles had a suicide attempt while he was at his mother's house several years before. He told me --
what happened at his mother's house.
Then, a second time, as we were travelling, he had made another suicide attempt while he was a military contingent absorbing medicine.

Again another maybe not entirely accurate translation?
A. Yes, I understand.
Q.

I specify that the first suicide attempt dates from before our meeting.
A. Yes.
Q.

The second, in the army --
again the translation seems to have gone a bit haywire here --
was no longer an attempt to alert him to being exempted.

It's hard to know what was really said, but we saw that, a couple of times in other communications, Musy had said that he thought that the second one in the army may not have actually been a suicide attempt but might have been just a device to get himself out of the army?
A. Yes, I accept that.
Q. It may be, although I would accept it's not entirely clear, it may be that that's what he is attempting to say here?
A. Understand.
Q. And he says:

At that time, it was really a fragile Gilles --
ie, at the time of the army event; agreed?
A. Yes.
Q. So one conversation, in France, long before they came to Australia. Next question:

Did Gilles Mattaini go to commit suicide?
Answer:

Not at al7. He only told me --
and we've established from the previous answers when that was --

He only told me that if one day he had to commit suicide, his body would never be found ...

Do you see that? So do you accept that it's clear, as clear as one can tell from this translation --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that what he's saying is Mattaini said that once, he said it while they were still in Paris, and he said that it applied if one day he had to commit suicide.
A. Yes.
Q. Pausing there, you knew, as you were reading this, that in the witness box, Musy had said that never, ever, after 1978 had Mattaini ever indicated anything of a suicidal nature at all. We went through that this morning?
A. Which is inconsistent with this.
Q. How? What's the inconsistency you're thinking of?
A. Well, this talks about - you just mentioned 1976,

I thought, and this is some time before 1983, he says - well, '76, '83, there's six years difference.
Q. We11, the conversation, obvious1y, to be a conversation between Musy and Mattaini, had to have happened after they had met?
A. Obviousty.
Q. That much is obvious.
A. Yeah.
Q. In every other thing we've seen he has said they met in 1978?
A. I'm sorry, '78.
Q. In this one, he says '76, '77, that's true, but once again, that seems to be an indication of lack of certainty about dates?
A. Yeah.
Q. So they're having the conversation, a single conversation, admittedly after they'd met, obviousiy --
A. Obviously.
Q. $\quad-$ - but early in the days after they'd met, it would seem, from the whole of what appears on this page? Do you agree?
A. That's the way it appears to read, yes.
Q. Then, next question: How did the conversation take place?

The answer:

Very naturally. But without argument, without previous pressure. We approached this subject very naturally in relation to the suicide attempt he had made when he was young at his mother's bath.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. That's the time frame that he's applying this whole topic to, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. Next question:

How many times did Gilles Mattaini plan to commit suicide?

The answer:
[He] had made two suicide attempts. However, with me he had become someone happy to live. His new life in Australia suited him ful7y. He was very happy.

You saw all that?
A. Yes.
Q. You didn't mention that at all in the summary, or Chebl didn't, and you endorsed a version that didn't mention it; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Then next sentence:

Throughout this period --
ie, the whole time he has known him -he has never shown any suicidal intent.

These two attempts were made in France and being younger.

So he's saying it again, isn't he, in terms of distinguishing time frames that these things apply to?
A. Yes .
Q. Now again, you had that, and Chebl had it, before you wrote this summary, but you simply, or Chebl didn't and neither did you, take account of it and build it into your narrative, nor did you take account of it in your key findings when you made these accusations against Detective Sergeant Page; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, let's go back to the summary, which is at tab 172 [SCOI.74881_0001], I think. At paragraph 51, there's a reference to this correspondence having been received, the French document that I've just taken you to?
A. Yes.
Q. And in paragraph 52 there's an account of what that statement had in it. The account given is:

Musy states his knowledge of Mattaini's suicide attempts and the conversations he had with Mattaini about his intentions to take his own life.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. No mention of the fact that this single conversation not plural - had taken place years before in France? No mention of that?
A. No.
Q. No mention that what Mr Mattaini had been describing, even back then, years before in France, was what his state of mind had been at an even earlier time, before meeting Mr Musy? No mention of that either, is there?
A. No.
Q. No mention of Mr Musy emphasising, as we've seen yesterday and today that he had done several times before, that since meeting Mr Musy, Mr Mattaini had become very happy and had never again shown any suicidal intent - no
mention of that either, is there?
A. No.
Q. Do you think that language in paragraph 52 is a fair way, or was a fair way, to summarise that further statement of Mr Musy that came from France?
A. We11, it does say here that Musy stated, "Persona11y, I believed a lot in suicide", and then it goes on.
Q. So my question is: based on the four or five questions that I've just asked you and you accepted, do you think the way it's put in paragraph 52 -
A. It isn't reflected.
Q. -- "Musy states his knowledge of Mattaini's suicide attempts and the conversations he had with Mattaini about his intentions to take his own life" - do you think that's a fair way to summarise the whole of what's in that statement?
A. No, it's not reflected here.
Q. No. It's totally unfair, as a summary of what's in that statement, given what I've just been asking you about, isn't it?
A. That part of it, yes.
Q. And totally unfair to Detective Sergeant Page once again, would you agree?
A. No, I don't agree.
Q. I won't go over that same ground again. Let me take you to the summary, the heading "Summary" on page 10, above paragraph 53. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see paragraph 53, and there's a heading "Summary" above it? Now, at paragraph 54 of the summary, written by Chebl and endorsed by you, it contains an extract from Sergeant Page's statement before the Coroner in August 2002; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you do quote $I$ think all of it, or certainly most of it, and it's prefaced - that is, Sergeant Page's evidence - with the phrase, "Insofar as early opinions", isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. And with that preface, he says:
... I do not believe that homicide can be excluded ...
A. I see that.
Q. He refers to his awareness that Mattaini has previously tried to take his own life - he refers to that?
A. Yes.
Q. And he adds:
... however, there is a significant passage of time since that era ...

