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THE COMMISSIONER: My apologies for the delay this morning.

Yes, Mr Gray?
MR GRAY: Commissioner, today and tomorrow there will be hearings in relation to three cases, the investigations into which have been, in some respects, related.

I believe Mr Mykkeltvedt is here as counsel for the Commissioner of Police.

MR MYKKELTVEDT: Yes, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, Mr Mykkeltvedt.
Thank you, yes?
MR GRAY: Commissioner, the three cases are those of Gilles Jacques Mattaini, Ross Bradley Warren and John Alan Russell.

Before you should be a total of six volumes of material, which I will tender. First, one volume relating to the death of Mr Gilles Mattaini in September 1985. I believe that will become exhibit 44.

## EXHIBIT \#44 TENDER BUNDLE RELATING TO THE DEATH OF MR GILLES MATTAINI

MR GRAY: Second, three volumes relating to the death of Mr Ross Warren in July 1989. They will be exhibit 45, I believe.

## EXHIBIT \#45 TENDER BUNDLE RELATING TO THE DEATH OF MR ROSS WARREN

MR GRAY: Third, two volumes relating to the death of John Russell in November 1989, and they will become exhibit 46.

EXHIBIT \#46 TENDER BUNDLE RELATING TO THE DEATH OF MR JOHN RUSSELL

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR GRAY: Commissioner, there has also been provided to your associate some short minutes in relation to orders
which the parties seek to be made pursuant to section 8 of the Special Commissions of Inquiry Act. They relate to various redactions and pseudonym orders in connection with some of the contents of the tender bundles and persons referred to in them.

I understand those orders are agreed and could be made by consent.

MR MYKKELTVEDT: Yes, that is so.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Just wait while I do that, then, thank you.

Thank you. In each of those matters I have then made those consent orders, thank you.

MR GRAY: Finally, Commissioner, you should also have before you a copy of our written submissions.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
MR GRAY: They are dated 27 June and they relate to all three of these cases, and I adopt and rely upon those submissions which are now being formally handed up.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR GRAY: By way of general introduction, Commissioner, I observe that the deaths of all three of these men were associated with a very well-known part of Sydney, namely, the coastal area between Bondi and Tamarama.

Partly because of those common features, these three deaths have been jointly the subject of three major police and coronial procedures: firstly, Operation Taradale, which was a significant police investigation under the leadership of Detective Sergeant Page between 2000 and 2003; secondly, a coronial inquiry between 2003 and 2005 by Deputy State Coroner Milledge, whom I will refer to as "Coroner Milledge", Coroner Milledge considered and made findings based on the work of Operation Taradale in what I will call "the Milledge Inquest"; and, thirdly, there has been Strike Force Neiwand, which was a review of all three cases by the Unsolved Homicide Team between October 2015 and January 2018.

Commissioner, I intend to present oral submissions this morning in relation to the case of John Russell. This afternoon, I will present oral submissions in the case of Gilles Mattaini, and tomorrow morning, I will present oral submissions in the case of Ross Warren.

I begin, therefore, with the case of John Russel1. The family have provided a photograph of John Russel 1 and I ask that that now be put on the screen.

Mr Russel 1 was a gay man. He was a barman at the Bronte Bowling Club and he lived with his brother, Peter Russel1, in Bondi. John and Peter were both very close to their father, Ted, who died only last year.

At the time of John's death, they had recently received an inheritance from their grandfather and John was planning to leave Sydney and build a kit home on his father's property at Wollombi. He also intended to use some of the funds to travel around Australia. In the words of his best friend, "he was looking forward to the best time of his life" and "everything was looking up for him."

Peter Russe11, John's brother, is here in court today, as is Peter's partner, Donna Hannah. Other family members are watching on the screen. Peter and Donna, in particular, have closely followed the work of this Inquiry and have attended many days of its public hearings. Both Peter and Donna, and also John Russe11's cousin, Yvonne Hopkins, have prepared statements in which they reflect upon the man they lost and talk about the impact of his loss upon them and others.

I first seek to tender those three statements. They might be exhibits 47,48 and 49.

## EXHIBIT \#47 FAMILY STATEMENT OF PETER RUSSELL

EXHIBIT \#48 FAMILY STATEMENT OF DONNA HANNAH
EXHIBIT \#49 FAMILY STATEMENT OF YVONNE HOPKINS
MR GRAY: I will then invite, first Peter and then Donna, to read their statements. The statements are coming to you now, Commissioner.

I will invite Peter Russell to come forward and to
read his statement.
THE COMMISSIONER: Do you have a copy of the statement?
MR RUSSELL: I have a copy.
THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right. Thank you. You take your time, please.

MR RUSSELL: My name is Peter Russell. I am the brother of John Russell who was tragically murdered in November 1989. He will always be remembered to me as a loving, caring brother and friend. He was the most caring soul that anyone could meet.

As a single parent, he helped me raise my son, who was 12 years of age at the time of his death. We resided in the family home at Bondi for all our lives.

After our mother's passing we both took care of our grandfather, who was a diabetic, for several years. Already at a tragic time in my family's life with the loss of my grandfather four weeks prior to my brother's death and the loss of another loved one, being my brother, which further devastated myself, my son and my partner, the grief was very hard to take.

At the time of my brother's passing, he was looking forward to moving to the Hunter Valley to build a home on land that the family had purchased years prior. He would have lived next door to dad and together they would have built a home for everybody. My father eventually did build a house up there, but it never turned out the way he envisioned, and dad eventually sold the land and moved to Cessnock. The loss of my brother John surely led to his early onset dementia and he never got over the grief.

As a father of five children and a husband to a loving wife, it has been heart wrenching to know that none of my younger children will ever get to meet someone who could have taught them so much about morals, life, history, art and about life in general.

I am very fortunate that when my mother was terminally ill with chronic renal failure, she never got to live through the tragedy of the loss of her father and her son within four weeks. For that, I am grateful. Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR GRAY: Thank you, Mr Russell.
I would now ask Donna Hannah to read her statement.
MS HANNAH: My name is Donna Cameron Hannah, partner of Peter Edward Russell, friend and sister-in-law of John Alan Russell.

Words to describe John: kind, gentle, charismatic, inclusive, thoughtful, quirky sense of humour. He once bought Peter a jade tree for his birthday and wrapped gold coins on it with sticky tape. Now, that was a true money tree.

Another time he put a morning tea party on for my grandparents and his grandfather so they could meet each other and he coordinated everything himself, to our surprise. Naturally, my grandparents loved him.

Losing John has left an empty space in our lives that can't be filled. We think of him almost daily. It's hard not to when I look at my boys. I can see the resemblance between them and John and I'm reminded of what could have been and how much we've all missed.

I try to imagine them all together and the enriched relationships they may have shared. John has two nieces and three nephews, six great nephews, and all the boys carry his name in his honour. Sadly, John never got the opportunity to meet them all.

He wanted to build a house at Wollombi for all the Russells. We had some great plans for the future for all of us. He was looking forward to the next chapter of all our lives, after his grandfather passed away. John and Peter lived in the family home and shared care for their elderly grandfather and Peter's son Allen.

Losing John was a huge devastation for Allen. He was only about 12 years old and about to start high school. He never complained, but I'm sure all the media about his uncle was distressing for him.

In later years, it was distressing for our other
children. They knew of Uncle John but had never known how he passed until the "Bondi Badlands" book was released and the media that followed. We had to try to explain to a 14-, 16- and 10-year-old the truth.

The Russell family lost more than just a son, brother, uncle and a friend. We lost part of ourselves. For all these years, even the highlights in our lives were never quite as joyous as they could have or should have been. It's difficult when one of us are not there.

John was clever, artistic and a fabulous dancer. He had many talents that should have been passed along to his nieces and nephews. A huge loss for them.

It's hard enough when you lose a loved one, even more difficult when it's tragic. Because of the circumstances of John's death, I have trust issues and I worry, I panic when my kids go out. I can't sit still, I can't sleep, my mind runs away and I think of all kinds of possibilities that may occur until I know they are home again. I just cannot rest.

I'm not sure how I got through the teenage years and still have hair left. My kids are now 32,30 and 26 , and I still worry. I'm afraid that something terrible will happen to them. I know it's unreasonable but it's hard not to have these thoughts.

John and Peter's father sadly passed away in 2022 from side effects of dementia. At one of our last visits he had a moment of clarity and distressingly asked for John and what happened to him and where was he. It was a very sad moment to see him with tears and fear in his eyes. These were his last lucid moments in life. A few days later, he passed over.

Thank you.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR GRAY: Thank you, Donna Hannah.
Commissioner, on behalf of the Inquiry, I extend my condolences to the Russell family and I thank Peter and Donna for giving us those words about John.

Commissioner, on the evening of Wednesday, 22 November 1989, John Russell had farewell drinks with a friend at the Bondi Hotel. He had a similar evening planned for the following night and then, on the Friday, his father Ted Russell was going to drive down from Wollombi to collect him.

He left the hotel at about 11 pm that night. The next morning, Thursday morning, 23 November 1989, his body was found at the base of cliffs at Marks Park. The position of his body was such that his head and upper body were facing towards the cliff face, while his feet were towards the ocean. Human hairs, which may have been from another person, were observed on his left hand.

The hairs were bagged for analysis, but they were lost prior to the initial inquest into Mr Russell's death which occurred in July the following year, 1990. No forensic analysis has ever been performed on those hairs.

Police from Bondi Police Station investigated the scene. The OIC was a junior plain clothes constable. The OIC considered that Mr Russell's death was accidental and it was not really pursued as a homicide, although some police involved at the time suspected that Mr Russell may have been the victim of an assault.

Much later, in the course of the Taradale inquest that I will come to, a forensic pathologist called $\operatorname{Dr}$ Cala said that he considered the position of John Russell's body to be unusual for a case of jumping or falling from a height. He considered that the position of the body was such that it may be that he had been pushed.

Later again in the course of Strike Force Neiwand's work, another pathologist, Dr Duflou, also considered that the position of the body was somewhat unusual for an accidental or suicidal fall. Both pathologists considered it was unlikely that Mr Russell would have been able to move at all after the fall.

Mr Russell's jeans, sloppy joe and shoes, which he was wearing, were not the subject of any forensic testing at the time of the initial investigation in 1989/90, nor were a cigarette packet, cigarette lighter or Coca-Cola bottle, all of which were found near Mr Russell's body.

The jeans, sloppy joe and shoes were returned to the family. I will say a little more about that later. They were still covered in blood and salt water, which was the state they had been in when Peter Russell saw his brother's body at the morgue.

The clothes were then provided to police for the purpose of being placed on a mannequin or dummy as part of an appeal for information. When Peter Russell saw that mannequin on display in Bondi, he noticed that the clothes were spotless and the shoes were clean. He said it was obvious that the clothes had been through the wash.

The jeans and the sloppy joe and the shoes have subsequently been tested by FASS, formerly DAL - that is the forensic testing service within the Department of Health - on three separate occasions - namely, in about 2002 during the course of Operation Taradale; in 2016 during the course of Strike Force Neiwand; and again this year at the request of the Inquiry. I will come later to say a little more about those three tests.

I mentioned, coming now to the original investigation, that none of the exhibits - that is, the clothing or the hairs or the cigarette packet or lighter or Coca-Cola bottle - were subjected to any fingerprinting or forensic testing during the course of the initial investigation. As to the cigarette packet and Coca-Cola bottle, the OIC's evidence at the subsequent Milledge Inquest 15 years later, was that, quite possibly, that was something that simply did not occur to her.

The hairs - and I wonder if we could have a photo shown, there is a photo that is part of the records in this case which shows Mr Russell's left hand with the hair adhering to it, and perhaps that can be put up on the screen when convenient. There it is.

Officers who attended the scene noted that the hair was present. One can see it at the top of Mr Russell's first index finger on his left hand, seemingly adhering to his hand just above his finger between the finger and the thumb.