Now, pausing there, that is correct, isn't it; there was a significant passage of time since the era where he had tried to take his own life?
A. Yes, some years.
Q. Well, at least seven?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, more than seven. And he adds, Page adds:
... and whatever factors that did exist causing him to be suicidal there is no evidence to suggest that those factors still existed.

Pausing there, that's also accurate on all the material you've now looked at in the last day or two, isn't it?
A. Other than the concern he had and albeit some restricted concern about the visa situation.
Q. No, let's just read that again:
... whatever factors that did exist causing him to be suicidal --
ie, prior to 1978 --
there is no evidence to suggest that those factors still existed.

And Page in saying that is quite correct, on the evidence you have seen, isn't he?
A. "those factors" - yes.
Q. And then Page offers a view, "considering these suicide attempts occurred in his early teens", which is, I accept, probably not really accurate. He was a bit older than early teens, he was probably mid teens and then late teens early 20s?
A. Yes.
Q. Page says:
... the causes may well have been confusion over sexuality and loss of liberty whilst performing national service.
A. Yes, he says that.
Q. That opinion may be right or it may be wrong, but it's hardly somebody pushing one hypothesis of homicide, is it, when all he says is, "I do not believe that homicide can be excluded"?
A. Well, he's suggesting that suicide may be able to be excluded.
Q. He is suggesting that the suicide factors that had influenced him at the time he tried suicide no longer existed, and on all the evidence you have seen, that is correct - according to Musy?
A. According to Musy, yes.
Q. Now, look at paragraph 55. I did take you to this at the start of your evidence. Just read it over again, but I want to put to you that, essentially, that paragraph is almost all wrong for the reasons I went through with you earlier, in that it purports to apply to 1985 things that only actually apply to $1989 ?$
A. Yes. I accept that.
Q. So that whole paragraph is basically misconceived in connection with Mattaini; do you agree?
A. I do agree.
Q. Thank you. Now, when we get to the "Key Findings" I've asked you questions about paragraph 59 and supposed confirmation bias and so on --
A. Yes.
Q. And I'11 come back to paragraph 60 again - the accusation is that the statement failed to outline prior suicidal ideation. Now, without going over the whole thing again, you've agreed that one very clear indicator of suicidal ideation is an attempt at suicide?
A. Yes.
Q. That's obvious?
A. Quite clearly, yeah.
Q. Quite clearly. And the statement and his oral evidence both certainly referred to that directly; correct? A. Yes.
Q. And we know, as I've been putting to you probably at too much length, that in his oral evidence, Mr Musy did expressly refer to Mr Mattaini's having had in his youth an outlook that death was preferable to life; correct? He said that in the witness box?
A. Yes, he did say that.
Q. And also said squarely in the witness box that while he had had that view when he was young, he definitely had not had it since meeting Mr Musy?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr Musy did not say to the Coroner in the witness box anything about Mr Mattaini saying something to do with not finding the body, even though he had every opportunity to do so; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So of course, in terms of what evidence was before the Coroner, looking at your paragraph 60, it was obviously essential to have regard both to Mr Musy's written statement and to Mr Musy's oral evidence, wasn't it?
A. Agree.
Q. But in paragraph 60, you make no mention of the oral evidence at al1, do you?
A. No.
Q. Indeed, you make no mention of Mr Musy's oral evidence on his oath anywhere in this summary, do you?
A. Not that I've found, no.
Q. Instead, you assert, notwithstanding what he actually said in the witness box, in paragraph 60, two things: firstly, that the Coroner did not consider suicide as a possibility in Mattaini's disappearance?
A. Yes.
Q. And you've accepted that that's simply wrong?
A. Yes.
Q. And, secondly, you assert that her failure to consider suicide, which itself was no such failure because she did consider suicide, came about because of Page withholding evidence from her, and you've now accepted that you have no basis for saying that either, haven't you?
A. Yes.
Q. These accusations against Sergeant Page in paragraph 60 should never have been made, should they? A. Well, as I say, there still remains the phone call as it is recorded by Mr Chebl.
Q. In the light of the answers you've just given to me, these accusations should never have been put in this document, should they?
A. As I say, there is the information as recorded in that running sheet. Are we to just ignore that and pretend it doesn't exist?
Q. Mr Morgan, I'm not going to go and do it all again. I have been painstakingly putting to you all the factors that you had, as well as what was in what you call the running sheet?
A. Yes.
Q. Which lead to the conclusion, which you have accepted, that the running sheet of itself was not a proper basis for making these accusations. You have accepted that, haven't you?
A. No, I've - still have concerns about what is in the running sheet.
Q. Do you withdraw the accusation that the Coroner did not consider suicide as a possibility in relation to Mattaini?
A. Yes, it was certainly mentioned to her and she was aware of it.
Q. Do you withdraw the accusation that she actually did fail to consider suicide as a possibility because Page withheld something from her?
A. No.
Q. Even though she did consider suicide, you don't withdraw the accusation --
A. Oh, sorry, yes.
Q. So you do withdraw it?
A. She did - she did consider suicide, yes.
Q. And therefore, the accusation that she failed to do so because of something Page withheld cannot be sustained, can it?
A. Yes, I can see the logic of that, yes.
Q. Right. Do you think you owe Mr Page an apology for making these serious allegations against him and disseminating them in the way that they were?
A. No, because I still have the evidence of the phone cal 1.
Q. Al1 right, Mr Morgan. Turn to tab 176 please. This is the post operational assessment.
A. Yes.
Q. I've asked you one or two questions about this before?
A. Yes.
Q. We went through how the first seven or eight pages are over the signature of Chebl, and then the last two or three pages are over the signature of Leggat?
A. Yes.
Q. In the part that Chebl has written, the eighth page unfortunately they're not numbered but --
A. Yes.
Q. -- just above the subheading which introduces Ross

Bradley Warren --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the long paragraph beginning "Mattaini's first suicide attempt" - did you find that?
A. Yes.
Q. Down about eight or nine 1 ines through it, there appears again the statement that originally emanated from France and then was picked up in Mr Chebl's investigation note, namely:

Musy stated throughout his relationship with Mattaini he found him to be comfortable with death ...
et cetera?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's the one that you've agreed is plainly inconsistent with what Musy said in the witness box?
A. Agreed.
Q. And yet there it is again in the post operational assessment going up the chain to the Commander Homicide and above?
A. Yes.
Q. False though it was.
A. Wel1, it doesn't appear to have the basis that obviously they thought it had, yes.
Q. No. And similarly about four lines from the bottom of that paragraph:

Despite Mattaini's suicide attempt history,
Detective Sergeant Page convinced Musy that
Mattaini was most likely murdered.
A. Yes.
Q. You've accepted, I think, that either that's wrong or at least it's not clear?
A. No, wel1, that was your submission. That was what you put --
Q. No, I put it to you and you agreed that the at least as likely reason for Mr Musy getting the idea in his head that it might have been murder was the publicity about
Taradale. You've accepted that?
A. Yes, I did accept that that publicity - yes.
Q. In section 3 , over the signature of Detective

Inspector Leggat, in respect of Mattaini --
A. Yes.
Q. -- do you see there are just two paragraphs under the "Mattaini" sort of subheading?
A. Yes.
Q. And the longer one, I think literally, I may be wrong, reproduces material from the summary?
A. Agreed.
Q. So to the extent that what was in the summary in those respects has problems, they are the same problems that this had?
A. They're repeated.
Q. They're repeated. Do you agree, having been through all of this - and I appreciate this has taken a while to go through all this Mattaini material --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and after all that we've been through, question and answer, yesterday and today about Mattaini and Mattaini's summary, would you agree with this, that really, all the efforts of Neiwand in connection with Mattaini were directed to exploring the suicide hypothesis?
A. I don't know if I'd say "all" but predominantly.
Q. What is something you did that wasn't related to the suicide hypothesis?
A. I can't think of anything right at the moment. I don't want to commit to saying "all" in case there's something else I'm unaware of, as I sit here.
Q. Fair enough. You agree, then, that the focus is overwhelmingly on suicide?
A. Yes.
Q. You'd agree that there appears to be, on the face of the summary, no attempt to explore anything to do with the homicide hypothesis?
A. Yes.
Q. No canvassing of residents?
A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
Q. No attempt to explore whether anti-gay violence or
gang violence was occurring around this area in 1985 just as it was some years later?
A. No.
Q. Even though you had an abundance of evidence about such violence definitely occurring within a few years later?
A. When you say "an abundance", you're talking about within the Sydney area?
Q. No, around the Bondi, Tamara, Marks Park area, as we will see when we get to your Russell and Warren summaries, you had mountains of evidence of violence against gay people in that area in the late '80s, early '90s?
A. There were a number of such occurrences, yes.
Q. Not just a number, dozens if not hundreds, that you knew about and that police had recorded?
A. No, I'd dispute that. There weren't hundreds.
Q. Okay. We'11 come to it, then. What I want to suggest to you is that given that your focus in the Mattaini matter was overwhelmingly on one hypothesis, namely, suicide, that is a classic example of the very confirmation bias of which you accused Taradale, isn't it?
A. It certainly could be interpreted as such.
Q. The only work that Neiwand did, or almost the only work that Neiwand did, was to seek evidence that bolstered the suicide hypothesis or was consistent with the suicide hypothesis?
A. Yes. I'm unaware of there being evidence towards either misadventure or homicide.
Q. Well, if there was any, you didn't look for it, did you?
A. Well, I don't recall seeing any.
Q. And you disregarded evidence that was inconsistent with suicide, didn't you?
A. I don't think there was any evidence inconsistent with suicide, in relation to Mr Mattaini.
Q. What about, one, the absence of a suicide note.

That's inconsistent with suicide, isn't it?
A. No, it's not. A lot of people suicide without leaving a note.
Q. Of course they do, but the absence of a note is a piece of evidence pointing away from suicide - not conclusively but pointing away?
A. No, I wouldn't agree with that.
Q. What about the keys being missing, indicating that he had the keys with him, indicating that he intended to come back? That was evidence inconsistent with suicide, wasn't it?
A. No, not necessarily.
Q. And you left that out altogether, even though it kept being pointed out in document after document that you had. You even went to the extent, or Chebl did, of recounting part of a statement as to what was or wasn't in the apartment and leaving out the bit about the keys?
A. Yeah, I don't believe that was done deliberately.
Q. But it was disregarded even if not done deliberately, wasn't it?
A. I agree it wasn't mentioned, yes.
Q. You've mentioned Mr Wyszynski having given evidence that Mattaini was in good spirits in the summary - that's mentioned?
A. Yes .
Q. But what's not mentioned is all the other people who also said he was in good spirits. You disregarded that, didn't you?
A. Well, I couldn't see it there.
Q. And you disregarded Mr Musy, of all people, who stressed over and over and over that he was in good spirits - you just left that out altogether, didn't you? A. I can't see it there.
Q. You left it out, or Chebl did, and you endorsed it. That's disregarding evidence inconsistent with suicide, isn't it?
A. Oh, I certainly don't believe it was intentionally done.
Q. And you deliberately, I suggest, left out - you and Cheb1 - references to the repeated evidence from Mr Musy that any suicidal ideation on the part of Mattaini was long
ago and that his state of mind between 1978 and 1985 was completely different. You disregarded, or at least underplayed, downplayed, very significantly, that evidence?
A. Well again, it's not written in there.
Q. No. Doesn't that indicate the very kind of confirmation bias and tunnel vision that you accused Page of?
A. I can see how that allegation can be made, yes.
Q. Turn to tab 173, please - sorry, tab 174
[SCOI.74883_0001]. This is your summary in connection with Mr Warren.
A. Yes.
Q. Now, this is much longer, it's 60 -odd pages as against