Sergeant Ingleby, one of those attending - an experienced uniformed officer who had himself earlier in his career been a detective - considered that the hairs
alone raised the possibility that Mr Russell may have been thrown or pushed off the cliff top. He considered that the hairs may well have come from someone else.

Two officers from the police Crime Scene Unit attended the scene. They both also observed the hairs, and they took photos. That is how we were able to have this photo today.

One or both of them then collected the hairs. However, what was then done with them is less than clear. Unfortunately, what is clear is that (a) no forensic testing was ever carried out on them; and (b) within seven months by the time of the inquest on 2 July 1990, the hairs had been lost.

Meanwhile, the day after his brother's body was found, Peter Russell went to the G1ebe morgue to identify the body. This was 24 November 1989. He saw the blood on John's clothes and he saw what he called "brownish blond hairs next to John's thumb". He said the hairs "were not John's, they were too long and the wrong colour".

At the Milledge Inquest in 2003, the OIC, at the time a plain clothes constable, and by the time of the Milledge Inquest no longer a police officer, gave evidence. She said that she did remember the hair being there but that she did not know what happened to it. She said she thought she would have been interested in the hair but that she would have let the onus be on the scientific section to do "whatever they do with it". She said she took it for granted that they would be looking after that. When asked why she did not chase up or follow up the question of the hairs, she said, "I can't answer that."

Dr Cala, the pathologist, gave evidence to the Milledge Inquest that he did not believe that the hair on Mr Russell's hand belonged to Mr Russell and that it appeared to have been pulled out or tugged out from another person, although, of course, he agreed that he could not be sure about that, in part, among other things, because all he had to look at was a photo.

There are no recorded observations by any attending police officer as to a comparison between the hairs on Mr Russell's hand and Mr Russell's own hair, nor of Peter Russell being asked for his views on that question.

Coroner Milledge described the loss of these vital hairs as "disgraceful". It is submitted that that is a fair characterisation. The loss of the exhibit means not only that it was not tested at the time, but that it now cannot be subjected to more modern testing. It may well have held the key to identifying the perpetrator of an attack on Mr Russell.

Turning to Mr Russell's clothing, after John Russell's funeral, his father, Ted, received's call from the Coroners Court asking him to pick up a box of John's possessions. Peter Russell picked up the box. When his father opened the box, he picked up John's clothes and said, "I can smell the boy." The shoes and socks and jeans and sloppy joe were in the box, in Peter's words, "as it had been taken off John", ie, still with blood and salt water on the clothes.

A little later, in December 1989, a mannequin wearing Mr Russell's clothing was displayed in Bondi as part of an appeal to the public for information, and we have a photo, or not a photo, but a copy of a news article about that display, which might be able to be shown.

Peter Russell's recollection is that someone, probably a police officer, contacted his father and asked for clothes similar to what Mr Russell had been wearing on the night he died. His father simply gave the police back the box of clothes as they had been collected from the morgue.

Police set the mannequin up in Bondi. Peter went to have a look at it and he saw that the clothes were spotless and the shoes were clean. It was obvious to Peter that the clothes had been through the wash, because when he had seen them on John's body at the morgue, they were covered in blood and salt water. When the clothes were later returned to his father, Ted Russell said immediately, "This has been washed."

The police have provided the Inquiry with a statement by Detective Inspector Warren, which goes into considerable detail about what can now be ascertained from available police records as to the movements of the clothes in all the 30 years since 1989. Among the notes in the records are notes which refer to the clothing apparently having been "cleaned", but as to what the word "cleaned" exactly
meant, presently available records do not enable Detective Inspector Warren to say.

The cleaning, whatever it was, whether it was simply putting the clothes through the washing machine or something other than that, is likely to have had some impact on the forensic testing subsequently undertaken at those three different stages that I mentioned. The Inquiry's understanding is that cleaning agents will typically dilute and/or remove staining and, therefore, make DNA, for example, less concentrated.

Even if the DNA is not totally removed, some degradation is likely, which would mean that some of the larger fragments would be lost.

In saying that, I acknowledge, of course, that in 1989, DNA testing was in its infancy, at least in New South Wales, and the opportunities for DNA testing then were certainly not anything like the opportunities that exist today. However, obviously, had the hairs been retained and not lost, they could have been tested subsequently.

Now, the reality seems to have been in 1989 that the investigating police, or at least the OIC, treated the case as not suspicious.

Within a month of John Russell's body being found at the base of the Marks Park cliffs, another man called David McMahon was confronted and assaulted near Marks Park by a group of 10 or 12 young persons at a point on the cliff top, very close to the point below which Mr Russell was found.

Sergeant Ingleby took a statement from Mr McMahon on 3 January 1990 and put the statement, once completed, in the in-tray for the detectives, with a note, as he thought it was relevant to the investigation concerning Mr Russell.

On 12 January 1990, an article was published in the Sydney Star Observer headed, "Mystery death of gay man near Bondi beat." The article stated that the investigating detectives believed that the death may have been a murder. The OIC was reported as having said that the possibility of murder had not yet been eliminated.

However, a month after that, on 16 February, the OIC
signed her statement. Her opinion in that statement, which was presented to the Coroner in due course, was that there was no evidence to suggest that there were suspicious circumstances. She continued:

> It is my opinion that the deceased fell from the cliff top to where he was located.
> Whether this can be attributed to the deceased's level of intoxication will be clear with the results of the forensic tests.

Ten days later, on 26 February, Sergeant Ingleby, the experienced officer, signed his statement. He said that he was aware that crimes of violence on what he called "the homosexual element" in the area were prevalent, he said he believed that:

> ... the level of unreported assaults and associated offences on the homosexual element in this area (and other areas) would be extremely high.
> Nevertheless, it would appear that after about February 1990, there were no further steps by police to investigate Mr Russell's death. The available evidence indicates that no canvassing of the area was undertaken. Indeed, the OIC conceded as much in her evidence at the Milledge Inquest.

Sergeant Ingleby did undertake some efforts to locate a person called "Red", known as "Red", whom a local person had suggested may have known something about an altercation at about the same period that included the night on which Mr Russell met his death. There were no witness statements taken from anyone, apparently, after that of Mr McMahon on 3 January.

Mr Ingleby's view - former Sergeant Ingleby - is that the Bondi detectives "were investigating Mr Russell's death as 'not suspicious' from day one." He said:

The focus of the investigation seems to have proceeded on the basis of "accident" or "misadventure", ignoring the potential new 7 ine of enquiry regarding the offenders who assaulted and robbed Mr McMahon.

It is submitted, Commissioner, that the original investigation in 1989/90 was essentially not an investigation of a possible homicide at all.

In 2000, some 10 years later, in May, Detective Sergeant Page, who was then at the Rose Bay Local Area Command, received a file in relation to the disappearance of Mr Ross Warren, whom I mentioned earlier. That file contained a series of letters from Mr Warren's mother requesting that inquiries be made so that a death certificate could be issued in relation to her missing son, who had also met his death, as now appears, in 1989 at Marks Park.

Detective Sergeant Page, on becoming aware of Mrs Warren's requests and of the belated and limited responses she had received, began to examine documents associated with the 1989 investigation into Mr Warren's death. Eventually, that led to the instigation of what became known as "Operation Taradale", which was established to investigate the disappearance and suspected death of Mr Warren, the death of Mr Russell and the assault on Mr McMahon, all of which had appeared at or near Marks Park.

Apart from Detective Sergeant Page himself, there were, at various stages of Operation Taradale, up to 12 police officers working with him. The scope of the operation was very substantial.

Among other things, Operation Taradale utilised electronic surveillance by means of telephone interceptions. Some 17,000 telephone calls were intercepted and monitored. It used electronic surveillance by means of listening devices. It interviewed and took statements from numerous persons of interest.

It arranged a reenactment of Mr Russell's fall by the use of a dummy on 9 December 2001, and there is an article which might be able to be shown on the screen, published in the Wentworth Courier, three days later on 12 December, showing the police operation at Marks Park, in which a dummy dressed in a similar style to the way Mr Russell had been dressed was launched off the cliff with a view to, among other things, looking at how its position might be at the bottom and also with a view to bringing the whole issue
to the attention of the public.
Mr Russell's clothes were sent by Sergeant Page to DAL for forensic testing, including for DNA. The testing, in about 2002, which was the subject of a certificate in January 2003, was inconclusive. That is, perhaps looking at it from this vantage point, not surprising given the state of the capacity for DNA testing at that time.

Operation Taradale also obtained expert evidence from various experts, including Dr Cala, the forensic pathologist that I mentioned; Dr Moynham, another forensic pathologist, in relation to Mr Russell's blood alcohol content; and Dr Brander, a coastal geomorphologist, whose expertise related to the cases of Mr Warren and Mr Mattaini.

By late July 2002, Detective Sergeant Page had completed the brief of evidence for the Coroner. It included a 287 -page statement by him relating to the disappearance and suspected death of Mr Warren and the death of Mr Russell. Attached to that statement were some 270 documents. The evidence before the Coroner was extremely extensive.

Then in August 2002, that having been done, there having been considerable publicity about the activities of Operation Taradale, two men, Mr Wyszynski and Mr Musy reported the disappearance of Mr Gilles Mattaini back in 1985, it having occurred to them, it seems, that perhaps Mr Mattaini's disappearance had come about in circumstances similar to those that Operation Taradale was investigating.

At the conclusion of his evidence to the Coroner, DS Page - Detective Sergeant Page - summarised his views in relation to the Russell case as follows: he did not believe that Mr Russell had attended Marks Park to commit suicide, as he was a gay man attending a known beat and was likely there for that purpose; taking into account the known extent of violence against gay men at Marks Park, he believed it was likely that Mr Russell met his death there as a result of violence.

However, although the considerable weight of evidence which he had been able to assemble showed that various groups or gangs, such as the Bondi Boys, the Tamarama Three and the Alexandria Eight were involved in violent offences
towards the gay community in the vicinity of Marks Park, he was not able to offer an opinion as to who precisely was responsible for Mr Russell's death.

DS Page offered his views to the Coroner as to the adequacy of the initial investigation into Mr Russell's death. Those observations were as follows: first, that there was no evidence of a canvass being conducted; secondly, that the likelihood of death by violent means had been discounted early; thirdly, that the investigation had not taken into account the unusual position of Mr Russell's body or the presence of hair on his left hand or the disturbance of vegetation on the cliff top above where his body was found; and finally, of course, the fact that the hairs which may well have belonged to an offender had been misplaced.

In early 2003, the hearings for the Milledge Inquest commenced. The first day was 31 March 2003. Counsel Assisting made an opening address, as did Counsel for the Commissioner of Police, who was represented by counsel throughout the inquest. Thereafter, there were a further eight hearing days in 2003, there were closing addresses in December 2004, and Coroner Milledge's findings were delivered in March 2005.

I wonder if we could have on the screen Coroner Milledge's findings [SCOI.02751.00021_0001]. I will take you, Commissioner, to the findings that the Coroner made which appear on page 14. Her Honour there sets out her findings in relation to all three of these deaths.

In relation to Mr Russell, her finding is that the cause of death was multiple injuries sustained when he was thrown from the cliff on to rocks, by a person or persons unknown - so clearly a homicide.

And while I am here, Commissioner, you will see that in the case of Mr Warren, the finding was that the cause and manner of death were unknown, but her Honour was satisfied that the deceased was a victim of homicide perpetrated by a person or persons unknown.

In the case of Mr Mattaini, the Coroner having determined that she would find him to have died, him having been not found by then for nearly 20 years, the finding was
that Mr Mattaini died on about 125 September 1958 but that:
The cause and manner of his death remain undetermined as the evidence before me does not enable me to say.

I draw attention to the remarks also made at the top of that page, in the four paragraphs above the formal findings, where her Honour expresses clear views, strong views one might say, about the evidence and what in her opinion it showed, including in the first paragraph:

There is no doubt that at the time of Mr Warren's and Mr Mattaini's disappearance and Mr Russell's death, this --
that is, assailants throwing people off the cliff --
was a Modus Operandi of some gay hate assailants.

Her Honour said:
This strongly supports the probability that Mr Warren, Mr Mattaini and Mr Russell met their deaths this way.

In the fourth of those paragraphs, moving forward briefly to the different case of Mr Mattaini, her Honour said that she could bring in a finding of death for Mr Mattaini, but where and how he died "remains unknown", but her Honour went on:
... there is a strong possibility that he died in similar circumstances to the other men.

Now, some of the gangs that the evidence at the Milledge Inquest shed light upon and that were systematically engaged in the assault and robbery of gay men in Marks Park and other areas, had adopted names. One of them, for example, was "PSK", which apparently stood for "Parkside Killers".

Numerous persons of interest, several of them members of one or more of these gangs, gave evidence at the Milledge Inquest, including those known as the Tamarama

Three, who had killed a Thai man called Mr Rattanajurathaporn in July 1990.

Some of the recorded conversations - and I mentioned there were some 17,000 of those - appeared to relate either to the disappearance of Mr Warren or perhaps to the death of Mr Russell, but in the end, those were investigated and DS Page excluded them as inculpatory of those persons of interest. He was not able, in the end, despite the volume of evidentiary material assembled, to offer an opinion as to who was responsible for the deaths of either Mr Warren or Mr Russell.

Now, following the Milledge findings in March 2005, it is noteworthy that there was no review or otherwise use made of those findings or of the evidence assembled by Detective Sergeant Page by the police for many years. The Coroner had expressed the view that the information gathered by Operation Taradale would provide an excellent source of evidence for future reinvestigation, but that did not occur.

Some seven years later, in October 2012, the three deaths were examined by an officer of the UHT - the Unsolved Homicide Team - called Detective Senior Constable Taylor. She expressed praise of the work of Operation Taradale, saying that the investigation by Detective Sergeant Page had been meticulously undertaken, and she recommended that an opportunity existed, because of the passage of time, to engage the persons of interest, or some of them, that had been identified by an undercover operation in relation to the "murders", as she described them, of Mr Russell and Mr Warren.

In August 2013, Detective Chief Inspector Lehmann reviewed and endorsed that recommendation. However, it was never adopted or implemented. There was no reinvestigation of those persons of interest ever embarked upon.

At about the same time, Detective Chief Inspector Lehmann and Detective Chief Inspector Young conducted an assessment of some 30 cases which Ms Sue Thompson had suggested were unsolved and which might be gay hate murders. The Lehmann/Young conclusion in an issue paper was that only eight of those 30 were even possible or probable gay hate murders. Two of those that were described as "probable" were the cases of Mr Warren and

Mr Russell. One of those that was described as "possible" was the case of Mr Mattaini.

Now, earlier that year, 2013, in February, a strike force called Strike Force Macnamir had been set up. It was a strike force which was to look into the death of Scott Johnson at North Head.

The catalyst for that strike force had two main components. The first was that in 2012, in June, a second inquest into the death of Scott Johnson, by Coroner Forbes, had declined to confirm an earlier coronial finding of suicide in the case of Mr Johnson and had instead substituted an open finding. One of the reasons why Coroner Forbes did that, in her reasons, was:

The information about the deaths at Bondi has sown a seed of doubt as to the positive finding of suicide.

One of the possibilities, said Coroner Forbes, was that Mr Johnson was the victim of a gay hate crime similar to those that occurred at Bondi.

Seven or eight months after that, in February 2013, there was an episode of Australian Story on the ABC television concerning Scott Johnson's death. His brother Steve Johnson expressed some criticism of the way in which his brother's death had been investigated, and within a day or two of that story airing, Strike Force Macnamir was set up to look again at the death of Scott Johnson.

Two years after that again, in April 2015, after the Strike Force Macnamir team had carried out considerable work, and work which had resulted in a lengthy statement by DCI Young, State Coroner Barnes decided to hold a third inquest into the death of Scott Johnson.

Later that same day, DCI Young gave an interview on ABC Lateline, which has been the subject of submissions, Commissioner, elsewhere in relation to the Public Hearing 2. But one of the things that Ms Young - DCI Young - said in her Lateline interview, when asked what had changed since the last coronial inquest concerning Scott Johnson that would warrant another one, was this, DCI Young said:

We have put to the test some of the
findings of Operation Taradale, which did identify or reinvestigate some gay hate crimes in Bondi, and two were found to be possible homicides.

Now, putting aside for the moment the inaccuracy of that description as to what Coroner Milledge had found, for the moment, what I would emphasise is that it seems that one of the perspectives, or the perspective, from which the Unsolved Homicide Team, in the guise of Strike Force Macnamir, was approaching the three Bondi deaths was to challenge Coroner Milledge's findings of homicide - that is, "to put them to the test". That was April 2015.

As at October 2015, Mr Willing has given evidence, Commissioner, that up to and as at October 2015, there was no investigation under way at all of the three Bondi deaths that are the subject of today's hearing and tomorrow's hearing.

In October 2015, however, Strike Force Neiwand was set up. Its first OIC was Detective Sergeant Penny Brown, who was also at that time the OIC of Strike Force Macnamir.

By some time the next year, 2016, DSC - Detective Senior Constable - Chebl had become the OIC of Strike Force Neiwand, although Detective Sergeant Penny Brown was still listed as one of the personnel attached to that strike force, and the investigation supervisor for Strike Force Neiwand was Detective Sergeant Morgan.

Now, may I pause in the narrative to note this development at that point. In the case of Mr Russell, as I have said, one of the critical pieces of evidence was, of course, the hairs on his left hand, which had been lost, and all that was left for anyone to work with, in terms of the hairs, was a photograph.

One of the things that Detective Sergeant Penny Brown did, apparently wearing her Strike Force Macnamir hat as OIC of the Macnamir reconsideration of the Scott Johnson case, and presumably as part of "putting to the test" some of the Milledge findings, was to obtain a report from an expert as to whether the hairs might have been Mr Russell's own hair.

In the tender bundle, which it is probably not
necessary for you to turn up, Commissioner, at tab 46A, there is an email from Detective Penelope Brown - Detective Sergeant Brown - to, among others, Michae1 Chebl, the OIC of Strike Force Neiwand, providing to him a statement by a forensic hair examiner. This is an email of 3 May 2016, but it is providing a statement from this forensic hair examiner dated 26 May 2014, so presumably it was obtained during the course of Strike Force Macnamir. The expert opinion, which appears at tab 47, based as I have said, which is all it could be based on, was on the photograph of the hairs, was as follows, and I'm quoting from paragraph 3.2:

> Stereo microscopy of the photographs indicated that the deceased had visually brown head hair, and the hairs located on his hand also appeared to be visually brown. As such, these hairs are unremarkable, in that they could have come from the deceased's own scalp.

That's probably the central or essential statement in that report.

The point, presumably, one infers, was to cast doubt over the significance of the hairs and the possibility that they were someone else's.

Now, Commissioner, you have evidence that Mr Peter Russell saw the hairs in the morgue on his brother's hand on 24 November 1989 and saw immediately that, as he understood it, or as he perceived it, they were not his brother's hairs; they were too long and the wrong colour.

Now, moving to Strike Force Neiwand itself, first may I direct some attention to what Strike Force Neiwand did not do.

First of all, it did not implement the recommendations made by Detective Senior Constable Taylor in 2012 - namely, to engage the persons of interest via an undercover operation.

Secondly, it did not, in fact, investigate any of the persons of interest, numbering some 116, listed in a spreadsheet which had been circulated to the Neiwand team in February 2016.

Thirdly, in the case of Mr Mattaini, and certainly in the case of Mr Russell, Strike Force Neiwand did not pursue the homicide possibility at all. There is a slight exception to that in the case of Mr Warren, which I'11 refer to tomorrow. But in the case of Mr Russell, there was no attempt to investigate, much less reinvestigate, the possibility of homicide.

Mr Willing gave evidence in Public Hearing 2 that the decision not to pursue persons of interest was not a choice made by him. He said it would have been made by the investigative team and probably the OIC, which would mean either Detective Sergeant Brown, at the earliest stages, or from the first part of 2016, Detective Senior Constable Chebl. It is submitted that the more likely contender for the person who made that decision, at least as between DS Brown and DSC Cheb1, is probably DSC Cheb1.

Mr Willing denied that the purpose of Strike Force Neiwand was to undermine and contradict the findings of Coroner Milledge. However, he agreed "that the course of action that ultimately seems to have evolved" was that Strike Force Neiwand was focused on analysing Operation Taradale and criticising it where possible.

Mr Willing said he could not comment on whether this was deliberate and, if so, whose motivations these reflected.

In an email, however, on 26 February 2016, very early in the life of Strike Force Neiwand, Detective Sergeant Morgan, then about to become the Investigation Supervisor, told colleagues that he had been put with Strike Force Neiwand, and he went on, describing Strike Force Neiwand, to say this:

> Apparently it is going to be a political
> and media-driven hot potato later this year
> and the boss wants to be able to say that
> his squad are further investigating the matter.

At face value, it is obvious that Detective Sergeant Morgan appeared to be saying to his colleagues that "the boss", whom both he and Mr Willing agreed meant Mr Willing, wanted to be able to say that the Homicide Squad was
investigating or further investigating these three cases when, in fact, something else was the reality.

Detective Sergeant Morgan, in his oral evidence, said that he did indeed have that view. As to where he got that view from, he had first described it as an impression that he had, but eventually his evidence was that a senior officer, either Mr Willing himself or DCI Lehmann, had told him that the SBS television station was going to be airing a program later that year called "Deep Water", and that Mr Willing wanted to be "on the front foot" in relation to the criticisms that were anticipated to be made by those programs.

It is submitted, as we have put in writing, that in its implementation and in its outcomes, Strike Force Neiwand was quite obviously aimed at discrediting both the work of Operation Taradale and former Detective Sergeant Page personally and at discrediting the findings of the Milledge Inquest itself. It is difficult to resist the conclusion that the eventual implementation and outcomes, being the ones I have just noted, were consistent with the actual objectives, even if those actual objectives were not written down.

Now, as we have outlined in our written submissions, Strike Force Neiwand, which extended for the better part of two years, perhaps a little less, generated nine progress reports. It ultimately generated, at the very end of its work, three summary documents, which I will refer to as "the Mattaini summary", "the Warren summary" and "the Russell summary", and it also generated an overall document called a "postoperative assessment", or POA, which referred to all three of them.

Each of the summaries, the Neiwand summaries, including the Russell summary, was written, according to the evidence that, Commissioner, you received in February this year, by the OIC, Detective Senior Constable Chebl, and was then reviewed and accepted by the Investigation Supervisor, Detective Sergeant Morgan. It is submitted that both are responsible for their contents. DSC Chebl left the police some years ago, but DS Morgan gave both written and oral evidence about his role and the role of DSC Chebl.

A11 of these documents - the progress reports, the

Neiwand summaries and the postoperative assessment - make clear that Strike Force Neiwand made a deliberate choice not to pursue persons of interest such as gang members, even though Operation Taradale had identified many such persons 15 years earlier.

DS Morgan agreed that that was a deliberate decision on the part of Strike Force Neiwand. He was not able to say precisely by whom or precisely in what way the decision was made, but he offered the view that it was a consensus decision.

Now, as both Mr Willing, former Deputy Commissioner Willing, and Detective Sergeant Morgan acknowledged in their oral evidence, the Neiwand summaries and the postoperative assessment were replete with very serious criticisms of Operation Taradale and of former Detective Sergeant Page. Some of those criticisms, which I will come to, related to particular aspects of each case, and I will deal shortly, in a moment, with the Russell part of that topic. However, there were also several recurring attacks on Operation Taradale and Mr Page that were common to all three summaries.