11 pages.
A. Yes.
Q. You may be relieved to know I don't plan to take anything like as long on this one as I did with the Mattaini one, but I do need to take you to parts of it. A. I understand.
Q. Now on the first page, we have "Overview", and then on the bottom of page 1, there's a longish section heading "Background", which covers employment history and various things, and then over on page 7 we get a heading "Original Investigation", which talks about the efforts, if they can be called that, of Mr Bowditch?
A. Yes .
Q. And the various people who were involved in one way or another in 1989, including Mr Ellis and Mr Saucis and so on, and this takes us all the way over to paragraph 61 on page 15. So that's dealing with the Bowditch era?
A. Yes.
Q. Then from 62 onwards, and I just want to draw your attention to some of this, there's a very substantial summarised account of an investigation carried out by the Homicide Squad South in around about 1990/1991?
A. Yes.
Q. Paragraph 62 starts off by saying that the summary into the death of Warren:
... cannot be complete without highlighting the spate of assaults/robberies/murders committed against gay men in the Eastern Suburbs of Sydney around the period of Warren's disappearance.

Correct?
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. "Spate" is your word, as in Chebl's word and yours, it's not somebody else's word. It's you and Chebl?
A. It indicates a significant number.
Q. It does, doesn't it? The basis for that, which I don't challenge for a second, starts off with the murder of Mr Rattanajurathaporn?
A. Yes.
Q. In paragraph 64, the three people arrested, charged and convicted are mentioned?
A. Yes.
Q. The Tamarama Three, they were?
A. Yes.
Q. Then paragraph 66 is the murder of Richard Johnson in Alexandria?
A. Yes, just noting that Alexandria is some distance from Bondi and South Bondi Marks Park.
Q. Quite so, and I'11 come back to that. But there were eight offenders who were all charged and convicted of either manslaughter or murder --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the Alexandria Eight; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And in paragraph 69, you note - you and Chebl note that Homicide Squad investigators at that time, early 1990, considered that the suspects involved in the Rattanajurathaporn murder and the suspects involved in the murder of Johnson at Alexandria were possible suspects in the death of Mr Russell and the disappearance of Mr Warren? A. Yes.
Q. And that was detailed, as indeed it was, as you say in
your summary, by former Detective Sergeant McCann in his August 1991 report?
A. Yes.
Q. I'11 take you to that briefly, but you would recall, I'm sure --
A. I'm familiar with the report, yes.
Q. -- that in McCann's two reports, one in April and one in August 1991, he went to some considerable laborious lengths to draw connections between and among some of these various gangs or groups?
A. He did.
Q. And some overlap between the ones clearly responsible for some events in Alexandria and elsewhere, and with events in Bondi and nearby?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were aware of all that work of McCann when you were writing these summaries, you and Chebl, weren't you?
A. Yes.
Q. At paragraph 70 there's a short reference to the murder of Mr Allen, also in Alexandria?
A. Yes.
Q. Then in 72 and following, there's a short reference to the death of Mr Russell, which, of course, has its own separate summary, and there's reference in 74 to the view of Sergeant McCann that Russell's death and the circumstances surrounding his demise are disturbing, to say the least:

It is also noted that other serious assaults were committed in the same area about the same time.
A. Yes.
Q. So that was a point that Sergeant McCann was making as long ago as 1991?
A. Yes.
Q. It certainly wasn't a concern that Mr Page dreamt up on his own in 2001?
A. No.
Q. In paragraph 77 under the heading "Other crimes against Gay men", there's another extract from Sergeant McCann's 1991 document.
A. Yes.
Q. And it records in the first paragraph the attack on a person, who I'11 cal1 "DM" --
A. Yes.
Q. -- on 21 December 1989, which was just one month after Mr Russel1's body was found?
A. Yes.
Q. And reference to one of the assailants of $D M$ saying:

```
"I'm gonna throw you over the side" and
```

commenced to drag him to the cliff edge but DM escaped.
A. Yes.
Q. This is Sergeant McCann's account of this?
A. Yes.
Q. It's not an account that anyone's ever challenged, is it?
A. No, I don't believe so.
Q. It's not something that is sort of hypothetical or likely; it's an attack on DM that everyone, including you, accept certain1y did happen?
A. Yes.
Q. Then at paragraph 80 and following there's a reference to some of the work that Sergeant McCann did back in 1991 in terms of covertly obtaining intelligence about some of these people?
A. Yes.
Q. And one of them, paragraph 82, according to Sergeant McCann, implicated himself in approximately 70 to 100 gang assaults and robberies on gay men in the Alexandria and Bondi areas, in particular the coastal walk at Tamarama? A. I see that and I either had forgotten or wasn't aware of that, yes.
Q. So --
A. Significant numbers.
Q. -- significant numbers. We're talking - of course, he doesn't precisely delineate which ones were Bondi and which ones were somewhere else --
A. Yes.
Q. -- but it's clear that it's dozens because he says in particular the coastal walk at Tamarama?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's just one. That's just that offender
implicating himself. That's just one of them implicating himself in dozens of assaults on gay men?
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Paragraph 85, there's reference to the fact that there was a bit of publicity about this one, because Mr Warren was a reasonably well-known person because of his television work in Wollongong?
A. Yes.
Q. In 88 and following there is reference to inquiries made back in 1990 about a person who is redacted, but the person named in the fourth line?
A. Sorry?
Q. The person named in the fourth line. His name is redacted on the screen, but obviously there was work done in 1990 in relation to that person?
A. Okay.
Q. And the following paragraphs follow that through all the way through to 92.
A. Oh, yeah, I'm aware of the person, yeah.
Q. And then at 93 - just pausing there, that person, the person who's the subject of 88 through to 92 , was the subject of investigation by Taradale in the early 2000s?
A. Yes.
Q. And the same applies to the person the subject of paragraphs 93 through to 96 , named in the second 1 ine of
'93 - that person was also the subject of work by Taradale? A. Yes.
Q. And at 97, there's a person whose name has been blacked out as well, but I'm guessing that you would know who that is, and that you would also know that Taradale did considerable work with him, took a statement from him, and so on?
A. Yes, I - offhand I can't think who that was, but yes.
Q. We'11 just have the name put in front of you.
A. Yes.