First, each of them contained a paragraph in precisely the same terms, verbatim, which we have set out in our written submissions, the thrust of which was to accuse Operation Taradale of focusing on gay hate and relying on something called "Investigation confirmation bias", to the extent that that limited the validity of the Coroner's findings. "Confirmation bias" was said to be seeking to bolster a hypothesis by seeking consistent evidence while disregarding inconsistent evidence. So the accusation was confirmation bias which involved disregarding evidence inconsistent with a preferred hypothesis.

Secondly, the Russell summary and the Warren summary accused Operation Taradale of having approached its investigation with tunnel vision, in the sense that it was said to have focused only on members of youth gangs and not to have considered other hypotheses.

Thirdly, Operation Taradale was accused of failing to conduct a thorough victimology. That is an expression which refers to investigating a deceased person's associates, family and other contacts at the victim end of the spectrum rather than the possible attacker end of the
spectrum.
Now, first of all Mr Page gave evidence in February, which was unchallenged, in which he emphatically rejected all of these attacks and all of these criticisms. We submit that his evidence should be accepted. But in any event, by the time Mr Willing and Detective Sergeant Morgan had completed their oral evidence, all three of these criticisms, so conspicuously and repeatedly made throughout the Neiwand summaries, had been abandoned.

DS Morgan, having been questioned at some length by myself over some days and having made various concessions along the way, was then asked some questions by Senior Counsel for the Commissioner of Police in the form of what might be called leading questions, and he was invited to agree that "the vast majority of the criticisms in the Neiwand summaries of Operation Taradale and DS Page are unjustified", and he did agree with that; he said they were unjustified.

He also agreed, when invited to agree, that all three investigations by DS Page - that is, by Operation Taradale - were, in fact, very thorough and appropriate, and he also agreed, when invited to agree, that the allegations of tunnel vision and confirmation bias were unwarranted and unjustified.

In written submissions, we've given some of the many other examples of individual particular concessions by DS Morgan as to the unsustainability of a lot of these criticisms.

Mr Willing, for his part, made similar concessions, including that parts of these various summaries were "completely wrong", "just not true", or "ridiculous".

Now, I move to a second aspect of Strike Force Neiwand, speaking generally. Commissioner, you will recall that Coroner Milledge had found in 2005 that both Mr Warren and Mr Russell had been victims of homicide, and she had also stated her view, as we saw a little while ago, that it was probable that both they and Mr Mattaini had met their deaths at the hands of what her Honour called "gay hate assailants".

With that in mind, it is to be noted that when

Assistant Commissioner Crandell gave evidence in December in relation to what may have been the purpose of Strike Force Neiwand, he said that:
... questioning a Coroner's finding I don't think would be appropriate.

And where police undertook a new investigation "off our own instigation", which is what happened here with Strike Force Neiwand, Assistant Commissioner Crandell said he would:
... expect that to be to find the
perpetrator, rather than question the
Coroner's finding.
He also gave evidence, in relation to coronial findings generally and Strike Force Parrabell, that his view was that if a Coroner made a finding, we should be bound - "we", the police, should be bound - by that finding on that basis.

Similarly, Mr Willing gave evidence that when a Coroner makes a finding that a death is a homicide, the police "are not at liberty to just proceed as though that finding hadn't been made and treat it as not a homicide".

However, it is submitted, Commissioner, that the evidence overall establishes beyond argument that that is just what Strike Force Neiwand did. Indeed, Mr Willing conceded that that is what Strike Force Neiwand did. Its focus was overwhelmingly, in all three cases, on factors pointing to the possibility of either suicide or misadventure. In the case of Mr Russell, the focus was on misadventure. And Neiwand's focus was not, in any of these cases, on factors pointing towards the possibility of homicide, especially not gay hate homicide.

Apart from the decision that $I$ have mentioned specifically and deliberately not to pursue the persons of interest that were known, other concessions made by Detective Sergeant Morgan in this regard included the following, and we have listed these in the written submissions: that Neiwand put far more effort into finding evidence that might indicate suicide or misadventure than it did into finding evidence that might indicate homicide; that in the case of Mr Russell, Neiwand devoted its attention overwhelmingly to misadventure and really made no
inquiries at all directed to exploring the possibility of homicide; and that, in fact, it was Neiwand, not Operation Taradale, that might be seen to have engaged in tunnel vision and reliance on confirmation bias, at least in relation to the cases of Mr Russell and, indeed, Mr Mattaini.

So what we submit, Commissioner, is that the evidence establishes that Strike Force Neiwand made virtually no attempt to investigate, as homicides, the deaths of any of these three men, notwithstanding that Coroner Milledge had expressly found that the deaths of Mr Russell and Mr Warren were homicides and had expressed the view that the death of Mr Mattaini probably was as well.

Instead, what Neiwand did was to direct its very considerable efforts and resources over the better part of two years to attempting to build a case for contradicting and overturning the findings of Coroner Milledge. It did so without informing either the Coroner's office or Mr Page or the families of any of the three deceased men about whom those public findings had been made or the public generally.

One can only imagine the reactions of the families, such as Peter Russell and Donna Hannah here today, on learning, at the end of last year for the first time, that the police had embarked for two years on a project which had the result, and we submit the purpose, of overturning the coronial findings made by Coroner Milledge in 2005.

One doesn't have to imagine the reactions of Mr Page, because he gave evidence about those reactions, and I note as an addendum to what I have just said, at the Parliamentary Inquiry which ultimately led to the instigation of this Special Commission of Inquiry, no mention of Strike Force Neiwand was made. No mention of its activities was made. No mention of its conclusions was made.

Now, Commissioner, I am conscious of the time and it may be that this is a convenient time.

THE COMMISSIONER: I will take a break now. Yes, thank you, I will adjourn shortly.

SHORT ADJOURNMENT

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Gray?
MR GRAY: Commissioner, the next point that we make in our written submissions, and this is at paragraph 187, is that although the Terms of Reference for Strike Force Neiwand and its investigation plan describe it as a "reinvestigation" of these three deaths, in fact, with only minor exceptions, it was not a reinvestigation at all; rather, it was essentially a review on the papers of the earlier work by Operation Taradale.

In the end, again in questioning by Senior Counsel for the Commissioner of Police, after many concessions along the way to that effect in answer to questions from myself, Detective Sergeant Morgan did make the direct concession that that was, indeed, so - namely, that it was essentially a review on the papers of the work of Operation Taradale.

The Acting Commander Homicide, at the time, in September 2017, Detective Acting Superintendent Jason Dickinson, indeed, described it in writing in one of the progress reports as "cold case evidentiary review".

For his part, Assistant Commissioner Crandell, when he gave oral evidence before you, Commissioner, in December, indicated that his understanding had always been, as it happened, prior to this Inquiry, that Neiwand was a review rather than an investigation or a reinvestigation.

Now, the next point we make is that Mr Page - former Detective Sergeant Page - was unaware of the existence of Strike Force Neiwand until informed of it by this Inquiry late last year.

At no stage did Strike Force Neiwand inform him that it was making the sorts of accusations against him and Operation Taradale that it did, nor was he given any opportunity to respond to any of those accusations. Yet, those accusations, very serious as many of them were, including the ones that I will come to this afternoon in relation to Mr Mattaini, were widely distributed within the NSW Police Force, including to very senior officers.

We submit that the failure to afford Mr Page an opportunity to answer the allegations against him was most unfair. Mr Willing acknowledged that it was unfair.

DS Morgan was only prepared to say, "On face value, it appears to have been unfair", and a bit later, "I can see that it appears to have been unfair".

Now, at the conclusion of the Neiwand exercise, what the three summaries purported to do in their concluding paragraphs in each case was to overturn the findings of the Milledge Inquest in March 2005. Those findings have been set out in our submissions and they were on the screen this morning. They were: homicide in the cases of Mr Warren and Mr Russell, and an open finding for Mr Mattaini with an expression of probability outside the formal finding that the likelihood was that he had met his death at the hands of a gay hate assailant as well.

Now, by contrast - and I wonder if there could be shown on the screen paragraphs 195 and following of the written submissions that you have, Commissioner, because there is there set out the three concluding sets of words in the three summaries. In the case of Mr Russell, it reads:

> The manner of [Mr] Russell's death should be reclassified as "undetermined", despite the 2005 homicide findings of the Coroner.
> It is recommended that this investigation be listed as inactive and only reactivated if new and compelling evidence becomes available.

An almost identical - not quite but almost identical form of words was provided in the case of Mr Warren. It's at about page 40, I think, if you have that there, paragraph 197. As I've just quoted, Commissioner, in both cases, Neiwand says - we can see there the Warren and the Russe11 ones:

```
... should be reclassified as
"undetermined" despite the ... "homicide"
findings of the Coroner.
```

As we have said in our written submissions, the use of that word, "despite" in those two findings, is both audacious and insouciant as well as unjustified. It flies in the face of the evidence of former Deputy Commissioner Willing and Assistant Commissioner Crandell.

Now, as we say at paragraph 200 of these submissions, Mr Willing agreed that Strike Force Neiwand had reached this position directly contradicting the findings of Coroner Milledge, without having made any attempt to explore the question of persons of interest.

Mr Morgan, and this is at paragraph 200 - Detective Sergeant Morgan - agreed that the contradiction of the coronial findings was made even though Strike Force Neiwand had, in fact, uncovered nothing of any consequence beyond what was before Coroner Milledge.

We submit, and we say this at paragraph 204, that Neiwand had no proper or reasonable basis for contradicting the coronial findings in any way, those findings should be confirmed. And as we noted in a couple of paragraphs above that, Mr Willing agreed willingly, in his evidence, that for Neiwand to say that the cause and manner of death remain undetermined despite the homicide findings of the Coroner was "completely without foundation", he said it was "breathtaking", he said it was "extraordinary", he said he had never seen the like before.

As we submit in paragraph 205, the attacks by Neiwand on Operation Taradale and Mr Page were unfounded, unjustified and unsubstantiated. The investigations by Operation Taradale were appropriate and thorough, as Detective Sergeant Morgan eventually conceded, and Neiwand had absolutely no basis for its purported conclusions that the 2005 findings of Coroner Milledge should be overturned.

The failure to give Mr Page any chance to know about what he was accused of or to answer it was unfair, and the failure to inform the Coroner's office of the accusations made both against Mr Page and about how the Coroner supposedly got it wrong, contradicting the express findings of the Coroner, was not only breathtaking, as Mr Willing agreed, but, we submit, indefensible.

Now, so far as the particular Neiwand summary concerning Mr Russell was concerned, I will touch upon this relatively briefly. As I have said, the main thrust of Strike Force Neiwand's activity in relation to the death of Mr Russell was to advance and propound and bolster a theory of misadventure, ie, that Mr Russell had accidentally fallen to his death perhaps as a result of his level of intoxication.

The three main components of that focus were: one, Mr Russell's blood alcohol concentration and some evidence from Dr Moynham that I'll come to; secondly, suggested differences of opinion between two forensic pathologists, Dr Cala and Dr Duflou, about, inter alia, the hairs and the position of the body; and, thirdly, the views of a crime scene officer as to the disturbance of some bushes at the top of the cliff.

We have made submissions about all of those matters, Commissioner, in the submissions in respect of Public Hearing 2, and I won't repeat those.

As to the first of those three components, namely, the blood alcohol concentration, Mr Russell's blood alcohol concentration was 0.255 . That is obviously quite a high concentration. In section J of our submissions, we set out some of what Dr Moynham said about the blood alcohol and what it showed. I'11 just turn that up.

Dr Moynham said in 2001, when he gave evidence at the request of Sergeant Page in connection with the Taradale operation and the Milledge Inquest, that at an alcohol concentration of 0.255 , he would expect the victim to be displaying signs of marked intoxication, he would have impaired balance, impaired coordination, impaired spatial orientation and the like, and he would have had a diminished capacity to protect himself from danger.

In 2016, at the request of Dr Neiwand, Dr Moynham provided a second report. He said, in that report, that his opinion had not altered since 2001, but he added, in clarification or explanation of what he had said before and we have set this out at paragraph 478 of the written submissions:

This level of intoxication --
that is 0.255 --
would make a person more prone to trauma as a consequence of physical impairment. It would also make a person more vulnerable to predatory behaviour by other persons. His capacity to protect or defend himself would be impaired.

And Dr Moynham finished by saying:
It is not possible to determine if he was the victim of an accident or if he was the victim of foul play. Both are possible.

Now, in the Neiwand summary - and I paraphrase - the effect of what is written and the way in which it is written is to suggest that Dr Moynham's evidence points strongly towards the likelihood of an accidental fall because of the impairment of various kinds brought about by the level of intoxication. But in fact, Dr Moynham's evidence points in both directions - that is to say, the level of blood alcohol could have meant that Mr Russell's coordination and movements were impaired but also could have meant, or would have meant, that his capacity to resist an attack or to ward off an assailant would be reduced. In other words, as Professor Moynham, as he then was, finished up by saying, in his view, it was not possible to determine whether Mr Russell, from the point of view of blood alcohol content, was the victim of an accident or foul play; both were possible.

Mr Page, in his evidence, pointed out that the blood alcohol concentration is capable of supporting both the possibility of homicide and the possibility of misadventure.

In the case of the two pathologists, Drs Cala and Duflou - and we summarise this at 251 - both considered the position of Mr Russell's body to be unusual for a fall, such that foul play was possible; both thought that the hairs on Mr Russell's hand were more likely to be from a second person than to be Mr Russell's own hair; both considered that some of the bruising on Mr Russell's head could have - they did not say "did" come but "could have" come from an assault prior to death, and both acknowledged that misadventure could not be ruled out.

The submission that we advance is that the two experts overall were largely in agreement. The few differences between their respective views were relatively slight. When Mr Morgan - DS Morgan - said in his statement that Dr Duflou's findings "differed significantly" from those of Dr Cala, in our respectful submission, that is not a sustainable position.

The third component on which Neiwand relied in pressing its misadventure theory was evidence, including evidence from a crime scene officer called
Sergeant Cameron, that some shrubbery at the top of the cliff had been disturbed, and Mr Russell had some strands of grass near and underneath his body, down on the rock shelf below, and the suggestion advanced by Neiwand, relying on Sergeant Cameron, was that those two pieces of evidence - shrubbery disturbed at the top, strands of grass near Mr Russell's body, below - would refute the theory of him being thrown over the edge of the cliff. It is submitted, and indeed Mr Page made this observation in his evidence, that that theory does not withstand a moment's scrutiny. Among other things, it is at least equally possible that shrubbery could be disturbed during a struggle.

It is submitted that in the case of the Neiwand summary concerning Mr Russell, Neiwand had no proper or reasonable basis for its purported conclusion that the 2005 findings of Coroner Milledge should be overturned.

In the concluding part of our written submissions, we summarise the evidence now available to the Inquiry. The evidence now available to the Inquiry, of course, includes the evidence that was available through Operation Taradale to Coroner Milledge and it includes all the materials generated by Strike Force Neiwand, including the summaries, all of which I have already said something about today and I won't repeat.

At the very end of our written submissions, we observe that we ourselves - that is, the Inquiry - have sought to have Mr Russell's clothing forensically tested by FASS. I've noted this morning that no such testing was carried out in 1989, but I've also noted that, at that time, DNA testing was only in its infancy in this State.

I have noted that in 2002 or thereabouts, Operation Taradale sent the clothes for testing, and some testing was done, but produced no useful result.

In 2016, Strike Force Neiwand arranged for some testing as well, and although the testing was not very informative, it did inform Neiwand - and this is in the materials - that there was a mixed DNA profile on the
jeans. That indicates, as we understand it, that the DNA on the jeans is from more than one person.

In 2016, however, FASS, as we understand it, was not given a reference sample, by which I mean was not given a sample of DNA of the same stamp as Mr Russell, eg, by getting some DNA from Peter Russell.

The Inquiry, for its part, has arranged for testing this year, and that has included the provision of a reference sample.

The test results will be the subject of a statement from the appropriate officer from FASS, which is not yet available. It should be available shortly. It will address, I expect, not only the results of the testing done this year in 2023 but will clarify exactly what testing was done in 2002, what testing was done in 2016, and will include, I anticipate, some reference to the possible impact, if any, on any of those tests, at any of those stages, of the fact that the clothes, it seems, were washed or cleaned in some way in 1989.

So far as manner and cause of death is concerned, the submission we make, Commissioner, is that the finding made by Coroner Milledge in 2005 is still appropriate - that is, John Alan Russell died at Marks Park near Bondi between 22 and 23 November 1989. The cause of death is multiple injuries sustained when he was thrown from the cliff on to rocks by a person or persons unknown.

So far as bias is concerned, which is, of course, one of the critical factors which this Inquiry's Terms of Reference require you, as Commissioner, to consider, it's submitted that the evidence is sufficient to establish that Mr Russell's death was a gay hate crime - that is, a death in which LGBTIQ bias was a factor.

Among the matters that inform that suggested conclusion are: first, the location where Mr Russell's body was found, which was a very well-known gay beat at the time; second, the probability that Mr Russell was at Marks Park in order to use the beat, it not being on his way home from the hotel where he was last seen; thirdly, the position in which his body was found, which the two experts do agree was unusual for an accidental fall; fourthly, the coins scattered around his body, which may indicate that
they had been in his hand rather than his pocket and perhaps had been used as a means of signalling to other persons on the top of the cliff. The Inquiry has evidence that that mode of communication was sometimes used by those using beats; fifth1y, the strands of hair found on Mr Russell's left index finger, likely to have been from another person, particularly in the light of the evidence of Peter Russel1; sixthly, the position of his sloppy joe, which both Drs Cala and Duflou indicate might - not necessarily, but might - indicate that it had reached that position by causes otherwise than just the fall; seventh1y, the assault on Mr McMahon only one month later at the very same location; and, eighthly, the abundance of evidence of gay hate assaults in the Bondi/Tamarama area in the 1980s and 1990s, including the disappearance and death of Mr Warren in July 1989, other assaults, including that on Mr McMahon in or near Marks Park in November and December 1989, and the murder of Mr Rattanajurathaporn in Ju1y 1990, a11 of those occurring in or near Marks Park.

It is submitted that it is high1y probable that Mr Russel 1 met his death at the hands of one or more gay hate assailants.

Those are our submissions.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR MYKKELTVEDT: We will provide submissions in writing in accordance with the practice direction.

THE COMMISSIONER: Al1 right. Thank you.
Mr Gray, I take it you want to move to the other matter after 1 unch?

MR GRAY: If I may.
THE COMMISSIONER: What would be a convenient time to resume?

MR GRAY: I wonder if either 2.15 or 2.30 would be convenient.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
MR GRAY: There are one or two matters that $I$ need to
attend to, and that would be suitable to me if it's
suitable to the Commission.
THE COMMISSIONER: No, it is.
Does that cause any concern on your part?
MR MYKKELTVEDT: That's fine, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Al1 right. If I make it 2.30 , we'll be finished by the normal time?

MR GRAY: We certainly would.
THE COMMISSIONER: All right. In that event I will make it 2.30, so I will adjourn these proceedings until 2.30.
Thank you.

## LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes?
MR GRAY: Commissioner, I turn to the case of Gilles Mattaini.

Mr Mattaini's partner at the time of his death in 1985 was Mr Jacques Musy. He has consented to the Inquiry showing a photograph of Mr Mattaini and I ask that that now be put on the screen. Thank you.

Mr Mattaini is there wearing a yellow spray jacket, which I will come to a little later in these submissions.

Mr Musy, who now lives again in France, has prepared a statement in which he reflects on the life and the loss of his partner, Mr Mattaini. I tender that statement, which I believe will be exhibit 50.

## EXHIBIT \#50 FAMILY STATEMENT OF MR JACQUES MUSY

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much.
MR GRAY: Commissioner, on behalf of the Inquiry, I extend my condolences to Mr Musy and to all of Mr Mattaini's surviving family and friends, and thank Mr Musy for providing a statement.

Commissioner, Gilles Mattaini was a gay man, born in France in October 1958. He was last seen walking near Bondi Beach on 15 September 1985, when he was 26 years old. He was never seen again, and his body has never been recovered.

Mr Mattaini met his partner, Mr Jacques Musy, in France in about 1978, and they began a relationship which lasted until Mr Mattaini's death. In 1983, they moved to Australia, first Mr Musy and then Mr Mattaini. As at September 1985, they lived together in an apartment in Ramsgate Avenue, Bondi Beach, near the intersection with Campbell Parade.

At the very time that Mr Mattaini disappeared, from about late August to late September 1985, Mr Musy was in France on holiday.

Mr Mattaini worked at the Menzies Hotel in the city as a waiter or barman. At the time of his disappearance, he had some concern about his residency, which I'll come to, because he had, it seems, overstayed his visa. However, the evidence is that he was enjoying his time alone while Mr Musy was away on holidays, and he was also looking forward not only to Mr Musy's return but to a visit from a friend from France, Mr Antony Wyszynski, who would be staying with him, or with them, in their apartment, and he was purchasing things for the apartment in anticipation of that visit.

One of the leisure activities which Mr Mattaini enjoyed was walking around the beachside areas and paths near Bondi, including the coastal walk from Bondi to Bronte, which goes around Marks Park.

I wonder if, at the moment, I could ask to have put on the screen - I think we have both a map and an aerial photo which show the relevant area. The first is an aerial photo which shows Marks Park. The park itself is the green area on the right of the photo. One can see the walking track skirting from the top of the photo around the park and around the southern side. The water which is shown as white water on the bottom of the photo is in an area which is known as Mackenzies Bay, and the park itself, the headland, is sometimes, or was sometimes used to be known as Mackenzies Point.

While I am speaking about this, the area where
Mr Russell's body was found, about whom we made submissions this morning, was just to the right of where all that white water is, slightly to the right of the building that one can see at the southern end of that park, which is an ablution block. So just to the right of that and down is the area of the cliff at the bottom of which Mr Russell's body was found.

If we could just see the other map, thank you, Marks Park, which we just saw in the photo, is the area circled at the bottom of this aerial photo, and one can see the sweep of land around to the north, where the walking track leads north from Marks Park, arriving at Bondi Beach and then, at the far end of Bondi Beach, the northern end, circled in red is the area where the apartment was where Mr Musy and Mr Mattaini lived at the time.

Just to the right and above that, at the top of that photo, is another bit of parkland that one can begin to see, and that's the Bondi Golf Club, where the Bondi Diggers Club is, which is mentioned in the evidence which we will come to.

The headland at the top of Bondi Beach, to the right of that smaller red circle, arrives at a point, and the point is known as Ben Buckler.

Now, as I said, Mr Mattaini enjoyed walking that coastal track, according to his friends, sometimes walking north from his home, but also sometimes walking south and sometimes as far as Marks Park. He would take his headphones or earphones and listen to music, and he would sit and look at the ocean, which was something he liked to do.

According to his friends, although some of them later were aware and maybe one or two of them were already aware in 1985 that Marks Park was a gay beat, Mr Mattaini would not have been someone who used the gay beat at all; he was a shy and private person and he was in a committed relationship and none of his friends expected that he would have been using the beat.

On 16 September 1985, he failed to turn up for his shift at the Menzies Hotel. This became known to his friends, who tried to find out what may have happened to
him, and they had no success. Mr Musy, who was in France, was informed of his disappearance by one of those friends, whose name was Vincent Ottaviani. He also worked at the Menzies Hotel, as did another friend, Mr Marc Hubert, both of whom I'll come to in a little while.

The day before, or perhaps a couple of days before, he disappeared, Mr Mattaini had asked Mr Hubert, his friend and supervisor at the Menzies, if he could finish his shift early. He said he was feeling stressed, he didn't tell Mr Hubert about what, and he, uncharacteristically, asked for a cigarette because he was feeling the need for one. Mr Hubert gave evidence later that he did not know what this stress related to.