MR TEDESCHI: Can I suggest that that shouldn't remain on the screen?

THE COMMISSIONER: Why not?
MR TEDESCHI: There is a name of a suspect there, who had nothing to do with --

THE COMMISSIONER: Where is the suspect, I'm sorry?
MR TEDESCHI: His name is mentioned in 98.
MR GRAY: I don't know that he is a suspect, but I'm not resisting what has been said.

MR TEDESCHI: Not a suspect, he is a person of interest.
THE COMMISSIONER: He was not a person of interest at all as I understand it. He was a person who, as I best read the materials, Mr Tedeschi, was spoken to, I think if not by persons back at the relevant time, again by this witness - I'm not quite sure - about background. I don't think there's any question --

MR TEDESCHI: It contains accusations about that person that were obviously not sustained.

THE COMMISSIONER: No, I don't see him as being a person of interest nor was he a suspect. He was a person who gave some evidence, I thought, about a domestic arrangement with the deceased and that was one of the issues being explored by Taradale. It was one of the issues explored by Mr Morgan, as to whether or not domestic conflict was at the centre of or could be a reason for this person's
suicide. I don't read it the way you do.
MR TEDESCHI: Would you look at paragraph 97 in the middle to the latter part - it's entirely a matter for you,
Commissioner, but we would suggest that --
THE COMMISSIONER: Is it a matter about which you have previously taken objection?

MR TEDESCHI: No, it is not, but it hasn't been redacted. I'm just concerned about that person's reputation.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. It's a bit late now, Mr Tedeschi. If you haven't previously taken an objection, it is a bit late. I will see what Mr Gray has to say.

MR GRAY: Sorry, I beg your pardon, Commissioner?
THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Tedeschi has a problem with it. He says whatever he says, you have heard him. He also fairly concedes that it's not a matter about which, seemingly, prior objection was taken.

MR GRAY: Whether or not it was subject of prior objection, I'm also not certain personally, but this man turned out to be out of the country at the time and was totally eliminated as having anything to do with anything.

THE COMMISSIONER: So Mr Tedeschi, it is true that he is mentioned here, but in the full context - I now recall, thank you - it was mentioned that he was eliminated, it may even be in following paragraphs we're about to read, that it was discovered he was out of the country at the relevant time and so he was exonerated, as it were, or removed, so a paragraph or two below this, I think it makes it clear.

MR TEDESCHI: Yes, it does.
THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right. Thank you very much.
MR GRAY: Q. So, Mr Morgan, the person at the second line of paragraph 97, you've been shown --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the name of that person and --

THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry to interrupt. Mr Tedeschi, if
you go to the bottom of paragraph 98.
MR TEDESCHI: I can see it.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you.

MR TEDESCHI: I was just a bit concerned because there is a list of people nominated as persons of interest or suspects, and then there's his name in the same context.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I understand the reason, thank you.

MR GRAY: Q. You've seen from the piece of paper that was given to you who the person is in the second 1 ine of 97?
A. Yes.
Q. And you agree that that person not only was the subject of inquiries back in 1994, but he was also the subject of inquiries and work done by Taradale and later again by Neiwand?
A. Correct.
Q. At paragraph 101, there's another reference to the work of Sergeant McCann back in 1991?
A. Yes.
Q. Which, in the second paragraph, the second italicised paragraph, referred to one of the Alexandria Eight --
A. Yes.
Q. -- having talked about frequent bashings of homosexuals in Bondi, Centennial and Moore Park areas?
A. Yes.
Q. And down the bottom again a reference to that same person having admitted to being involved in approximately 70 to 100 gang assaults and robberies on homosexual men in those three areas?
A. Yes. I don't know if that's the same person who was mentioned earlier saying as that, yes
Q. I think you can accept that it is the same person.

I'm not suggesting that's another 70 to 100 , it's the same 1ot.
A. Yes.
Q. But then in the next line, another person also one of the Alexandria Eight, implicates himself in another 15 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- correct? Then, starting at 102, there's an account through to 176 of the Taradale operation?
A. Sorry, 102 to --
Q. 102 to 176 ?
A. Okay, yes.
Q. All of that's about what Taradale did?
A. Yes.
Q. I don't want to take too much time on this, but you will see at 103 there is reference to Mr Warren's mother having written a series of letters to the police --
A. Yes.
Q. $\quad-$ between 1998 and 2000 ?
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. Now, the assertion is made in 103 that Mrs Warren was urging for her son's disappearance to be the subject of a coronial inquest.
A. Yes. That's my recollection of it.
Q. But that's not what her letters were about, is it?
A. I thought they were actually asking - she was actually asking for a death certificate.
Q. You are essentially correct.
A. Yes.
Q. She wasn't asking for an inquest, she was asking for someone to answer her letters so that she could get some confirmation that her son was indeed deceased?
A. Yes.
Q. She wasn't actually asking for an inquest?
A. No, but obviously at that stage he was considered a missing person, so there was no death certificate.
Q. That was the problem?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you see that paragraph 103. It says there:

Her request was supported and the case reopened.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, as you've pointed out many times, you didn't write this, Mr Chebl wrote it, but to your knowledge, is that actually an accurate account of what happened - she requested an inquest, her request was supported and the case reopened?
A. No, my recollection of it is that she wrote requesting a certificate of death and there were various, I think, letters back and forth.
Q. I don't know about back and forth. There were letters from her, not too much --
A. Yes, I thought there were some replies from police..
Q. Eventually there was a reply, but this same paragraph, with one notable exception, appears in the Russell summary, which we find at tab 173 [SCOI.74882_0001]. Would you turn to 173 for the moment and just have a look at paragraph 67? A. Yes, I'm looking at 173.
Q. Now, can you see that it's exactly the same as 103 in the Warren summary, with one exception, that there's an additional sentence in the middle of paragraph 67.
A. No, sorry, I'm looking at - I'm still in - with Mr Warren, and that's not 173. What tab are you looking at?
Q. Hang on, we're at cross-purposes. 103, in Mr Warren's one --
A. Yes.
Q. -- is the reference to Mrs Warren and her letters?
A. Yes.
Q. In the Russell one, which is tab 173 --
A. Ah --

THE COMMISSIONER: And when you go there, Mr Morgan, go to page 19, or paragraph 67.