A copy of a September 1985 calendar from the apartment, much later, in 2002, was obtained in the course of Operation Taradale. The word "off" was marked on 15 September, indicating probably that Mr Mattaini was not working on that day, and the same calendar had a number of future days after 15 September marked as days on which various anticipated events would occur.

Possibly on 15 September, and if not, then shortly before that date, Mr Mattaini spoke on the telephone with his friend Mr Wyszynski, who was then in France but who was the friend who was going to come in the near future to visit Sydney. Mr Wyszynski said that Mr Mattaini was in good spirits and looking forward to his visit.

Mr Wyszynski later - and all of these things only became known later, for reasons that I'll come to - told Mr Mattaini's mother that Mr Mattaini had told him that he was going swimming in the sea on that day, which may or may not have been the day he disappeared.

There was a sighting of Mr Mattaini on 15 September in Bondi by a neighbour. That neighbour described Mr Mattaini as looking "aloof". That, on the evidence, is the last sighting of Mr Mattaini.

Mr Musy, when he came to give evidence many years later in the Taradale inquest, referred to this neighbour as being known as "Terry", but the full name and possible whereabouts of Terry are unknown.

When Mr Mattaini did not turn up to his shift at the

Menzies on the 16th, Mr Hubert contacted Mr Ottaviani. Mr Ottaviani visited Mr Mattaini's flat but there was no answer. Mr Ottaviani telephoned Mr Wyszynski in France and told him this. Mr Wyszynski asked Mr Ottaviani to go to Mr Mattaini's flat and check.

According to Mr Wyszynski's statement, he received a further call from Mr Ottaviani to say that he had checked the apartment and that it was intact, with his passport and house keys present. How it is that Mr Ottaviani could have gained entry to the apartment is not clear. As just mentioned, a couple of days earlier when he had gone there and got no answer, he had apparently not gone in.

In any event, when Mr Wyszynski later gave oral evidence at the Milledge Inquest, which I'11 come to, he said that, in fact, he had no specific recollection of Mr Ottaviani saying anything about the keys and, further, when Mr Musy, in due course, returned from France and went to his own apartment that he shared with Mr Mattaini, he saw that Mr Mattaini's keys were, in fact, missing.

Now, Mr Ottaviani and Mr Hubert made inquiries with various bodies as best they could - hospitals and, according to one recollection, at least, the police - as to Mr Mattaini's whereabouts. They failed to obtain any information. It remained a mystery.

Mr Musy, when he returned, was under the impression that Mr Ottaviani and Mr Hubert had indeed reported the disappearance to police. I'll come back to that, as to whether they did or whether they didn't. Mr Musy himself was very distressed and being treated with Valium because of his upset at the disappearance of his partner.

Mr Wyszynski in due course, a week or two later, arrived in Australia and he and Mr Ottaviani made further attempts to see if they could find Mr Mattaini or find out what had happened to him, but they again had no success.

Because there seems to have been no report to police at the time, in 1985, there was no police investigation at the time. The first investigation into Mr Mattaini's disappearance by police was not until the second half of 2002, as part of Operation Taradale. However, that investigation was relatively confined due to the fact that Mr Musy and Mr Wyszynski only reported Mr Mattaini's
disappearance to Detective Sergeant Page in August 2002, at a time when, otherwise, the brief of evidence for the Coroner in relation to the cases of Mr Warren and Mr Russell had been completed.

Now, as to Terry, Coroner Milledge, whose findings I'11 come to shortly, described Mr Mattaini as being last seen "walking on the track at Bondi on about 15 September". That is consistent with Mr Musy's evidence at the Milledge Inquest as to what the man called Terry had told him.

Mr Musy's recollection at the time of the Milledge Inquest was that Terry saw Mr Mattaini walking near Terry's apartment, which was in Campbell Parade, near Ramsgate Avenue, where Mr Musy and Mr Mattaini's apartment was, up the hill slightly towards the Bondi Diggers.

I add that a map that Mr Musy provided to the Inquiry in October last year, which is in tab 43 of the tender bundle, puts Terry's apartment in Campbell Parade, but on the southern side of Ramsgate Avenue, whereas his evidence, Mr Musy's evidence in 2003, seems to indicate that he was saying that Terry's apartment was on the northern side of Ramsgate Avenue.

Mr Musy has been asked about Terry in various contexts, in particular, in 2002 by Mr Page - Detective Sergeant Page - and in 2003 in the Milledge Inquest, and in 2016 in the course of Strike Force Neiwand. He consistently said that the man called Terry told him that he had seen Mr Mattaini walking in Bondi that morning and that he looked "aloof". He said he always remembered that word "aloof" and the date, because it was his own birthday.

The Inquiry has made what efforts it has been able to make, including by communications with Mr Musy and also another friend, Mr G1en Lehman, who was in the circle of friends shared by Mr Musy and Mr Mattaini, as to whether there is any prospect now of identifying Terry or his whereabouts, and that has not been able to be achieved.

Now, as I mentioned, Interpol, with whom both Operation Taradale and Strike Force Neiwand had some communications in connection with this case, advised back in 2002, at the time of Taradale, that Mr Mattaini's mother recalled Mr Wyszynski telling her that Mr Mattaini had told him that he was going to go swimming on the day that

Mr Mattaini and Mr Wyszynski had spoken on the phone, which may have been 15 September. The mother, Mrs Mattaini, described her son as an amateur scuba diver. On the other hand, Mr Musy told Strike Force Neiwand that Mr Mattaini did not go scuba diving in the time he knew him, was not an athlete and was too scared to go into the ocean.

By contrast again, Mr Lehman recalls Mr Mattaini as being a good swimmer and that swimming was one of the social activities he took part in with the group of friends. And there the evidence stands on that topic.

Now, as I mentioned, there was no original investigation. Mr Hubert, to whose evidence I'll come in a second, thought or was under the impression that Mr Ottaviani had reported Mr Mattaini's disappearance to Paddington Police Station. There is no record available to the Inquiry of any such report of a missing person, and so either there was some misunderstanding on the part of Mr Hubert and no such report had been made, or, if there was a report and there was a record made of it, no such record is any longer available.

Now, that brings me to Operation Taradale. I won't repeat what I said this morning about Operation Taradale generally. As you will recall, Commissioner, I referred to the evidence. It was an extensive investigation over some two years in relation to the cases of Mr Warren and Mr Russell. The investigation into the disappearance of Mr Mattaini was a much more circumscribed exercise by necessity because of its only being reported to Mr Page Detective Sergeant Page - very late in the piece.

What seems to have clearly happened is that Mr Wyszynski and Mr Musy, probably in that order, came to see Detective Sergeant Page in early August 2002 having seen publicity about Operation Taradale in the press. In that regard, may $I$ show two articles on the screen, both from late July 2002.

The first one, that has now come up, is an article in the Sydney Star Observer for 25 July 2002 [SCOI.23974_0002] and as can be seen, perhaps more easily when one has it in front of one in hard copy, it's on the front page, I think, of the Sydney Star Observer, and it is reporting on the fact that the Taradale investigation, Operation Taradale, has been under way and is now, as at late July 2002, about
to move into the next phase, which will be an inquest. It's referred to as a "hate crimes inquest".

The second article that I want to show, which is from a few days earlier, 21 July 2002, is a Daily Telegraph article, which again is on the front page, the only story on the front page, heading "Exclusive: six gay men disappear. Police believe their killers are gang members", and the main heading is "Linked by hate". So it's immediately clear that the publicity that was attending Operation Taradale was considerable and prominent.

Now, Detective Sergeant Page, having been told by Mr Wyszynski and Mr Musy that their friend, partner in the case of Mr Musy, had disappeared back in September 1985, 17 years previously, set about doing as much as he could as quickly as he could.

His statement, which I don't need to take you to, Commissioner, his own statement - that is, Detective Sergeant Page's statement - is in exhibit 6 at tab 160, and he recounts that he obtained a statement from Mr Musy; he obtained a statement from Mr Wyszynski; he conducted inquiries with the police computer system, COPS; he made inquiries with the Missing Persons Unit; he made inquiries with the Department of Immigration as to Mr Mattaini's visa and his movements in and out of Australia; he contacted the French Consulate, he contacted the Roads and Transport Authority; he published a media release; and he added and caused to be added the disappearance of Mr Mattaini to Operation Taradale.

The statement by Mr Musy that he obtained is at tab 160 of exhibit 6 . I beg your pardon, tab 159 of exhibit 6. The statement covers Mr Musy's account of meeting Mr Mattaini in France, something of what Mr Musy knew about Mr Mattaini's past before they met, and then something about their lives in Australia and what he found when he came back from France.

At paragraphs 5 and 6 of that statement, Mr Musy referred to two suicide attempts that Mr Mattaini had apparently made back in France in his teens. One of them, which is the one in paragraph 6 - they're out of order was before he entered the French military, which he did by way of conscription, and that's, according to Mr Musy, in this statement, probably when he was in his late teens;
and, secondly, when he was in national service in France this is at paragraph 5 - that he had seemingly made a second attempt to take his life while he was in the military, and the effect of that was that he was hospitalised and dismissed from the army, and I'11 come back to that.

In paragraph 13 he refers to Mr Mattaini taking walks around the coastal areas during daylight hours and the early evening, and sometimes around Marks Park. He refers to being told by Mr Ottaviani that Mr Mattaini was missing. At paragraph 20 he refers to coming home and noticing that Mr Mattaini's keys and headphones, Walkman, were missing.

Another thing that was missing was his bright yellow rubber spray jacket, and that, as I mentioned, is the spray jacket that is being worn by Mr Mattaini in the photo that was put up on the screen at the beginning of this afternoon's hearing.

At paragraph 23, there is the reference to the man who lived in the unit block nearby who had seen Mr Mattaini walking at Bondi on 15 September, looking "aloof".

At paragraph 24 he says that his understanding was that Mr Hubert and Mr Ottaviani had reported Mr Mattaini missing at Paddington Police Station but that there is no report of any such thing to be found.

A statement was also taken by Mr Page - Detective Sergeant Page - from Mr Wyszynski. That is at tab 4 of the tender bundle. I will mention briefly that among the things Mr Wyszynski says in that statement, which is dated 3 August 2002, is that when he spoke to Mr Mattaini at around about 15 September, shortly before he, Mr Wyszynski, was going to come to Australia, he says that Mr Mattaini:
... was very happy and he was looking
forward to my visit. There was no
indication about him being in trouble.
He refers in the next paragraph, 7, to Mr Ottaviani telling him that Mr Mattaini had not turned up for work. He says that Mr Ottaviani went to Mr Mattaini's place and told him, told Mr Wyszynski, that everything was intact and that his passport and house keys were there. As I've said, that seems not to be right.

In paragraph 10 - I should draw attention to this Mr Wyszynski says this:

I remember that Marks Park had a reputation for a cruising place for gay men. It also had a reputation for being dangerous, there were bashings and robbing of gay men at the park. This was happening in 1985, I know this because $I$ was told this by men who had been bashed and robbed and were too scared to report it to police.

He says that he experienced such things himself between 1986 and 1989.

In paragraph 18, finally for present purposes, Mr Wyszynski recounts that he had been reading about murder cases in the paper, the ones that happened in Bondi involving gay men, and he says:

I began to think that maybe Gilles had been murdered in these circumstances. About a week ago $I$ read an article in The Star Observer about reporting information to Paddington Police in relation to murders and hate crimes in the area. I contacted Paddington Police and spoke to them ... I spoke ... to Detective Page. I then was in contact with Jacques --
that is to say Mr Musy --
and we came in to Paddington Police ... to give a statement.

Mr Wyszynski later - I'11 just jump ahead - at the Milledge Inquest gave oral evidence where he was mainly questioned about the contents of his statement.

At page 63 , which is at tab 14 of the tender bundle, he describes Mr Mattaini's state of mind when he spoke to him on the phone in anticipation of his visit to Australia as being "extremely happy, exhilarating." At page 64 he says he sounded joyful on the telephone, and when asked by Counsel Assisting whether there was anything in his manner which caused Mr Wyszynski to have any concern about him, he
said, "Absolutely not. On the contrary."
On the same page, page 64, at tab 14, Counse1 Assisting asked this question:
Q. Mr Ottaviani told you that he had gone to Gilles' flat, found that everything was intact and that his passport and house keys were still there, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you specifically recall a reference to the house keys?
A. No.
Q. So that's just as best a memory as you
can have so many years after the event?
A. Basically everything was intact. That
there was no indication in the flat that he'd been robbed or why he disappeared or that he ran away even.

At page 68, Mr Wyszynski gave evidence that Mr Mattaini's father had refuted or rejected his son because of his homosexuality, and at page 69, in answer to questions put by Counsel for the Commissioner of Police, he agreed with what was put to him as follows:
Q. Back in 1985 Marks Park had a reputation for being dangerous. ... that's what you said in your statement?
A. Yes. As $I$ said $I$ arrived in ' 85 and I didn't know Sydney so $I$ can't really say that it was '85 or '86.
Q. ... but you say the mid-80s onwards?
A. Maybe a few months later I started to
go for walks. I mean, it could have been
already '86...
Q. But you said in your statement that you became aware that men had been bashed around that area, bashed and robbed?
A. Yes.
Q. And they were too scared to report it to the police; is that what they told you?
A. Yes that was the talk in the gay
community I would say.
Mr Hubert, the other friend who worked at the Menzies Hotel, gave oral evidence at the Milledge Inquest. That is
at tab 12 of the tender bundle. In particular, may $I$ note that at page 41 of the transcript, on the topic of Mr Mattaini's visa, he was asked at line 1:
Q. What did he tell you about his visa problems?

Mr Hubert's answer was:
A. Well I knew that he had to leave the country every three months to renew his visa which was the case at the time.
I think he would have been on the one-year visa and had to leave the country for three months.
Q. Did he tell you at some point that he became an illegal immigrant?
A. I don't know if he was by then illegal
or not, I don't think he actually really was ever illegal since he always renewed his visa. That's the reason why he actually left each time.

Later down that page, asked again about the term "illegal immigrant", he answered at line 30 :
A. Maybe it was about to expire I'm not
sure. I really wouldn't know that it's too
far away for me to remember ...
And then at line 40 :
A. I know he was worried ... and I also know that financially it was a burden ... to leave every three months to go away for a week or so and come back.

And at page 44, transcript page 44, at about line 40, he says that his understanding was that Vincent Ottaviani would have contacted the Paddington Police Station because that's where he was living. He said:

> ... I don't remember why I remember the Paddington Police Station but that's still in my mind somehow ...

Now, Mr Musy gave oral evidence as well at the

Milledge Inquest. His evidence is in exhibit 6, tab 280. Again, I won't take time at length on it. It's referred to in our written submissions. But, in particular, he says the following things at around pages 48 to 50 . He is asked at the bottom of page 48 this:
Q. ... you've told us, I think, that your relationship with him commenced about 1978 and of course ended when he went missing in 1985, a period of some seven years?
A. That is correct.
Q. In that period, [that is, the whole seven years], did he give any indication of the fact that he was thinking about suicide, contemplating it in any respect or was so stressed or sad or depressed that that might be something he could do?
A. No.

The answer went on:
On the contrary meeting me and being involved with me in a love relationship actually made him sort of forget about these thoughts or his problems he had in the past.

Next sentence:
He had sort of a kind of frame of mind where he was somebody who was more attracted to death than $7 i f e$ and meeting me show him life in a different way and he had a very fulfilling relationship with me and he was commenting often that he was really happy and how stupid he had been before to sort of want to die because there was much more to life than what he thought there was.

He went on:
The coming to Australia was a very ... big part of this and he was extremely, very very happy to be in Australia and to find a new life living in Bondi by the seaside and all this was exhilarating for him. He
was very very happy he - it was just like a cure for him from his bad faults he had in the past --
and then Mr Musy added this, at 1 ine 20 on page 49:
and anyway, the Army event --
which is the second suicide attempt --
was probably more to get out of the system than actually to end his days because he was in a relationship with me already.

And at the bottom of that page, he is asked about the visa issue and whether that was something that Mr Mattaini was worried about, and he, in 1ine 40, answers that he was very happy in Australia but he was worried by the fact that his status as an illegal immigrant, so to speak, was preventing him from going to France to visit his parents, because he really wanted to live here:
Q. ... how worried he was about his
immigration status?
A. Worried to [a] point --

And the answer goes on, and then next answer at 1ine 55:

> A. ... it was worrying him not to be in the law, like he was 7 ike illegal but I mean it was a thought but it was not something which was really sort of weighting on him constantly not at all.
finally at page 51 he is asked:
Q. -- was there anything in any of the phone calls that you had with him when you were in France and he was in Australia in August '85 and September that caused you to think that he wasn't his normal self, that he was perhaps depressed or stressed or otherwise pressured?
A. No, I would say no because he was happy that $I$ was coming back. He was happy to tell me that he had bought this and that ... for the flat. [He was proud] that he
had done that, even though we would have argued about the financial repercussions of his spendings, but he would laugh about that and he was very happy of me coming back.

Now, at the Milledge Inquest, again, I won't repeat what I said this morning, but you'11 recall, Commissioner, that it went for some eight or nine days of hearing in 2003 and many witnesses were called there were many intercepted telephone conversations and listening devices, there were persons of interest and so on. I won't repeat what I said this morning.

Then the findings that Coroner Milledge delivered, included findings about Mr Mattaini, and perhaps that could be shown on the screen. It was shown this morning, but it's in exhibit 6, tab 161 [SCOI.02751.00021_0001] the findings of the Coroner. And as to Mr Mattaini, her Honour, Coroner Milledge, said:

> I find that Gilles Jacques Mattaini died on
> or about 15 September 1985 at Sydney. The
> cause and manner of his death remain
> undetermined as the evidence before me does not enable me to say.

And in addition to the formal finding to that effect, higher up on the same page, page 14 , as was shown this morning, her Honour expressed views about the evidence.

Yes, thank you, just above that. There we are. Thank you very much.

Her Honour referred to Marks Park victims of assaults reporting their assailants threatening to throw them off the cliff face, that this was a modus operandi at the time of Mr Warren's and Mr Mattaini's disappearances, and Mr Russell's death, and that that strongly supported the probability that Mr Warren and Mr Mattaini and Mr Russell met their deaths in this way.

I should say that at page 3 of the findings, on the topic of Mr Mattaini and suicide, the Coroner said:

Mr Mattaini's father was not close to his
son and his mother believed it was possible
that her son had "suicided". There is no evidence before me to support the finding of "suicide".

Now, again, I won't repeat what I said this morning. Between the Coroner's findings in 2005 and the instigation of Strike Force Neiwand in October 2015, there was no reinvestigation of any of these three deaths.

I have made submissions this morning which are equally applicable this afternoon to this case in relation to Strike Force Neiwand generally and the accusations about tunnel vision and confirmation bias and the like. Those submissions apply equally here.

But so far as I need to say something about the Neiwand summary, specifically directed to the case of Mr Mattaini, in our written submissions, this topic is dealt with at paragraph 207 and following.

At 209 we submit that the authors of the Mattaini summary, namely, DSC Chebl, as the OIC, and DS Morgan, as the Investigation Supervisor, who reviewed the summary, accused Detective Sergeant Page of deliberately not informing Coroner Milledge of information provided to him by Mr Musy in relation to previous suicide attempts and suicidal ideation on the part of Mr Mattaini, despite Mr Musy having told Detective Sergeant Page about those matters. They further alleged in the Mattaini summary that this supposed withholding of information:
... was a key factor in the Coroner not considering suicide as a possibility in Mr Mattaini's disappearance.

At 210 of our submissions we summarise the essence of the allegations made in the Mattaini summary on this point, and they are, firstly, that Mr Mattaini had made multiple attempts at suicide.

Secondly, that "throughout his relationship" with Mr Musy, Mr Mattaini spoke open1y about dying, saying that he was comfortable with dying and that he preferred death to life; and said also that if he did commit suicide, he would do it in a way that no-one would find his body.

Thirdly, the Mattaini summary alleged that Mr Musy told Detective Sergeant Page all of those things in 2002, but Detective Sergeant Page failed to include them in Mr Musy's statement.

Fourth1y, that it was Detective Sergeant Page who had actually persuaded Mr Musy that Mr Mattaini's disappearance was a homicide.

Fifthly, that, as a consequence, Coroner Milledge did not consider the possibility of suicide in relation to Mr Mattaini.

The evidence is now amply sufficient for you as Commissioner to find that every single one of those allegations was and is completely wrong.

First of all, Mr Mattaini had not made multiple suicide attempts, he had made either one or two, both of which were mentioned in the statement by Mr Musy, taken by Sergeant Page, and the second one, which we've just seen, was actually regarded by Mr Musy as quite likely not to have been a suicide attempt at all but only a device to get out of the army or to get out of conscription.

Secondly, Mr Musy made crystal clear - and I've taken the Commissioner to this just a few minutes ago - in his 2003 oral evidence at the Milledge Inquest, and he made it clear as well in another document which Neiwand had received in 2017 from the French police, that the ideas about death that I have just referred to, about being comfortable with dying, preferring death to life, and that if he did commit suicide, he would do it in a way that no-one would find his body - Mr Musy made clear that those ideas and notions and remarks were ideas that Mr Mattaini had once had in his youth, many years earlier, prior to meeting Mr Musy, but that he had never had or expressed any such views ever since meeting Mr Musy in 1978.

Indeed, as we've just seen, Mr Musy's evidence in the witness box at the Milledge Inquest was that being with him, being with Mr Musy, had made Mr Mattaini forget about these thoughts or problems that he had had in the past, and that Mr Mattaini had actually remarked how stupid he had been before when he had had such thoughts.

Thirdly, Mr Page gave unchallenged evidence before
you, Commissioner, that Mr Musy did not say to him anything at all along those lines, ever.

Fourth1y, it is not right to say that Mr Page was the one who put the idea into Mr Musy's ahead that Mr Mattaini might have been the victim of a homicide. On the contrary, as is now shown by a welter of evidence, Mr Musy and Mr Wyszynski went to the police, went to see Sergeant Page, precisely because that idea had been sparked in their minds by the publicity they had read about the work of Operation Taradale. It had caused them to think that perhaps this gay hate bashing era, this gay hate murder era, might be the explanation for what happened to their friend. That's why they went to the police.

Fifthly, the possibility of suicide most certainly was considered by Coroner Milledge in the case of Mr Mattaini in 2003 to 2005. It was expressly raised in the opening address of Counsel Assisting; the two suicide attempts were expressly raised in Mr Musy's written statement; the topic, both of the suicide attempts and of the nature and timing of any suicidal ideation on the part of Mr Mattaini was addressed at some length in Mr Musy's oral evidence in the transcript that I've just referred to; it was referred to again in the closing address of Counsel Assisting; and it was dealt with by Coroner Milledge in her findings.

Mr Page gave evidence before you, Commissioner, as to how it was that he prepared the statement for Mr Musy. This evidence was unchallenged. This was in oral evidence. He explained that the way he created the Musy statement, in particular, paragraphs 5 and 6 , which refer to suicide attempts, was that he sat at his computer at the police station and typed, quickly, as Mr Musy spoke, what Mr Musy said, on to the screen, with Mr Musy watching him and watching the screen as the typing was done. He asked Mr Musy open-ended questions about his recollections and what he typed was what Mr Musy answered.

Mr Musy did not say to him anything about suicide or suicidal thoughts in relation to Mr Mattaini, besides what appears in the statement. If he had done so, Mr Page would have included it. As I say, Mr Page was not challenged on that evidence.