THE WITNESS: Yes, that's clearly - that's clearly - it's irrelevant to Mr Russel1's death, yes, I accept that.

MR GRAY: Q. Maybe, perhaps so. But what I wanted to just direct your attention to is that the paragraph is identical, with one exception, that in the Russel1 paragraph, paragraph 67, in the third 1 ine, there is a sentence which does not appear in the Warren paragraph, 103, and that sentence is:

The catalyst for this case being reopened was as a result of her relentless letter writing.
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. Now, what did you or Mr Chebl have in mind when you used the word "relentless"?
A. We11, I - like I said, I didn't author this document, and you've only just brought my attention to it.
Q. I see. I'11 show you the letters. They were letters of a mother --
A. Yes
Q. -- desperately trying to get some help and getting no answer --
A. Yes.
Q. -- weren't they? She wrote letter after letter after 1etter--
A. Yes.
Q. -- enclosing copies of her earlier letters and saying, "Wil1 somebody please answer me"?
A. Yes.
Q. She was saying, "My son has been disappeared, missing, for $X$ years, and can someone please help me get to a kind of conclusion?" That's what she was asking?
A. Yes, that's the gist of it.
Q. And no-one - and for a year or two years, letter after letter went unanswered?
A. She got no replies.
Q. She got no replies for a long time until eventually
someone did reply?
A. Okay.
Q. Does that come back to you?
A. I remember the - Mrs Warren writing - Kay Warren writing letters. The rest of it, no, I can't say that it comes back to me, but --
Q. Okay. Now, Mr Chebl chooses to call that "relentless"?
A. "Relentless", yes. That's a bit harsh.
Q. That's a bit harsh, you would agree immediately?
A. I do agree.
Q. Now, back to Mr Warren at tab 174 [SCOI.74883_0001]
A. Yes.
Q. Moving on from Mrs Warren's letters - the point essentially is that it was because Sergeant Page eventually happened upon Mrs Warren's letters, that he then started to dig around and came to the view in the end that there needed to be a proper investigation of both the Warren disappearance and the Russel1 death. That's what ultimately happened.
A. Well, ultimately it was given to him to investigate was my understanding, but yes.
Q. Because he came across the Warren letters. Are you aware of that - Mrs Warren 's letters?
A. Yes.
Q. So rather than?
A. Sorry, what paragraph are you referring to?
Q. Paragraph 103 in the Warren matter, the Warren summary.
A. Yes.
Q. Rather than Mrs Warren asking for an inquest and her request being supported, what actually happened was that she asked for help in getting a death certificate, letter after letter went unanswered --
A. Mmm.
Q. -- and ultimately, some years later, Mr Page happened to be going through the file and saw that Mrs Warren's
letters had been unanswered for such a long time, and that set him on the path of realising that maybe there was something here that needed to be looked at. Are you aware of that?
A. I'm aware of it slightly differently, but - yes.
Q. So it wasn't a case of her request being supported; it was a case of Sergeant Page realising that something had not been handled very well here and thinking that something should be done about it. That's what actually happened, isn't it?
A. No, I don't agree with that.
Q. What do you say happened?
A. There were - my understanding of it is that when Sergeant Page was first made aware of it, he sent a report, I think first of all to Paddington, to try and get it investigated, and they said, "It's not our area", and sent it back.

He then sent a report to Missing Persons and they sent it back, and I think there was a third report, and it came back. And at that point my understanding is that Warwick Brown, who was the Chief of Detectives at Paddington at the time, then directed that it would be done by Paddington, and at that stage, Mr Page decided that he would take it on because of the - obviously the convoluted and complex investigation. He didn't want to give it to a junior officer.
Q. Thank you for all of that detail, but the gist of that, not taking issue with it for the moment, is that it was because of Page's initiative or energy that eventually the result was that there was an investigation.
A. Yes, he ended up with it, yes.
Q. Now, in terms of the Neiwand summary concerning Warren, at 115 there is reference to what the original officer in charge, Bowditch, had said. Do you see that? A. Yes. I've got that.
Q. At 116 there is quite a lengthy extract from a statement of Bowditch which begins - the summary by Neiwand begins:

Interesting7y Bowditch stated the
following ...

2 Do you see that?
A. Yes. disgraceful?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.
A. I agree.
A. Yes.
A. I agree. opinion. significance on it. lasted four days?
A. No.
A. Yes.
Q. And this is the same Bowditch whose investigation was labelled by the Coroner as, I think, shameful and
Q. And you'11 recal1 that whereas Bowditch claimed that he had done all kinds of things by way of investigating and claimed that others had investigated with him --
Q. -- none of those claims could ever be substantiated?
Q. And that the people whom he claimed had worked on it a11 said either, "We11, I was on leave, I wasn't there at the time", or "No, I've never heard of this."
Q. So it rather 1 ooked at though quite a bit of what Mr Bowditch was saying was just not true, didn't it?
Q. And yet that's the man, Bowditch, that Cheb1, endorsed by you, chooses to highlight as the giver of an interesting
A. I think he's just quoting him there as the original OIC. I don't think he's putting any potential huge
Q. We11, he says "Interestingly", and then what he quotes is Bowditch saying - before I go on to this, you'11 recal1 that Bowditch's so-called investigation from go to whoa
A. It was certainly less than a week, yes.
Q. So not what you might cal1 thorough?
Q. And Bowditch is quoted as saying, after his four days, in which no-one else seems to have done anything despite the fact that he says they did --
Q. --

There is nothing to suggest that Ross Warren's disappearance was the result of foul play ...
A. Mmm.
Q. Now, that opinion, from someone as discredited as he became in the Milledge inquest, and someone who really seems to have done practically no investigation, is worthless, isn't it?
A. Well, it's - you would have to decide the value of it, and obviously I wouldn't attach a great deal of value to it.
Q. No. But the author of this document quotes 20 -odd lines of it, and underlines, and the underlining is Neiwand's, not Bowditch's:

There is a distinct possibility that Ross Warren may have slipped on the rock ledge overlooking McKenzie's Bay.
A. I see that as being a factual thing that he's simply saying that that was what Mr Bowditch decided. I don't see that he's saying that that's obviously what happened.
Q. Except that he has underlined it as though it's an important thing to bear in mind?
A. Wel1, that was - that was Bowditch's --
Q. It was Bowditch 's, but Cheb1 has underlined it?
A. Yeah, but that was what - possibly just to, in amongst a11 that group of writing, to show that that's what Bowditch considered had happened.
Q. From 117 onwards, there's reference to Taradale contacting witnesses, and the general criticism, without going through all of this detail, by Cheb1 in these paragraphs, endorsed by you, is that although some of these associates, work colleagues and social associates, were spoken to, they weren't all, and those that were spoken to, according to Chebl, weren't asked every question that they perhaps could have been asked. That's the gist of the criticism?
A. Yes, and I am familiar with some or most of those
names.
Q. So the person named in paragraph 123 is someone that Taradale obtained a statement from?
A. Yes.
Q. And the same with 128, that person?
A. Yes.
Q. And 131, and 133?
A. Yes.
Q. And 136, there's Taradale revisiting the line of
inquiry relating to the person --
A. We've discussed that one, yes.
Q. -- that Mr Tedeschi raised?
A. Yes.
Q. And that again is established to go nowhere?
A. Yes.
Q. And the person named at 140 was the subject of or made a statement in the Taradale inquiry?
A. Yes.
Q. And at 141, Taradale conducted video walk-throughs with the two people mentioned there?
A. Yes.
Q. Neiwand, in the end, conducted a walk-through with the first of those two people?
A. Yes.
Q. But not the second; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, as to the second, the one that Neiwand did not conduct a walk-through with, what Neiwand says in paragraph 141, bottom of the page, 32 , is:

As previously mentioned, Operation Taradale investigators held a strong reliance on the version of events [DM] provided Police.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q.

As such, investigators --
that is Neiwand - sorry, that is Taradale -utilised the incident involving --
A. Yes, that person.
Q. -- that person --
not as a possible hypothesis, but more of a likely occurrence, which resulted in Warren's disappearance.

Do you see that?
A. Yes, it's somewhat jumbled.
Q. It is somewhat jumbled, but, doing the best one can with the jumble, the criticism being made by Neiwand of Taradale seems to be that they regarded the DM incident -A. Yes.
Q. -- as being likely, rather than only a possible hypothesis?
A. Yes, I find that a bit hard to follow.
Q. Which is incredible, isn't it? I mean, obviously the DM incident happened, and no-one has ever disputed it, including yourself?
A. Yes.
Q. He was attacked?
A. Yes.
Q. He gave lengthy evidence at the Milledge inquest about what happened and --
A. Yes.
Q. -- how he only barely escaped with his life, and Mr Saidi, for the Commissioner of Police, accepted him without challenging one full stop or comma of that account; you wil1 recall that? A. Yes.
Q. So the idea that Mr DM's account of his being assaulted as a gay man on the Bondi walkway not far from Marks Park is not a possible hypothesis; it's an utterly established fact, isn't it?
A. Yes, I would agree with that.
Q. And yet the criticism seems to be - or is, not "seems to be" - the criticism of Neiwand is that Taradale was wrong to assume that it had happened; they should have only treated it as a hypothesis?

MR TEDESCHI: I object. I think my friend has misunderstood the sentence.

THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, okay.
MR TEDESCHI: It is not referring to any doubts about --
THE COMMISSIONER: Just before you start, hang on, I might ask Mr Morgan to step outside just in case what you are about to say might affect his view, because he jointly authored this, apparently, if that's all right with you. Would you just step outside for a moment, please, Mr Morgan, thank you.
(The witness withdrew from the hearing room)
MR TEDESCHI: Commissioner, I think the question seems to pose a theory that the police treated Mr DM's attack as a possible hypothesis.

What the sentence is clearly saying is that the possible hypothesis, as opposed to a likely occurrence, is that something similar happened to Mr Warren. I think my friend has misinterpreted the sentence.

THE COMMISSIONER: It's not entirely clear from that context. I see how that's an alternative interpretation.

MR GRAY: Commissioner, I'm content to move on, because the fact that the interpretation that I'm putting, in my submission, becomes crystal clear a little later, but I'm happy to move on.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Okay. In that event, what I will do is I will allow it for the moment and Mr Tedeschi can pick it up.