Now, I mentioned a 2017 French document - this is the one referred to in our submissions at 219. It was
a statement or record of some kind, it's not quite clear what it is because the translation is inelegant, to put it mildly, having been achieved by means of someone using Google Translate, but it's clear enough, I would submit, that Mr Musy is saying in that document, which is some sort of interview with French police during the course of 2017, that the suicidal ideation that $I$ have described was indeed in the past, and not at any time after meeting Mr Musy.

Now, as we have submitted, Mr Page was not given any chance to respond to the allegations against him being made by Strike Force Neiwand. In particular, he was given no opportunity to respond to these allegations about withholding from the Coroner information which Mr Musy had supposedly given to him about suicide.

He has given evidence here expressly rejecting any such thing. That evidence should be accepted. But, in addition to that, even putting aside his emphatic evidence, if the authors of the Mattaini summary had checked the transcript of the Milledge Inquest, in particular, the evidence of Mr Musy, they would have known that their allegations were utterly unsustainable.

On the question of whether they did read and check the transcript of the Milledge Inquest, including the evidence of Mr Musy, Detective Sergeant Morgan chopped and changed a number of times as to whether he had read it or whether he would have read it, or whether DSC Chebl had read it or would have read it, and his evidence fluctuates at various points among all of those alternatives, and perhaps one or two others. But the point is this: either DSC Chebl and/or DS Morgan did not read the transcript of Mr Musy's evidence at the Milledge Inquest, or they did read it but chose to omit any reference to it in the Mattaini summary. Either of those alternatives, we submit, is indefensible.

DS Morgan, in due course, in the course of his evidence in February this year before this Commission, conceded that to assert that the Coroner had not considered the possibility of suicide was inaccurate and was wrong and therefore, inexorably, the blame for the Coroner supposedly not considering that possibility could not be laid at the feet of Detective Sergeant Page.

He nevertheless - he, Detective Sergeant Morgan sought to stand by his allegation that Mr Page had
deliberately withheld information from the Coroner. He gave three reasons for doing so, all of which he subsequently resiled from. The first was that the Coroner was not told about Mr Mattaini feeling more comfortable with death than being alive. As we've just seen from the transcript, the Coroner was told exactly that.

Secondly, he said that the Coroner was not told about Mr Mattaini saying that if he did kill himself, he wanted to make sure the remains were not found. Now, as to that one, which he subsequently accepted could not be sustained, the facts are these: first, Mr Musy did not tell Mr Page any such thing; secondly, Mr Musy was asked a lot of questions at the Milledge Coronial Inquiry about Mr Mattaini and the topic of suicide, and he never - he, Mr Musy - chose to mention this particular notion about making sure his remains were not found, and as Sergeant Morgan conceded, what Mr Musy chose to say in the witness box when he was asked about suicide and suicidal ideation was up to Mr Musy. He said what he wanted to say and he left out what he left out, either by chance or otherwise. But either way, whatever he said in the witness box had nothing to do with Detective Sergeant Page. So that second basis for standing by his allegation was not sustainable, as he conceded.

Then the third reason that Detective Sergeant Morgan gave for trying to stand by the allegation that Page withheld information was:

Most importantly, the fact that he claims that Mr Page convinced him that it was a homicide rather than a suicide or anything else.

Now, that third reason, as we have seen, is untenable, indeed, not true.

The accusations made by Detective Sergeant Morgan and DSC Chebl in this Mattaini summary, that Detective Sergeant Page deliberately withheld information from the Coroner, thereby causing her not to consider suicide as a possibility, were and are completely without foundation. They should be rejected out of hand. It's our submission that for the two officers in question to have made them, Detective Sergeant Morgan and DSC Chebl, given that they did so either in the teeth of knowing what Mr Musy had said
in his sworn evidence or, alternatively, without even checking that evidence, was disgraceful.

We've noted in our written submissions other ways in which the Mattaini summary by Strike Force Neiwand, on one reading, which is the reading that we would submit is the most realistic, is slanted towards suggesting or emphasising the likelihood of suicide. One of those respects is the question of the keys. If somebody is going to commit suicide, some postulate that they don't bother taking their keys with them because they won't be coming back.

In the Mattaini summary, we find that the hearsay understanding of Mr Wyszynski, based on something Mr Ottaviani told him, that Mr Mattaini's keys were still in the apartment, is included more than once, but what is not included is any reference to Mr Wyszynski's more equivocal evidence at the inquest where he said that, actually, he had no specific recollection of Mr Ottaviani saying anything about the keys.

Secondly, the summary refers to Mr Musy noticing that the yellow spray jacket was missing, but, of course, Mr Musy also said in his very same statement that he noticed that the keys were missing, and that is omitted. Mr Musy's evidence in his statement that the keys were missing is omitted.

Thirdly, the summary stresses, or makes particular reference to, the visa issue as being something that "appeared to weigh heavily on Mr Mattaini's mind", whereas the express evidence of Mr Musy was that it was not something that was really sort of weighing on him constantly, not at all.

The submission that we make, a serious one, at paragraph 231, is that this summary, in the Mattaini case, aimed as it was at advancing only a suicide hypothesis, making no attempt to investigate the possibility of homicide at all, aimed at discrediting Sergeant Page and at the objective of overturning the Milledge finding, was either incompetent or dishonest or both, and it should be rejected.

Now, elsewhere in our submissions we set out some of the steps that the Inquiry itself has taken to pursue the
case of Mr Mattaini. Among those steps are the obtaining of an opinion from the forensic pathologist, Dr Linda Iles, regarding the decomposition of bodies in water; another is the obtaining of an opinion from Professor Robert Brander, a coastal geomorphologist, in relation to the likely or possible movements of a body, had it entered the water in the relevant area at or about or soon after 15 September 1985; and thirdly, there is reference at 297 to the fact that the Inquiry has used its coercive powers to undertake private hearings with a number of people, including persons of interest.

I should say that that last factor applies in all three of these cases - that is, the case of Mr Russell, this case of Mr Mattaini, and also the case of Mr Warren.

So far as the evidence now stands, and again as I mentioned this morning, as with the case of Mr Russell, much of the evidence, most of the evidence, apart from the professional opinions of $\operatorname{Dr}$ Iles and Professor Brander, is evidence that was available at the Milledge Inquest and/or during the course of Strike Force Neiwand.

The submissions that we make about that are as follows: first of all, various attempts were made, including by Strike Force Neiwand, to obtain a DNA sample from Mr Mattaini's mother. Those attempts were not successful. Mr Mattaini's mother has died. She died in March 2017.

It seems that Mr Mattaini had a sister but it does not appear that any attempt has been made to obtain a DNA sample from her, but, in any event, Mr Mattaini's body never having been found, the utility of obtaining such DNA samples, even if it had been done or was now possible, is limited.


#### Abstract

Dr Iles, as I have said, was asked to report on the decomposition of human bodies in seawater, the average period of time between the initial submergence of a body and its resurfacing and related matters, and $\operatorname{Dr}$ Iles has provided a report, which we refer to in our submissions.

The short point is that because there are so many variables, it is not possible to reliably estimate the rate of decomposition of human remains in seawater. Typically, but not universally, if sufficiently buoyant, a body will


float for a while until it sinks, but then, having sunk, if that's what's happened, the process of decomposition can mean, often does mean, that it resurfaces. But then, as the decomposition proceeds further and gases are released from the body, buoyancy is reduced and the remains sink again. Whether that has happened in the case of Mr Mattaini is, of course, entirely unknown.

Professor Brander, who is an expert on, among other things, tidal conditions and movements of water in the ocean, was asked by the Inquiry to report on what might have happened to Mr Mattaini's body assuming it entered the water anywhere between North Bondi, near Ben Buckler, and all the way around to Marks Park, and the short point is that it could have remained near the shore for a while but probably would then have been taken a long way out to sea, but that whether that, in fact, happened, it is really not possible to say.

The professor expressed the further opinion, perhaps consistent with common sense, that 15 September is likely to have been a time of the year when Mr Mattaini, or anyone else, might not have turned his thoughts to swimming because of the prevailing air and sea temperatures but again, of course, one doesn't know, and I've mentioned that there are seemingly some different recollections in the evidence as to Mr Mattaini's interest in swimming and competence at swimming.

Dr Iles' report was provided to Professor Brander her report, as I say, was about decomposition of bodies and Professor Brander has expressed a view about that, namely, that if Mr Mattaini's body had become submerged quickly after entering water, it may have remained submerged for longer due to colder water temperatures in September, and it may not have resurfaced at all prior to the extreme weather conditions which began on 19 September.

Now, the Inquiry is left with the reality that Mr Mattaini's body has never been found, so precisely what happened to him is simply unknown. It's submitted, therefore, that, in terms of manner and cause, the open finding made by Coroner Milledge is still appropriate namely, Gilles Jacques Mattaini died on or about 15 September 1985 in Sydney. The cause and manner of his death remain undetermined.

Finally, we have made some submissions in writing on the question of bias, which is, of course, one of the central features of what the Special Commission and you as Commissioner must consider under the Terms of Reference that is, the possibility or the likelihood that LGBTIQ bias was a factor in Mr Mattaini's death.

In that regard, we observe Mr Mattaini was a gay man, he was known to walk around the Bondi/Tamarama/Marks Park area, which did include the well-known Marks Park beat. He didn't use the beat himself, on the evidence, and it needs to be acknowledged as well that the last-known sighting of him, if Terry was correct, was in the morning.

Having regard to the evidence that I have summarised this afternoon, our submission is that it is unlikely that Mr Mattaini committed suicide - in fact, most unlikely that he did so. Although he had, it seems, some worry at some level about his visa status, that was not weighing significantly upon him. Indeed, on the evidence, in September 1985 he was and had been for years "very, very happy", "in a very fulfilling relationship", "really enjoying life fully", and happy that Mr Musy would soon be back and Mr Wyszynski would soon be arriving.

As at 1985 , it would appear that there were no reported assaults or suspected gay hate deaths in Bondi. We know that by 1989/90, that was by no means any 1onger the case. However, there is a substantial weight of evidence which establishes that many such assaults were occurring but not being reported, and we have Sergeant Ingleby's statement of February 1990 and we have Detective Sergeant McCann's two reports of 1991 in that regard.

We also have - and this is something which didn't find its way into our written submissions but I've taken you, Commissioner, to the evidence this afternoon - the evidence of Mr Wyszynski, who said that in 1985, gay men were being bashed at Marks Park and that it was the talk of the gay community that that was happening, and that they weren't being reported. He said that in his statement of 2002 and he said it again in his oral evidence before the Coroner.

As to the possibility of misadventure, it cannot be discounted, given that the evidence in so many respects is a blank page, but it is submitted that it does not seem
particularly likely. Mr Mattaini was, on the evidence, very familiar with the walking paths in the area. As Professor Brander says, it does seem unlikely that 15 September would be a day that he would have chosen to go for a swim. However, it's acknowledged that if he did go swimming on that day, then according to Professor Brander, conditions for swimming would have been challenging and perhaps hazardous. However, our submission is that both suicide and misadventure, on balance, are unlikely.

By contrast, we know that, as Strike Force Neiwand itself recognised, at least by the latter part of the 1980s, there was what Strike Force Neiwand called a "spate" of attacks on gay men in the Bondi/Tamarama area.

As to whether they were occurring as early as 1985 is perhaps less clear, but the evidence of Mr Wyszynski, which I have referred to today, and also the evidence received by the Inquiry in Public Hearing 1 as to the attacks on gay men in Sydney, including the Eastern Suburbs generally in the 1980s, would suggest that attacks in the Bondi/Tamarama area as early as 1985 were highly likely to be happening.

It is submitted, therefore, that there is a distinct possibility that Mr Mattaini was murdered and that, if so, LGBTIQ bias was likely to have been a factor in his death. However, it's submitted that the available evidence does not permit a positive conclusion in either of those respects.

Those are our submissions, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
MR MYKKELTVEDT: Again, we will respond in writing.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. All right. I will adjourn, then, until the morning. Thank you.
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