Catherine, can you just bring Mr Morgan back through, thank you.
(The witness returned to the hearing room)
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr Morgan.
Yes, Mr Gray.
MR GRAY: Q. Mr Morgan, appreciating, as you pointed out, that this sentence at the top of page 33 is somewhat jumbled, or appears to be, as you read it, does it seem to be the case that Neiwand is saying that Taradale should not have treated DM's assault as having been likely to have occurred, but should have only regarded it as a possible hypothesis?
A. That's the way it appears to read, yes.
Q. And if that is the way that it's meant to read, and I accept it is slightly unclear --
A. Disjointed, yes.
Q. -- that would be sil1y, wouldn't it, given that --
A. Yes, I would agree.
Q. Now, in 146 and following is a reference to Taradale getting a report from an expert on coastal geomorphology about tides and what might have happened to a body, and so on?
A. Yes.
Q. And then at 150 there is something similar about rainfal 1 and weather?
A. Yes.
Q. And then from 152 onwards there is now, again - wel1, perhaps $I$ shouldn't say "again", there is now, in this summary, reference to some of the work that Taradale did in connection with the youth gangs, to use the shorthand expression?
A. Yes.
Q. And the point is made at 152 that it was not an original hypothesis formed by Taradale, and that it was a continuation of the investigation conducted by former Detective Sergeant McCann; and that's obviously right?
A. Correct.
Q. And detail is given of some of these many groups - the Alexandria Eight, the group PSK or Parkside Killers?
A. Yes.
Q. The Tamarama Three, PTK or the Bondi Boys, and lists of all these people are set out, and then at 159 and following there is reference to various covert techniques that were used to try to find out more about all these people in these gangs?
A. Yes.
Q. Then at 171 and following - I should say, there is a heading above 165, "Investigative red herrings", and there - there are two headings that I must say I can't read myself, one above 166 and one above 168, but the people referred to in those paragraphs, 166 through to 170 , it seems to be accepted by all concerned, including Neiwand, were people who, in the end, it became clear were not suspects?
A. Well, were persons of interest, but investigations appeared to clear them, yes.
Q. Well, Taradale's investigations appeared to clear them and so did Neiwand's?
A. Yes, agreed.
Q. Agreed. Now, then there is a reference in 171 to fingerprints of Warren, and there is a reference to the coronial inquest at 174, and then at 177 we get to what Neiwand did.
A. Yes.
Q. Now again, at 179 - in fact, just on 178, the investigation by Bowditch is said to have been lacking.
A. $\mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Six reasons for that, or six areas, are listed.
A. Yes.
Q. One of them is not - I withdraw that, I'm sorry. I will move on. 179 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- there are set out what are said to be the deficiencies with Taradale, and the first one is tunnel
vision again?
A. Yes.
Q. And the suggestion is that they focused only on members of youth gangs. You know that that's not actually so, don't you? They focused significantly on youth gangs?
A. Yes.
Q. But you couldn't say "only", could you?
A. "On1y" is probably a stretch.
Q. (b) is, coming back to what $I$ was saying earlier, as a deficiency, "strong reliance on DM's version and identification". That's a deficiency, apparently. Why would that be a deficiency?
A. My recollection of it is that DM identified a known person --
Q. He did.
A. -- and then, for whatever reason, failed to pursue the matter, like --
Q. He did.
A. -- withdrew his cooperation with police or whatever.
Q. Wel1, he declined to - you are right, he declined to pursue proceedings, to use a neutral word, against that person?
A. Yes, and I can only imagine that, because of that, he's saying, they relied strongly on DM and then DM, for whatever reason, failed to follow through with the inquiry, and so they should have - I don't know about totally disregard it, but they should have put less emphasis on what he had to say. That's just my view on it.
Q. Wel1, your view may be right; we don't know, I suppose. But one pretty obvious possibility why someone in DM's position might not want to pursue criminal proceedings against the person he identified would be fear, wouldn't it?
A. Possibly, yes.
Q. If you have just been attacked in the brutal way that
he was --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and he knew the person, because you remember he
gave --
A. He gave a name, yes.
Q. He gave a name and he said that he would see that person around and about in the area where he worked?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. So if he was the person who had been brutally assaulted by that person and was the person who then had the police go after him for a crime --
A. Yes.
Q. -- he might, understandably, be a bit hesitant about doing so?
A. Yes, understand.
Q. But to repeat what you had accepted eariier thank you - you, and so far as I know, Neiwand, have never actually doubted that his account accurately reflected what happened, ie, a group of people did attack him?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, (c) is, as a deficiency, that very little was done to learn more about Warren?
A. Yes.
Q. That again, wouldn't you agree, is something of an overstatement, something of an exaggeration? Obviously quite a bit was done to learn about Warren, including speaking to the WIN Television people and speaking to various associates like the one whose name has been referred to several times who found the keys, and so on?
A. Yes.
Q. So to say that very little was done is an exaggeration, isn't it?
A. It would appear to be, yes.
Q. There is a criticism of strategies implemented about covert methods, and there is a criticism of disclosing police methodology to witnesses and persons of interest, through the inquest. What is the problem with doing that at an inquest in your view?
A. Well, only that you are putting them on notice and making them aware of capabilities and basically negating or reducing the effectiveness of such measures down the track, if they were to be deployed again.
Q. Right. But realistically--
A. Sometimes it can't be avoided.
Q. That's one point $I$ wanted to ask you. Obviousiy, sometimes it can't be avoided, and in this instance, when they are trying to lay before the Coroner everything they had that might assist the Coroner to make a finding, that's one of those occasions when it's a necessary evi1, isn't it?
A. Yeah, whether that's a relation to not seeking orders or anything 1 ike that, $I$ don't know.

MR GRAY: I see the time, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: I wi11 adjourn unti1 10am Monday, thank you.

MR TEDESCHI: I'm sorry, could I just ask your Honour to wait for one moment.

MR GRAY: My friend has asked - actually, I said to my friend earlier today that if $I$ was not finished with Mr Morgan today, in the interests of moving things along as much as we can, I would not object to his speaking to Mr Morgan over the weekend, and I make that plain on the record.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, okay, that's fine.
MR GRAY: I understand from Mr Tedeschi that - wel1, I had better let him say what his expectation is as to what might happen on Monday.

MR TEDESCHI: I would like to arrange to see this man on Monday morning. I wonder, Commissioner, if you would start perhaps at 10.30 on Monday.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, certain1y. That's no problem.
Al1 right. I wil1 adjourn unti1 10.30 on Monday, thank you.

AT 4.03PM THE SPECIAL COMMISSION OF INQUIRY WAS ADJOURNED TO MONDAY, 27 FEBRUARY 2023 AT 10.30AM
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